

02/24/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: C. Johnson Deputy

HAROUT MESSRELIAN (SBN: 272020)
MESSRELIAN LAW INC.
101 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 1450
Glendale, CA 91203
Telephone: (818) 484-6531
Facsimile: (818) 956-1983
E-mail: hm@messrelianlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

KRISTINE CHAIMUNGKLA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VISIONS TREATMENT CENTERS, LLC;
and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 24STCV31234

**AMENDED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER ON
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL
OF PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Action filed: 11/26/24

Date: 2/24/26

Time: 9:00am

Dept: 58

Hon. James I.

Montgomery, Jr.

Res. Id:

110687135588

Submitted Herewith Under Separate Cover:

1. Declaration of Harout Messrelian in Support of Unopposed Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement;
2. Plaintiff's Notice of Unopposed Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Under Labor Code section 2699(s)(2) of the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), the Motion of Plaintiff Kristine Chaimungkla ("Plaintiff") for Approval of Settlement under PAGA came before this Court on February 24, 2026 at 9:00am.

Plaintiff's Complaint seeks civil penalties on behalf of the State of California and similarly situated aggrieved employees (the "Aggrieved Employees"), as authorized by PAGA under Labor Code § 2699, in relation to alleged violations of the predicate statutes set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint and the letter to the Labor Workforce and Development Agency "LWDA") dated September 4, 2024 (the "LWDA Letter"), on behalf of all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants from September 4, 2023 through approval of the PAGA settlement, inclusive.

Under PAGA, in any action brought by an aggrieved employee, the Court "shall review and approve any settlement of any civil action filed pursuant to this part." Lab. Code § 2699(s)(2). Accordingly, the Court, having considered the proposed settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including the proposed PAGA penalties, under Labor Code § 2699(s)(2), having considered the papers filed in support of the proposed settlement and the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Court finds the instant Action presents a good faith dispute of the claims alleged;

2. The Court finds in favor of settlement approval, and therefore approves the Settlement of the above-captioned action, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and each of the releases and other terms, as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate;

3. The Court finds further that Plaintiff, acting on behalf of herself and the State of California, by operation of this Order and Judgment hereby releases and forever discharges Defendants and the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) from any and all PAGA Released Claims (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) including penalties asserted in

1 Plaintiff's Complaint, including any and all known and unknown claims for civil penalties under the
2 Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, that arise.

3 4. The Court finds further that the foregoing release shall be binding on Plaintiff and the
4 State of California and shall bar any claim under PAGA brought by any person, including the Aggrieved
5 Employees, on behalf of the State of California, as to the PAGA Released Claims and Released Parties.
6 Furthermore, no individualized notice of entry of this Approval Order is required to be provided to the
7 PAGA employees.

8 5. The Parties are directed to comply with all terms of the Settlement Agreement, and
9 Defendants are directed to make all payments required by the Settlement Agreement;

10 6. Under the Settlement Agreement, Defendant Visions Treatment Centers, LLC agrees
11 to pay an all-in gross amount of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$300,000.00) (the
12 "Gross Settlement Amount"). The Gross Settlement Amount is inclusive of payments to the LWDA
13 and Aggrieved Employees, Attorney's Fees and Costs, and PAGA settlement administration costs. No
14 portion of the Gross Settlement Amount will revert to Defendant. Plaintiff's Counsel requests an award
15 of attorney's fees of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00); costs of One
16 Thousand Nine-Hundred Ninety Eight Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$1,998.72). The settlement
17 administrator, Apex Class Action Administration, requests administration costs of Three Thousand
18 Four Hundred Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents (\$3,490.00). Defendant does not oppose these requests.
19 The Court finds the Gross Settlement Amount is fair, reasonable and adequate, and approves each of
20 these payments, and directs the Settlement Administrator to make the above payments to payments
21 from the Gross Settlement Amount as follows:

- 22
23
24 a. \$100,000.00 in attorney's fees to Messrelian Law Inc.;
25 b. \$1,998.72 in costs to Messrelian Law Inc.;
26 c. \$3,490.00 in administration costs to Apex
27 d. After deducting the foregoing payments, the estimated remainder of approximately
28 \$194,511.28 shall form the Net Settlement Amount ("NSA"). Pursuant to Labor Code section
2699(m), the NSA will then be distributed 65 percent (\$126,432.33) to the LWDA, and the

1 remaining 35 percent (\$68,078.95) to the Aggrieved Employees on a pro rata basis, as set forth
2 in the Settlement Agreement. The Court approves these payments, and directs the Settlement
3 Administrator to issue checks to the LWDA and Aggrieved Employees, along with the Notice
4 of PAGA Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and to otherwise carry out its
5 duties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

6 e. The Gross Settlement Amount shall be funded to Apex Class Action Administration
7 thirty days (30) after the "Effective Date" as defined in the Settlement Agreement, or June 1,
8 2026, whichever is later.

9 7. The entire Action is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to all parties and all
10 causes of action. The Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Action and the Parties
11 under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 for the purpose of supervising implementation, enforcement,
12 construction, administration, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.
13

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 02/24/2026

18 
19 _____
20 HON. JAMES I. MONTGOMERY, JR.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28