

on 4/7/2026 2:20 PM

EFS-020

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: _____ STATE BAR NO.: _____ NAME: Hector J. Rodriguez, Bar No. 305446; Travis M. Adams, Bar No. 303447 FIRM NAME: Macias Rodriguez Adams LLP STREET ADDRESS: 1550 The Alameda, Suite 332 CITY: San Jose STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 95126 TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 455-1243 FAX NO.: _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS: hector@mra-llp.com; travis@mra-llp.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff Jonathan Martinez		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara STREET ADDRESS: 161 North First Street MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North First Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME: Downtown Superior Court		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Jonathan Martinez DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Intapp, Inc. OTHER: _____		
PROPOSED ORDER (COVER SHEET)		
		CASE NUMBER: 24CV436820
		JUDICIAL OFFICER: Hon. Theodore C. Zayner
		DEPT: 19

NOTE: This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

1. Name of the party submitting the proposed order:
Plaintiff Jonathan Martinez

2. Title of the proposed order:
[Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release

3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:

- a. Description of proceeding: Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement
- b. Date and time: February 4, 2026
- c. Place: Santa Clara County Superior Court / Department 19

4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Rinaldo Tompkins
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

Rinaldo Tompkins
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

CASE NAME: Jonathan Martinez v. Intapp, Inc.	CASE NUMBER: 24CV436820
---	----------------------------

PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
PROPOSED ORDER

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**.
Rinaldo Tompkins

a. My residence or business address is (*specify*): 1550 The Alameda, Suite 332, San Jose, CA 95126

b. My electronic service address is (*specify*): rinaldo@mra-llp.com

2. I electronically served the *Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)* with a proposed order in PDF format attached, and a proposed order in an editable word-processing format as follows:

a. On (*name of person served*) (*If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.*):
Jon Yonemitsu; Sarah Boxer

b. To (*electronic service address of person served*): jyonemitsu@littler.com; sboxer@littler.com

c. On (*date*): April 7, 2026

☐ Electronic service of the *Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)* with the attached proposed order in PDF format and service of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format on additional persons are described in an attachment.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: April 7, 2026

Rinaldo Tompkins
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

► _____
Rinaldo Tompkins
(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

Filed
April 10, 2026
Clerk of the Court
Superior Court of CA
County of Santa Clara
24CV436820
By: CRoman



**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

JONATHAN MARTINEZ, an individual; and on
behalf of all others similarly situated and other
aggrieved employees,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTAPP, INC., a Delaware corporation; and
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 24CV436820

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT
AND RELEASE**

Date: February 4, 2026

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Department: 19

[Assigned to the Honorable Theodore C.
Zayner]

1 The Court has before it Plaintiff Jonathan Martinez's unopposed Motion for Preliminary
2 Approval of Proposed Class and PAGA Action Settlement. After reviewing the Motion for
3 Preliminary Approval and Joint Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release (the
4 "Settlement Agreement") filed with the Court, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby
5 finds, and orders as follows:

6 1. This Order incorporates by reference the Settlement Agreement and all defined terms
7 herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

8 2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the
9 Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, falls within the range of
10 reasonableness, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.

11 3. The Court conditionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class
12 ("Class"):

13 All individuals employed by Defendant in the State of California from April
14 26, 2020, to February 4, 2026.

15 4. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Class meets the requirements
16 for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (1) the Class is so
17 numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of
18 general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) the
19 claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class; (4) the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel
20 will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other
21 available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

22 5. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Jonathan Martinez as class
23 representative for the Class.

24 6. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Macias Law LLP and Macias
25 Rodriguez Adams LLP, as counsel for the Class.

26 7. The Court appoints Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement
27 Administrator.

28 8. The parties are ordered to carry out the settlement according to the terms of the

Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court adopts the following calendar of events for purposes of facilitating the Settlement and Notice to Class Members:

Within Ten (10) business days after the Court's entry of an Order of Preliminary Approval:	Defendant provides Settlement Class Member List to the Settlement Administrator.
Within Fourteen (14) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator's receipt of the Settlement Class Member List:	Settlement Administrator mails Notice and Request for Exclusion Forms ("Settlement Documents") to all Settlement Class Members.
Forty-Five (45) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator mails the Settlement Documents:	Postmark deadline for all Settlement Class Members to mail a written objection to the Settlement Administrator ("Objection Deadline").
Forty-Five (45) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator mails the Settlement Documents:	Postmark deadline for all Settlement Class Members to mail a Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator ("Exclusion Deadline").
Ten (10) business days after the Exclusion Deadline:	Settlement Administrator provides Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant with a complete list of Settlement Class Members who timely sent a Request for Exclusion.

The hearing on Plaintiff's motion for final approval of the class action settlement and for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and enhancement awards is to be held on August 12, 2026 at 1:30 p.m. in Department 19.

10. The Court approves, as to the form and content: (1) the Notice of Class Action Settlement (“Notice”), attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, which informs the members of the Class of the terms of the proposed Settlement, the preliminary approval of the Settlement, and the scheduling of the Final Fairness Hearing; and (2) the Request for Exclusion Form attached as Exhibit B to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice and Request for Exclusion Form meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

11. The Court preliminary approves Bay Area Legal Aid as the designated *cy pres* beneficiary.

12. The Notice is hereby found to be the best means practicable of providing notice under the circumstances, and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the class action, proposed settlement, and the final approval hearing to all persons affected by and/or authorized to participate in the settlement, in full compliance with due process and the notice requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 877.6.

13. All proceedings other than those necessary to carry out the Settlement of this Order are stayed pending the final fairness hearing.

IT IS HEREBY SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2026

[illegible]

HON. THEODORE C. ZAYNER
Santa Clara County Superior Court Judge