

1 Daniel V. Santiago (SBN 206532)
2 LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL V. SANTIAGO, P.C.
3 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
4 Los Angeles, California 90071
5 Phone: (760) 652-9801
6 Facsimile: (760) 652-9802
7 E-mail: dvs@dvslawoffices.com
8 Attorney for the Plaintiff, David A. Greene

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE
CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER**

DAVID A. GREENE, individually and as
representative of the State of California on
behalf of all aggrieved employees,

Plaintiff,

v.

KHALID M. ASIMI and HAMID M. ASIMI,
individuals doing business as Alert Security
Services in Corona, California, and DOES 1 -
20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 30-2024-01377249-CU-OE-CXC

**NOTICE OF MINUTE ORDER DATED
OCTOBER 3, 2024, GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
FOR AN ORDER (1) APPROVING THE
SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S
INDIVIDUAL PAGA CLAIMS AND
DISMISSING THOSE CLAIMS WITH
PREJUDICE AND (2) DISMISSING
PLAINTIFF'S PAGA CLAIMS ON
BEHALF OF THE OTHER AGGRIEVED
EMPLOYEES WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

TO THE COURT, LWDA, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, during the hearing on October 3, 2024, at 2:00 p.m. in Department CX104 of the Orange County Superior Court on Plaintiff David A. Greene's Unopposed Motion for an Order (1) Approving the Settlement of Plaintiff's Individual PAGA Claims and Dismissing Those Claims With Prejudice and (2) Dismissing Plaintiff's PAGA Claims on Behalf of the Other Aggrieved Employees Without Prejudice (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion"), the Orange County Superior Court entered a Minute Order dated October 3, 2024, granting Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a true and correct copy of the aforementioned Minute Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: October 8, 2024

LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL V. SANTIAGO, P.C.

By: 

Daniel V. Santiago, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff, David A. Greene

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3

5

6
7
8

10

11
12
13
14
15

16
17

18
19

21

22

23

EXHIBIT 1

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER**

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 10/03/2024

TIME: 02:00:00 PM

DEPT: CX104

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Melissa R. McCormick

CLERK: V. Harting

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: I. Olivares

CASE NO: **30-2024-01377249-CU-OE-CXC** CASE INIT.DATE: 02/01/2024

CASE TITLE: **Greene vs. Asimi**

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Other employment

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 74304714

EVENT TYPE: Motion - Other

MOVING PARTY: David A. Greene

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Motion - Other 1. Approving settlement of individual paga claims
2. dismissing paga claims on behalf of the other aggrieved employees, 05/28/2024

APPEARANCES

Daniel V. Santiago, from Law Offices of Daniel V. Santiago, P.C., present for Plaintiff(s).
Nicole Soma of Siddiqui Law, APC present for defendant remotely.

Hearing held, participants appearing remotely and in person.

Tentative Ruling posted on the Internet.

Mr. Santiago addresses the court regarding a motion date in the event of a default.

All parties submit on the Court's tentative ruling.

The Court confirms the tentative ruling as follows:

Plaintiff David A. Greene's Motion for Approval of Individual PAGA Settlement and Request for Dismissal Without Prejudice of PAGA Claims

The court has reviewed and considered the papers, including the Santiago Declaration (ROA 21) and the parties' stipulation (ROA 22), filed in support of plaintiff David A. Greene's motion for approval of his individual PAGA settlement and request for dismissal without prejudice of PAGA claims. Having considered all of the papers filed in this matter, the court grants plaintiff's motion for approval, as follows:

\$11,250 to plaintiff (including \$500 for individual PAGA penalties);

\$12,250.00 for attorneys' fees and costs; and

\$1,500.00 for PAGA penalties to the LWDA.

The parties and counsel are ordered to administer the settlement, including disbursement of the PAGA penalties, in accordance with the settlement agreement (ROA 58, Ex. 1). As set forth in the parties' settlement agreement (ROA 58, Ex. 1 (¶ 17.B.) and proposed order (ROA 14, ¶ 5), the court retains

jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 664.6 to enforce the settlement until performance in full of the terms of the settlement.

Plaintiff's request for dismissal without prejudice of the PAGA claims asserted on behalf of aggrieved employees other than plaintiff is granted. Plaintiff's request for dismissal with prejudice of his individual claims is granted.

Plaintiff is ordered to give notice, including to the LWDA, and to file a proof of service.

IT IS SO ORDERED



JUDGE MELISSA R. McCORMICK