

1 Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)
2 arrash.fattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com
3 Arman A. Salehi (SBN 351112)
4 arman.salehi@wilshirelawfirm.com
5 Alan Wilcox (SBN 287476)
6 alan.wilcox@wilshirelawfirm.com
7 **WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC**
8 660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
9 Los Angeles, CA 90017
10 Telephone: (213) 381-9988
11 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13
14
15 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
16
17 **FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**

18 BRANDI SANFORD, individually, on behalf of
19 all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the
20 State of California and other aggrieved persons,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 PACIFIC STATES PETROLEUM, INC., a
24 California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,
25 inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No. C23-03200

CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Edward G.
Weil, Dept. 39]

**NOTICE OF RULING RE: ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

Complaint filed: December 18, 2023
FAC filed: November 20, 2025
Trial date: Not set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on June 8, 2026, the Court issued an Order Granting
3 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement. A true
4 and correct copy of that judgment and order are attached as Exhibit 1.

5 Respectfully submitted,

6 Dated: June 10, 2026

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC

7
8 By: 
9 Alan A. Wilcox

EXHIBIT 1

Arrash T. Fattahi (SBN 333676)
arrash.fattahi@wilshirelawfirm.com
Arman A. Salehi (SBN 351112)
arman.salehi@wilshirelawfirm.com
Courtney M. Miller (SBN 327850)
courtney.miller@wilshirelawfirm.com
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC
660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 381-9988
Facsimile: (213) 381-9989

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed by
Superior Court of California,
Contra Costa County
6/8/2026
By: K. Jinkerson, Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**

BRANDI SANFORD, individually, and on
behalf of all others similarly situated, and on
behalf of the State of California and other
aggrieved persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACIFIC STATES PETROLEUM, INC., a
California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: C23-03200

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon.
Benjamin T. Reyes, Dept. 16]*

**REVISED ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Preliminary Approval Hearing:

Date: May 20, 2026

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: 16

Action Filed: December 18, 2023

1 Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA
2 Settlement that came before this Court at the date and time indicated on the moving papers, in
3 the Superior Court of California, County of Contra Costa, Wakefield Taylor Courthouse, located
4 at 725 Court Street Martinez, California 94553, and having considered the Class Action and
5 PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice entered into by and between Plaintiff Brandi
6 Sanford (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Pacific States Petroleum, Inc. (“Defendant,” together with
7 Plaintiff, the “Parties”), attached as **Exhibit 2** to the Declaration of Arrash T. Fattahi in Support
8 of Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement
9 (hereinafter collectively, the “Settlement” or “Settlement Agreement”), the Court HEREBY
10 ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

11 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to
12 be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.
13 The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon
14 the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between the Parties, attached to the Declaration
15 of Arrash T. Fattahi in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
16 and PAGA Settlement as **Exhibit 2**.

17 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which
18 could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid,
19 subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final
20 approval by this Court. Defendant has agreed to pay \$275,000.00 to cover (a) Individual Class
21 Payments to Participating Class Members (class members who do not validly opt out); (b)
22 Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) Penalties in the amount of \$10,000.00 with 75%
23 (\$7,500.00) allocated to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) PAGA
24 Payment and 25% (\$2,500.00) allocated to the Individual PAGA Payments to be paid to
25 Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative’s Service Payment of up to \$10,000.00; (d)
26 Class Counsel Fees Payment not to exceed one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount
27 (\$91,666.66) and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment up to \$20,000.00 for actual
28 litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Administrator Expenses Payment not to

1 exceed \$8,550.00.

2 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within
3 the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and
4 applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair
5 and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further
6 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)
7 significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such
8 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
9 (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented
10 by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as
11 the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the
12 assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds
13 that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

14 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement,
15 attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees
16 for their share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the PAGA, and the Class
17 Representative's Service Payment should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate
18 as to the members of the Class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule
19 set forth below.

20 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
21 (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by PSP in California and classified as an
22 hourly-paid non-exempt employee who worked for PSP during the Class Period."

23 6. "Class Period" means the period from December 18, 2019 to August 17, 2024.

24 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the
25 requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the
26 Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions
27 of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which
28 predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the

1 Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect
2 the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other
3 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

4 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,
5 Plaintiff Brandi Sanford. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request
6 a Class Representative's Service Payment of up to \$10,000.00.

7 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Arrash T. Fattahi, Arman A.
8 Salehi, and Courtney M. Miller of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further
9 preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one third (1/3)
10 of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$91,666.66), and costs not to exceed \$20,000.00.

11 10. The Court appoints Apex Class Action LLC as the Settlement Administrator with
12 reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$8,550.00.

13 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached to the
14 Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the
15 Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable
16 under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled
17 thereto.

18 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the
19 Settlement Agreement.

20 13. Any class member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the
21 Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Event	Timing
Class Data: Last day for Defendant to provide Class Data to the Administrator	15 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
Class Notice: Last day for Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members	14 days after receipt of the Class Data
Response Deadline: Last day for Class Members to submit written objections, challenges to workweeks and/or pay periods, and requests for exclusion	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the Administrator (with an additional 14 days for Class Members whose Notice was remailed)
Filing Deadline: Last day to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff	16 court days before the Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	September 23, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. 16 of the above-referenced Court

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6/3/2026

DATE: _____



Hon. Benjamin T. Reyes II

HON. BENJAMIN T. REYES
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE (2015.5 C.C.P.)
Sanford v. Pacific States Petroleum, Inc., et al
Case No. C23-03200

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, Pablo Villalobos state that I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 660 S. Figueroa Street, Sky Lobby, Los Angeles, CA 90017. My electronic service address is pablo.villalobos@wilshirelawfirm.com.

On June 10, 2026, I served on the parties of record in this action the foregoing document described as **NOTICE OF RULING RE: ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT** on the interested parties as addressed and by one of the methods of service set forth below.

Timothy B. Nelson (SBN 235279)
tim@medinamckelvey.com
Erin Anderson
erin@medinamckelvey.com
MEDINA McKELVEY LLP
925 Highland Pointe Drive, Suite 300
Roseville, California 95678
Telephone: (916) 960-2211
Facsimile: (916) 742-5488

Attorneys for Defendant

(X) BY UPLOAD: I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor & Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.

(X) BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (E-MAIL): I caused said DOCUMENT(s) to be delivered electronically to the addressee(s) identified above with an e-mail address pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013(g).

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 10, 2026, at Los Angeles, California.

Pablo Villalobos
Pablo Villalobos