

SHIRIAN LAW, P.C.

Joshua Shirian (Cal. Bar No. 341909)
josh@shirianlaw.com
11110 Ohio Avenue, Suite 106
Los Angeles, California 90025
Tel: (310) 907-5000
Fax: (310) 988-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CARLOS IRAN TORRES OREJEL and
on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated
and aggrieved

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF MERCED**

CARLOS IRAN TORRES OREJEL, an
individual and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOUSEKEEPING SUPPORT SERVICES,
LLC, a California limited liability company;
and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 24CV-03318

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS AND
REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT FOR:**

1. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGES;
2. FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES;
3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS;
4. FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST PERIODS;
5. WAITING TIME PENALTIES;
6. WAGE STATEMENT VIOLATIONS;
7. FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY WAGES;
8. FAILURE TO INDEMNIFY;
9. UNFAIR COMPETITION; and
10. CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT (2004) FOR VIOLATIONS OF LABOR CODE SECTIONS 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, AND 2699, *et seq.*

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

[Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$35,000.00]

1 Plaintiff Carlos Iran Torres Orejel, on behalf of Plaintiff and all others similarly situated and
2 aggrieved, alleges as follows:

3 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

4 **INTRODUCTION**

5 1. This is a Class Action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382, against
6 Housekeeping Support Services, LLC, and any of its respective subsidiaries or affiliated companies
7 within the State of California (“Housekeeping” and collectively with DOES 1 through 100, as
8 further defined below, “Defendants”) on behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former non-
9 exempt California employees employed by or formerly employed by Defendants (“Class
10 Members”).

11 2. This is also a representative action, pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys
12 General Act of 2004, codified at Labor Code section 2698, et seq. (“PAGA”), against Defendants,
13 and any of their respective subsidiaries or affiliated companies within the State of California, as a
14 proxy of the Labor and Workforce Development Agency of the State of California (“LWDA”), on
15 behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants working
16 within the Civil Penalty Period, as further defined herein, and, as it pertains to the alleged claims
17 for violations of the Labor Code, including but not limited to, failure to comply with Labor Code
18 section 2810.5, Labor Code section 203, Labor Code section 226, Labor Code section 245, et seq.,
19 Labor Code section 2802, on behalf of all employees of Defendants working within the Civil Penalty
20 Period (collectively, “Aggrieved Employees”).

21 **PARTIES**

22 **A. Plaintiff**

23 3. Plaintiff Carlos Iran Torres Orejel is a resident of the State of California. At all
24 relevant times herein, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants
25 employed Plaintiff as a non-exempt employee, with duties that included, but were not limited to,
26 mopping, cleaning, and. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Plaintiff
27 Carlos Iran Torres Orejel worked for Defendants from approximately January of 2024 through
28 approximately April of 2024.

1 **B. Defendants**

2 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that defendant
3 Housekeeping is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited liability company organized and
4 existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and doing business in the County
5 of Merced, State of California. At all relevant times herein, Housekeeping employed Plaintiff and
6 similarly situated employees within the State of California

7 5. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise,
8 of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff,
9 who therefore sues defendants by such fictitious names under Code of Civil Procedure section 474.
10 Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of the defendants designated
11 herein as DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts referred to herein.
12 Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of
13 the defendants designated hereinafter as DOES when such identities become known. Plaintiff is
14 informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each defendant acted in all respects pertinent
15 to this action, as the agent of the other defendant(s), carried out a joint scheme, business plan or
16 policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each defendant are legally attributable to the
17 other defendants. Whenever, heretofore or hereinafter, reference is made to “Defendants,” it shall
18 include Housekeeping, and any of their parent, subsidiary, or affiliated companies within the State
19 of California, as well as DOES 1 through 100 identified herein.

20 **JOINT LIABILITY ALLEGATIONS**

21 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that all the times
22 mentioned herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, principal, employee, employer,
23 representative, joint venture or co-conspirator of each of the other defendants, either actually or
24 ostensibly, and in doing the things alleged herein acted within the course and scope of such agency,
25 employment, joint venture, and conspiracy.

26 7. All of the acts and conduct described herein of each and every corporate defendant
27 was duly authorized, ordered, and directed by the respective and collective defendant corporate
28 employers, and the officers and management-level employees of said corporate employers. In

1 addition thereto, said corporate employers participated in the aforementioned acts and conduct of
2 their said employees, agents, and representatives, and each of them; and upon completion of the
3 aforesaid acts and conduct of said corporate employees, agents, and representatives, the defendant
4 corporation respectively and collectively ratified, accepted the benefits of, condoned, lauded,
5 acquiesced, authorized, and otherwise approved of each and all of the said acts and conduct of the
6 aforementioned corporate employees, agents and representatives.

7 8. As a result of the aforementioned facts, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based
8 thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are joint employers.

9 **JURISDICTION**

10 9. Jurisdiction exists in the Superior Court of the State of California pursuant to Code
11 of Civil Procedure section 410.10.

12 10. Venue is proper in Merced County, California pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
13 sections 392, et seq. because, among other things, Merced County is where the causes of action
14 complained of herein arose; the county in which the employment relationship began; the county in
15 which performance of the employment contract, or part of it, between Plaintiff and Defendants was
16 due to be performed; the county in which the employment contract, or part of it, between Plaintiff
17 and Defendants was actually performed; and the county in which Defendants, or some of them,
18 reside. Moreover, the unlawful acts alleged herein have a direct effect on Plaintiff and Class
19 Members in Merced County, and because Defendants employ numerous Class Members in Merced
20 County.

21 11. Plaintiff is an “aggrieved employee” under PAGA, as Plaintiff was employed by
22 Defendants during the applicable statutory period and suffered one or more of the Labor Code
23 violations set forth herein. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks to recover, on behalf of himself and all other
24 aggrieved current and former employees of Defendants, the civil penalties provided by PAGA plus
25 reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs, as the term “civil penalty” is defined under *ZB N.A. v. Superior*
26 *Court* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 175.

27 ///

28 ///

13. During the period beginning one (1) year preceding the provision of notice to the LWDA regarding the herein-described Labor Code violations (the “Civil Penalty Period”), Defendants violated, inter alia, Labor Code sections 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 256, 432, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198.5, 2802, and 2810.3, and 2810.5, among others.

9 14. Labor Code section 2699, subdivisions (a) and (g), authorizes aggrieved employees
10 such as Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other aggrieved current and former employees within
11 the statutory period, to bring a civil action to recover civil penalties pursuant to the procedures
12 specified in Labor Code section 2699.3.

13 15. On or around April 30, 2024, Plaintiff provided written notice under Labor Code
14 section 2699.3 online and by certified mail, with return receipt requested, of Defendants' violation
15 of various, including the herein-described, provisions of the Labor Code, to the Labor and
16 Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), as well as by certified mail, with return receipt
17 requested to Defendants, and each of them.

18 16. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subdivision (a)(2)(A), the LWDA did not
19 provide notice of its intention to investigate Defendants' alleged violations within sixty-five (65)
20 calendar days of the April 30, 2024 postmarked date of the herein-described notice sent by Plaintiff
21 to the LWDA and Defendants.

22 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

17. For at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the present, Defendants have, at times, failed to pay overtime wages to Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, in violation of California state wage and hour laws as a result of, without limitation, Plaintiff and Class Members working over eight (8) hours per day, forty (40) hours per week, and seven consecutive work days in a work week without being properly compensated for hours worked in excess of (8) hours per day in a work day, forty (40) hours per week in a work week, and/or hours

1 worked on the seventh consecutive work day in a work week by, among other things, failing to
2 accurately track and/or pay for all minutes actually worked at the proper overtime rate of pay;
3 engaging, suffering, or permitting employees to work off the clock, including, without limitation,
4 by requiring Plaintiff and Class Members: to come early to work and leave late work without being
5 able to clock in for all that time, to complete pre-shift tasks before clocking in and post-shift tasks
6 after clocking out, to make phone calls off the clock, and to drive off the clock; and editing and/or
7 manipulation of time entries to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members. In addition,
8 Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

9 18. For at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this Action and continuing to the
10 present, Defendants have, at times, failed to pay minimum wages to Plaintiff and Class Members,
11 or some of them, in violation of California state wage and hour laws as a result of, among other
12 things, at times, failing to accurately track and/or pay for all hours actually worked at their regular
13 rate of pay that is above the minimum wage; engaging, suffering, or permitting employees to work
14 off the clock, including, without limitation, by requiring Plaintiff and Class Members: to come early
15 to work and leave late work without being able to clock in for all that time, to complete pre-shift
16 tasks before clocking in and post-shift tasks after clocking out, to make phone calls off the clock,
17 and to drive off the clock; and editing and/or manipulation of time entries to show less hours than
18 actually worked to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members. In addition, Defendants would
19 also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

20 19. For at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this Action and continuing to the
21 present, Defendants have, at times, failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them,
22 full, timely thirty (30) minute uninterrupted meal period for days on which they worked more than
23 five (5) hours in a work day and a second thirty (30) minute uninterrupted meal period for days on
24 which they worked in excess of ten (10) hours in a work day, and failing to provide compensation
25 for such unprovided meal periods as required by California wage and hour laws. In addition,
26 Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

27 ///

28 ///

1 20. For at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the
2 present, Defendants have, at times, failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff and Class Members, or
3 some of them, to take rest periods of at least ten (10) minutes per four (4) hours worked or major
4 fraction thereof and failed to provide compensation for such unprovided rest periods as required by
5 California wage and hour laws. In addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties
6 pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

7 21. For at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the
8 present, Defendants have, at times, failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, the
9 full amount of their wages owed to them upon termination and/or resignation as required by Labor
10 Code sections 201 and 202, including for, without limitation, failing to pay overtime wages,
11 minimum wages, and premium wages. In addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil
12 penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

13 22. For at least one (1) year prior to the filing of this Action and continuing to the present,
14 Defendants have, at times, failed to furnish Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, with
15 itemized wage statements that accurately reflect gross wages earned; total hours worked; net wages
16 earned; all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of
17 hours worked at each hourly rate; and other such information as required by Labor Code section
18 226, subdivision (a). As a result thereof, Defendants have further failed to furnish employees with
19 an accurate calculation of gross and gross wages earned, as well as gross and net wages paid. In
20 addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 226.3,
21 558 and 2699.

22 23. For at least one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the present,
23 Defendants have, at times, failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, the full
24 amount of their wages for labor performed in a timely fashion as required under Labor Code section
25 204. In addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections
26 558 and 2699.

27 ///

28 ///

1 24. For at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the
2 present, Defendants have, at times, failed to indemnify Class Members, or some of them, for the
3 costs incurred in: mileage and/or gas costs incurred in driving personal vehicles for work-related
4 purposes; using cellular phones for work-related purposes; and purchasing tools necessary to
5 perform work duties. In addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties pursuant to
6 Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

7 25. For at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the
8 present, Defendants have had a consistent policy of failing to provide Plaintiffs and similarly
9 situated employees or former employees within the State of California with the rights provided to
10 them under the Healthy Workplace Healthy Families Act of 2014, codified at Labor Code section
11 245, *et seq.*

12 26. Plaintiff, on their own behalf and on behalf of Class Members, brings this action
13 pursuant to, including but not limited to, Labor Code sections 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7,
14 245, *et seq.*, 510, 512, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 2802, and California Code of Regulations, Title 8, section
15 11040, seeking overtime wages, minimum wages, payment of premium wages for missed meal and
16 rest periods, failure to pay timely wages, waiting time penalties, wage statement penalties, failure
17 to indemnify work-related expenses, other such provisions of California law, and reasonable
18 attorneys' fees and costs.

19 27. Plaintiff, on Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of Class Members, pursuant to
20 Business and Professions Code sections 17200 through 17208, also seeks (an) injunction(s)
21 prohibiting Defendants from further violating the Labor Code and requiring the establishment of
22 appropriate and effective means to prevent further violations, as well as all monies owed but
23 withheld and retained by Defendants to which Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled, as well as
24 restitution of amounts owed.

25 28. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Defendants have had a policy or practice of
26 failing to comply with the notice requirements of Labor Code section 2810.5 (i.e., the Wage Theft
27 Protection Act of 2011) by, among other things, failing to provide Plaintiff and other Aggrieved
28 Employees with the rates of pay and overtime rates of pay applicable to their employment;

1 allowances claimed as part of the minimum wage; the regular payday designated by Defendants; the
2 name, address, and telephone number of the workers' compensation insurance carrier; information
3 regarding paid sick leave; and other pertinent information required to be disclosed by Defendants
4 under Labor Code section 2810.5. In addition, Defendants would also be liable for civil penalties
5 pursuant to Labor Code sections 558 and 2699.

6 29. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and
7 other Aggrieved Employees with the amount of paid sick leave required to be provided pursuant to
8 California law (including, without limitation Labor Code section 245, et seq.), and also did not
9 permit its use upon request as contemplated under California laws, to the detriment of Plaintiff and
10 all other Aggrieved Employees.

11 30. Plaintiff, in Plaintiff's representative capacity, seek civil penalties under Labor Code
12 sections 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and 2699 for the herein-described acts, which violate the
13 California Labor Code as described above, including on behalf of Plaintiff and other Aggrieved
14 Employees pursuant to PAGA.

15 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

16 31. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of Plaintiff and Class Members as a class action
17 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of all current
18 and former non-exempt employees of Defendants within the State of California at any time
19 commencing four (4) years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's complaint up until the time that notice
20 of the class action is provided to the class (collectively referred to as "Class Members").

21 32. Plaintiff reserves the right under California Rule of Court rule 3.765, subdivision (b)
22 to amend or modify the class description with greater specificity, further divide the defined class
23 into subclasses, and to further specify or limit the issues for which certification is sought.

24 33. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under
25 the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 382 because there is a well-defined community
26 of interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A. Numerosity

34. The potential Class Members as defined are so numerous that joinder of all the members of the Class is impracticable. While the precise number of Class Members has not been determined yet, Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are over seventy-five (75) Class Members employed by Defendants within the State of California.

35. Accounting for employee turnover during the relevant periods necessarily increases this number. Plaintiff alleges Defendants’ employment records would provide information as to the number and location of all Class Members. Joinder of all members of the proposed Class is not practicable.

B. Commonality

36. There are questions of law and fact common to Class Members. These common questions include, but are not limited to:

- A. Did Defendants violate Labor Code sections 510 and 1194 by failing to pay all hours worked at a proper overtime rate of pay?
- B. Did Defendants violate Labor Code sections 510, 1194 and 1197 by failing to pay for all other time worked at the employee’s regular rate of pay and a rate of pay that is greater than the applicable minimum wage?
- C. Did Defendants violate Labor Code section 512 by not authorizing or permitting Class Members to take compliant meal periods?
- D. Did Defendants violate Labor Code section 226.7 by not providing Class Members with additional wages for missed or interrupted meal periods?
- E. Did Defendants violate applicable Wage Orders by not authorizing or permitting Class Members to take compliant rest periods?
- F. Did Defendants violate Labor Code section 226.7 by not providing Class Members with additional wages for missed rest periods?
- G. Did Defendants violate Labor Code sections 201 and 202 by failing to pay Class Members upon termination or resignation all wages earned?

///

1 H. Are Defendants liable to Class Members for waiting time penalties under Labor Code
2 section 203?

3 I. Did Defendants violate Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a) by not furnishing
4 Class Members with accurate wage statements?

5 J. Did Defendants fail to pay Class Members in a timely fashion as required under
6 Labor Code section 204?

7 K. Did Defendants fail to indemnify Class Members for all necessary expenditures or
8 losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or by obedience
9 to the directions of Defendants as required under Labor Code section 2802?

10 L. Did Defendants violate the Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code
11 section 17200, *et seq.*, by their unlawful practices as alleged herein?

12 M. Are Class Members entitled to restitution of wages under Business and Professions
13 Code section 17203?

14 N. Are Class Members entitled to costs and attorneys' fees?

15 O. Are Class Members entitled to interest?

16 **C. Typicality**

17 37. The claims of Plaintiff herein alleged are typical of those claims which could be
18 alleged by any Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought
19 by each Class Member in separate actions. Plaintiff and Class Members sustained injuries and
20 damages arising out of and caused by Defendants' common course of conduct in violation of laws
21 and regulations that have the force and effect of law and statutes as alleged herein.

22 **D. Adequacy of Representation**

23 38. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of Class
24 Members. Counsel who represents Plaintiff is competent and experienced in litigating wage and
25 hour class actions.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

39. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all Class Members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. Class Members, as further described therein, have been damaged and are entitled to recovery by reason of Defendants' policies and/or practices that have resulted in the violation of the Labor Code at times, as set out herein.

8 40. Class action treatment will allow Class Members to litigate their claims in a manner
9 that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system. Plaintiff is unaware of
10 any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would
11 preclude its maintenance as a class action.

13 (Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – Against All Defendants)

41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

16 42. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former
17 employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code sections 510, 1194 and 1199, as well as applicable
18 Wage Orders.

43. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Labor Code section 510 was in effect and provided: “(a) Eight hours of labor constitutes a day’s work. Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of forty hours in any one workweek . . . shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee.”

23 44. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Labor Code section 510 further provided that
24 “[a]ny work in excess of 12 hours in one day shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice
25 the regular rate of pay for an employee. In addition, any work in excess of eight hours on any
26 seventh day of a workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than twice the regular rate of
27 pay.”

28 |||

13 46. Accordingly, by requiring Plaintiff and Class Members to, at times, work greater
14 than eight (8) hours per workday, forty (40) hours per workweek, and/or seven (7) straight workdays
15 without properly compensating overtime wages at the proper overtime rate of pay, Defendants, on
16 occasion, willfully violated the provisions of the Labor Code, among others, sections 510, 1194, and
17 applicable IWC Wage Orders, and California law.

18 47. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and Class Members have
19 been deprived of overtime wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery,
20 plus interest and penalties thereon, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to Labor Code section 1194
21 and 1199, Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287.

23 (Failure to Pay Minimum Wages – Against All Defendants)

48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

49. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code sections 1197, 1199 and applicable Wage Orders.

13

51. For four (4) years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Defendants failed, at times, to accurately track and/or pay for all hours actually worked at their regular rate of pay that is above the minimum wage; engaged, suffered, or permitted employees to work off the clock, including, without limitation, by requiring Plaintiff and Class Members: to come early to work and leave late work without being able to clock in for all that time, to complete pre-shift tasks before clocking in and post-shift tasks after clocking out, to make phone calls off the clock, and to drive off the clock; and editing and/or manipulation of time entries to show less hours than actually worked to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members.

52. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid minimum wages for all hours worked or otherwise due.

53. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 218.6, 1194, 1194.2, Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover the full amount of unpaid minimum wages, interest and penalties thereon, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

20 (Failure to Provide Meal Periods – Against All Defendants)

54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

55. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code section 512 and applicable Wage Orders.

25 56. Pursuant to Labor Code section 512 and applicable Wage Orders, no employer shall
26 employ an employee for a work period of more than five (5) hours without a timely meal break of
27 not less than thirty (30) minutes in which the employee is relieved of all of his or her duties.
28 Furthermore, no employer shall employ an employee for a work period of more than ten (10) hours

1 per day without providing the employee with a second timely meal period of not less than thirty (30)
2 minutes in which the employee is relieved of all of his or her duties.

3 57. Pursuant to Labor Code section 226.7, if an employer fails to provide an employee
4 with a meal period as provided in the applicable Wage Order of the Industrial Welfare Commission,
5 the employer shall pay the employee one (1) additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate
6 of compensation for each workday that the meal period is not provided.

7 58. For four (4) years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the
8 present, Plaintiff and Class Members were, at times, not provided complete, timely 30-minute, duty-
9 free uninterrupted meal periods every five hours of work without waiving the right to take them, as
10 permitted. Moreover, at times, Defendants failed to provide one (1) additional hour of pay at the
11 Class Member's regular rate of compensation on the occasions that Class Members were not
12 provided compliant meal periods.

13 59. By their failure to provide Plaintiff and Class Members compliant meal periods as
14 contemplated by Labor Code section 512, among other California authorities, and failing, at times,
15 to provide compensation for such unprovided meal periods, as alleged above, Defendants willfully
16 violated the provisions of Labor Code section 512 and applicable Wage Orders.

17 60. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have
18 suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid additional pay
19 owed for missed, untimely, interrupted, incomplete and/or on-duty meal periods.

20 61. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover the full amount of their unpaid
21 additional pay for unprovided compliant meal periods, in amounts to be determined at trial, plus
22 interest and penalties thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs, under Labor Code sections 226 and 226.7,
23 Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Provide Rest Periods – Against All Defendants)

62. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

63. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by applicable Wage Orders.

64. California law and applicable Wage Orders require that employers “authorize and permit” employees to take ten (10) minute rest periods in about the middle of each four (4) hour work period “or major fraction thereof.” Accordingly, employees who work shifts of three and-a-half (3 ½) to six (6) hours must be provided ten (10) minutes of paid rest period, employees who work shifts of more than six (6) and up to ten (10) hours must be provided with twenty (20) minutes of paid rest period, and employees who work shifts of more than ten (10) hours must be provided thirty (30) minutes of paid rest period.

65. Pursuant to Labor Code section 226.7, if an employer fails to provide an employee with a meal period or rest period as provided in the applicable Wage Order of the Industrial Welfare Commission, the employer shall pay the employee one (1) additional hour of pay at the employee’s regular rate of compensation for each work day that the rest period is not provided.

66. For four (4) years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Plaintiff and Class Members were, at times, not authorized or permitted to take complete, timely 10-minute, duty-free uninterrupted rest periods every four (4) hours of work or major fraction thereof. Moreover, at times, Defendants failed to provide one (1) additional hour of pay at the Class Member’s regular rate of compensation on the occasions that Class Members were not authorized or permitted to take compliant rest periods.

67. By their failure, at times, to authorize and permit Plaintiff and Class Members to take rest periods contemplated by California law, and one (1) additional hour of pay at the employee’s regular rate of compensation for such unprovided rest periods, as alleged above, Defendants willfully violated the provisions of Labor Code section 226.7 and applicable Wage Orders.

///

4 69. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover the full amount of their unpaid
5 additional pay for unprovided compliant rest periods, in amounts to be determined at trial, plus
6 interest and penalties thereon, attorneys' fees, and costs, under Labor Code sections 226 and 226.7,
7 Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287.

(Failure to Pay All Wages Due Upon Termination – Against All Defendants)

70. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

71. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code sections 201, 202 and 203, as well as applicable Wage Orders.

72. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 201 and 202, Plaintiff and Class Members were entitled upon termination to timely payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to termination. Discharged Class Members were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to discharge immediately upon termination. Class Members who resigned were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to resignation within 72 hours after giving notice of resignation or, if they gave 72 hours previous notice, they were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid at the time of resignation.

73. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in the three (3) years before the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Defendants, due to the failure, at times, to provide overtime wages mentioned above, failed to pay Plaintiff and Class Members all wages earned prior to resignation or termination in accordance with Labor Code sections 201 or 202.

27 |||

28 |||

75. Pursuant to Labor Code section 203, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to waiting time penalties from the date their earned and unpaid wages were due, upon termination or resignation, until paid, up to a maximum of thirty (30) days.

76. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages in an amount subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid for all wages earned prior to termination or resignation.

14 77. Pursuant to Labor Code section 203 and 218.6, Code of Civil Procedure sections
15 1021.5 and 1032, and Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover
16 waiting time penalties, interest, and their costs of suit, as well.

18 (Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements – Against All Defendants)

78. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

79. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code section 226, as well as applicable Wage Orders.

23 80. Pursuant to Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a), Plaintiff and Class Members
24 were entitled to receive, semi-monthly or at the time of each payment of wages, an accurate itemized
25 statement that accurately reflects, among other things, gross wages earned; total hours worked; net
26 wages earned; and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding
27 number of hours worked at each hourly rate, among other things.

18

1 81. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in the one (1) year
2 before the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Defendants failed to comply
3 with Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a) by adopting policies and practices that resulted in their
4 failure, at times, to furnish Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate itemized statements that
5 accurately reflect, among other things, gross wages earned; total hours worked; net wages earned;
6 and all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours
7 worked at each hourly rate, among other things.

8 82. Defendants' failure to, at times, provide Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate
9 wage statements was knowing, intentional, and willful. Defendants had the ability to provide
10 Plaintiff and the other Class Members with accurate wage statements, but, at times, willfully
11 provided wage statements that Defendants knew were not accurate.

12 83. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have
13 suffered injury. The absence of accurate information on Class Members' wage statements at times
14 has delayed timely challenge to Defendants' unlawful pay practices; requires discovery and
15 mathematical computations to determine the amount of wages owed; causes difficulty and expense
16 in attempting to reconstruct time and pay records; and led to submission of inaccurate information
17 about wages and amounts deducted from wages to state and federal governmental agencies, among
18 other things.

19 84. Pursuant to Labor Code section 226, subdivision (e), Plaintiff and Class Members
20 are entitled to recover \$50 for the initial pay period during the period in which violation of Labor
21 Code section 226 occurred and \$100 for each violation of Labor Code section 226 in a subsequent
22 pay period, not to exceed an aggregate \$4,000.00 per employee.

23 85. Pursuant to Labor Code sections 226, subdivisions (e) and (g), Code of Civil
24 Procedure section 1032, Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to
25 recover the full amount of penalties due under Labor Code section 226, subdivision (e), reasonable
26 attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.

27 ///

28 ///

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Timely Pay Wages During Employment – Against All Defendants)

86. Plaintiff realleges each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and incorporate each by reference as though fully set forth hereat.

87. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code section 204 and applicable Wage Orders.

88. Labor Code section 204 provides that “[l]abor performed between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month shall be paid for between the 16th and 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and labor performed between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, shall be paid for between the 1st and 10th day of the following month.”

89. Labor Code section 210, subdivision (a) states that “[i]n addition to, and entirely independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in this article, every person who fails to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections 201.3, 204, 204b, 204.1, 204.2, 205, 205.5, and 1197.5, shall be subject to a civil penalty as follows: (1) For any initial violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee” and “(2) For each subsequent violation, or any willful or intentional violation, two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld.”

90. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in the one (1) year before the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the present, Defendants employed policies and practices that resulted in, at times, not paying Plaintiff and Class Members in accordance with Labor Code section 204.

91. Pursuant to Labor Code section 210, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover penalties for Defendants’ violations of Labor Code section 204, in the amount of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each initial violation per Class Member, and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each subsequent violation in connection with each payment that was made in violation of Labor Code section 204 per Class Member, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld.

///

1 92. Pursuant to Labor Code section 218.6, Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and
2 1032, and Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recovery of penalties,
3 interest, and their costs of suit, as well.

4 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **(Violation of Labor Code § 2802 – Against All Defendants)**

6 93. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in
7 the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

8 94. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and Class Members were employees or former
9 employees of Defendants covered by Labor Code section 2802 and applicable Wage Orders.

10 95. Labor Code section 2802, subdivision (a) provides that “an employer shall indemnify
11 his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct
12 consequence of the discharge of his or her duties . . .”

13 96. For three (3) years prior to the filing of the Complaint in this Action through the
14 present, Defendants required Plaintiff and Class Members, or some of them, to incur, at times,
15 necessary expenditures or losses in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or at the
16 obedience to the directions of Defendants that included, without limitation: mileage and/or gas costs
17 incurred in driving personal vehicles for work-related purposes; using cellular phones for work-
18 related purposes; and purchasing tools necessary to perform work duties.

19 97. During that time period, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges
20 that Defendants failed and refused, and still fail and refuse, at times, to reimburse Plaintiff and
21 Class Members for those losses and/or expenditures.

22 98. As a result of Defendants’ unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have
23 suffered damages in an amount subject to proof, to the extent they were not reimbursed for the
24 herein-described losses and/or expenditures.

25 99. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2802, Code of Civil Procedure sections 1021.5 and
26 1032, and Civil Code section 3287, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to recover
27 reimbursement for their herein-described losses and/or expenditures, reasonable attorneys’ fees and
28 costs of suit.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition – Against All Defendants)

100. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth hereat.

101. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the unlawful conduct of Defendants alleged herein constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the unlawful conduct of Defendants alleged in the preceding paragraphs, for at least four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and continuing to the present, Defendants have had a consistent policy of failing to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated employees or former employees within the State of California with the rights provided to them under the Healthy Workplace Healthy Families Act of 2014, codified at Labor Code section 245, *et seq.* Due to their unlawful business practices in violation of the Labor Code, Defendants have gained a competitive advantage over other comparable companies doing business in the State of California that comply with their obligations to compensate employees in accordance with the Labor Code.

102. As a result of Defendants' unfair competition as alleged herein, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property.

103. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to (an) injunction(s) prohibiting Defendants from further violating the Labor Code and requiring the establishment of appropriate and effective means to prevent further violations, as well as restitution of all wages and other monies owed to them under the Labor Code, including interest thereon, in which they had a property interest and which Defendants nevertheless failed to pay them and instead withheld and retained for themselves. Restitution of the money owed to Plaintiff and Class Members is necessary to prevent Defendants from becoming unjustly enriched by their failure to comply with the Labor Code.

104. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to costs of suit under Code of Civil Procedure section 1032 and interest under Civil Code section 3287.

///

(Civil Penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act (2004) – Against All Defendants)

Civil Penalties Under Labor Code § 210

107. At all relevant times herein, Labor Code section 210, subdivision (a) states and stated that “[i]n addition to, and entirely independent and apart from, any other penalty provided in this article, every person who fails to pay the wages of each employee as provided in Sections 201.3, 204, 204b, 204.1, 205, 205.5, and 1197.5, shall be subject to a civil penalty as follows: (1) For any initial violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each failure to pay each employee” and “(2) For each subsequent violation, or any willful or intentional violation, two hundred dollars (\$200) for each failure to pay each employee, plus 25 percent of the amount unlawfully withheld.”

///

///

Civil Penalties Under Labor Code § 226.3

109. Defendants had and have a policy or practice of failing to comply with Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a) by intentionally failing to furnish Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees with itemized wage statements that accurately reflect gross wages earned; total hours worked; net wages earned; the name and address of each employer with whom they have been placed to work; all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate; the legal name of the employer and/or the name and address of the legal entity securing the employer's services if the employer is a farm labor contractor; and other such information as required by Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a) and/or Labor Code section 226.2 to the extent it does not include for piece-rate work the information required therein including, without limitation, the quantity, rate and units worked per piece, as well as separately itemized nonproductive time and rest and recovery periods

110. Labor Code section 226.3 states that "[a]ny employer who violates subdivision (a) of Section 226 shall be subject to a civil penalty in the amount of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) per employee per violation in an initial citation and one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per employee for each violation in a subsequent citation, for which the employer fails to provide the employee a wage deduction statement or fails to keep the records required in subdivision (a) of Section 226."

111. Labor Code section 226.3 further provides that "[t]he civil penalties provided for in this section are in addition to any other penalty provided by law."

112. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants had and have a policy or practice of failing to furnish non-exempt employees, including, without limitation, Plaintiff, itemized wage statements that accurately reflect: reflect gross wages earned; total hours worked; net wages earned; all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate; and other such information as required by Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a).

113. Pursuant to Labor Code section 226.3, Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover civil penalties for Defendants' violation of Labor Code section 226, subdivision (a) in the amount of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay period

1 for the initial violation, and one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay
2 period for each subsequent violation.

3 Violation of Labor Code § 558

4 114. Pursuant to Labor Code section 558, subdivision (a): “Any employer or other person
5 acting on behalf of an employer who violates, or causes to be violated . . . any provision regulating
6 hours and days of work in any of the Industrial Welfare Commission” shall be subject to a civil
7 penalty as follows:

8 (1) For any initial violation, fifty dollars (\$50) for each underpaid employee and
9 for each pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount sufficient to
10 recover underpaid wages;

11 (2) For each subsequent violation, one hundred dollars (\$100) for each underpaid
12 employee for each pay period for which the employee was underpaid in addition to an amount
13 sufficient to recover underpaid wages;

14 (3) Wages recovered pursuant to this section shall be paid to the affected
15 employee.

16 115. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon allege, that Defendants, and
17 each of them, violated, or caused to be violated, the Labor Code sections described herein, including
18 causing Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees not to: be paid with the rates of pay and overtime
19 rates of pay applicable to their employment, allowances claimed as part of the minimum wage, the
20 regular payday designated by Employer, the name of the employer, including any “doing business
21 as” names used, the name, address and telephone number of the workers’ compensation insurance
22 carrier, information regarding paid sick leave, and other pertinent information.

23 116. As a direct and proximate result of the herein-described Labor Code violations,
24 pursuant to Labor Code section 558, Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees are entitled to recover
25 civil penalties for Defendants’ herein-described Labor Code violations in the amount fifty dollars
26 (\$50) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay period for the initial violation, and one hundred dollars
27 (\$100) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay period for each subsequent violation.

28 ///

Violation of Labor Code § 1174.5

117. At all times mentioned herein, Labor Code section 1174, subdivision (b) has required every person employing labor in California to “[a]llow any member of the commission or the employees of the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement free access to the place of business or employment of the person to secure any information or make any investigation that they are authorized by this chapter to ascertain or make. The commission may inspect or make excerpts, relating to the employment of employees, from the books, reports, contracts, payrolls, documents, or papers of the person.”

118. At all times mentioned herein, Labor Code section 1174, subdivision (c) has required every person employing labor in California to “[k]eep a record showing the names and addresses of all employees employed and the ages of all minors.”

119. At all times mentioned herein, Labor Code section 1174, subdivision (d) has required every person employing labor in California to “[k]eep, at a central location in the state or at the plants or establishments at which employees are employed, payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to, and the number of piece-rate units earned by and applicable piece rate paid to, employees employed at the respective plants or establishments. These records shall be kept in accordance with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case, shall be kept on file for not less than three years. An employer shall not prohibit an employee from maintaining a personal record of hours worked, or, if paid on a piece-rate basis, piece-rate units earned.”

120. Pursuant to Labor Code section 1174.5, “[a]ny person employing labor who willfully fails to maintain the records required by subdivision (c) of [Labor Code] Section 1174 or accurate and complete records required by subdivision (d) of [Labor Code] Section 1174, or to allow any member of the commission or employees of the division to inspect records pursuant to subdivision (b) of [Labor Code] Section 1174, shall be subject to a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500).

121. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants have willfully failed keep adequate or accurate time records including wage statements and similar payroll documents under Labor Code section 226, documents signed to obtain or hold employment

1 under Labor Code section 432, personnel records under Labor Code section 1198.5, and time records
2 under Labor Code section 1174.

3 122. As a direct and proximate result of the herein-described Labor Code violations,
4 pursuant to Labor Code section 1174.5, Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees are entitled to
5 recover civil penalties for Defendants' herein-described Labor Code violations in the amount of five
6 hundred dollars (\$500) per violation per Aggrieved Employee.

7 Violation of Labor Code § 1197.1

8 123. Pursuant to Labor Code section 1197.1, subdivision (a): "Any employer or other
9 person acting either individually or as an officer, agent, or employee of another person, who pays
10 or causes to be paid to any employee a wage less than the minimum fixed by an applicable state or
11 local law, or by an order of the commission shall be subject to a civil penalty, restitution of wages,
12 liquidated damages payable to the employee, and any applicable penalties imposed pursuant to
13 Section 203 as follows:

14 A. For any initial violation that is intentionally committed, one hundred dollars
15 (\$100) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee is underpaid. This
16 amount shall be in addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages, liquidated damages
17 pursuant to Section 1194.2, and any applicable penalties imposed pursuant to Section 203.

18 B. For each subsequent violation for the same specific offense, two hundred fifty
19 dollars (\$250) for each underpaid employee for each pay period for which the employee is underpaid
20 regardless of whether the initial violation is intentionally committed. This amount shall be in
21 addition to an amount sufficient to recover underpaid wages, liquidated damages pursuant to Section
22 1194.2, and any applicable penalties imposed pursuant to Section 203.

23 C. Wages, liquidated damages, and any applicable penalties imposed pursuant
24 to Section 203, recovered pursuant to this section shall be paid to the affected employee."

25 124. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants caused
26 Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees not to be paid minimum wages as a result of Defendants, without
27 limitation, routinely failing to accurately track and/or pay for all hours actually worked; detrimental
28 rounding or manipulation of time entries; paying straight pay instead of overtime or otherwise

1 failing to pay overtime hours at the proper overtime rate of pay; engaging, suffering, or permitting
2 employees to work off the clock, entitling Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees to actual and
3 liquidated damages.

4 125. As a direct and proximate result of the herein-described Labor Code violations,
5 pursuant to Labor Code section 1197.1, Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees are entitled to
6 recover civil penalties for Defendants' herein-described Labor Code violations in the amount one
7 hundred dollars (\$100) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay period for the initial violation, and
8 two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250) for each Aggrieved Employee per pay period for each
9 subsequent violation.

10 Civil Penalties Under Labor Code § 2699

11 126. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (a), notwithstanding any other
12 provision of law, any provision of the Labor Code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed
13 and collected by the LWDA or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies or
14 employees for a violation of the Labor Code may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil
15 action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former
16 employees pursuant to the procedures specified in Labor Code section 2699.3.

17 127. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Defendants, and
18 each of them, violated the Labor Code sections described in the preceding paragraphs.

19 128. As such, Plaintiff and aggrieved employees are entitled to injunctive relief, one
20 hundred dollars (\$100) for each Aggrieved Employee for each pay period in the PAGA Period, and
21 two hundred dollars (\$200) for each Aggrieved Employee for each pay period in the PAGA Period
22 to the extent Defendants' conduct is found to be malicious, fraudulent and/or oppressive.

23 129. Moreover, Plaintiff and other Aggrieved Employees within the State of California
24 whom he seeks to represent are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in
25 connection with their herein-described claims for civil penalties.

26 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

27 130. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action contained herein.

28 ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, on behalf of Plaintiff and Class Members, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. An order certifying this case as a Class Action;
- B. An Order appointing Plaintiff as Class representative and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as class counsel;
- C. Damages for all wages earned and owed, including minimum and overtime wages, under Labor Code sections 510, 1194, 1197 and 1199;
- D. Liquidated damages pursuant to Labor Code section 1194.2;
- E. Damages for unpaid premium wages from missed meal and rest periods under, among other Labor Code sections, 512, and 226.7;
- F. Penalties for inaccurate wage statements under Labor Code section 226, subdivision (e);
- G. Waiting time penalties under Labor Code section 203;
- H. Penalties to timely pay wages under Labor Code section 210;
- I. Damages under Labor Code sections 2802;
- J. An award of civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code sections 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and 2699;
- K. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Labor Code sections 210, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and 2699;
- L. Preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting Defendants from further violating the California Labor Code and requiring the establishment of appropriate and effective means to prevent future violations;
- M. Restitution of wages and benefits due which were acquired by means of any unfair business practice, according to proof;
- N. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- O. For attorneys’ fees in prosecuting this action;
- P. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- Q. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 4, 2024

SHIRIAN LAW, P.C.

BY: 
JOSHUA SHIRIAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff CARLOS IRAN TORRES
OREJEL on behalf of himself and all others similarly
situated and aggrieved

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 11110 Ohio Ave., Suite 106, Los Angeles, California 90025.

On October 4, 2024, and pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document(s) described as **FIRST AMENDED CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT** to be served by electronic transmission to the below referenced electronic e-mail addresses as follows:

JOHN F. MCINTYRE, JR.
jmcintyre@sheamcintyre.com
ROBERT NUDDLEMAN
robert@nuddleman.com
SHEA & MCINTYRE
2166 The Alameda
San Jose, CA 95126
P: 408-298-6611

Counsel for Defendant HOUSEKEEPING SUPPORT SERVICES, LLC

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on, October 4, 2024 at Los Angeles, California



Joshua Shirian