



MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200
Manhattan Beach, California 90266
www.maternlawgroup.com
Tel: (310) 531-1900 | Fax: (310) 531-1901

May 16, 2024

Via Online Submission

California Labor & Workforce
Development Agency
Attn. PAGA Administrator
1515 Clay Street, Ste. 801
Oakland, CA 94612

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Closet World
20470 Corsair Blvd,
Hayward, California 94545

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Closet Dimensions Inc.
dba Closet World
20470 Corsair Blvd,
Hayward, CA 94545

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Closet Dimensions, Inc.
Registered Agent:
CSC- Lawyers Incorporating
2065 N Broadway, Suite 202
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Closet Dimensions, Inc.
Closet World, Inc.
3860 Capitol Ave.
Whittier, California 90601

Via Certified U.S. Mail – Return

Receipt Requested

Closet World, Inc.
Registered Agent:
CSC- Lawyers Incorporating
2065 N Broadway, Suite 202
Walnut Creek, California 94596

**Re: Notice Pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699.3
Employee: Michael Iribe**

To Whom It May Concern:

This office represents Michael Iribe (“Mr. Iribe”), who was previously employed by Closet Dimensions, Inc. d/b/a Closet World, Inc. and other related entities (collectively, “Employers”). Pursuant to the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”), Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.*, this letter sets forth the specific provisions of the Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission (“IWC”) Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, which Mr. Iribe

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

May 16, 2024

Page 2 of 9

alleges Employers has violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations. Please be advised that this letter constitutes written notice required by Labor Code § 2699.3, subdivisions (a)(1)(A) and (c)(1)(A) and may lead to immediate action against Employers in a court of law and/or administrative proceedings, as well as the imposition of substantial penalties and other remedies against Employers. Enclosed please find a draft of Mr. Iribe's proposed complaint, which is incorporated by reference into this notice. Concurrently herewith, our office is also transmitting payment in the amount of \$75.00 for the requisite filing fee, pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3, subdivisions (a)(1)(B) and (c)(1)(B).

This letter also serves as notice of Mr. Iribe's demand for preservation and non-spoliation of evidence, requesting that all relevant documents and data be saved and that all electronic files and hard-copy documents that are related to Mr. Iribe's employment and potential claims must be preserved, even without a court order. Spoliation of evidence may result in legal claims for damages and monetary and evidentiary sanctions, including "adverse inference" jury instructions. Furthermore, intentional spoliation of evidence may carry criminal consequences pursuant to California Penal Code § 135.

We are investigating a potential class and representative action on behalf of Employers' current and former non-exempt employees in the State of California regarding, among other things, the following violations: failure to provide meal and rest periods to employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, §§ 11-12; failure to pay one additional hour of compensation at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a meal or rest period is not provided in violation of Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, §§ 11(B) and 12(B); failure to pay employees minimum wages for all hours worked in violation of Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 4; failure to pay employees overtime wages in violation of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 3; failure to pay employees all wages due to reporting time pay in violation of Labor Code §§ 218 & 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001; failure to timely pay employees all wages earned in violation of Labor Code § 204; willful failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203; failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements to employees in violation of Labor Code § 226; failure to maintain required records pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226, 1174 and 1174.5 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 7; and unlawful deductions and withholdings from employees' wages in violation of Labor Code §§ 221, 223 and 224.

The allegations made by Mr. Iribe on behalf of himself and all other similarly-situated current and former non-exempt employees of Employers in the State of California during the four years preceding the date of this notice are based on the following facts and theories: meal periods were less than thirty minutes, late (first meal periods starting after the fifth hour of work and/or second meal periods starting after the tenth hour), not given at all (including second meal periods after ten hours of work), or interrupted; rest periods were less than ten minutes, not provided, interrupted, and/or late; employees were not provided one hour of pay for each workday a meal period was not provided; employees were not provided one hour of pay for each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted; employees were not paid proper minimum and overtime

May 16, 2024

Page 3 of 9

wages for all hours worked as required by California law¹; employees were required to incur expenses in the discharge of their job duties for which they were not reimbursed; and employees were not provided with accurate, itemized wage statements showing gross wages earned, total hours worked by the employee, the net wages earned, all deductions, the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, or the hours worked at each rate of pay. Given the overtime, meal period and rest period violations and Employers' failure to compensate its employees fully, as set forth above, employees' wage statements were inaccurate and failed to comply with California law. In short, Employers' unlawful employment practices and policies have deprived their employees of earned wages and other compensation.

Failure to Provide Meal Periods

Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 11, an employer is required to provide meal periods to its employees. An employer must provide a meal period to any employee who works a shift of more than five (5) hours and a second meal period to any employee who works a shift of more than ten (10) hours. Furthermore, an employer must pay one extra hour of compensation for each workday a meal period is not provided.

If an employee is not relieved of all duty during a meal period, the meal period shall be considered an "on duty" meal period and counted as time worked. A paid "on duty" meal period is permitted only when 1) the nature of the work prevents an employee from being relieved of all duty and 2) the parties have agreed in writing to on duty meal periods.

Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees are non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 11. Employers failed to provide their employees timely and uninterrupted thirty-minute meal breaks. In fact, Mr. Iribe's and other aggrieved employees' meal periods were short (less than thirty minutes), late (first meal periods after the fifth hour of work and second meal periods after the tenth hour), interrupted and/or missed. Employers consistently failed to provide Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees meal breaks because Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees were given too much work to perform to take meal breaks.

Employers further violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001 by failing to compensate Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees who were not provided with meal periods in accordance with California law one additional hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday a meal period was not provided.

Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods

Pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 12, an employer is required to provide rest periods to its employees. An employer must provide a ten (10) minute rest period for every four (4) hours worked or major action thereof which insofar as

¹ "[T]he statement that defendant has not provided its employees with proper rest periods states both the facts and the theory." *Gutierrez v. California Commerce Club, Inc.* (2010) 187 Cal.App.4th 969, 979 n.5.

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

May 16, 2024

Page 4 of 9

practicable shall be in the middle of each work period. Furthermore, an employer must pay one extra hour of compensation for each workday a rest period is not authorized and permitted.

Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees were and are non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001. Employers failed to authorize and permit their employees to take required rest periods. Specifically, Employers maintained a policy or practice of not authorizing and permitting Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to take one 10-minute rest break for shifts 3.5-6.0 hours, a second rest break for shifts greater than 6 hours and less than or equal to 10 hours, and a third rest break for shifts in excess of 10 hours. Employers consistently failed to authorize and permit Mr. Iribe and other similarly-situated individuals to take rest breaks because Mr. Iribe and other similarly-situated individuals were given too much work to perform to take rest breaks. When Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees were able to take rest breaks, Employers failed to authorize and permit Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to take their rest breaks in the middle of each work period insofar as practicable, and the breaks were short (less than 10 minutes), late, or interrupted.

Employers further violated Labor Code § 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 12 by failing to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees one additional hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday a rest period was not authorized and permitted.

Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

Pursuant to Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in a payroll period is unlawful.

Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees are current and former non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 4. Employers failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Iribe and other similarly-situated individuals to work off-the-clock and failing to compensate them for this time; failing to compensate Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for all time they were subject to Employers' control, including during their meal and rest breaks; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work through their meal breaks but not compensating them for this time; illegally and inaccurately recording time worked by Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees; failing to properly maintain Mr. Iribe's and other aggrieved employees' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

Employers' conduct violates Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1197 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 4.

May 16, 2024

Page 5 of 9

Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 3, an employer must compensate its employees for all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive work day, with double time for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh day of any workweek, or after twelve (12) hours in any workday.

Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees are current and former non-exempt employees and are entitled to the protections of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001. Employers failed to compensate Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) times or double the regular rate of pay as provided by Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 3; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock; requiring, suffering or permitting Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work through meal periods but not compensating them for this time; illegally and inaccurately recording time worked by Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees; failing to properly maintain Mr. Iribe's and other aggrieved employees' records through falsifying hours worked; failing to provide accurate itemized statements to Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

In violation of California law, Employers has refused to perform their obligations to compensate Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for all wages earned and all hours worked. Employers' conduct violates Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 3.

Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Earned

Pursuant to Labor Code § 204, an employer must pay its employees at least twice a month for all wages earned during the preceding pay period. Labor Code § 204 provides that labor performed between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month shall be paid for between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and labor performed between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, shall be paid for between the 1st and 10th day of the following month. An employer using an alternate payday schedule must pay wages within seven calendar days of the end of the payroll period in which the wages were earned.

Employers failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees on their regularly scheduled payday for all work performed during the preceding pay period. Specifically, Employers required Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock without compensation and required Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work through required meal breaks without compensation. Additionally, Employers failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

May 16, 2024

Page 6 of 9

aggrieved employees premium wages owed for each workday a meal periods was not provided and each workday a rest period was not authorized and permitted.

Employers also failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for the overtime wages they earned in violation of Labor Code § 204. Labor Code § 204 requires an employer to pay overtime wages no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period following the payroll period in which the overtime wages were earned. Employers knew they were required to pay overtime wages, yet on many occasions failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees overtime wages on any payday.

Failure to Pay All Wages Due Upon Separation

Pursuant to Labor Code § 201, 202 and 203, an employer is required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee upon separation. Labor Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately. Pursuant to Labor Code § 202, an employer is required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in accordance with Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to thirty (30) days.

Employers willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees in accordance with Labor Code §§ 201 and 202. Because Employers required Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees to work off-the-clock without compensation and through required meal breaks without compensation and failed to pay Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees the premium wages for all meal periods which were not provided and all rest periods which were not authorized or permitted, Employers failed and continues to fail to pay the full earned and unpaid wages due to Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees upon separation.

Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements

Labor Code § 226 requires every employer to furnish each of its employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and only the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

May 16, 2024

Page 7 of 9

Employers failed to provide Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees with timely and accurate itemized wage statements in writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, all deductions made, net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, the name and address of the legal entity or entities employing Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of Labor Code § 226 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 7.

Specifically, Employers had knowledge it was not providing its employees with proper meal and rest breaks; nevertheless, Employers knowingly failed to include in the wage statements the extra hour of compensation owed for each workday a meal break was not provided and each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted. As a result, Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees lost wages. In addition, Employers had knowledge it was requiring employees to work off-the-clock and was not properly compensating its employees for all hours worked, yet Employers knowingly failed to include this time worked in the wage statements. As a result, Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees lost wages. Employers also did not properly calculate the regular rate of pay of Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees. As a result, Mr. Iribe's and other aggrieved employees' wage statements did not include all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate.

Failure to Maintain Required Records

Employers failed to maintain records as required under Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 7, including but not limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized statements.

Specifically, Employers had knowledge it was not providing its employees with proper meal and rest breaks; nevertheless, Employers knowingly failed to include in the wage statements the extra hour of compensation owed for each workday a meal break was not provided and each workday a rest break was not authorized and permitted. In addition, Employers had knowledge it was requiring employees to work off-the-clock and was not properly compensating its employees for all hours worked, yet Employers knowingly failed to include this time worked in the regular rate of pay of aggrieved employees.

Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties

Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer. Employers failed to indemnify Mr. Iribe and other aggrieved employees for all business expenses and/or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

May 16, 2024

Page 8 of 9

of Employers in violation of Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802, including but not limited to expenses for the use of specialty equipment, work boots, chemical resistant clothing, gas masks, donning and doffing, and other employment-related expenses.

This notice is hereby given to Employers and any and all related and/or alter ego companies, corporations, partnerships, and/or business entities, as well as against any and all officers, owners, directors, managers, managing agents, or entities who are or may be liable under California law for any of the violations alleged herein as to any locations or employees who worked at any time in the State of California.

This notice is made on behalf of all persons who are, were, or will be non-exempt employees of Employers, or any related or alter-ego company, corporation, partnership, and/or business entity at any time on or after a date four years prior to the date of this letter in the State of California.

This notice shall be construed as extending without limitation to any past, present, future, or continuing violation of the Labor Code, the applicable IWC Wage Order, or any applicable regulation which might be discovered as a result of a reasonable and diligent investigation made pursuant to this notice.

This notice shall further represent Mr. Iribe's reasonable attempt to settle his dispute with Employers prior to litigation. Pursuant to *Graham v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.*, 34 Cal. 4th 553 (2004), this notice serves to apprise Employers of Mr. Iribe's aforementioned grievances and the proposed remedies as detailed below, while affording Employers reasonable opportunity to meet Mr. Iribe's demands.

Demand is hereby made that Employer shall agree, in writing received at this office no later than 30 calendar days from the postmark date of this notice, as follows:

1. Employers shall pay Mr. Iribe and all other similarly-situated persons employed by Employers at any time during the past 48 months back pay and compensation for the above-referenced violations.
2. Employers shall comply with all California labor laws and ensure that its non-exempt employees are paid proper overtime compensation and given required meal and rest periods.
3. Employers shall conduct a survey or interview all current and former non-exempt employees in California during the past 48 months to obtain information from them regarding the number of meal breaks which were not provided, the number of rest breaks which were not authorized and permitted, and the number of employees who were required to pay for cell phones, uniforms, travel-related expenses or other expenditures in the discharge of their duties, with the investigation to be completed within 60 days.

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC

May 16, 2024

Page 9 of 9

4. Employers shall pay each employee one hour of pay for each workday he or she was not authorized and permitted one or more rest periods, as required by Labor Code § 226.7. Employers also shall pay each employee one hour of pay for each workday he or she was not provided one or more and meal periods, as required by Labor Code § 226.7.

5. Employers shall reimburse those employees who were forced to pay for any business expenses incurred for Lumber Liquidators' benefit, including but not limited to cell phone, uniform, and travel-related expenses.

6. Employers shall pay waiting time penalties, equal to thirty days of pay, to each former employee who was not paid all wages due as described herein.

7. Employers shall pay accrued interest to all employees at the rate of ten percent per annum for said unpaid wages.

8. Employers shall pay all penalties arising from the violations of the Labor Code and IWC Wage Order sections referenced above and pursuant to PAGA, Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*, including but not limited to penalties under Labor Code §§ 206.5, 210, 225.5, 226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1197.1, 1198, 1199 and 2699 and Wage Order Nos. 1-2001, 5-2001, and 16-2001, § 20.

If the Labor and Workforce Development Agency intends to investigate the allegations set forth herein, please notify this office of that decision by certified mail addressed to Matern Law Group, PC, 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200, Manhattan Beach, California 90266. Additionally, please advise us if the Agency or Employers require additional information regarding Mr. Iribe's complaints.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

MATERN LAW GROUP, PC



Sean P. Hardy, Esq.