

Charles T. Sweeny (SBN 325167)
SWEENY LAW, PC
8605 Santa Monica Blvd. #618463
Los Angeles, CA 90069
Tel: (310) 658-2112

Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203
Glendale, California 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Tulare
11/13/2024

By: Kim Anaya,
Deputy Clerk

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF TULARE**

TANNER ACOSTA, DONOVAN JENSEN,
individually, and on behalf of other members
of the general public similarly situated and
on behalf of other aggrieved employees
pursuant to the California Private Attorneys
General Act;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, a
California limited partnership; BUENO
BEVERAGE COMPANY, an unknown
business entity; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: VCU298896

Honorable David C. Mathias
Department 1

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES &
ENFORCEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE
ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT,
CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE § 2698, ET
SEQ.**

- (1) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 (Unpaid Overtime);
- (2) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a) (Unpaid Meal Period Premiums);
- (3) Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7 (Unpaid Rest Period Premiums);
- (4) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 (Unpaid Minimum Wages);
- (5) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 (Final Wages Not Timely Paid);
- (6) Violation of California Labor Code § 204 (Wages Not Timely Paid During Employment);
- (7) Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) (Non-Compliant Wage Statements);

- (8) Violation of California Labor Code § 1174(d) (Failure To Keep Requisite Payroll Records);
(9) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802 (Unreimbursed Business Expenses);
(10) Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.
(11) Violation of California Labor Code § 2698, et seq. (California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs TANNER ACOSTA (“Plaintiff ACOSTA”) and DONOVAN JENSEN (“Plaintiff JENSEN” and collectively with Plaintiff ACOSTA as “Plaintiffs”), individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act, and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This class action is brought pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure section 382. The monetary damages and restitution sought by Plaintiffs exceeds the minimal jurisdiction limits of the Superior Court and will be established according to proof at trial.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, Section 10, which grants the superior court “original jurisdiction in all other causes” except those given by statute to other courts. The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

3. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because, upon information and belief, Defendants are citizens of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over them by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

4. Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, Defendants maintain offices, have agents, employs individuals, and/or transact business in the State of California, County of Tulare. The majority of acts and omissions alleged herein relating to

1 Plaintiffs and the other class members took place in the State of California, including the County
2 of Tulare. At all relevant times, Defendants maintained their headquarters/“nerve center” within
3 the State of California, County of Tulare.

4 **PARTIES**

5 5. Plaintiff TANNER ACOSTA is an individual residing in the State of California,
6 County of Tulare.

7 6. Plaintiff DONOVAN JENSEN is an individual residing in the State of
8 California.

9 7. Defendant SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, at all times herein
10 mentioned, was and is, upon information and belief, a California limited partnership and, at all
11 times herein mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout the State of
12 California, including the County of Tulare.

13 8. Defendant BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY, at all times herein mentioned, was
14 and is, upon information and belief, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout the
15 State of California, including the County of Tulare.

16 9. At all relevant times, Defendant SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP and
17 Defendant BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY were the “employer” of Plaintiffs within the
18 meaning of all applicable California laws and statutes.

19 10. At all times herein relevant, Defendants SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY
20 LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 100, and each of them, were the
21 agents, partners, joint venturers, joint employers, representatives, servants, employees,
22 successors-in-interest, co-conspirators and/or assigns, each of the other, and at all times
23 relevant hereto were acting within the course and scope of their authority as such agents,
24 partners, joint venturers, joint employers, representatives, servants, employees, successors, co-
25 conspirators and/or assigns, and all acts or omissions alleged herein were duly committed with
26 the ratification, knowledge, permission, encouragement, authorization and/or consent of each
27 defendant designated as a DOE herein.

28 11. The true names and capacities, whether corporate, associate, individual or

1 otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs who sue
2 said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based on
3 that information and belief allege, that each of the defendants designated as a DOE is legally
4 responsible for the events and happenings referred to in this Complaint, and unlawfully caused
5 the injuries and damages to Plaintiffs and the other class members as alleged in this Complaint.
6 Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to show the true names and
7 capacities when the same have been ascertained.

8 12. Defendants SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE
9 COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 100 will hereinafter collectively be referred to as
10 “Defendants.”

11 13. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants directly or indirectly controlled or
12 affected the working conditions, wages, working hours, and conditions of employment of
13 Plaintiffs and the other class members so as to make each of said Defendants employers liable
14 under the statutory provisions set forth herein.

15 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

16 14. Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf and on behalf of all other
17 members of the general public similarly situated, and, thus, seeks class certification under
18 California Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

19 15. The proposed class is defined as follows:

20 All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for
21 any of the Defendants within the State of California at any time during the
22 period from June 5, 2019 to final judgment and who reside in California.

23 16. Plaintiffs reserve the right to establish subclasses as appropriate.

24 17. The class is ascertainable and there is a well-defined community of interest in
25 the litigation:

26 a. Numerosity: The class members are so numerous that joinder of all class
27 members is impracticable. The membership of the entire class is
28 unknown to Plaintiffs at this time; however, the class is estimated to be

greater than fifty (50) individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' employment records.

b. Typicality: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of all other class members' as demonstrated herein. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the other class members with whom they have a well-defined community of interest.

c. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of each class member, with whom they have a well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiffs have no interest that is antagonistic to the other class members. Plaintiffs' attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiffs have incurred, and during the pendency of this action will continue to incur, costs and attorneys' fees, that have been, are, and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each class member.

d. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation because individual joinder of all class members is impractical.

e. Public Policy Considerations: Certification of this lawsuit as a class action will advance public policy objectives. Employers of this great state violate employment and labor laws every day. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. However, class actions provide the class members who are not named in the complaint anonymity that allows for the vindication of their rights.

18. There are common questions of law and fact as to the class members that predominate over questions affecting only individual members. The following common

1 questions of law or fact, among others, exist as to the members of the class:

- 2 a. Whether Defendants' failure to pay wages, without abatement or
3 reduction, in accordance with the California Labor Code, was willful;
- 4 b. Whether Defendants' had a corporate policy and practice of failing to
5 pay their hourly-paid or non-exempt employees within the State of
6 California for all hours worked and missed (short, late, interrupted,
7 and/or missed altogether) meal periods and rest breaks in violation of
8 California law;
- 9 c. Whether Defendants required Plaintiffs and the other class members to
10 work over eight (8) hours per day and/or over forty (40) hours per week
11 and failed to pay the legally required overtime compensation to Plaintiffs
12 and the other class members;
- 13 d. Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiffs and the other class members of
14 meal and/or rest periods or required Plaintiffs and the other class
15 members to work during meal and/or rest periods without compensation;
- 16 e. Whether Defendants failed to pay minimum wages to Plaintiffs and the
17 other class members for all hours worked;
- 18 f. Whether Defendants failed to pay all wages due to Plaintiffs and the
19 other class members within the required time upon their discharge or
20 resignation;
- 21 g. Whether Defendants failed to timely pay all wages due to Plaintiffs and
22 the other class members during their employment;
- 23 h. Whether Defendants complied with wage reporting as required by the
24 California Labor Code; including, *inter alia*, section 226;
- 25 i. Whether Defendants kept complete and accurate payroll records as
26 required by the California Labor Code, including, *inter alia*, section
27 1174(d);
- 28 j. Whether Defendants failed to reimburse Plaintiffs and the other class

members for necessary business-related expenses and costs;

k. Whether Defendants' conduct was willful or reckless;

l. Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq.;

m. The appropriate amount of damages, restitution, and/or monetary penalties resulting from Defendants' violation of California law; and

n. Whether Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to compensatory damages pursuant to the California Labor Code.

PAGA ALLEGATIONS

19. At all times herein set forth, PAGA was applicable to Plaintiffs' employment by Defendants.

20. At all times herein set forth, PAGA provides that any provision of law under the California Labor Code that provides for a civil penalty, including unpaid wages and premium wages, to be assessed and collected by the LWDA for violations of the California Labor Code may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself and other current or former employees pursuant to procedures outlined in California Labor Code section 2699.3.

21. Pursuant to PAGA, a civil action under PAGA may be brought by an "aggrieved employee," who is any person that was employed by the alleged violator and against whom one or more of the alleged violations was committed.

22. Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants and the alleged violations were committed against them during their time of employment and they are, therefore, aggrieved employees. Plaintiffs and the other employees are "aggrieved employees" as defined by California Labor Code section 2699(c) in that they are current or former employees of Defendants, and one or more of the alleged violations were committed against them.

23. Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699.3 and 2699.5, an aggrieved employee, including Plaintiffs, may pursue a civil action arising under PAGA after the following requirements have been met:

- 1 a. The aggrieved employee shall give written notice by online submission
2 (hereinafter “Employee's Notice”) to the LWDA and by certified mail to
3 the employer of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code
4 alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support
5 the alleged violations.
- 6 b. The LWDA shall provide notice (hereinafter “LWDA Notice”) to the
7 employer and the aggrieved employee by certified mail that it does not
8 intend to investigate the alleged violation within sixty (60) calendar days
9 of the postmark date of the Employee’s Notice. Upon receipt of the
10 LWDA Notice, or if the LWDA Notice is not provided within sixty-five
11 (65) calendar days of the postmark date of the Employee’s Notice, the
12 aggrieved employee may commence a civil action pursuant to California
13 Labor Code section 2699 to recover civil penalties in addition to any
14 other penalties to which the employee may be entitled

15 24. On March 4, 2024, Plaintiff ACOSTA provided written notice by online
16 submission to the LWDA and by certified mail to Defendants SEQUOIA BEVEAGE
17 COMPANY, LP and BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY of the specific provisions of the
18 California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to
19 support the alleged violations. Plaintiff ACOSTA did not receive an LWDA Notice within
20 sixty-five (65) days of the date of the submission of Plaintiff ACOSTA’s Notice.

21 25. On March 4, 2024, Plaintiff JENSEN provided written notice by online
22 submission to the LWDA and by certified mail to Defendants SEQUOIA BEVEAGE
23 COMPANY, LP and BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY of the specific provisions of the
24 California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to
25 support the alleged violations. Plaintiff JENSEN did not receive an LWDA Notice within
26 sixty-five (65) days of the date of the submission of Plaintiff JENSEN’s Notice.

27 26. Therefore, the administrative prerequisites under California Labor Code section
28 2699.3(a) to recover civil penalties, including unpaid wages and premium wages per California

1 Labor Code section 558 against Defendants, in addition to other remedies, for violations of
2 California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1174(d), 1194,
3 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2800 and 2802 have been satisfied.

4 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

5 27. At all relevant times set forth herein, Defendants employed Plaintiffs and other
6 persons as hourly-paid or non-exempt employees within the State of California, including the
7 County of Tulare.

8 28. Defendants, jointly and severally, employed Plaintiff ACOSTA as an hourly-
9 paid, non-exempt employee, from approximately April 2018 to approximately February 2022,
10 in the State of California, County of Tulare.

11 29. Defendants, jointly and severally, employed Plaintiff JENSEN as an hourly-
12 paid, non-exempt employee, from approximately February 2019 to approximately February
13 2022, in the State of California, County of Tulare.

14 30. Defendants hired Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
15 employees, classified them as hourly-paid or non-exempt employees, and failed to compensate
16 them for all hours worked and missed meal periods and/or rest breaks.

17 31. Defendants had the authority to hire and terminate Plaintiffs and the other class
18 members and aggrieved employees, to set work rules and conditions governing Plaintiffs' and
19 the other class members' and aggrieved employees' employment, and to supervise their daily
20 employment activities.

21 32. Defendants exercised sufficient authority over the terms and conditions of
22 Plaintiffs' and the other class members' and aggrieved employees' employment for them to be
23 joint employers of Plaintiffs and the other class members.

24 33. Defendants directly hired and paid wages and benefits to Plaintiffs and the other
25 class members and aggrieved employees.

26 34. Defendants continue to employ hourly-paid or non-exempt employees within the
27 State of California.

28 35. Plaintiffs and the other class members worked over eight (8) hours in a day,

1 and/or forty (40) hours in a week during their employment with Defendants.

2 36. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
3 engaged in a pattern and practice of wage abuse against their hourly-paid or non-exempt
4 employees within the State of California. This pattern and practice involved, *inter alia*, failing
5 to pay them for all regular and/or overtime wages earned and for missed meal periods and rest
6 breaks in violation of California law.

7 37. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
8 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
9 employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation and that they
10 were not receiving accurate overtime compensation for all overtime hours worked.

11 38. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
12 failed to provide Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees all required
13 rest and meal periods during the relevant time period as required under the Industrial Welfare
14 Commission Wage Orders and thus they are entitled to any and all applicable penalties.

15 39. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
16 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members were entitled to receive
17 all meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiffs' and the other class
18 members' and aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay when a meal period was missed, and
19 they did not receive all meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiffs' and
20 the other class members' and aggrieved employees regular rate of pay when a meal period was
21 missed.

22 40. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
23 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
24 employees were entitled to receive all rest periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at
25 Plaintiffs' and the other class member's regular rate of pay when a rest period was missed, and
26 they did not receive all rest periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiffs' and
27 the other class members' and aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay when a rest period was
28 missed.

1 41. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
2 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
3 employees were entitled to receive at least minimum wages for compensation and that they
4 were not receiving at least minimum wages for all hours worked.

5 42. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
6 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
7 employees were entitled to receive all wages owed to them upon discharge or resignation,
8 including overtime and minimum wages and meal and rest period premiums, and they did not,
9 in fact, receive all such wages owed to them at the time of their discharge or resignation.

10 43. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
11 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
12 employees were entitled to receive all wages owed to them during their employment. Plaintiffs
13 and the other class members and aggrieved employees did not receive payment of all wages,
14 including overtime and minimum wages and meal and rest period premiums, within any time
15 permissible under California Labor Code section 204.

16 44. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
17 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
18 employees were entitled to receive complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with
19 California law, but, in fact, they did not receive complete and accurate wage statements from
20 Defendants. The deficiencies included, *inter alia*, the failure to include the total number of
21 hours worked by Plaintiffs and the other class members.

22 45. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
23 knew or should have known that Defendants had to keep complete and accurate payroll records
24 for Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees in accordance with
25 California law, but, in fact, did not keep complete and accurate payroll records.

26 46. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
27 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
28 employees were entitled to reimbursement for necessary business-related expenses.

1 47. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants
2 knew or should have known that they had a duty to compensate Plaintiffs and the other class
3 members and aggrieved employees pursuant to California law, and that Defendants had the
4 financial ability to pay such compensation, but willfully, knowingly, and intentionally failed to
5 do so, and falsely represented to Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
6 employees that they were properly denied wages, all in order to increase Defendants' profits.

7 48. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay overtime wages to
8 Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees for all overtime hours worked.
9 Plaintiffs and the other class members were required to work more than eight (8) hours per day
10 and/or forty (40) hours per week without overtime compensation for all overtime hours
11 worked.

12 49. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to provide all requisite
13 uninterrupted meal and rest periods to Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved
14 employees.

15 50. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other
16 class members and aggrieved employees at least minimum wages for all hours worked.

17 51. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other
18 class members and aggrieved employees all wages owed to them upon discharge or
19 resignation.

20 52. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other
21 class members and aggrieved employees all wages within any time permissible under
22 California law, including, *inter alia*, California Labor Code section 204.

23 53. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to provide complete or
24 accurate wage statements to Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees.

25 54. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to keep complete or accurate
26 payroll records for Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees.

27 55. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to reimburse Plaintiffs and
28 the other class members and aggrieved employees for all necessary business-related expenses

1 and costs.

2 56. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to properly compensate
3 Plaintiffs and the other class members and aggrieved employees pursuant to California law in
4 order to increase Defendants' profits.

5 57. California Labor Code section 218 states that nothing in Article 1 of the Labor
6 Code shall limit the right of any wage claimant to "sue directly . . . for any wages or penalty
7 due to him [or her] under this article."

8 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198)**

10 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
11 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

12 58. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1
13 through 57, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
14 forth herein.

15 59. California Labor Code section 1198 and the applicable Industrial Welfare
16 Commission ("IWC") Wage Order provide that it is unlawful to employ persons without
17 compensating them at a rate of pay either time-and-one-half or two-times that person's regular
18 rate of pay, depending on the number of hours worked by the person on a daily or weekly
19 basis.

20 60. Specifically, the applicable IWC Wage Order provides that Defendants are and
21 were required to pay Plaintiffs and the other class members employed by Defendants, and
22 working more than eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40) hours in a workweek, at the
23 rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or more
24 than forty (40) hours in a workweek.

25 61. The applicable IWC Wage Order further provides that Defendants are and were
26 required to pay Plaintiffs and the other class members overtime compensation at a rate of two
27 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day.

28 62. California Labor Code section 510 codifies the right to overtime compensation

1 at one-and-one-half times the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours
2 in a day or forty (40) hours in a week or for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day
3 of work, and to overtime compensation at twice the regular hourly rate for hours worked in
4 excess of twelve (12) hours in a day or in excess of eight (8) hours in a day on the seventh day
5 of work.

6 63. During the relevant time period, Plaintiffs and the other class members worked
7 in excess of eight (8) hours in a day, and/or in excess of forty (40) hours in a week.

8 64. During the relevant time period, Defendants intentionally and willfully failed to
9 pay overtime wages owed to Plaintiffs and the other class members.

10 65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the other class members the unpaid
11 balance of overtime compensation, as required by California laws, violates the provisions of
12 California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198, and is therefore unlawful.

13 66. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, Plaintiffs and the other class
14 members are entitled to recover unpaid overtime compensation, as well as interest, costs, and
15 attorneys' fees.

16 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a))**

18 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
19 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

20 67. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
21 through 66, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
22 forth herein.

23 68. At all relevant times, the IWC Order and California Labor Code sections 226.7
24 and 512(a) were applicable to Plaintiffs' and the other class members' employment by
25 Defendants.

26 69. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no
27 employer shall require an employee to work during any meal or rest period mandated by an
28 applicable order of the California IWC.

1 70. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor
2 Code section 512(a) provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to
3 work for a work period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee
4 with a meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per
5 day of the employee is no more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual
6 consent of both the employer and employee.

7 71. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor
8 Code section 512(a) further provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an
9 employee to work for a work period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the
10 employee with a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except
11 that if the total hours worked is no more than twelve (12) hours, the second meal period may
12 be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first meal period
13 was not waived.

14 72. During the relevant time period, Plaintiffs and the other class members who
15 were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than six (6) hours, and who did not
16 waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required to work for
17 periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty
18 (30) minutes and/or rest period.

19 73. During the relevant time period, Plaintiffs and the other class members who
20 were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of six (6) hours were required to work
21 for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than
22 thirty (30) minutes and/or rest period.

23 74. During the relevant time period, Defendants intentionally and willfully required
24 Plaintiffs and the other class members to work during meal periods and failed to compensate
25 Plaintiffs and the other class members the full meal period premium for work performed during
26 meal periods.

27 75. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other
28 class members the full meal period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section

1 226.7.

2 76. Defendants' conduct violates applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor
3 Code sections 226.7 and 512(a).

4 77. Pursuant to applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section
5 226.7(c), Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one
6 additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each work day that
7 the meal or rest period is not provided.

8 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7)**

10 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
11 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

12 78. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
13 through 77, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
14 forth herein.

15 79. At all times herein set forth, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California
16 Labor Code section 226.7 were applicable to Plaintiffs' and the other class members'
17 employment by Defendants.

18 80. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no
19 employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period mandated by an applicable
20 order of the California IWC.

21 81. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order provides that "[e]very
22 employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as
23 practicable shall be in the middle of each work period" and that the "rest period time shall be
24 based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4)
25 hours or major fraction thereof" unless the total daily work time is less than three and one-half
26 (3 ½) hours.

27 82. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiffs and other class
28 members to work four (4) or more hours without authorizing or permitting a ten (10) minute

1 rest period per each four (4) hour period worked.

2 83. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully required Plaintiffs and the
3 other class members to work during rest periods and failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other class
4 members the full rest period premium for work performed during rest periods.

5 84. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the other
6 class members the full rest period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section
7 226.7.

8 85. Defendants' conduct violates applicable IWC Wage Orders and California
9 Labor Code section 226.7.

10 86. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Wage Orders and California Labor Code section
11 226.7(c), Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one
12 additional hour of pay at the employees' regular hourly rate of compensation for each work
13 day that the rest period was not provided.

14 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and 1197.1)**

16 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
17 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

18 87. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
19 through 86, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
20 forth herein.

21 88. At all relevant times, California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1
22 provide that the minimum wage to be paid to employees, and the payment of a lesser wage
23 than the minimum so fixed is unlawful.

24 89. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay minimum wage to
25 Plaintiffs and the other class members as required, pursuant to California Labor Code sections
26 1194, 1197, and 1197.1.

27 90. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the other class members the minimum
28 wage as required violates California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1. Pursuant to

1 those sections Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover the unpaid balance
2 of their minimum wage compensation as well as interest, costs, and attorney's fees, and
3 liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

4 91. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1197.1, Plaintiffs and the other class
5 members are entitled to recover a penalty of \$100.00 for the initial failure to timely pay each
6 employee minimum wages, and \$250.00 for each subsequent failure to pay each employee
7 minimum wages.

8 92. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2, Plaintiffs and the other class
9 members are entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages
10 unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202)**

13 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,** 14 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

15 93. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
16 through 92, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
17 forth herein.

18 94. At all relevant times herein set forth, California Labor Code sections 201 and
19 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the
20 time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and if an employee quits his or her
21 employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72)
22 hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours' notice of his or her
23 intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of
24 quitting.

25 95. During the relevant time period, Defendants intentionally and willfully failed to
26 pay Plaintiffs and the other class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their
27 wages, earned and unpaid, within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.

28 96. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the other class members who are no

1 longer employed by Defendants' their wages, earned and unpaid, within seventy-two (72)
2 hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code sections
3 201 and 202.

4 97. California Labor Code section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to
5 pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee
6 shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an
7 action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

8 98. Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants
9 the statutory penalty wages for each day they were not paid, up to a thirty (30) day maximum
10 pursuant to California Labor Code section 203.

11 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 204)**

13 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,** 14 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

15 99. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
16 through 98, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
17 forth herein.

18 100. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all
19 wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of
20 any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and
21 payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was
22 performed.

23 101. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all
24 wages earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive,
25 of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due
26 and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month.

27 102. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all
28 wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the

1 payday for the next regular payroll period.

2 103. During the relevant time period, Defendants intentionally and willfully failed to
3 pay Plaintiffs and the other class members all wages due to them, within any time period
4 permissible under California Labor Code section 204.

5 104. Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover all remedies
6 available for violations of California Labor Code section 204.

7 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a))**

9 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
10 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

11 105. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
12 through 104, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
13 forth herein.

14 106. At all material times set forth herein, California Labor Code section 226(a)
15 provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized
16 statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee,
17 (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid
18 on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of
19 the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the
20 inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and
21 his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the
22 employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the
23 corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee. The deductions
24 made from payments of wages shall be recorded in ink or other indelible form, properly dated,
25 showing the month, day, and year, and a copy of the statement or a record of the deductions
26 shall be kept on file by the employer for at least three years at the place of employment or at a
27 central location within the State of California.

28 107. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide Plaintiffs and the

1 other class members with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include,
2 but are not limited to: the failure to include the total number of hours worked by Plaintiffs and
3 the other class members.

4 108. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code section 226(a),
5 Plaintiffs and the other class members have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-
6 protected rights.

7 109. More specifically, Plaintiffs and the other class members have been injured by
8 Defendants' intentional and willful violation of California Labor Code section 226(a) because
9 they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving,
10 accurate and itemized wage statements pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(a).

11 110. Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants
12 the greater of their actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with California
13 Labor Code section 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding four thousand dollars per
14 employee.

15 111. Plaintiffs and the other class members are also entitled to injunctive relief to
16 ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(h).

17 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **(Violation of California Labor Code § 1174(d))**

19 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
20 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

21 112. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
22 through 111, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
23 forth herein.

24 113. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1174(d), an employer shall keep, at a
25 central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are
26 employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the
27 number of piece-rate units earned by and any applicable piece rate paid to, employees
28 employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept in accordance

1 with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file
2 for not less than two years.

3 114. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to keep accurate and complete
4 payroll records showing the hours worked daily and the wages paid, to Plaintiffs and the other
5 class members.

6 115. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code section 1174(d),
7 Plaintiffs and the other class members have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-
8 protected rights.

9 116. More specifically, Plaintiffs and the other class members have been injured by
10 Defendants' intentional and willful violation of California Labor Code section 1174(d) because
11 they were denied both their legal right and protected interest, in having available, accurate and
12 complete payroll records pursuant to California Labor Code section 1174(d).

13 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802)**

15 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
16 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

17 117. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
18 through 116, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
19 forth herein.

20 118. Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802, an employer must
21 reimburse its employee for all necessary expenditures incurred by the employee in direct
22 consequence of the discharge of his or her job duties or in direct consequence of his or her
23 obedience to the directions of the employer.

24 119. Plaintiffs and the other class members incurred necessary business-related
25 expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Defendants.

26 120. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to reimburse Plaintiffs and the
27 other class members for all necessary business-related expenses and costs.

28 121. Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to recover from Defendants

1 their business-related expenses and costs incurred during the course and scope of their
2 employment, plus interest accrued from the date on which the employee incurred the necessary
3 expenditures at the same rate as judgments in civil actions in the State of California.

4 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **(Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.)**

6 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
7 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

8 122. Plaintiffs incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
9 through 121, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
10 forth herein.

11 123. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be, unfair,
12 unlawful and harmful to Plaintiffs, other class members, to the general public, and Defendants'
13 competitors. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek to enforce important rights affecting the public
14 interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

15 124. Defendants' activities as alleged herein are violations of California law, and
16 constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business &
17 Professions Code section 17200, et seq.

18 125. A violation of California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq.
19 may be predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. In this instant case, Defendants'
20 policies and practices of requiring employees, including Plaintiffs and the other class members,
21 to work overtime without paying them proper compensation violate California Labor Code
22 sections 510 and 1198. Additionally, Defendants' policies and practices of requiring
23 employees, including Plaintiffs and the other class members, to work through their meal and
24 rest periods without paying them proper compensation violate California Labor Code sections
25 226.7 and 512(a). Defendants' policies and practices of failing to pay minimum wages violate
26 California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1. Moreover, Defendants' policies and
27 practices of failing to timely pay wages to Plaintiffs and the other class members violate
28 California Labor Code sections 201, 202 and 204. Defendants also violated California Labor

1 Code sections 226(a), 1174(d), 2800 and 2802.

2 126. As a result of the herein described violations of California law, Defendants
3 unlawfully gained an unfair advantage over other businesses.

4 127. Plaintiffs and the other class members have been personally injured by
5 Defendants' unlawful business acts and practices as alleged herein, including but not
6 necessarily limited to the loss of money and/or property.

7 128. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.,
8 Plaintiffs and the other class members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and
9 retained by Defendants during a period that commences four years preceding the filing of this
10 Complaint; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil procedure section
11 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs.

12 **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **(Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.)**

14 **(Against SEQUOIA BEVERAGE COMPANY LP, BUENO BEVERAGE COMPANY,**
15 **and DOES 1 through 100)**

16 129. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
17 through 128, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though fully set
18 forth herein.

19 130. PAGA expressly establishes that any provision of the California Labor Code
20 which provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the LWDA, or any of its
21 departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies or employees for a violation of the
22 California Labor Code, may be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved
23 employee on behalf of himself or herself, and other current or former employees.

24 131. Whenever the LWDA, or any of its departments, divisions, commissions,
25 boards, agencies, or employees has discretion to assess a civil penalty, a court in a civil action
26 is authorized to exercise the same discretion, subject to the same limitations and conditions, to
27 assess a civil penalty.

28 132. Plaintiffs and the other hourly-paid or non-exempt employees are "aggrieved

employees” as defined by California Labor Code section 2699(c) in that they are all current or former employees of Defendants, and one or more of the alleged violations was committed against them.

Failure to Pay Overtime

133. Defendants’ failure to pay legally required overtime wages to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees is in violation of the Wage Orders and constitutes a violation of California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198.

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

134. Defendants’ failure to provide legally required meal periods to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees is in violation of the Wage Orders and constitutes a violation of California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a).

Failure to Provide Rest Periods

135. Defendants’ failure to provide legally required rest periods to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees is in violation of the Wage Orders and constitutes a violation of California Labor Code section 226.7.

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

136. Defendants’ failure to pay legally required minimum wages to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees is in violation of the Wage Orders and constitutes a violation of California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197 and 1197.1.

Failure to Timely Pay Wages Upon Termination

137. Defendants’ failure to timely pay wages to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees upon termination in accordance with Labor Code sections 201 and 202 constitutes a violation of California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

Failure to Timely Pay Wages During Employment

138. Defendants’ failure to timely pay wages to Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees during employment in accordance with Labor Code section 204 constitutes a violation of California Labor Code section 204.

Failure to Provide Complete and Accurate Wage Statements

1 139. Defendants' failure to provide complete and accurate wage statements to
2 Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees in accordance with Labor Code section 226(a)
3 constitutes a violation of California Labor Code section 226(a).

4 **Failure to Keep Complete and Accurate Payroll Records**

5 140. Defendants' failure to keep complete and accurate payroll records relating to
6 Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees in accordance with California Labor Code section
7 1174(d) constitutes a violation of California Labor Code section 1174(d).

8 **Failure to Reimburse Necessary Business-Related Expenses and Costs**

9 141. Defendants' failure to reimburse Plaintiffs and the other aggrieved employees
10 for necessary business-related expenses and costs in accordance with California Labor Code
11 sections 2800 and 2802 constitutes a violation of California Labor Code sections 2800 and
12 2802.

13 142. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699, Plaintiffs, individually, and on
14 behalf of all aggrieved employees, request and are entitled to recover from Defendants and
15 each of them, business expenses, unpaid wages, and/or untimely wages according to proof,
16 interest, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code section 218.5, as well as
17 all statutory penalties against Defendants, and each of them, including but not limited to:

- 18 a. Penalties under California Labor Code section 2699 in the amount of a
19 hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the
20 initial violation, and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved
21 employee per pay period for each subsequent violation;
- 22 b. Penalties under California Code of Regulations Title 8 section 11010, et
23 seq. in the amount of fifty dollars (\$50) for each aggrieved employee per
24 pay period for the initial violation, and one hundred dollars (\$100) for
25 each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation;
- 26 c. Penalties under California Labor Code section 210 in addition to, and
27 entirely independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in the
28 California Labor Code in the amount of a hundred dollars (\$100) for each

1 aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation, and two
2 hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for
3 each subsequent violation; and

4 d. Any and all additional penalties and sums as provided by the California
5 Labor Code and/or other statutes.

6 143. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699(i), civil penalties recovered by
7 aggrieved employees shall be distributed as follows: seventy-five percent (75%) to the Labor
8 and Workforce Development Agency for the enforcement of labor laws and education of
9 employers and employees about their rights and responsibilities and twenty-five percent (25%)
10 to the aggrieved employees.

11 144. Further, Plaintiffs are entitled to seek and recover reasonable attorneys' fees and
12 costs pursuant to California Labor Code sections 210, 218.5 and 2699 and any other applicable
13 statute.

14
15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

16 Plaintiffs, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly
17 situated, and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the California Private
18 Attorney General Act, request a trial by jury.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general
21 public similarly situated, and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the
22 California Private Attorney General Act, pray for relief and judgment against Defendants,
23 jointly and severally, as follows:

24 **Class Certification**

- 25 1. That this action be certified as a class action;
26 2. That Plaintiffs be appointed as the representatives of the Class;
27 3. That counsel for Plaintiffs be appointed as Class Counsel; and
28 4. That Defendants provide to Class Counsel immediately the names and most

1 current/last known contact information (address, e-mail and telephone numbers) of all class
2 members.

3 **As to the First Cause of Action**

4 5. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
5 Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay
6 all overtime wages due to Plaintiffs and the other class members;

7 6. For general unpaid wages at overtime wage rates and such general and special
8 damages as may be appropriate;

9 7. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid overtime compensation commencing
10 from the date such amounts were due;

11 8. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
12 California Labor Code section 1194; and

13 9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

14 **As to the Second Cause of Action**

15 10. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California
16 Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to
17 provide all meal periods (including second meal periods) to Plaintiffs and the other class
18 members;

19 11. That the Court make an award to Plaintiffs and the other class members of one
20 (1) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a meal
21 period was not provided;

22 12. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to
23 proof;

24 13. For premium wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(c);

25 14. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts
26 were due;

27 15. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

28 16. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Third Cause of Action

17. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code section 226.7 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to provide all rest periods to Plaintiffs and the other class members;

18. That the Court make an award to Plaintiffs and the other class members of one (1) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a rest period was not provided;

19. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

20. For premium wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(c);

21. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were due; and

22. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Fourth Cause of Action

23. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197, and 1197.1 by willfully failing to pay minimum wages to Plaintiffs and the other class members;

24. For general unpaid wages and such general and special damages as may be appropriate;

25. For statutory wage penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 1197.1 for Plaintiffs and the other class members in the amount as may be established according to proof at trial;

26. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation from the date such amounts were due;

27. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194(a);

28. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2; and

29. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

31. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

33. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation from the date such amounts were due; and

As to the Sixth Cause of Action

36. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

38. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

39. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the record keeping provisions of California Labor Code section 226(a) and applicable IWC Wage Orders as to Plaintiffs and the other class members, and willfully failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements thereto;

41. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(e);

42. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(h); and

43. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Eighth Cause of Action

44. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code section 1174(d) by willfully failing to keep accurate and complete payroll records for Plaintiffs and the other class members as required by California Labor Code section 1174(d);

45. For actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

46. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 1174.5; and

47. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Ninth Cause of Action

48. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 by willfully failing to reimburse Plaintiffs and the other class members for all necessary business-related expenses as required by California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802;

49. For actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;

50. For the imposition of civil penalties and/or statutory penalties;

51. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

52. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

As to the Tenth Cause of Action

53. That the Court decree, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. by failing to provide Plaintiffs and the other class members all overtime compensation due to them, failing to provide all meal and rest periods to Plaintiffs and the other class members, failing to pay at least minimum wages to Plaintiffs and the other class members, failing to pay Plaintiffs' and the other class members' wages timely as required by California Labor Code section 201, 202 and 204 and by violating California Labor Code sections 226(a), 1174(d), 2800 and 2802.

1 54. For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiffs and all the other class members and
2 all pre-judgment interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;

3 55. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all
4 funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by
5 Defendants as a result of violation of California Business and Professions Code sections
6 17200, et seq.;

7 56. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
8 California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;

9 57. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to
10 California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.; and

11 58. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

12 **As to the Eleventh Cause of Action**

13 59. For civil penalties and wages pursuant to California Labor Code sections
14 2699(a), (f) and (g) and 558 plus costs and attorneys' fees for violation of California Labor
15 Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1174(d), 1194, 1197, 1197.1,
16 1198, 2800 and 2802; and

17 60. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and
18 appropriate.

19 Dated: November 13, 2024

SWEENEY LAW, PC

21 By: 
22 Charles T. Sweeny
23 Counsel for Plaintiffs