

Lilit Tunyan (SBN 329351)
ltunyan@tunyanlaw.com
Artur Tunyan (SBN 349174)
atunyan@tunyanlaw.com
TUNYAN LAW, APC
535 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 285
Glendale, California 91203
Telephone: (323) 410-5050

Attorneys for Plaintiff DEVON DAVIS as an individual
and on behalf of all employees similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEVON DAVIS, as an individual and on behalf
of all employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BBB-CA, INC., dba BLOCK BY BLOCK, a
California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 24STCV02265

[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. David S.
Cunningham III]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION
AGAINST DEFENDANT WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

Action filed: January 29, 2024
Trial Date: None set

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

3 Plaintiff DEVON DAVIS (“Plaintiff”), by and through his counsel of record, requests dismissal of
4 the entire action against Defendant BBB-CA, INC., dba BLOCK BY BLOCK.

5 Plaintiff’s counsel filed a Declaration in support of dismissal.

6 Having read and considered Plaintiff’s Application to Dismiss Entire Action, the supporting
7 Declaration of Lilit Tunyan, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is ORDERED as follows:

- 8 1. The individual claims are dismissed with prejudice.
- 9 2. The class-wide claims are dismissed without prejudice.
- 10 3. The Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) claims are dismissed without
11 prejudice.
- 12 4. Because the putative class has not been certified and notice of the pendency of the action
13 has not been required to be provided to the putative class members, the claims may be
14 dismissed without notice to the putative class members as the Court finds that the dismissal
15 will not prejudice them. California Rules of Court, Rule 3.770(c).

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: _____

David S. Cunningham III
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT