

Harris Emran (SBN 329674)
Kaitlin Martinez (SBN 348006)
EMRAN LAW FIRM
415 Mission Street, 37th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Office: (415) 890-0041
Email: Harris@Emranlaw.com
Kaitlin@Emranlaw.com

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

JUL 18 2025

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
JESSICA CHAPDELAINE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JESSICA CHAPDELAINE, an individual, and
in her representative capacity on behalf of
herself, the State of California, and other
aggrieved employees,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOLD CLUB - S.F. LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company, S.A.W. ENTERTAINMENT
LIMITED, a California corporation, and DOES
1- 25,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-24-618349

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Date: July 18, 2025

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: 301

Hon: Christine Van Aken

Complaint Filed: September 23, 2024

The Court, having read and considered Plaintiff Jessica Chapdelaine's Unopposed Motion for Approval of California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support thereof, Declaration of Harris Emran, Esq. In Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Approval of California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), having come before the Court, on July 18, 2025, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Matter on the Law & Motion/Discovery Calendar for Friday July 18, 2025, line 8,

PLAINTIFF JESSICA CHAPDELAINE AN INDIVIDUAL, AND IN HER
REPRESENTATIVE CAPACITY ON BEHALF OF HERSELF, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

1 AND OTHER AGGRIEVED EMPLOYEES' Motion For Approval Of California Labor Code
2 Private Attorneys General Act Of 2004

3 The unopposed motion for approval of PAGA settlement is granted. The court has
4 considered the claims, the settlement amount, and the allocation of settlement proceeds among the
5 PAGA Aggrieved Employees, the LWDA, the Settlement Administrator, and counsel for attorney
6 fees and costs. The court finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, adequate, and reasonable.
7 The court further finds that Plaintiff has sufficiently demonstrated that notice of the Settlement has
8 been provided to the LWDA as required by Labor Code section 2699 subd. (l)(2). (See Emran Dec.
9 Ex. D.) The court will separately enter judgment in accordance with the settlement terms; Plaintiff
10 is directed to provide a proposed judgment. The court reserves jurisdiction to supervise the
11 implementation of the Settlement and sets this matter for status conference on January 8, 2026, at 9
12 a.m. in Department 301. Plaintiff or the settlement administrator must file a declaration at least five
13 court days in advance of the hearing reporting the number of uncashed checks following settlement
14 distribution and the total amount of funds transmitted to the California Controller's unclaimed
15 property fund.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: 7/18/2015


HONORABLE CHRISTINE VAN AKEN
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT