


1 **MELMED LAW GROUP P.C.**
Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218)
2 jm@melmedlaw.com
3 Laura Supanich (SBN 314805)
lms@melmedlaw.com
4 Trishta Dordi (SBN 351883)
td@melmedlaw.com
5 1801 Century Park East, Suite 850
Los Angeles, California 90067
6 Phone: (310) 824-3828
7 Fax: (310) 862-6851

FILED

DEC - 8 2025

Clerk of the Superior Court of California
County of Sonoma
By  Deputy Clerk

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees

9
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
FOR THE COUNTY OF SONOMA

11 MARIA E. DUMOL-HEBRON, an individual,
12 on behalf of herself and the State of California,
13 as a private attorney general,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16
17 ACCLAIM HOME CARE, INC., a California
corporation; ACCLAIM PROFESSIONAL
18 HEALTHCARE LLC, a California limited
19 liability company; and DOES 1 TO 50,

20 Defendants.
21

Case Number: 24CV04150

**~~Proposed~~ Order Granting Approval of
PAGA Settlement and Entering Judgment**

*Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and
Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement;
Declaration of Jonathan Melmed, Esq.; and
Declaration of Maria E. Dumol-Hebron]*

Date:

Time:

Dept.: 16

Judge: Hon. Patrick M. Broderick

Complaint Filed: July 15, 2024

FAC Filed: January 10, 2025

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court on DEC 03 2025, at 3:00 a.m./p.m., in Department 16 of the above-captioned Court on Plaintiff Maria E. Dumol-Hebron ("Plaintiff's") motion for approval of a settlement agreement pursuant to the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") (the "Settlement").

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by Plaintiff, and the evidence and argument received by the Court, the Court grants approval of the PAGA Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

1. Except as otherwise defined in this Order and Judgment ("Order"), all capitalized terms have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement that is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Order.

2. The California Labor Workforce and Development Agency ("LWDA") has been provided notice of the Settlement in accordance with PAGA, California Labor Code § 2699(l)(2), (l)(4). In particular, on the date Plaintiff filed the motion seeking approval of the Settlement with the Court, Plaintiff submitted to the LWDA a notice of the Settlement enclosing a copy of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff's notice of the Settlement to the LWDA complied with the notice requirements of PAGA.

3. The Court confirms approval of the Settlement as to the Aggrieved Employees and the State of California.

4. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over all Aggrieved Employees, and over those persons undertaking affirmative obligations under the Settlement.

5. The Settlement is approved under Labor Code § 2699(l)(2).

6. The Court finds that each Aggrieved Employee, in accordance with the Settlement, releases all Released Claims against the Released Parties.

7. The Gross Settlement Amount, Net Settlement Fund and the methodology used to calculate the LWDA Payment and PAGA Aggrieved Employee Payment (which is comprised of the Individual PAGA Payments), in accordance with the Settlement is approved.

8. The Court approves Apex Class Action Administration to act as the Settlement

1 Administrator. The Court awards the Settlement Administrator in this Action its actual fees and costs
2 in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement of \$4,000.00.

3 9. The Court approves Jonathan Melmed, Laura M. Supanich, and Trishta Dordi of
4 Melmed Law Group P.C. as PAGA Counsel.

5 10. The Court awards PAGA Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$33,000.00 and
6 actual litigation costs of \$10,799.13, both of which the Court finds to be fair and reasonable. The Court
7 authorizes the Settlement Administrator to make these payments, in accordance with the terms of the
8 Settlement Agreement.

9 11. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator to calculate the Net Settlement
10 Amount, inclusive of the LWDA Payment and Employee Payment, in accordance with the terms of the
11 Settlement Agreement.

12 12. The Court finds that the LWDA Payment of \$28,405.57 as its share of the settlement of
13 civil penalties is fair and reasonable. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator to pay the
14 LWDA Payment, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement as modified by the
15 Approval Order.

16 13. The Court finds that the Employee Payment of \$15,295.30 as the Aggrieved Employees'
17 share of the settlement of civil penalties is fair and reasonable. The Court authorizes the Settlement
18 Administrator to pay the Employee Payment, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement
19 Agreement.

20 14. The Court finds the Representative Plaintiff's Enhancement Award in the sum of
21 \$7,500.00 to the Representative Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. The Court authorizes the Settlement
22 Administrator to pay the Representative Plaintiff's Enhancement Award, in accordance with the terms
23 of the Settlement Agreement.

24 15. Checks for Individual PAGA Payments sent to Aggrieved Employees shall be valid for
25 180 days after issuance. Funds remaining from any checks for Individual PAGA Payments uncashed
26 after 180 days will be dispersed to State of California Unclaimed Wage or Property Fund, in accordance
27 with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

28 16. Upon completion of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator will provide written

1 certification of the completion to the Court and counsel for the Parties which shall be filed with the
2 Court on or before June 30, 2026

3 17. Without affecting the finality of this order and entry of judgment, the Court retains
4 exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this Action for purposes of supervising, administering,
5 implementing, and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement.


6 18. Nothing in this Order or the Settlement shall be construed as an admission or concession
7 by any party. The Settlement and this resulting Order and entry of judgment simply represent a
8 compromise of disputed allegations.

9 19. The Court enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement
10 Agreement and this Order.

11 20. Plaintiff is directed to submit a copy of this Order and entry of judgment to the LWDA
12 within 10 days of the date of this Order and entry of judgment.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

14
15 DATED: 12/8/2023




16 Hon. Patrick M. Broderick
17 Judge of the Superior Court, Sonoma
18 County
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL OR ELECTRONIC MAIL

I certify that I am an employee of the Superior Court of California, County of Sonoma, and that my business address is 3055 Cleveland Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403; that I am not a party to this cause; that I am over the age of 18 years; that I am readily familiar with this office's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; and that on the date shown below I placed a true copy of the following document: *Order Granting Approval of Paga Settlement* in an envelope, sealed and addressed as shown below, for collection and mailing at Santa Rosa, California, first class, postage fully prepaid, following ordinary business practices or I electronically served by email to the person and electronic service email address listed below.

Date: January 06, 2026

Robert Oliver,
Clerk of the Court



By: Griselda Zavala
Griselda Zavala, Deputy Clerk

ELECTRONIC SERVICE ADDRESSES

JONATHAN MELMED: jm@melmedlaw.com