

BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP

Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)

Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

Jeffrey S. Herman (State Bar #280058)

Sergio Julian Puche (State Bar #289437)

Trevor G. Moran (State Bar #330394)

2255 Calle Clara

La Jolla, CA 92037

Telephone: (858) 551-1223

Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

Website: www.bamlawca.com

Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776)

Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483)

Christina M. Le, Esq. (SBN 237697)

LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP

8889 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200

Beverly Hills, California 90211

Telephone: (310) 432-0000

Facsimile: (310) 432-0001

Email: jlavi@lelawfirm.com

vgranberry@lelawfirm.com

cle@lelawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ENYLLEL RUGAMA-HERNANDEZ and
EDGAR SANTIAGO, as individuals, and on
behalf of themselves and on behalf of all
persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

P & B INTERMODAL SERVICES LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, a Limited Liability
Company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 24STCV07771

**DECLARATION OF ENYLLEL
RUGAMA-HERNANDEZ IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Date: September 25, 2025

Hearing Time: 11:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Timothy Patrick Dillon
Dept: 15

Action Filed: March 28, 2024 Trial Date:
None Set

1 I, Enyllel Rugama-Hernandez, declare as follows:

2 1. I am over the age of eighteen, a Plaintiff and a proposed Class Representative in the above-
3 entitled matter. I submit this declaration in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class
4 Settlement and in support of my application for a class representative service award.

5 2. I have personal knowledge of all the facts stated herein. I could and would competently
6 testify under oath to these facts in court if requested to do so.

7 3. I worked for Defendant P & B Intermodal Services Limited Liability Company
8 (“Intermodal”) in California from August of 2020 to April of 2023, and during that time period I
9 was classified by Intermodal as a non-exempt employee.

10 4. I retained my attorneys who are experienced in both class action and PAGA representative
11 action litigation and claims against employers for violations of the California Labor Code. I have
12 no personal relationship or family ties to my attorneys or any officer of the Court. I am not aware
13 of having any actual or potential conflicts of interest with another class member in this case. I am
14 not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or
15 adversely affected by this settlement.

16 5. I decided to file this class action lawsuit and be a plaintiff/class representative because I felt
17 that my legal rights as an employee and others like me were violated. For example, I was frequently
18 forced to work off the clock, as the device I was required to use to clock in was frequently out of
19 battery or disconnected from the internet. This prohibited me from clocking in on time. Further, I
20 was required to work considerable hours off the clock, staying well past my scheduled shifts to
21 complete repairs on cranes, and I was not paid for all of this time. Additionally, I rarely received
22 compliant meal and rest breaks which were often late, short or missed entirely, and was not always
23 paid the break penalties I was entitled to. Further, I was not properly reimbursed for required
24 business expenses, including the use of my personal cell phone.

25 6. I spoke to my attorneys several times and discussed how Intermodal implemented its
26 company policies and procedures. I also assisted my attorneys in their investigation into my claims
27 by providing them documents and answering their questions. I reviewed the complaint before it
28 was filed and was given access to an electronic file sharing program that alerted me via email when

1 important documents were filed so that I could review them and keep up with the developments in
2 the case which I understood was one of my duties as a class representative. I could also access court
3 filings from this case on my attorney's law firm website. Also, I was informed that Intermodal had
4 the right to take my deposition, and that the preparation and deposition itself could take multiple
5 days. Although my deposition was not taken, I feel I would have been adequately prepared and
6 comfortable to give testimony on my experiences.

7 7. Even though this action is in the process of settling, I was and remain prepared to perform
8 all the duties of a class representative. I understand that as a class representative I have assumed a
9 fiduciary responsibility to prosecute this class action on behalf of the absent class members. I have
10 understood that as a fiduciary, I have a duty to prosecute this action for the benefit of the class
11 members and surrender any right to compromise the group action for an individual gain.

12 8. I understood that being a plaintiff/class representative in this case meant that I was seeking
13 damages not only for myself but also other current and/or former non-exempt employees working
14 for Intermodal in California who make up the class. I felt that these individuals were not aware of
15 their labor law rights and even if they were they would probably be apprehensive about speaking
16 up or even simply because of the time, effort and risk involved in filing a class action lawsuit.

17 9. I understood that being a part of this lawsuit involved risks. For example, my attorneys
18 explained to me that if the case went to trial and we lost, I could be held responsible to pay for all
19 or part of the attorney fees and costs paid by Intermodal to defend this lawsuit. Also, I knew there
20 was a risk that future employers, if they ever find out about this lawsuit, could hold it against me or
21 downgrade me as a potential hire. As the only named Plaintiff in this case it would not be difficult
22 for a future employer to become aware that I sued a former employer for labor law violations.
23 Ultimately, I decided these risks were worth it and decided to fight for my rights and the rights of
24 others regardless of the risks, time and effort I spent on this case.

25 10. During the lawsuit I stayed in touch with my attorneys by phone and email. I also kept up
26 to date on important developments by reviewing court filings that were made available to me
27 electronically as I described above.

28 11. A mediation took place on January 24, 2025, with Tagore Subramainam, a well-respected

1 and experienced mediator of wage and hour class actions. After the mediation the parties were able
2 to come to an agreement to settle the action. I communicated with my attorneys regarding the terms
3 of the settlement which was reached between the parties and understood that I was representing
4 absent class members and therefore wanted the best possible result to be obtained for the class
5 members and I believe a very positive result was in fact achieved via settlement. I also closely
6 reviewed and signed the Settlement Agreement.

7 12. I have been actively involved with this lawsuit performing the duties described above.
8 Although I did not keep time records, I was in regular contact with my attorneys, reviewed court
9 filings and spent a significant amount of time on the issues presented during the lawsuit and in the
10 settlement process. I estimate that I spent approximately 30-40 hours working on this case up until
11 this point. I believe I have been diligent and have done what is expected of a named plaintiff and a
12 proposed class representative to date, and will continue to do so. I have and always will maintain
13 the best interest of the class members.

14 13. My attorneys explained to me that the settlement process involves a two-step review by the
15 Court to determine whether the settlement is fair before approving the settlement. I know this
16 process also involves notifying all class members of the settlement terms and of their rights to make
17 a claim for their settlement share, to opt out of the settlement or to object to the settlement.

18 14. I believe I did the right thing by filing this case on behalf of the class members who, subject
19 to court approval, are in line to receive monetary payments as a result of this case and settlement.
20 This is money they may never have ever gotten if I did not pursue this action on their behalf. I feel
21 significant personal satisfaction to know that I played a role in the class members being entitled to
22 monetary payments as a result of the filing of this lawsuit. I also believe that the requested class
23 representative service award of \$15,000 from the settlement is fair compensation for the work I
24 performed and the risks I undertook.

25 15. As part of the settlement, it was necessary for me to sign a general release of claims I may
26 have against Intermodal. I believe the class representative service payment I have requested
27 provides me with some compensation for this agreed release.
28

1 16. In light of all the time and effort I have spent on this case, the risk I undertook by suing a
2 former employer, the exposure to being responsible for paying Intermodal costs in the event we did
3 not win the case, the reputational risk that future employers may hold this lawsuit against me, the
4 general release and in light of the size of the settlement, I believe the request for \$15,000 as a class
5 representative service payment is fair and reasonable.

6 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
7 true and correct.

8 Executed on 06/04/2025, at Whittier CA.
9 (date) (city, state)

10
11 
12 Enyllel Rugama-Hernandez (Jun 4, 2025 17:04 PDT)
13 Enyllel Rugama-Hernandez
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28