



Manny Starr | Managing Partner

manny@frontierlawcenter.com
(818) 924-4260
23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 1084
Calabasas, CA 91302



www.frontierlawcenter.com

October 17, 2024

Via Certified Mail

SF Markets, LLC
5455 East High Street
Suite 111
Phoenix, AZ 85054

Re: Karah Dixon v. SF Markets, LLC

To whom it may concern:

Enclosed herein is an official notice being sent to you and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency pursuant to Labor Code section 2699 (the "Private Attorneys General Act" or "PAGA"). This notice is being sent on behalf of our client, Karah Simone Dixon, the State of California, and all other similarly aggrieved employees employed by SF Markets, LLC.

This letter is a required step before filing a lawsuit to impose civil penalties against SF Markets, LLC for violations of the California Labor Code. We intend to file the lawsuit at the expiration of the statutory 65-day notice period, which expires on **December 23, 2024**.

SF Markets, LLC is encouraged to contact our office and engage in good faith discussions regarding the claims in this letter. PAGA provides opportunities to significantly reduce potential civil penalties imposed on an employer if that employer takes "all reasonable steps" to address the alleged violations. Additionally, PAGA provides opportunities to further reduce or even completely eliminate penalties imposed on an employer if that employer "cures" certain Labor Code violations. Engaging in meet-and-confer discussions with our office is a crucial step in demonstrating efforts to reduce penalties consistent with these provisions. Conversely, failure to take reasonable steps or engage in these discussions could lead to substantially increased penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B).

If you would like to discuss the enclosed, please have your legal department or outside counsel contact us. Given the time-sensitive nature of these matters, we strongly recommend initiating contact within **14 days of receiving this notice**. This will ensure sufficient time to address the alleged violations, attempt any cures, or demonstrate compliance efforts within the statutory timeframes.

Sincerely,

/s/

Manny Starr



Manny Starr | Managing Partner

manny@frontierlawcenter.com 
(818) 924-4260 
23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 1084 
Calabasas, CA 91302



www.frontierlawcenter.com

October 17, 2024

Via Online Filing

<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

Via Certified Mail

SF Markets, LLC
5455 East High Street
Suite 111
Phoenix, AZ 85054

Subject: *Karah Dixon v. SF Markets, LLC*

Dear Representative:

This office represents Karah Simone Dixon (“Plaintiff”) in connection with Labor Code violation claims related to their employment with SF Markets, LLC (“Employer”). Employer can be contacted at:

SF Markets, LLC
5455 East High Street
Suite 111
Phoenix, AZ 85054

Plaintiff has personally suffered each of the Labor Code violations alleged in this letter while employed by Employer within the applicable one-year statute of limitations period. As such, Plaintiff intends to seek PAGA penalties on behalf of herself and all other non-exempt employees of Employer employed in California and who were subjected to any or all of the Labor Code violations referenced herein during the PAGA Period (together with Plaintiff, “Aggrieved Employees”). The PAGA Period begins one year prior to the date of this letter and, because the violations alleged herein are ongoing, the PAGA Period extends beyond the date of this letter.

In response to Labor Code violations alleged below, PAGA provides Employer with specific options to mitigate potential penalties:

Taking All Reasonable Steps to Be in Compliance:

Employer can reduce potential penalties to 15% of the maximum by demonstrating it has already taken all reasonable steps to be in compliance with all Labor Code provisions identified in this notice prior to receiving it. If Employer believes it has already taken all reasonable steps to be in compliance with all Labor Code provisions identified in this notice, Employer is encouraged to contact our office to set forth all reasonable steps taken.

If all reasonable steps are taken to be in compliance with all Labor Code provisions identified in this notice within 60 days after receiving it, penalties may be reduced to 30% of the maximum. If Employer intends to take all reasonable steps to be in compliance with all Labor Code provisions identified in this notice, Employer is encouraged to engage in good faith meet-and-confer discussions with our office

regarding the alleged violations. Failure to do so may support a finding that all reasonable steps were not taken to comply with the Labor Code provisions identified herein.

Curing Violations:

For certain violations, Employer has the opportunity to fully cure the alleged violations to further reduce penalties or even avoid penalties altogether. Once again, we strongly encourage Employer to promptly initiate meet-and-confer discussions with our office as part of any compliance or cure efforts. Failure to do so may result in a cure that is insufficient.

Employer must notify both our office and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) of any steps taken towards compliance or any attempts to cure. Not only does this approach demonstrate a good faith attempt to come into compliance, but failure to do so could potentially support a finding that Employer's conduct was malicious, fraudulent, or oppressive, thereby leading to increased penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2699(f)(2)(B).

All communications regarding this matter should be directed to:

Frontier Law Center
23901 Calabasas Road, Suite 1084
Calabasas, CA 91302

This letter is sent pursuant to the requirements of Labor Code section 2699.3. The Labor Code violations being alleged are as follows:

Rest Break Violations

Labor Code section 226.7 and the applicable Wage Order requires employers to authorize and permit its employees to take rest periods based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of 10 minutes net per four hours or major fraction thereof. Employees must be relieved of all duties during their rest breaks.

Labor Code section 226.7 further provides that an employee is entitled to one hour of pay at their regular rate of pay for each day that a compliant rest break was not provided.

The Aggrieved Employees' rest breaks were regularly short and the second rest break was never provided.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Rest Break Violations

As a result of the above rest break violations, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code section 2699.

Wage Statement Violations

Labor Code section 226 requires an employer to provide wage statements to its employees which include, among other things, gross and net wages earned, and total hours worked. Employer failed to provide wage statements which accurately reflected this information.

Civil Penalties Applicable to Wage Statement Violations

As a result of the wage statement violations detailed above, Employer is subject to the civil penalties listed in Labor Code sections 226.3 and 2699.

Reservation of Rights

The facts and claims contained herein are based on the information available at the time of this writing. Therefore, if through discovery and/or expert review, Plaintiff becomes aware of additional facts or theories of liability, they expressly reserve the right to revise these facts and/or add any new claims by amending and/or supplementing this letter or by adding applicable causes of action to the complaint which will relate back to the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

/s/

Manny Starr