

D.LAW, INC.
Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363)
Emil@d.law
David Yeremian (SBN 226337)
d.yeremian@d.law
Alvin B. Lindsay (SBN 220236)
a.lindsay@d.law
Enoch J. Kim (SBN 261146)
e.kim@d.law
Marta Manus (SBN 260132)
m.manus@d.law
450 N Brand Blvd., Suite 840
Glendale, CA 91203
Telephone: (818) 962-6465
Facsimile: (818) 962-6469

Attorneys for Plaintiff Isaiah Morales,
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

Additional Counsel listed on Following Page

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

ISAIAH MORALES, an individual, JOSE
SMITH, an individual on behalf of
themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CERTUS PEST, INC., a Delaware stock
corporation; GOPHER PATROL, a
California business entity form unknown; and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 24STCV11212

CLASS ACTION

Assigned for All Purposes To:
Hon. Theresa M. Traber

**DECLARATION OF MEHRDAD
BOKHOUR IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: January 14, 2026
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Dept.: 1

Complaint Filed: May 3, 2024
FAC Filed: July 9, 2024
SAC Filed: May 8, 2025
Trial Date: None Set

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.

Mehrdad Bokhour (SBN 285256)

mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 920

Los Angeles, CA 90067-6011

Telephone: (310) 975-1493

Facsimile: (310) 675-0861

FALAKASSA LAW

Joshua S. Falakassa (SBN 295045)

josh@falakassalaw.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Ste. 920

Los Angeles, CA 90067-6011

Telephone: (818) 456-6168

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jose Smith,
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

DECLARATION OF MEHRDAD BOKHOUR

I, Mehrdad Bokhour, say and declare, as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice before all the Courts of the State of California, and I am the principal of Bokhour Law Group, P.C., and I serve as attorney of record, along with Joshua S. Falakassa, Esq., of Falakassa Law, P.C., and Emil Davtyan, Esq., David Yeremian, Esq., Alvin B. Lindsay, Enoch J. Kim Esq., Marta Manus Esq. of D.Law, Inc. on behalf of Plaintiffs Isaiah Morales and Jose Smith (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) and the Putative Class.

2. I am familiar with the file in this matter, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the following facts of my own personal knowledge.

3. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

4. I have been a member in good standing of the State Bar of California since 2012. I am admitted to practice before all California state courts and each of the United States District Courts in California. I have litigated class actions and representative actions under the Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) in courts throughout the state.

5. I graduated from the University of California, Berkeley, in 2007 and received my Juris Doctor from Loyola Law School, Los Angeles, in 2012. I began my legal career focusing on plaintiffs' side employment litigation, with an emphasis on disability discrimination and harassment matters under the Fair Employment and Housing Act. After several years practicing a broad range of civil litigation on behalf of both plaintiffs and defendants, I founded my own law firm in 2014. Since then, my practice has been exclusively dedicated to representing employees in actions against employers to recover unpaid wages and to vindicate claims of harassment, discrimination, and retaliation. The vast majority of my cases have been litigated as class actions, representative PAGA actions, or a combination of the two.

6. I am an active member of the California Employment Lawyers Association (“CELA”) and have attended numerous Continuing Legal Education programs focused on advocacy for employees. My firm possesses the experience, resources, and capacity necessary to provide adequate representation as Class Counsel to all aggrieved employees in this litigation. Currently, my firm is

1 serving as lead counsel in at least twenty-five wage-and-hour class actions and as co-counsel in at
2 least twenty additional wage-and-hour class actions.

3 7. I have been selected as a “Super Lawyer Rising Star” in the area of Employment
4 Litigation for the years 2017 through 2021. Between 2022 and 2025, I was selected as a “Super
5 Lawyer,” a distinction reserved for the top 2.5% of attorneys in my practice area. I have also been
6 recognized by TopVerdicts.com for securing several of the top wage-and-hour class action and PAGA
7 settlements in California.

8 8. My office has undertaken substantial efforts in investigating and prosecuting the
9 matter. These efforts have directly contributed to the creation of a significant settlement fund for
10 the benefit of the Class. Throughout the litigation, we have diligently advanced the claims,
11 including engaging in substantive and informed negotiations with Defense counsel. These
12 exchanges enabled both sides to assess the strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions,
13 ultimately facilitating a fair and reasonable resolution.

14 9. These efforts have directly contributed to the creation of a significant settlement
15 fund for the benefit of the Class. Throughout the litigation, we have diligently advanced the claims,
16 including engaging in substantive law and motion work against Defendant.

17 10. Given the inherent risks of continued litigation, including contested legal and factual
18 issues, Plaintiffs’ counsel believes the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The
19 Settlement was the product of arm’s-length negotiations between experienced counsel, facilitated
20 by an experienced mediator, and was reached following an appropriate and thorough exchange of
21 relevant information and documents. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court
22 grant preliminary approval of the Settlement, conditionally certify the proposed Class under Code
23 of Civil Procedure § 382 for settlement purposes, approve the proposed Class Notice, appoint
24 Plaintiffs’ counsel as Class Counsel, appoint the Settlement Administrator, and schedule a hearing
25 for final approval of the Settlement.

26 11. The Settlement is the result of extensive, arm’s-length negotiations between the
27 Parties, facilitated by an experienced mediator with expertise in wage-and-hour class actions. While
28 the negotiations were conducted cordially and professionally, they were also adversarial and entirely

1 non-collusive. The resolution achieved is the product of significant time, effort, and advocacy by both
2 Parties and their counsel.

3 12. Although Plaintiffs and Class Counsel were confident in the strength of their claims
4 and believed that class certification could be achieved, they also recognized the inherent risks, costs,
5 and complexity of continued litigation. These risks included the potential for adverse rulings at class
6 certification, summary judgment, trial, or on appeal, which could delay or eliminate recovery for
7 Class Members. Moreover, had Defendant prevailed on any of its asserted defenses, Class Members
8 may have received little or no monetary relief. In light of these considerations, Plaintiffs and Class
9 Counsel believe the Settlement represents an excellent result under the circumstances.

10 13. The Settlement provides for fair and proportionate payments to each Settlement Class
11 Member based on the number of Compensable Workweeks worked during the Class Period. As
12 described above, Plaintiffs and their counsel conducted a diligent investigation of the claims and
13 defenses on behalf of the Class, to maximize recovery while avoiding the additional expense and
14 delay associated with protracted litigation. After carefully evaluating the significant risks of
15 continued litigation and weighing them against the certainty and benefits of the proposed compromise
16 as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and their counsel believe the Settlement is fair,
17 reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class.

18 14. Plaintiffs' counsel brought substantial experience and judgment to bear in negotiating
19 the Settlement. As detailed herein, counsel engaged in comprehensive informal discovery,
20 investigation, sampling, and expert analysis to ensure informed decision-making throughout the
21 process. This included review and evaluation of a significant volume of documents, including
22 Defendant's policies and procedures, personnel files, wage statements, and time records. Counsel
23 also performed extensive analysis and extrapolation of the class-wide data produced by Defendant to
24 assess potential damages and evaluate the strength of the claims.

25 15. Based on our collective experience litigating wage-and-hour matters, including
26 complex class and PAGA actions, I believe that Class Counsel are all well qualified to evaluate the
27 merits of the class claims and the viability of Defendant's defenses in this action. In our professional
28 judgment, the recovery provided to each Class Member under the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and

adequate. Settlement at this stage serves the best interests of the Class by providing meaningful compensation while avoiding the delays and uncertainties of continued litigation, including class certification, trial, and potential appeals.

16. Plaintiffs' counsel, as well as Defendant's counsel, fully endorse the terms and conditions of the Settlement as set forth in the above-mentioned Settlement Agreement.

17. Of course, the Settlement Amount represents a compromise figure, taking into account the various risks surrounding class certification and the merits of Defendant's asserted defenses. Nevertheless, the Settlement provides fair and adequate payments to the Class Members, with no reversion to Defendant.

18. For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant preliminary approval of the Settlement as being fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Class, as well as preliminarily approve the requested attorneys' fees and costs and service award to the Class Representative.

19. The proposed Class Notice and proposed plan for the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the last known address of all Class Members complies with all the requirements of California Rule of Court 3.79(f) and 3.766(b). The contents of the proposed Class Notice include (1) the material terms of the Settlement; (2) the proposed attorneys' fees and costs and the Settlement Administration Costs; (3) details about the final fairness hearing and how Class Members may elect to exclude themselves or make an objection; and (4) how Class Members can obtain additional information.

20. In compliance with California Rules of Professional Conduct section 1.5.1, Plaintiffs entered into a Joint Prosecution Agreement with their counsel of record. The JPA governs the division of responsibilities and attorneys' fees among counsel, and Plaintiffs provided informed written consent after full disclosure.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct on this 7th day of October 2025, in Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Mehrdad Bokhour

By: _____

Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq.