

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: Vartan Madoyan (SBN 279015); Lizeth Marin (SBN 360465) FIRM NAME: LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC STREET ADDRESS: 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900 CITY: Glendale STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 91203 TELEPHONE NO.: 818.265.1020 FAX NO.: 818.265.1021 EMAIL ADDRESS: vartan@calljustice.com; lizeth@calljustice.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs Gabriel Troche Maldonado, et al. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN STREET ADDRESS: 180 E. Weber Avenue MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Stockton 95202 BRANCH NAME: Stockton Courthouse	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Gabriel Troche Maldonado, et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amerigas Propane, inc.	
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER (Check one): ☒ UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$35,000) ☐ LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$35,000 or less)	CASE NUMBER: STK-CV-UOE-2023-0010754/Dept. 10D

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): August 20, 2025

2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

EXHIBIT 1 - ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD OF PAGA COUNSEL FEES PAYMENT, PAGA COUNSEL LITIGATION EXPENSES PAYMENT, PAGA REPRESENTATIVE GENERAL RELEASE FEES, AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES PAYMENT

EXHIBIT 2 - MINUTE ORDER DATED AUGUST 20, 2025 REGARDING MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT

Date: August 25, 2025

Vartan Madoyan

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ☒ ATTORNEY ☐ PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)



(SIGNATURE)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Gabriel Troche Maldonado, et al.
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amerigas Propane, inc.

CASE NUMBER:
 STK-CV-UOE-2023-0010754/Dept. 10D

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is *(specify)*:
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PROOF OF SERVICE

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and *(check one)*:
- a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
- b. ☐ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:
- a. on *(date)*:
- b. from *(city and state)*:

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

- a. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- c. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- b. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

- d. Name of person served:

Street address:

City:

State and zip code:

☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. *(You may use form POS-030(P).)*


5. Number of pages attached:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: August 25, 2025

Bianca Balentine

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)



(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

EXHIBIT 1

10-
0/20

AUG 20 2025

Filed
STEPHANIE BOHRER, CLERK
By 
DEPUTY

Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)
Vartan Madoyan (SBN 279015)
Lizeth Marin (SBN 360465)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
450 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 900
Glendale, California 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN**

GABRIEL TROCHE MALDONADO,
GREGORY A. SHERMAN, individually, and on
behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to
the California Private Attorneys General Act;

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMERIGAS PROPANE, INC., a California
limited liability company; and DOES 1 through
100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: STK-CV-UOE-2023-0010754

Honorable Barbara Kronlund
Department 10D

**REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER AND
JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF
PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT
(CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.)
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD
OF PAGA COUNSEL FEES PAYMENT, PAGA
COUNSEL LITIGATION EXPENSES
PAYMENT, PAGA REPRESENTATIVE
GENERAL RELEASE FEES, AND
ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES PAYMENT**

Date: August 20, 2025
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Department: 10D

Complaint Filed: October 10, 2023
FAC Filed: June 14, 2024
Trial Date: Vacated

**REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS
GENERAL ACT (CAL. LABOR CODE § 2698, ET SEQ.) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AWARD OF PAGA
COUNSEL FEES PAYMENT, PAGA COUNSEL LITIGATION EXPENSES PAYMENT, PAGA REPRESENTATIVE
GENERAL RELEASE FEES, AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES PAYMENT**

1 This matter has come before the Honorable Barbara Kronlund in Department 10D of the Superior
2 Court of the State of California, for the County of San Joaquin, located at 180 E. Weber Avenue, Stockton,
3 California 95202, on Plaintiffs Gabriel Troche Maldonado and Gregory A. Sherman's (together, the
4 "Plaintiffs") Motion for Approval of Private Attorneys General Act (Cal. Labor Code § 2698, *Et Seq.*)
5 Settlement Agreement and Award of PAGA Counsel Fees Payment, PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses
6 Payment, PAGA Representative General Release Fees, and Administration Expenses Payment ("Motion
7 for Approval of Settlement"). Lawyers for Justice, PC appeared for Plaintiffs and Munger, Tolles & Olson
8 LLP, appeared for Defendant AmeriGas Propane, Inc. ("Defendant").

9 On or around April 15, 2025, Plaintiffs and Defendant (together, the "Parties"), executed the
10 Stipulation of Settlement of Representative Claims Under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act
11 ("Settlement," "Settlement Agreement," or "Agreement").

12 The Court has reviewed and considered (1) the Motion for Approval of Settlement, (2) the
13 Declarations of PAGA Counsel Melissa LeBlanc-Mansell and Plaintiffs Gabriel Troche Maldonado and
14 Gregory A. Sherman, and (3) the Settlement Agreement.

15 Having duly considered the Parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

16 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:**

17 1. The Motion for Approval of Settlement is granted.
18 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning defined in the Settlement Agreement.
19 3. Unless otherwise specified, all citations and references to the Private Attorneys General Act
20 of 2004, California Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA") are to the version of the statute prior to the
21 recent amendment effective July 1, 2024; the amended statute does not apply to the above-captioned
22 action and the Settlement pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(v)(1), as amended, because the notice
23 to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") was filed prior to June 19, 2024.

24 4. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied the prerequisites under PAGA, including, and
25 not limited to, providing the LWDA and Defendant with notice of the specific provisions of the California
26 Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including, and not limited to, the facts and theories to support
27 the alleged violations, in conformity with California Labor Code § 2699.3(a).

28 ///

1 5. The Court also finds that the Settlement Agreement has been submitted to the LWDA in
2 conformity with California Labor Code § 2699(1)(2) and that the LWDA has not sought to intervene or
3 appear in the above-captioned action (the "Action").

4 6. The Settlement pertains to the following aggrieved employees:

5 All current and former non-exempt, hourly employees employed by Defendant in California
6 during the PAGA Period who held any of the following titles: ACE – Over the Road Driver,
7 Cynch Delivery Rep, Delivery Rep, Delivery Rep – ACE, Delivery Rep – Airborne, Delivery
8 Rep – Bulk, Delivery Rep – Cylinder, Delivery Representative, Service Technician, or (PTT)
9 Transport Driver ("Aggrieved Employees(s)").

10 7. The "PAGA Period" is the period from January 6, 2023 through July 31, 2025.¹

11 8. The Court finds that the Parties reached the Settlement as a result of arm's-length
12 negotiations.

13 9. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(1)(2), the Court has reviewed the sum allocated
14 for payment of penalties under PAGA ("PAGA Penalties"), and determines that it is fair, just, reasonable,
15 and adequate. The Court hereby approves the PAGA Penalties. The PAGA Penalties shall be the Gross
16 Settlement Amount (\$550,000.00) less the approved PAGA Counsel Fees Payment and PAGA Counsel
17 Litigation Expenses Payment to Lawyers for Justice, PC ("PAGA Counsel"), PAGA Representative
18 General Release Fees Payments to Plaintiffs, and Administration Expenses Payment to Rust Consulting
19 (the "Administrator"). Seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Penalties shall be distributed to the
20 LWDA ("LWDA PAGA Payment") and twenty-five percent (25%) of the PAGA Penalties shall be
21 distributed to the Aggrieved Employees ("Individual PAGA Payment(s)") or collectively the "Net
22 Settlement Amount") in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

23 10. The Court has considered the Settlement, and the monetary allocations provided thereby,
24 and finds that they are fair, just, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby directs the Administrator to
25 administer the Settlement and to make the payments as provided for by, and consistent with, this Order
26 and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

27 ¹ The PAGA Period is defined in the Settlement Agreement. This specified timeframe is pursuant to Defendant's election to
28 a shorter period of time pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 of the Settlement Agreement and Defendant's present estimate as
provided under the Declaration of Allen Ng in Support of Defendant's Non-Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Settlement
Approval. Nevertheless, within 14 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the
data necessary to confirm if, and to what extent, the Escalator Clause has been triggered, and must comply with all terms
within the Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to potentially filing a revised proposed order.

1 11. The Court approves the payment of \$192,500.00 to PAGA Counsel for attorneys' fees.
2 This amount shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with
3 this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

4 12. The Court approves the payment of \$21,249.71 to PAGA Counsel for reimbursement of
5 litigation costs and expenses incurred in the Action. This amount shall be paid from the Gross Settlement
6 Amount and shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement
7 Agreement.

8 13. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Gabriel Troche
9 Maldonado and in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Gregory A. Sherman for their PAGA
10 Representative General Release Fees. These amounts shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount
11 and shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

12 14. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$6,990.00 to Rust Consulting for the
13 Administration Expenses Payment. This amount shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount and
14 shall be disbursed in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

15 15. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in this Order and
16 Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

17 16. The Court further finds that notice of the Settlement need not be provided to the Aggrieved
18 Employees; however, the Court approves the Notice of Settlement, attached hereto as "EXHIBIT 1," and
19 the Administrator shall distribute the Notice of Settlement to the Aggrieved Employees at the same time
20 that it distributes payments to Aggrieved Employees for their share of the Net Settlement Amount (i.e.,
21 their share of 25% of the PAGA Penalties).

22 17. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant will provide
23 the Administrator with the Aggrieved Employee Data, in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the
24 Settlement Agreement.

25 18. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall deposit
26 \$550,000.00 into a qualified settlement account established, by the Administrator for administration of the
27 Settlement in accordance with this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

1 19. No later than seven (7) calendar days after the Administrator has received the Aggrieved
2 Employee Data and Defendant has fully funded the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator shall
3 distribute the LWDA PAGA Payment to the LWDA, Individual PAGA Payment checks and Notice of
4 Settlements to all Aggrieved Employees, the PAGA Representative General Release Fees to Plaintiffs, the
5 Administration Expenses Payment to itself, and only after the Individual PAGA Payments have been
6 mailed to all Aggrieved Employees, the PAGA Counsel Fees Payment to PAGA Counsel and the PAGA
7 Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, unless instructed otherwise by PAGA Counsel, as provided for by
8 this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

9 20. The Individual PAGA Payment checks issued to Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid
10 and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days, and thereafter, shall be canceled. Funds
11 associated with such canceled checks shall be transmitted by the Administrator to the California State
12 Controller's Office Unclaimed Property Division, in the name of each Aggrieved Employee whose check
13 is canceled and in the amount(s) of their respective Individual PAGA Payment(s).

14 21. Aggrieved Employees shall not have the right to opt out of or object to the Settlement. By
15 entry of this Order and Judgment, effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the Gross Settlement
16 Amount, and pursuant to the rights and obligations created by the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff, all of
17 the Aggrieved Employees, the State of California, and LWDA, will be deemed to fully release the
18 Released Parties from, and be forever barred from pursuing them for, the Released PAGA Claims, for the
19 duration of the PAGA Period.

20 22. "Released Parties" means Defendant, and its predecessors and successors, corporate
21 parents, corporate subsidiaries, and corporate affiliates (including, without limitation, UGI Corporation
22 and AmeriGas Propane, LP) and those entities' former and present directors, officers, executive and
23 management-level employees, managing agents, shareholders, owners, members, attorneys, consultants,
24 accountants, insurers, reinsurers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

25 23. "Released PAGA Claims" means and includes the following, for the duration of the PAGA
26 Period: (a) all claims for civil penalties or relief of any kind under PAGA that were alleged, or reasonably
27 could have been alleged based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint or PAGA Notices, including
28 without limitation all claims for or relating to (i) regular, overtime, and premium wages; (ii) failure to

1 compensate Aggrieved Employees for all hours worked; (iii) failure to pay the appropriate regular rate of
2 pay; (iv) failure to comply with payroll or wage record-keeping documentation or wage statement
3 itemization requirements; (v) failure to provide sufficient meal or rest periods or pay premiums thereof;
4 (vi) failure to timely pay wages at termination or otherwise; (vii) failure to furnish accurate wage
5 statements; (viii) failure to pay minimum wages; (ix) failure to reimburse business or other expenses and
6 costs; (x) failure to provide one day's rest in seven during each calendar month and (b) any claims that
7 could have been awarded pursuant to Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 216, 223, 225.5,
8 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 551, 552, 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1175, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198,
9 2699, 2800, and 2802.

10 24. No individualized notice of this Order and Judgment is required to be provided to the
11 Aggrieved Employees. This Order and Judgment shall be submitted to the LWDA through the online
12 system established for the filing of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code §
13 2699(l)(3).

14
15
16 Date: 8-20-25


Honorable Barbara Kronlund
Judge of the Superior Court

ESMERALDA ZENDEJAS

EXHIBIT 1

Mailing Date

Gabriel Troche Maldonado and Gregory A. Sherman v. AmeriGas Propane, Inc.,
San Joaquin Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2023-0010754

To **[MERGE NAME]**:

This notice relates to a court-approved settlement under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.* ("PAGA"), of the above-referenced lawsuit (the "Lawsuit"). You are entitled to the enclosed payment under that settlement.

Plaintiffs Gabriel Troche Maldonado and Gregory A. Sherman (collectively, "Plaintiffs") pursued the above-referenced lawsuit against Defendant AmeriGas Propane, Inc. ("AmeriGas" or "Defendant") by way of the First Amended Complaint filed in the Lawsuit on June 17, 2024 ("Operative Complaint"). The lawsuit asserted a claim for civil penalties under PAGA, for alleged violations of the California Labor Code. Prior to the commencement of the Lawsuit on October 10, 2023, Plaintiffs sent letters to Defendant and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency on August 3, 2023 and April 3, 2024, respectively, pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699.3, subd.(a) (collectively, "PAGA Notices").

Plaintiffs were employed in California as a PTI driver and service technician, respectively, and sought recovery of civil penalties on behalf the State of California with respect to themselves and other non-exempt employees of AmeriGas in California.

AmeriGas denies Plaintiffs' allegations and contends that it has at all times complied with all wage and hour requirements and properly compensated its employees. Further, the court overseeing the Lawsuit has not made any determination that the Plaintiffs' claims or allegations are valid. Nevertheless, AmeriGas highly values its current and former employees, and has chosen to resolve this matter instead of incurring further disruption and expense in this litigation. AmeriGas has not admitted to any liability by entering into the settlement.

On **[DATE]**, the San Joaquin County Superior Court approved the resolution of this matter and entered judgment based on the settlement. The settlement provides for individual payments to all "Covered Employees," defined as all non-exempt, hourly employees employed by Defendant in California between December 24, 2022 and July 31, 2025 who held any of the following titles: ACE – Over the Road Driver, Cynch Delivery Rep, Delivery Rep, Delivery Rep – ACE, Delivery Rep – Airborne, Delivery Rep – Bulk, Delivery Rep – Cylinder, Delivery Representative, Service Technician, or PTI (Transport) Driver. The payments are being made on a pro rata basis calculated according to the number of pay periods each individual worked for Defendant between December 24, 2022 and July 31, 2025 (the "PAGA Period").

You have been identified as an individual entitled to receive proceeds from the settlement as a Covered Employee.

The resolution and resulting judgment extinguish all claims for civil penalties under PAGA that the Plaintiffs, the Covered Employees, the State of California, and the Labor and Workforce

Development Agency may have arising from the allegations in the Lawsuit or that could have been asserted based on the facts alleged in the Lawsuit.

Upon the entry of judgment in accordance with the settlement agreement and Defendant's payment of the Gross Settlement Amount, Plaintiffs, all of the Covered Employees, the State of California, and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency will fully release the Released Parties and be forever barred from pursuing against them, for the duration of the PAGA Period: (a) all claims for civil penalties or relief of any kind under PAGA that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint or the PAGA Notices, including without limitation all claims for or relating to (i) regular, overtime, or premium wages; (ii) failure to compensate Covered Employees for all hours worked; (iii) failure to pay the appropriate regular rate of pay; (iv) failure to comply with payroll or wage record-keeping documentation or wage statement itemization requirements; (v) failure to provide sufficient meal and/or rest periods or pay premiums in lieu thereof; (vi) failure to timely pay wages due at termination or otherwise; (vii) failure to furnish accurate wage statements; (viii) failure to pay minimum wages; (ix) failure to reimburse business or other expenses and costs; (x) failure to provide one day's rest in seven during each calendar month and (b) any claims for PAGA penalties that could have been awarded pursuant to Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 216, 223, 225.5, 226(a), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 551, 552, 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1175, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2699, 2800, and 2802.

The "Released Parties" are Defendant and its predecessors and successors, corporate parents, corporate subsidiaries, and corporate affiliates (including without limitation UGI Corporation and AmeriGas Propane, LP), and all of its and those entities' former and present directors, officers, executive and management-level employees, managing agents, shareholders, owners, members, attorneys, consultants, accountants, insurers, reinsurers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

Your enclosed check is valid for one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of its issuance. After that date, it will be null and void, the check will be canceled, and the funds from the canceled check will be tendered to the State Controller's Office, Unclaimed Property Division in your name. Covered Employees who do not cash their checks will remain subject to the judgment and the release of PAGA claims described above.

AmeriGas encourages you to cash this check, and it will not take any adverse action against you for doing so.

If you have any questions regarding the settlement, please contact the Settlement Administrator at:

Rust Consulting
920 2nd Ave S
Suite 400
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 968-1752

EXHIBIT 2

Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin

MINUTE ORDER

Date: 08/20/2025 09:00 AM

Case Number: STK-CV-UOE-2023-0010754

**Gabriel Troche Maldonado et al. vs
Amerigas Propane, Inc., a Pennsylvania
corporation**

Event Type: Motion for Preliminary Approval
of Class Settlement

Department: 10D

Appearances: Presiding Judge: Esmeralda Zendejas. Also attending: thiedeman Court Clerk.

Telephonic appearance by Lizeth Marin counsel for Plaintiffs.

Telephonic appearance by Wendy Xiao counsel for Defendant.

All parties requested to appear today to address an updated proposed order that was submitted.

Counsel for Plaintiffs informs the Court of the changes in the updated proposed order and submits on the Tentative Ruling.

Counsel for Defendant has no objections to the updated proposed order and submits on the Tentative Ruling.

The Court affirms the tentative ruling as follows:

Plaintiffs Gabriel Troche Maldonado and Gregory A. Sherman filed a MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT SETTLEMENT AND AWARD OF PAGA COUNSEL LITIGATION EXPENSES PAYMENT, PAGA REPRESENTATIVE GENERAL RELEASE FEES, AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSES PAYMENT. Defendant AmeriGas Propane, Inc. filed a notice of non-opposition. Having read all the papers, the court issues the following tentative ruling:

The Motion is GRANTED.

Courts are required to review and approve any settlement of any civil action filed pursuant to PAGA. Lab. Code § 2699(I)(2). PAGA does not set forth standards for evaluating settlement and parties are not obligated to follow class action procedural rules in PAGA cases.

Based on the moving papers the court finds the settlement reached is reasonable and fair. The settlement is the result of arm's length negotiations. The parties evaluated the strength of Plaintiff's PAGA claim and complexities of further litigation favor the negotiated resolution. Plaintiff's enhancement award and counsel's fees and litigation expense payments are reasonable.

The Status Conference scheduled on November 17, 2025 is VACATED.

The Court will sign the Proposed Order submitted by the moving party.

Esmeralda Zendejas
Judge of the Superior Court of California

Plaintiff's Motion is granted.

The formal order was modified, signed, and filed this date.

The Further Status Conference set for November 17, 2025 at 8:45 AM is vacated.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900, Glendale, California 91203.

On August 25, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER** on interested parties in this action as follows:

Joseph D. Lee
Margaret Maraschino
Wendy Q. Xiao
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
Service Emails: joseph.lee@mto.com; margaret.maraschino@mto.com; wendy.xiao@mto.com

Attorneys for Defendant Amerigas Propane Inc.

[X] BY E-MAIL

The above-referenced document(s) was transmitted to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address or e-mail of record in this action. I did not receive, within reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency Web URL:
<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

[X] BY ONLINE SUBMISSION

The foregoing documents were transmitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency through the online system established for the submission of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code section 2699(l). I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

[X] STATE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on August 25, 2025, at Glendale, California.


Bianca Balentine