

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.
Mehrddad Bokhour, CA Bar No. 285256
mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 520
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861

FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.
Joshua S. Falakassa, CA Bar No. 295045
josh@falakassalaw.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 520
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

DANIEL GONZALEZ, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VORTEX INDUSTRIES, LLC, a California
Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-50,
inclusive.

Defendant.

CASE NO.: CV2025-1035

**DECLARATION OF JOSHUA
FALAKASSA IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

HEARING INFORMATION

Date: April 2, 2026

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept.: 22

1 **DECLARATION OF JOSHUA FALAKASSA**

2 I, Joshua Falakassa, say and declare as follows:

3 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice before all the Courts of the State of
4 California, and I am the principal of Falakassa Law, P.C., and I serve as attorney of record, along
5 with The Bokhourm Law Group, P.C., for Plaintiff Daniel Gonzalez (“Plaintiff”) and the Putative
6 Class. I am familiar with the file in this matter, and if called as a witness, I could and would
7 competently testify to the following facts of my own personal knowledge.

8 2. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval
9 of Class Action Settlement.

10 3. I have been a member, in good standing, of the State Bar of California since 2013. I
11 am admitted to practice before all California state courts and the United States District Court of
12 California for the Northern, Central District, and Eastern Districts. I have litigated class action and
13 Private Attorney General Act (“PAGA”) representative action cases in various courts across
14 California.

15 4. I am a 2013 graduate from the University of California, Berkeley, School of Law
16 (“Boalt Hall”), where I completed courses in Labor Law, Employment Discrimination, and
17 Labor/Employment arbitration. During law school, I served as a summer associate at Sanford,
18 Wittels, and Heisler LLP, a boutique plaintiffs’ side employment law firm focusing on FMLA wage
19 and hour class action litigation and other public interest lawsuits. As a second-year law student, I
20 served as a summer associate at the San Francisco office of Littler Mendelson, P.C. I aided employers
21 in defending wage and hour class action lawsuits filed under California and federal law.

22 5. From August 2018 to January 2019, I was an associate at the Paul Haines Law Group,
23 where I actively managed a caseload of more than 50 class and representative PAGA action lawsuits
24 in California and federal courts.

25 6. Thereafter, as principal of Falakassa Law, P.C., I have handled nearly 100 employee
26 vs. employer litigation matters, including more than 40 class action wage/hour and PAGA cases. Over
27 the last few years, my practice has almost entirely consisted of representing employees, the majority
28 of which has included class action and representative action wage and hour matters. In total, I have
handled upwards of 90 class action and representative action wage/hour cases.

1 7. I was selected as a “Super Lawyer Rising Star” in Employment Litigation during the
2 years 2017 through 2025, a distinction that is reserved for the top 2.5 % of lawyers in the practice
3 area. I have also been recognized by Topverdicts.com for achieving Top 1, Top 20 and Top 50 wage
4 and hour class and/or PAGA action settlements in California in 2021-2024.

5 8. My firm has the experience, resources, and means necessary to allow us to provide
6 adequate representation to all Settlement Class Members in this Action.

7 9. Currently, my firm is acting as counsel in at least thirty wage-and-hour class actions.

8 10. Through a contested but productive mediation, Plaintiff and Defendant Vortex
9 Industries, LLC (“Defendant”) (collectively, the “Parties”) entered into a Class Action and PAGA
10 Settlement Agreement resolving the wage and hour claims of the Settlement Class (“Settlement
11 Agreement” and/or “Settlement”), and Class Notice which is attached to the accompanying
12 Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour as Exhibit “A”.

13 11. The Settlement Agreement seeks to fully release and discharge Defendant from the
14 claims brought against it in this matter in exchange for Defendant’s agreement to pay \$1,100,000 on
15 a non-reversionary, non-claim made basis (each Settlement Class Member will receive his/her share
16 of the Net Settlement Amount without having to submit a claim form or taking action, except for
17 those who opt-out).

18 12. Plaintiff seeks to represent a Class of all persons who are or were employed by
19 Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt or hourly employees at any time during the
20 Class Period from August 1, 2021, through November 18, 2025 (the “Class” or “Class Members”).
21 Likewise, the Aggrieved Employees include all persons who are or were employed by Defendant in
22 California and classified as non-exempt or hourly employees at any time during the Class Period from
23 February 23, 2023, through November 18, 2025. (“Aggrieved Employees”).

24 13. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant has agreed not to oppose
25 Class Counsel’s application for: (1) an award of attorneys’ fees not to exceed one-third of the total
26 Settlement Amount; (2) reimbursement of litigation costs not to exceed \$40,000; (3) Settlement
27 Administration costs not to exceed \$8,000; (4) payment of \$100,000 in PAGA penalties, of which
28 \$75,000 (75%) will be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”)

1 and \$25,000 (25%) will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees; and (5) a Service Award of up
2 to \$5,000 to Plaintiff in recognition of his efforts in representing the Class. (Settlement Agreement
3 (“S.A.”) ¶ 54(a)–(h).)

4 14. Based on the information exchanged during the litigation and confirmed at mediation,
5 I understand that the proposed Settlement Class includes approximately 581 non-exempt employees
6 who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period. It is Plaintiff’s contention that,
7 regardless of job title or role, all non-exempt employees were subject to the same wage-and-hour
8 policies and payroll and scheduling practices. Accordingly, Individual Settlement Payments will be
9 distributed on a pro rata basis based on each Class Member’s number of Compensable Workweeks
10 during the Class Period. Assuming approximately 581 Settlement Class Members participate in the
11 settlement, the average recovery is estimated to be approximately \$998 per class member, with the
12 highest estimated recovery being approximately \$1,814, depending on the number of compensable
13 workweeks worked during the Class Period.

14 15. The Settlement is non-reversionary, meaning that no portion of the Net Settlement
15 Amount will revert to Defendant. In addition, Settlement Class Members will not be required to
16 submit claim forms to receive payment. Instead, each Class Member will receive a detailed Notice
17 explaining the terms of the Settlement, their estimated Individual Settlement Payment, their right to
18 dispute the number of Compensable Workweeks attributed to them, and their right to opt out of or
19 object to the Settlement. All Class Members who do not affirmatively opt out will automatically
20 receive a settlement payment following the Court’s final approval of the Settlement.

21 16. Based on my review of the Settlement Agreement and the information obtained
22 through investigation and discovery, I believe the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The
23 Settlement was reached through arm’s-length negotiations between experienced counsel with the
24 assistance of an experienced mediator after a meaningful exchange of information sufficient to
25 evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the claims and defenses. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully
26 requests that the Court preliminarily approve the Settlement, conditionally certify the Settlement
27 Class pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 for settlement purposes, approve the proposed
28 Class Notice, appoint Class Counsel, appoint Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the

1 Settlement Administrator, and set a hearing for final approval.

2 17. Prior to mediation, the Parties engaged in an extensive informal discovery process that
3 enabled the Parties to meaningfully evaluate the claims and defenses. Defendant produced written
4 policies, compensation policies, and a statistically significant sampling of payroll and timekeeping
5 records. This information allowed Plaintiff's counsel and their expert to analyze key issues including
6 class size, workweeks worked, Defendant's pay practices, and potential damages exposure. Plaintiff
7 and counsel also conducted interviews with employees, researched the applicable law, investigated
8 Defendant's operations, and evaluated Defendant's potential defenses.

9 18. Based on this investigation and analysis, Class Counsel concluded that the Settlement
10 represents a fair, reasonable, and adequate resolution of the claims when weighed against the risks of
11 continued litigation. These risks include Defendant's asserted defenses, the uncertainty of class
12 certification and representative treatment, and the possibility of delay associated with prolonged
13 litigation and potential appellate review.

14 19. On February 21, 2025, the Parties participated in mediation before Steve Serratore,
15 Esq., which did not result in a settlement. Thereafter, on August 13, 2025, the Parties participated in
16 a second mediation before Daniel Turner, Esq. After a full day of negotiations and the issuance of a
17 mediator's proposal, the Parties reached an agreement to resolve both this Action and the related B
18 & P Action on a class and representative basis.

19 20. Based on the data obtained through informal discovery and the information exchanged
20 between the Parties, I understand that the Settlement Class consists of approximately 581 Class
21 Members who worked a total of approximately 71,629 workweeks during the Class Period.

22 21. As further explained in the accompanying Declaration of Mehrdad Bokhour, and
23 based on the information obtained through investigation and analysis, the \$1,100,000 gross
24 Settlement Amount represents an excellent result for the Class, particularly given the risks of
25 continued litigation. The Settlement Amount represents approximately 58.9% of Plaintiff's risk-
26 adjusted valuation of the case, which is well within the range of reasonableness for class action
27 settlements. The Settlement will therefore provide meaningful and immediate monetary relief to the
28 Class.

1 22. The Settlement was the product of extensive, arm's-length negotiations between
2 experienced counsel and was facilitated by a respected wage-and-hour class action mediator.
3 Although the negotiations were professional and cordial, they were hard-fought and adversarial, and
4 the resulting Settlement is not the product of collusion. In reaching this agreement, Plaintiff and his
5 counsel carefully considered the risks, expense, and complexity associated with continued litigation,
6 including the possibility of unfavorable rulings on class certification, summary judgment, trial,
7 damages, or appeal. In addition, if Defendant prevailed on its defenses, Class Members might recover
8 little or nothing.

9 23. The Settlement will provide a fair and reasonable recovery to each Settlement Class
10 Member based on the number of Weeks Worked during the Class Period. I understand that Plaintiff
11 and Class Counsel conducted a thorough investigation of the claims and defenses and worked
12 diligently to achieve a resolution that maximizes the Class's recovery while avoiding the significant
13 additional expense associated with further litigation. After weighing the risks of continued litigation
14 against the benefits of a certain recovery, I believe the Settlement is in the best interests of the
15 Settlement Class and represents a fair and reasonable compromise of disputed claims.

16 24. Plaintiff's counsel brought substantial experience in wage-and-hour class action
17 litigation to the negotiation and evaluation of this Settlement. In preparing the case for mediation,
18 counsel conducted significant investigation and analysis, including review of Defendant's policies
19 and procedures, personnel documents, wage statements, and timekeeping records. Counsel also
20 performed a detailed analysis and extrapolation of the class-wide data produced by Defendant with
21 the assistance of an expert.

22 25. Based on my experience litigating wage-and-hour class actions, I believe that
23 Falakassa Law, P.C. and The Bokhour Law Group, P.C. possess the necessary experience and
24 expertise to evaluate the merits of the claims and Defendant's defenses in this matter. In my opinion,
25 the recovery provided under the Settlement is reasonable, fair, and adequate given the risks and
26 uncertainties inherent in obtaining class certification and proceeding to trial. The Settlement provides
27 meaningful compensation to Settlement Class Members without the delays and risks associated with
28 further litigation.

26. Based on the information available to me, both Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant's counsel support the terms and conditions of the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

27. This case was prosecuted on a pure contingency basis, meaning that my firm assumed the risk of advancing all litigation costs without any guarantee of recovery. Throughout the course of this litigation, we paid all necessary costs, including filing fees, mediation costs, and expert expenses. Had Plaintiff not prevailed, there was a substantial risk that these costs would not have been recovered.

28. Based on my experience in wage-and-hour class actions, an attorneys' fee award of one-third of the common fund is well within the range commonly approved by California courts. In this case, Class Counsel will request attorneys' fees in the amount of one-third of the Settlement Amount. Defendant does not oppose this request. Class Counsel will also seek reimbursement of litigation costs not to exceed \$40,000, which reflects the actual costs incurred in prosecuting this case.

29. The Parties have stipulated, subject to Court approval, that Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions serve as the Settlement Administrator. Phoenix is an experienced and reputable class action settlement administrator, and its fees for administering this Settlement will not exceed \$8,000.

30. In compliance with California Rules of Professional Conduct section 1.5.1, Plaintiff signed a fee split agreement that provides fees will be allocated between Class Counsel as follows: fifty percent (50%) to the Bokhour Law Group, P.C., and fifty percent (50%) to the Falakassa Law, P.C.

31. For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant preliminary approval of the Settlement as being fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, and to preliminarily approve the notice plan and set a final approval hearing. Plaintiff will seek final approval of attorneys' fees, costs, and service awards at the final approval stage.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct on this 9th day of March 2026, in Los Angeles, California.

By: Joshua S. Falakassa
Joshua Falakassa, Esq.