SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ARACELY ANDRADE and SEBASTIAN MAGNARELLI, in a Representative capacity only, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiffs v. HLT CONRAD DOMESTIC EMPLOYER LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; HILTON HOTEL EMPLOYER LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive Defendants	CASE NO. 37-2024-00009775-CU-OE-CTL **[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PAGA SETTLEMENT & ENTRY OF JUDGMENT** Date: October 30, 2026 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: C-74 Judge: Hon. Blaine K. Bowman

TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs ARACELY ANDRADE and SEBASTIAN MAGNARELLI's Unopposed Motion for PAGA Settlement Approval was heard in Department C-74 of the above-entitled Court on October 30, 2026, at 8:30 a.m.

Having read and considered the application filed by Plaintiffs' Counsel, any opposition thereto, and the arguments of the parties, the Court finds there are sufficient grounds to grant Plaintiffs' Motion. Accordingly, good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The Court has reviewed and approves the terms of the parties settlement pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA);

1) The Court approves the parties proposed allocations set forth in the moving papers. Specifically, the Court approves the Gross Settlement Amount of **$925,000.00** which is broken down as follows:

   * **$308,333.33** Attorneys' Fees (33% of total settlement value);
   * **$24,045.89** PAGA Counsel Expenses Payment
   * **$7,919.20** Settlement Administrator Fees
   * **$10,000.00** Plaintiffs' Enhancement Award ($5,000 each)
   * **$574,701.58** Total PAGA Penalty. 75% shall be assigned and transmitted to the LWDA as penalties. The remaining 25% shall be allocated to the PAGA employees.

2) In all other respects, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the parties' PAGA Settlement Agreement, which was attached to the declaration of Eric K. Yaeckel that was filed with the Court on October 16, 2026.

3) As noted in the Settlement Agreement, a judgment in this representative action brought by an aggrieved employee under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 is binding not only on the named plaintiff but also on government agencies and any aggrieved employee not a party to this proceeding.

4) The Court will retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the settlement until

performance in full of the terms of the settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:_____

_____
HONORABLE BLAINE K. BOWMAN
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT