

Kane Moon (SBN 249834)
 Allen Feghali (SBN 301080)
 Charlotte Mikat-Stevens (SBN 327047)
MOON LAW GROUP, PC
 725 S. Figueroa St., 31st Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90017
 Telephone: (213) 232-3128
 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125
 E-mail: kmoon@moonlawgroup.com
 E-mail: afeghali@moonlawgroup.com
 E-mail: cmikat-stevens@moonlawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sonia Yaneth Sabillon Rodriguez

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles
11/26/2025

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
 By: E. Muñoz Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

SONIA YANETH SABILLON RODRIGUEZ,
 individually, and on behalf of all others
 similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PASADENA CARE CENTER, LLC, a limited
 liability company; and DOES 1 through 10,
 inclusive,

Defendants

Case No.: 24STCV12436

REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

[Filed with (1) Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and
 Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement, (2)
 the Declaration of Kane Moon, (3) the
 Declaration of Plaintiff, (4) the Mullins
 Declaration re: Qualifications and Costs for
 Settlement Administration, and (5) [Proposed]
 PAGA Approval Order]

PAGA APPROVAL HEARING:

Date: November 26, 2025
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Dept.: SSC-10
 Judge: William F. Highberger

Action filed: May 16, 2024
 Trial date: Not set

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Sonia Yaneth Sabillon Rodriguez (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Pasadena Care Center, LLC (“Defendant”) (collectively, Plaintiff and Defendant are the “Parties”) have reached a settlement of the above-captioned matter (the “Action”). Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code sections 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”), Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement (“Plaintiff’s Motion”) seeking approval of the Parties’ PAGA Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement”). A copy of the Settlement was attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Kane Moon in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement.

The Court, having duly considered Plaintiff’s Motion, the supporting declarations and exhibits thereto, including the Settlement, all other papers filed and proceedings had herein, and having reviewed the record in this Action, and good cause appearing, **HEREBY ADJUDGES AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:**

1. The Court has granted Plaintiff’s Motion and the Parties’ Settlement by way of an Order Granting Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement (the “PAGA Approval Order”). The PAGA Approval Order is incorporated herein in its entirety.

2. The Court now enters Judgment. The Judgment set forth herein is intended to be a final disposition of the Action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. All capitalized terms used for purposes of this Judgment have the same meaning as given in the Settlement, unless otherwise indicated.

3. In accordance with and for the reasons stated in the PAGA Approval Order, this Judgment is entered whereby Plaintiff, PAGA Counsel, the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (the “LWDA”), and the Aggrieved Employees, shall take nothing from Defendant, except as expressly set forth in the PAGA Settlement.

4. Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies required to bring the PAGA claims alleged in this Action and is authorized to act as a private attorney general with respect to the PAGA claims being released under the terms of the Settlement. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(s)(2), the LWDA was given notice of the Settlement and the hearing on Plaintiff’s Motion, has not objected, and is therefore bound by this Judgment.

1 5. The “Aggrieved Employees” are:

2 All current and former non-exempt, hourly-paid employees who worked for
3 Defendant in California during the PAGA Period.

4 6. The “PAGA Period” means:

5 May 22, 2023, through July 22, 2025.

6 7. Releases of Claims:

7 a. Released PAGA Claims. Upon entry of the Court’s Approval Order and Defendant’s full
8 funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, Plaintiff will release, on behalf of herself, the
9 LWDA and all other Aggrieved Employees, all claims for civil penalties pursuant to the
10 California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Lab. Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, which
11 were alleged in Plaintiff’s PAGA Notice to the LWDA on May 12, 2024, and in
12 Plaintiff’s operative First Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint filed on
13 July 26, 2024 (“Released PAGA Claims”). The Released PAGA Claims include only
14 claims for PAGA penalties arising during the PAGA Period.

15 b. Released Parties. Defendant and each of its former and present directors, officers,
16 employees, shareholders, owners, members, attorneys, insurers, predecessors,
17 successors, assigns, subsidiaries, and affiliates.

18 8. All payments due by Defendant for settlement of this Action will be made pursuant to the
19 Settlement, the PAGA Approval Order, and this Judgment.

20 9. Each side is to bear its own costs and attorneys’ fees, except as provided by the Settlement,
21 the PAGA Approval Order, or this Judgment.

22 10. Nothing in the Settlement, the PAGA Approval Order, or this Judgment will be construed
23 as an admission or concession by any Party.

24 11. Based on entry of the PAGA Approval Order and this Judgment, and in accordance with the
25 Settlement, this entire Action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, including dismissal with prejudice of the
26 Released PAGA Claims on behalf of Plaintiff and all Aggrieved Employees.

27 ///

1 12. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and notwithstanding entry of
2 the PAGA Approval Order or this Judgment, the Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the Parties, this
3 Action, and this Settlement, including following any entry of Judgment for purposes of enforcement,
4 interpretation, settlement administration, and any post-Judgment issues that arise.

5 **JUDGMENT IS SO ENTERED.**

6 Dated: 11/26/25



Hon. William F. Highberger
Judge of the Superior Court, Los Angeles County