

D.LAW, INC.

Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)

emil@d.law

David Yeremian (SBN 226337)

d.yeremian@d.law

Roman Shkodnik (SBN 285152)

r.shkodnik@d.law

Amanda Fazio (SBN 346350)

a.fazio@d.law

450 N Brand Blvd., Suite 840

Glendale, CA 91203

Telephone: (818) 962-6465

Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLENE ROSE
on behalf of herself others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BENITO

CHARLENE ROSE, on behalf of herself and
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DUCKHORN WINE COMPANY, a California
corporation; CALERA WINE COMPANY, a
California limited partnership; THE
DUCKHORN PORTFOLIO, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CU-24-00064

Hon. J. Omar Rodriguez

**AMENDED ~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL
JUDGMENT**

1 The Court, having received and considered the Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”)
2 Settlement Agreement (“Settlement”) of Plaintiff Charlene Rose (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants
3 Duckhorn Wine Company, Calera Wine Company, and The Duckhorn Portfolio, Inc. (“Defendants”),
4 approved by this court on 10/20, 2025, has entered the Order for approval of the Settlement between
5 Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated employees, and Defendants. The
6 Settlement represents a compromise of disputed claims and is not an admission of liability or any
7 wrongdoing by Defendants.

8 There are approximately 583 Aggrieved Employees, defined as a person employed by
9 Defendants in California and classified as a non-exempt employee who worked for Defendants during
10 the PAGA Period from March 22, 2023 to June 11, 2025.

11 The Court has finally approved the allocations of funds from the Gross Settlement Amount,
12 and has found the Settlement to be fair, reasonable, and adequate.

13 **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

14 The Court having entered an Order Granting Approval of the PAGA Settlement filed in this
15 Action hereby enters FINAL JUDGMENT in favor of Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees in the
16 amount of Six Hundred and Seventeen Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty-Three Dollars and Ninety
17 Four Cents (\$617,923.94).

18 Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedures
19 section 664.6 the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this action and the parties, including
20 all Aggrieved Employees and over all matters pertaining to the implementation and enforcement of
21 the terms of the Settlement. Except as provided to the contrary herein, any disputes or controversies
22 arising with respect to interpretation, enforcement or implementation of the Settlement shall be
23 presented by motion to the Court for resolution.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action in its entirety
2 and is intended to be immediately appealable. Subject to the Court's continuing jurisdiction as set
3 forth above, the Court directs the Clerk of the Court to enter Judgment.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 **DATED: 12/09/2025** _____

7 
8 **HON. J. OMAR RODRIGUEZ**
9 **JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT**