

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **COUNTY OF ORANGE**

10 URIAH MOLLE, an individual; AMIN
11 ASVADI, an individual, both on behalf of all
12 similarly situated aggrieved employees,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CLEAR VISION FINANCIAL, LLC, d/b/a
16 LIBERTY1 FINANCIAL, a California limited
17 liability company; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

18 Defendants.

Case No: 30-2024-01433193-CU-OE-CXC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS BROUGHT
PURSUANT TO THE PRIVATE
ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT OF 2004,
AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES,
COSTS, ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT,
AND REIMBURSEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION
EXPENSES, AND DISMISSING ACTION
WITH PREJUDICE**

Date: February 19, 2026

Time: 2:00 P.M.

Judge: Layne H. Melzer

Dept.: CX-102

Reservation No.: 74671810

Complaint Filed: October 15, 2024

21
22 Plaintiffs Uriah Molle and Amin Asvadi's ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Order (1) granting
23 approval of the proposed settlement of claims brought pursuant to the Private Attorneys General
24 Act of 2004 (Cal. Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*) ("PAGA") set forth in the Parties' Settlement
25 Agreement and Release of Private Attorneys General Act Claim (the "Settlement" or "Settlement
26 Agreement"); (2) appointing Simpluris, Inc., ("Simpluris") as the Settlement Administrator and
27 approving payment of its fees not to exceed \$3,500 from the Gross Settlement Amount; (3) directing
28 disbursement of the Gross Settlement Amount pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement;

1 (4) directing payment of attorneys' fees and litigation costs from the Gross Settlement Amount not
2 to exceed \$61,663.50 to Counsel for Plaintiff; (5) directing enhancement payments from the Gross
3 Settlement Amount in the amount of \$5,000 each to Plaintiffs Molle and Asvadi; and (6) dismissing
4 this Action with prejudice, came on for hearing before this Court in Departement CX-102, the
5 Honorable Layne H. Melzer presiding, on February 19, 2026. Appearances were noted on the
6 record.

7 Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the Parties' papers and oral
8 argument, and good cause appearing,

9 **THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:**

10 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
11 Agreement, which is attached to the Declaration of John J. Weber as Exhibit C.

12 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the PAGA Members and the State of
13 California asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Action.

14 3. The Court finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith, that the
15 Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that the Settlement satisfies the standards and
16 applicable requirements for approval of PAGA representative actions under California law. The
17 Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of \$165,000 represents a fair compromise of the
18 claims alleged in the Action, considering the maximum potential value of the claims asserted and
19 the risks inherent in further litigation.

20 4. The Court has considered the authorities and evidence presented by Plaintiff and
21 based thereon hereby approves the following awards and deductions from the Gross Settlement
22 Amount:

23 a. To Plaintiff's Counsel, Keegan & Baker, LLP, an award of \$55,000 in
24 attorneys' fees and \$6,663.50 in reimbursement of litigation costs. The Court finds that
25 these amounts are fair and reasonable and commensurate with applicable California law.

26 b. To Plaintiffs Uriah Molle and Amin Asvadi, an enhancement payment of
27 \$5,000 each. The Court finds that both the time and effort that Plaintiffs Molle and Asvadi
28 spent on behalf of other PAGA Members and the State justifies this payment.

1 c. To Simpluris, Inc., the settlement administrator, a payment of up to \$3,500
2 to administer the Settlement through its conclusion, including disbursement of the Gross
3 Settlement Amount and all tax reporting associated therewith.

4 5. The amount remaining of the Gross Settlement Amount following these deductions
5 is the PAGA Penalty Fund of approximately \$89,836.50. The PAGA Penalty Fund shall be
6 distributed 65% (or \$58,393.73) to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency and 35% (or
7 \$31,442.78) to the PAGA Members.

8 6. The Court finds that the Settlement has been provided to the LWDA as required by
9 PAGA and Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (l)(2), in particular.

10 7. Upon entry of this Order, the Parties shall effectuate all terms of the Settlement
11 based on the provisions and timelines set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

12 8. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement pursuant to
13 Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 and as otherwise allowed by law.

14 9. This Action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: _____

Hon. Layne H. Melzer
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT