

MALLISON & MARTINEZ

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1939 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 730
OAKLAND, CA 94612
TELEPHONE: (510) 832-9999
FACSIMILE: (510) 832-1101

STAN S. MALLISON*
HECTOR R. MARTINEZ
CODY A. BOLCE
DANIEL C. KELLER
GONZALO QUEZADA
CAROLINE L. HILL

*MEMBER OF CA, NV & OR BAR

VIA U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL

January 5, 2024

Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc.
Attn: Michael L. Manna
21372 N May Road
Acampo, 95220

Labor and Workforce Development Agency
(via online submission)

RE: California Labor Code section 2699 (Private Attorneys General Act) Notice by Aggrieved Employees

Dear PAGA Administrator:

Our office represents Aggrieved Employees Anabel Nataren, Antonio Nataren, Antonio Zavala, Arturo Villa, Cecilia Zavala, Fidel Arellano, Francisco Nuño, Jesus Zavala, Jose Arellano, Jose Luis Zavala, Juan Vasquez Nieto, Lucio Alvarez, Moises Rocha, Roberto Arredondo, Tomas Nataren, and Yuridiana Garcia (“Aggrieved Employees”). This constitutes statutory notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3(a)(1) for purposes of bringing a claim under the Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”), Cal. Labor Code section 2699, et seq. Please consider this a request for the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA” or “Agency”) to take action against Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc. (“Defendant”) and its agents, managers, supervisors, and/or owners for violations of the California Labor Code described below. On information and belief, Defendant had complete authority to implement and carry out the illegal wage and hour policies at issues herein. As such, the Defendant was on notice, whether actual or constructive, of all of the violations described above, and failed to prevent or address them. Aggrieved Employees seek to assert a PAGA claim on behalf of the State of California and all other current and/or former non-exempt agricultural workers who have been employed by Defendant in California.

Further, pursuant to, without limitation, California Labor Code sections 210, 558, 558.1, 1197.1, 2699(f) and other provisions of the Labor Code, please consider this a notice to Defendant and all other persons acting on behalf of Defendant and the employer who controlled and caused to be violated any provision of the California Labor Code or Wage Orders during the relevant time period by making illegal policies, implementing illegal policies, or failing to correct illegal policies.

Additionally, this letter constitutes statutory notice pursuant to Labor Code section 2810.3(d) for purposes of bringing a civil action against Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc. for violations covered by Labor Code section 2810.3(b). Pursuant to Labor Code section 2810.3(1)(A) a “client employer” is a business entity regardless of its form, that obtains or is provided workers to perform labor within its usual course of business from a labor contractor. We are informed and believe that Defendant owned, controlled, or operated a business or establishment who may have contracted as a client employer within the relevant time period to obtain agricultural workers in and around California, and therefore shares civil legal responsibility and civil liability for the payment of wages. *See* Labor Code section 2810.3(a)(4). As defined in Labor Code section 2810.3(a)(4) and pursuant to California Code of Regulations section 13830, “wages” includes:

- a. Any minimum, regular, overtime, or other premium wages that are due to the worker, including but not limited to any wages due under Labor Code section 226.2, 226.7, 227.3, 246, and 2802;
- b. Any damages or penalties that are due to the worker or the state based upon any failure to pay wages, as provided by law, including but not limited to those set forth under Labor Code sections 203, 203.1, 210, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 248.5, 558, 1194.2, and 1197.1;
- c. Any applicable interest due for any sum described in this section.

This investigation request and notice of California Labor Code violations and shared liability under Labor Code section 2810.3 concerns the systematic failure by Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc.’s, failure to pay current and former California non-exempt employees in conformance with provisions set out in the California Labor Code and in Wage Orders promulgated by the Industrial Welfare Commission. At the core of Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc.’s violations is a policy of: systematically failing to pay piece-rate works for nonproductive time and rest periods separate from piece-rate compensation; systematically refusing to provide rest periods or to provide premium wages in lieu thereof; systematically refusing to provide meal periods or to provide premium wages in lieu thereof; systematically failing to provide accurate wage statements and systematically failing to pay of all wages owed upon separation from employment failing to reimburse employees for all necessary business expenditures or indemnify losses incurred; and failing to pay taxes, social security, Disability, Unemployment, Worker's Compensation, Medicare, and other required obligations. These violations are a uniform policy applied to all non-exempt workers at Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc.

Additional facts and allegations are set forth in Aggrieved Employees’ complaint. Liability under the PAGA may be based on a wide variety of Labor Code predicate provisions, including but not limited to sections 201, 202, 203, 210, 216, 218, 218.6, 223, 225, 226, 226.2, 226.7, 510, 512, 2810.5, 6400, 6401, 6401.7, 6402, 6403, 6404, 1174, 1175, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1199, 2673.1(a), and 2802, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, sections 2699 et seq. and all of the provisions listed in Labor Code section 2699.5 (including the penalty provisions related to these predicate provisions), among others. Any violation of these or any other Labor Code provisions serve as the

basis for a PAGA claim by an aggrieved employee on behalf of themselves and other current and former employees.

In particular, the policies described above, and the violations set forth below relate to all the non-exempt workers employed by Defendant in California:

1. Defendant failed to record and compensate piece-rate employees nonproductive time, failed to compensate rest breaks separate from piece-rate compensation, failed to properly calculate and compensate for rest and recovery periods, and altered employees piece rate units in violation of Cal. Labor Code section 226.2 and Minimum Wage violations.
2. Defendant did not schedule or provide meal or rest periods for non-exempt employees, which resulted in failure to provide or authorize and permit proper thirty-minute meal periods and proper 10-minute rest breaks to which non-exempt employees are entitled, and failure to pay premium meal and rest period wages in lieu of meal and rest periods, in violation of Cal. Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512, Wage Orders and California case law.
3. Defendant subjected employees to employer control, or knowingly suffering or permitting them to work off the clock without compensating for all hours worked at Minimum Wage in violation of Labor Code section 1191 and when unpaid time exceeded the statute overtime thresholds, failed to pay the applicable premium rate in violation of Labor Code section 510, 1194, and applicable Wage Orders.
4. Defendant failed to reimburse employees for equipment necessary for work in violation of Labor Code § 2802.
5. Defendant failed to provide accurate wage statements that reflect actual hours worked and amounts earned as employees' wage statements failed to include the actual piece rate units completed and compensation for nonproductive time and failed to properly calculate compensation for rest and recovery periods in violation of Labor Code §§ 226, 226.2, 1174, and applicable Wage Orders.
6. Defendant failed to pay all wages earned (as described above) including unpaid minimum and overtime wages and failed to pay all additional wages in lieu of providing all meal and rest periods immediately or within 72 hours upon separation from employment.
7. Defendant failed to reimburse employees for all necessary business expenditures or indemnify losses incurred pursuant in violation of Labor Code § 802.
8. Defendant failed to pay taxes, social security, Disability, Unemployment, Worker's Compensation, Medicare, and other required obligations.

The above provisions do not include all of the derivative penalties associated with the above provisions, which are listed throughout the Labor Code and are PAGA penalties.

Aggrieved Employees Anabel Nataren, Antonio Nataren, Antonio Zavala, Arturo Villa, Cecilia Zavala, Fidel Arellano, Francisco Nuño, Jesus Zavala, Jose Arellano, Jose Luis Zavala, Juan Vasquez Nieto, Lucio Alvarez, Moises Rocha, Roberto Arredondo, Tomas Nataren, and Yuridiana

Garcia may be contacted through our office: Mallison & Martinez, Attorneys at Law, 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 730, Oakland, California 94612.

If no action is taken by the Agency within 65 days, we will assume that we may pursue this action under the theories described above against Michael L. Manna Ranch, Inc. described above and its managers, agents, owners, and other liable persons and entities (pursuant to, without limitation, Labor Code sections 210, 558, 1197.1, 2699(f) (and other provisions)).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT THIS IS ALSO A GENERAL LITIGATION PRESERVATION NOTICE to preserve all documents relating to all employees, including but not limited to all timekeeping and payroll records in paper form, native electronic form and any other format in which they are generated and maintained in the regular course of business; all databases and computer or electronic systems that are used for timekeeping and payroll; all records and evidence in any way related to the facts and claims asserted in this letter; all records and evidence in any way related to claims of Aggrieved Employees, the state, and other current or former employees under the California Labor Code Private Attorney General (including underlying Labor Code and IWC orders), the California Government Code, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act. These documents include but are not limited to all schedules (both current and former employees), all timekeeping records, all rest and meal period records, all duty and responsibility records, all records which include employee signatures or initials, all payroll records, all state or federal withholding records, all personnel files and other employment records, all records concerning the payment or non-payment of wages, or provision or non-provision of state mandated labor code protections, as well as all documents pertaining to harassment or discrimination against employees, all communications relating to these records and documents, and all emails relating to these records and documents.

Sincerely,

MALLISON & MARTINEZ

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'S. Mallison', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Stan S. Mallison, Esq.

Hector R. Martinez, Esq.