

ERIK J. CHRISTENSEN, Bar No. 314595
erik@goldsummit.legal
GOLD SUMMIT LEGAL, INC.
548 Market St., Ste. 41750
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 516-2760

JAMES K. MOORE, Bar No. 279454
james@jamesmoorelawfirm.com
LAW OFFICE OF JAMES K. MOORE, INC.
1155 High Street
Auburn, CA 95603
Tel: (530) 885-6286

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CORTNI SHIPLEY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CORTNI SHIPLEY, on behalf of herself
and all other aggrieved employees,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YORN LEVINE BARNES KRINTZMAN
RUBENSTEIN KOHNER ENDLICH &
GELLMAN; ESCHE JACKSON; and
DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 24STCV13899

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL
OF PAGA SETTLEMENT**

RESERVATION ID 375229547462

Complaint Filed: June 4, 2024
Trial Date: Vacated

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

02/23/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: M. Alaniz Deputy

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 18, 2026 at 9:00 a.m., in Department 16 of the
2 above-captioned Court, Plaintiff Cortni Shipley's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Approval of PAGA
3 Settlement (the "**Motion**") came on for hearing. All Parties were represented by counsel.

4 This Court, having read and considered all documents and information properly submitted by
5 the Parties, and following oral argument by the Parties, and good cause appearing:

6 1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED.
7 2. The Parties' PAGA Settlement is approved.
8 3. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the PAGA Settlement
9 Agreement, which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

10 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Aggrieved Employees and the State
11 of California asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.

12 5. The PAGA Settlement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order a finding of
13 the validity of any allegation or of any liability or wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Judgment,
14 the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement,
15 may be used as an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or liability whatsoever
16 by or against Defendant.

17 6. The Court finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith, that the Settlement
18 is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that the Settlement satisfies the standards and applicable
19 requirements for approval of PAGA representative actions under California law. The Court finds that
20 the Gross Settlement Amount of \$275,000.00 represents a fair compromise of the PAGA claims alleged
21 in the Action, considering the maximum potential value of the claims asserted and the risks inherent in
22 further litigation.

23 7. The Court has considered the authorities and evidence presented by Plaintiff and based
24 thereon hereby approves the following awards and deductions from the Gross Settlement Amount:

- 25 a. To Plaintiff's counsel, Gold Summit Legal, Inc. and Law Office of James K.
26 Moore, Inc., an award of \$91,666.58 in attorneys' fees and \$96.79 in costs.
27 b. To Phoenix Class Administration Solutions, the Settlement Administrator, a
28 payment of up to \$2,850.00 to administer the PAGA Settlement through its

conclusions, including disbursement of the Gross Settlement Amount and all tax reporting associated therewith.

8. The amount remaining of the Gross Settlement Amount following these deductions is the Net Settlement Amount of approximately \$180,386.63. The Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed 75% to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (\$135,289.97) and 25% to the Aggrieved Employees (\$45,096.66).

9. Upon entry of this Order, the Parties shall effectuate all terms of the PAGA Settlement based on the provisions and timelines set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

10. After entry of this Order, pursuant to the Parties' Agreement, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the PAGA Settlement and this Order pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 02/23/2026




HONORABLE STEVE COCHRAN
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

