

Nicholas J. Ferraro (State Bar No. 306528)
Lauren N. Vega (State Bar No. 306525)
Andrew T. Katseanes (State Bar No. 351638)
Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc.
3333 Camino del Rio South, Suite 300
San Diego, California 92108
(619) 693-7727 main / (619) 350-6855 facsimile
nick@ferrarovega.com / lauren@ferrarovega.com
andrew@ferrarovega.com

Clerk of the Superior Court
By T. Automation , Deputy Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff Mauricio Torres

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

MAURICIO TORRES, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated and the
State of California under the Private Attorneys
General Act,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MACRO-PRO, INC., and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2024-00025803-CU-OE-CTL

Hon. Loren G. Freestone
Dept. 64

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
GRANTING PAGA ACTION
SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING
JUDGMENT**

Action Filed: June 3, 2024

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE
2 TAKE NOTICE THAT:

3 1. On July 17, 2026, at 10:30 a.m. in this Department, the Court entered the Order
4 Granting Approval of PAGA Action Settlement and Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Entering Judgment
5 attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

6 2. The Judge confirmed the Court's Tentative Ruling attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

7 3. A copy of this Notice has been submitted to the LWDA via its online electronic portal
8 in accordance with Labor Code § 2699(s)(3).

9 4. Notice of the Court's final ruling and entry of judgment is hereby provided.
10

11 Respectfully submitted,

12 Dated: July 24, 2026

Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc.

14 

15 Nicholas J. Ferraro

16 Attorney for Plaintiff Mauricio Torres
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 17 2026

By: J. Virissimo, Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

MAURICIO TORRES, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated and the
State of California under the Private Attorneys
General Act,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MACRO-PRO, INC. and DOES 1 through 50,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2024-00025803-CU-OE-CTL

Hon. Loren G. Freestone
Dept. 64

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
APPROVAL OF PAGA ACTION
SETTLEMENT AND ATTORNEYS'
FEES AND COSTS AND ENTERING
JUDGMENT**

Motion for PAGA Approval Hearing:

Date: July 17, 2026

Time: 10:30 a.m.

[Filed concurrently with Plaintiff's Notice of
Unopposed Motion and Motion for Approval
of PAGA Action Settlement, Plaintiff's
Memorandum of Points and Authorities,
Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro, and
Declaration of Plaintiff Mauricio Torres]

Action Filed: June 3, 2024

1 This matter came on for hearing on July 17, 2026, at 10:30 a.m. in Department 64 of the above-
2 captioned Court, the Honorable Loren G. Freestone presiding, on Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of
3 PAGA Action Settlement and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

4 Having received and considered the motion and supporting papers, including the PAGA
5 Settlement Agreement ("Settlement"), the Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro, the Declaration of
6 Plaintiff Mauricio Torres, and all other papers submitted in support of the motion, and for good cause
7 appearing, now FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

8 Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of PAGA Action Settlement is **GRANTED** and approved
9 pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699(s)(2). After the attorneys' fees, individual
10 representative service payment, costs, and administrator's expenses are deducted from the Gross
11 Settlement Amount, the Net Settlement Amount is \$261,788.97, of which 75% (\$196,341.73) shall be
12 distributed to the LWDA and the remaining 25% (\$65,447.24) shall be distributed to the Covered
13 Employees as their share of PAGA penalties.

14 Accordingly, the \$445,000 gross settlement shall be distributed as follows:

- 15 1. \$196,341.73 to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency for PAGA penalties;
- 16 2. \$65,447.24 to the PAGA Employees;
- 17 3. \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff as a service payment;
- 18 4. \$148,318.50 to Plaintiff's counsel for attorneys' fees;
- 19 5. \$16,892.53 to Plaintiff's counsel for costs; and
- 20 6. *Up to* \$8,000 to the Settlement Administrator.

21 Plaintiff, Defendant, and the Settlement Administrator are ordered to carry out the terms of the
22 settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

23 Without affecting the finality of this Order or the entry of judgment in any way, the Court retains
24 jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, and
25 enforcement of this Order and the Settlement.

26 Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the
27 Settlement or under this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to PAGA
28 Members in accordance with the Settlement.

JUDGMENT

Judgment shall be entered through the filing of this order and judgment. Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 668.5. Plaintiff shall take from the Complaint only the relief set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Order and judgment. The Court retains jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the final order and judgment

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 7/17/2016


The Honorable Loren G. Freestone
Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT 2

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
CENTRAL COURTHOUSE
TENTATIVE RULING**

HEARING DATE: 7/17/2026

JUDICIAL OFFICER: LOREN FREESTONE

CASE NO.: 37-2024-00025803-CU-OE-CTL

CASE TITLE: Torres vs Macro Pro Inc [IMAGED]

The unopposed motion (ROA # 36) of Plaintiff MAURICIO TORRES for an order granting approval of PAGA action settlement, and for attorney fees and costs is GRANTED.

The settlement is “fair, reasonable, and adequate in view of PAGA’s purposes to remediate present labor law violations, deter future ones, and to maximize enforcement of state labor laws.” Moniz v. Adecco USA, Inc. (2021) 72 Cal.App.5th 56, 77.

The court approves the following settlement breakdown:

- Gross Settlement (Non-Revisionary) - \$445,000.00
- Attorney Fees - \$148,318.50 (33.33% of Gross Settlement)
- Litigation Costs - \$16,892.53
- Service Award to PAGA Representative - \$10,000.00
- Settlement Administration Costs (not to exceed) - \$8,000.00
- LWDA Payment – \$196,341.73 (75% of \$261,788.97 net settlement)
- Total of Payments to Aggrieved Employees - \$65,447.24

There are 147 aggrieved employees who worked 9,130 cumulative pay periods during the PAGA period. This will result in an average individual payment to each aggrieved employee of \$445.22. Given the nature of the alleged violations, the PAGA payments will be sufficiently meaningful and not trivial. Therefore, the settlement is approved in full, and the settlement proceeds will be disbursed to the PAGA members.

The Court will execute the proposed order (ROA # 40) at the hearing.