

Kane Moon (SBN 249834)  
E-mail: kmoon@moonlawgroup.com  
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan (SBN 320389)  
E-mail: lilit@moonlawgroup.com  
Allen Feghali (SBN 301080)  
E-mail: afeghali@moonlawgroup.com  
**MOON LAW GROUP, PC**  
725 S. Figueroa St., 31st Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90017  
Telephone: (213) 232-3128  
Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

Attorneys for Plaintiff Maribel Hernandez

Electronically Filed  
Superior Court of California  
County of San Bernardino  
Victorville District  
3/6/2025 5:01 PM  
By: Rylee Grant, DEPUTY

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**

MARIBEL HERNANDEZ, individually, and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HIGH DESERT PSYCHOLOGICAL  
SERVICES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10,  
inclusive,

Defendants

Case No.: CIVVS2500081

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND  
PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION  
COMPLAINT:**

1. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 204, 1194, 1194.2, and 1197];
2. Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194 and 1198];
3. Failure to Provide Meal Periods [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512];
4. Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Breaks [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7];
5. Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses [Cal. Lab. Code § 2802];
6. Failure to Timely Pay Final Wages at Termination [Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201-203];
7. Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements [Cal. Lab. Code § 226]; and
8. Unfair Business Practices [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.];
9. Civil Penalties Under PAGA [Cal. Lab. Code § 2699, et seq.];
10. Civil Penalties Under PAGA [Cal. Lab. Code § 2699, et seq.].

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|                                                  |    |
|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.....        | 1  |
| THE PARTIES .....                                | 3  |
| A. Plaintiff.....                                | 3  |
| B. Defendants.....                               | 3  |
| ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION ..... | 4  |
| CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS.....                    | 7  |
| FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION.....                       | 11 |
| SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION.....                      | 12 |
| THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION .....                      | 14 |
| FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....                      | 14 |
| FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....                       | 15 |
| SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....                       | 16 |
| SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....                     | 17 |
| EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION.....                      | 18 |
| NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION .....                      | 21 |
| PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....                           | 23 |
| DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL.....                       | 28 |

1 Plaintiff Maribel Hernandez to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for  
2 further investigation and discovery, alleges as follows:

3 **INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

4 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants High Desert Psychological Services,  
5 Inc., and Does 1 through 10 (collectively referred to as “Defendants”) for California Labor Code  
6 violations and unfair business practices stemming from Defendants’ failure to pay minimum  
7 wages, failure to pay overtime wages, failure to provide meal periods, failure to authorize and  
8 permit rest periods, failure to maintain accurate records of hours worked and meal periods,  
9 failure to timely pay all wages to terminated employees, failure to indemnify necessary business  
10 expenses, and failure to furnish accurate wage statements.

11 2. Plaintiff brings the First through Eighth Causes of Action individually and as a  
12 class action on behalf of himself and certain current and former employees of Defendants  
13 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Class” or “Class Members” and defined more fully  
14 below). The Class consists of Plaintiff and all other persons who have been employed by any  
15 Defendants in California as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee during the statute of  
16 limitations period applicable to the claims pleaded here.

17 3. Plaintiff brings the Ninth Cause of Action as a representative action under the  
18 California Private Attorney General Act (“PAGA”) to recover civil penalties that are owed to  
19 Plaintiff, the State of California, and past and present employees of Defendants (hereinafter  
20 referred to as the “Aggrieved Employees”).

21 4. Defendants own/owned and operate/operated an industry, business, and  
22 establishment within the State of California, including San Bernardino County. As such, and  
23 based upon all the facts and circumstances incident to Defendants’ business in California,  
24 Defendants are subject to the California Labor Code, Wage Orders issued by the Industrial  
25 Welfare Commission (“IWC”), and the California Business & Professions Code.

26 5. Despite these requirements, throughout the statutory period Defendants  
27 maintained a systematic, company-wide policy and practice of:  
28

- (a) Failing to pay employees for all hours worked, including all minimum wages, and overtime wages in compliance with the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders;
- (b) Failing to provide employees with timely and duty-free meal periods in compliance with the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, failing to maintain accurate records of all meal periods taken or missed, and failing to pay an additional hour's pay for each workday a meal period violation occurred;
- (c) Failing to authorize and permit employees to take timely and duty-free rest periods in compliance with the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, and failing to pay an additional hour's pay for each workday a rest period violation occurred;
- (d) Failing to indemnify employees for necessary business expenses incurred;
- (e) Willfully failing to pay employees all minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and rest period premium wages due within the time period specified by California law when employment terminates; and
- (f) Failing to maintain accurate records of the hours that employees worked.
- (g) Failing to provide employees with accurate, itemized wage statements containing all the information required by the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders.

6. On information and belief, Defendants, and each of them were on actual and constructive notice of the improprieties alleged herein and intentionally refused to rectify their unlawful policies. Defendants' violations, as alleged above, during all relevant times herein were willful and deliberate.

7. At all relevant times, Defendants were and are legally responsible for all of the unlawful conduct, policies, practices, acts and omissions as described in each and all of the foregoing paragraphs as the employer of Plaintiff and the Class. Further, Defendants are

1 responsible for each of the unlawful acts or omissions complained of herein under the doctrine of  
2 “respondeat superior”.

### 3 **THE PARTIES**

#### 4 **A. Plaintiff**

5 8. Plaintiff is a California resident that worked for Defendants in the County of San  
6 Bernardino, State of California from approximately August 2023 to July 2024.

7 9. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek leave to amend this complaint to add new  
8 plaintiffs, if necessary, in order to establish suitable representative(s) pursuant to *La Sala v.*  
9 *American Savings and Loan Association* (1971) 5 Cal.3d 864, 872, and other applicable law.

#### 10 **B. Defendants**

11 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that information and belief  
12 alleges, that Defendant is:

- 13 (a) A limited liability company with its principal place of business in San  
14 Bernardino, California.
- 15 (b) A business entity conducting business in numerous counties throughout the  
16 State of California, including in San Bernardino County; and
- 17 (c) The former employer of Plaintiff, and the current and/or former employer  
18 of the putative Class. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the  
19 Class to work, and/or controlled their wages, hours, or working conditions.

20 11. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendants named as Does 1-10, inclusive, and  
21 each of them, were residents of, doing business in, availed themselves of the jurisdiction of,  
22 and/or injured a significant number of the Plaintiff and the Class in the State of California.

23 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times  
24 each Defendant, directly or indirectly, or through agents or other persons, employed Plaintiff and  
25 the other employees described in the class definitions below, and exercised control over their  
26 wages, hours, and working conditions. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges  
27 that, at all relevant times, each Defendant was the principal, agent, partner, joint venturer, officer,  
28 director, controlling shareholder, subsidiary, affiliate, parent corporation, successor in interest

1 and/or predecessor in interest of some or all of the other Defendants, and was engaged with some  
2 or all of the other Defendants in a joint enterprise for profit, and bore such other relationships to  
3 some or all of the other Defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters  
4 alleged below. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant acted  
5 pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, that each Defendant knew or  
6 should have known about, and authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, aided and  
7 abetted the conduct of all other Defendants.

8 **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

9 13. Plaintiff is a California resident who worked for Defendants in the County of San  
10 Bernardino, State of California during the statutory period. During the statutory time period,  
11 Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee, and was typically scheduled to work at least 5 days in a  
12 workweek, and typically in excess of 8 hours in a single workday.

13 14. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours  
14 worked (including minimum wages and overtime wages), failed to provide Plaintiff with  
15 uninterrupted meal periods, failed to authorize and permit Plaintiff to take uninterrupted rest  
16 periods, failed to indemnify Plaintiff for necessary business expenses, failed to timely pay all  
17 final wages to Plaintiff when Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment, and failed to furnish  
18 accurate wage statements to Plaintiff. As discussed below, Plaintiff's experience working for  
19 Defendants was typical and illustrative.

20 15. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of  
21 not paying Plaintiff and the Class for all hours worked, including all overtime wages. Plaintiff  
22 and the Class received non-discretionary bonuses, commissions, and other remuneration.  
23 However, Defendants failed to incorporate all remuneration when calculating the correct  
24 overtime rate of pay, sick day rate of pay, and meal break premium rate of pay, leading to  
25 underpayment of wages. Also during the statutory period, Plaintiff and the Class were required to  
26 work "off the clock" and uncompensated. For example, Plaintiff and the Class were required to  
27 wait in line in order to clock into work and when returning from meal breaks, off the clock and  
28

1 uncompensated. Also, Plaintiff and the Class experienced interrupted meal breaks, but were not  
2 compensated for that time.

3 16. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants have wrongfully failed to provide  
4 Plaintiff and the Class with legally compliant meal periods. Defendants sometimes, but not  
5 always, required Plaintiff and the Class to work in excess of five consecutive hours a day without  
6 providing 30-minute, continuous and uninterrupted, duty-free meal period for every five hours of  
7 work, or without compensating Plaintiff and the Class for meal periods that were not provided by  
8 the end of the fifth hour of work or tenth hour of work. Defendants also did not adequately  
9 inform Plaintiff and the Class of their right to take a meal period by the end of the fifth hour of  
10 work, or, for shifts greater than 10 hours, by the end of the tenth hour of work. Defendants also  
11 failed to accurately record meal periods. Accordingly, Defendants' policy and practice was to not  
12 provide meal periods to Plaintiff and the Class in compliance with California law.

13 17. Throughout the statutory period, Defendants have wrongfully failed to authorize  
14 and permit Plaintiff and the Class to take timely and duty-free rest periods. Defendants  
15 sometimes, but not always, required Plaintiff and the Class to work in excess of four consecutive  
16 hours a day without Defendants authorizing and permitting them to take a 10-minute, continuous  
17 and uninterrupted, rest period for every four hours of work (or major fraction of four hours), or  
18 without compensating Plaintiff and the Class for rest periods that were not authorized or  
19 permitted. Defendants also did not adequately inform Plaintiff and the Class of their right to take  
20 a rest period. Moreover, Defendants did not have adequate policies or practices permitting or  
21 authorizing rest periods for Plaintiff and the Class, nor did Defendants have adequate policies or  
22 practices regarding the timing of rest periods. Defendants also did not have adequate policies or  
23 practices to verify whether Plaintiff and the Class were taking their required rest periods.  
24 Further, Defendants did not maintain accurate records of employee work periods, and therefore  
25 Defendants cannot demonstrate that Plaintiff and the Class took rest periods during the middle of  
26 each work period. Accordingly, Defendants' policy and practice was to not authorize and permit  
27 Plaintiff and the Class to take rest periods in compliance with California law.  
28

1           18.     Throughout the statutory period, Defendants wrongfully required Plaintiff and the  
2 Class to pay expenses that they incurred in direct discharge of their duties for Defendants without  
3 reimbursement, such as the use of personal cellular telephones for work purposes, without  
4 reimbursement.

5           19.     Throughout the statutory period, Defendants willfully failed and refused to timely  
6 pay Plaintiff and the Class at the conclusion of their employment all wages for all minimum  
7 wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and rest period premium wages. Further,  
8 Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and the Class their final paychecks immediately upon  
9 termination.

10          20.     Throughout the statutory period, Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff and the  
11 Class with accurate, itemized wage statements showing all applicable hourly rates, and all gross  
12 and net wages earned (including correct hours worked, correct wages earned for hours worked,  
13 correct overtime hours worked, correct wages for meal periods that were not provided in  
14 accordance with California law, correct wages for rest periods that were not authorized and  
15 permitted to take in accordance with California law, and Defendant's address). Further, the wage  
16 statements do not show Defendant's address as required by California law. As a result of these  
17 violations of California Labor Code § 226(a), the Plaintiff and the Class suffered injury because,  
18 among other things:

- 19               (a)     the violations led them to believe that they were not entitled to be paid  
20                       minimum wages, overtime wages, meal period premium wages, and rest  
21                       period premium wages to which they were entitled, even though they were  
22                       entitled;
- 23               (b)     the violations led them to believe that they had been paid the minimum,  
24                       overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium wages, even  
25                       though they had not been;
- 26               (c)     the violations led them to believe they were not entitled to be paid  
27                       minimum, overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium wages  
28                       at the correct California rate even though they were;

- 1 (d) the violations led them to believe they had been paid minimum, overtime,  
2 meal period premium, and rest period premium wages at the correct  
3 California rate even though they had not been;
- 4 (e) the violations hindered them from determining the amounts of minimum,  
5 overtime, meal period premium, and rest period premium owed to them;
- 6 (f) in connection with their employment before and during this action, and in  
7 connection with prosecuting this action, the violations caused them to have  
8 to perform mathematical computations to determine the amounts of wages  
9 owed to them, computations they would not have to make if the wage  
10 statements contained the required accurate information;
- 11 (g) by understating the wages truly due them, the violations caused them to  
12 lose entitlement and/or accrual of the full amount of Social Security,  
13 disability, unemployment, and other governmental benefits;
- 14 (h) the wage statements inaccurately understated the wages, hours, and wages  
15 rates to which Plaintiff and the Class were entitled, and Plaintiff and the  
16 Class were paid less than the wages and wage rates to which they were  
17 entitled.

18 Thus, Plaintiff and the Class are owed the amounts provided for in California Labor Code §  
19 226(e), including actual damages.

20 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

21 21. Plaintiff brings certain claims individually, as well as on behalf of each and all  
22 other persons similarly situated, and thus, seek class certification under California Code of Civil  
23 Procedure § 382.

24 22. All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which Plaintiff seeks relief  
25 authorized by California law.

26 23. The proposed Class consists of and is defined as:

27 All persons who worked for any Defendant in California as a non-exempt  
28 employee at any time during the period beginning four years before the filing of

the initial complaint in this action and ending when notice to the Class is sent.

24. At all material times, Plaintiff was a member of the Class.

25. Plaintiff undertakes this concerted activity to improve the wages and working conditions of all Class Members.

26. There is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the Class is readily ascertainable:

(a) Numerosity: The members of the Class (and each subclass, if any) are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, however, the Class is estimated to be greater than 100 individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' records.

(b) Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom there is a shared, well-defined community of interest, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all Class Members' claims as demonstrated herein.

(c) Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom there is a shared, well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff has no conflicts with or interests antagonistic to any Class Member. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action, will continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each class member.

(d) Superiority: A Class Action is superior to other available methods for the

1 fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, including consideration  
2 of:

- 3 1) The interests of the members of the Class in individually  
4 controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions;
- 5 2) The extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy  
6 already commenced by or against members of the Class;
- 7 3) The desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of  
8 the claims in the particular forum; and
- 9 4) The difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a  
10 class action.

11 (e) Public Policy Considerations: The public policy of the State of California  
12 is to resolve the California Labor Code claims of many employees through  
13 a class action. Indeed, current employees are often afraid to assert their  
14 rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are  
15 also fearful of bringing actions because they believe their former  
16 employers might damage their future endeavors through negative  
17 references and/or other means. Class actions provide the class members  
18 who are not named in the complaint with a type of anonymity that allows  
19 for the vindication of their rights at the same time as their privacy is  
20 protected.

21 27. There are common questions of law and fact as to the Class (and each subclass, if  
22 any) that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including without  
23 limitation, whether, as alleged herein, Defendants have:

- 24 (a) Failed to pay Class Members for all hours worked, including minimum  
25 wages, and overtime wages;
- 26 (b) Failed to provide meal periods and pay meal period premium wages to  
27 Class Members;
- 28 (c) Failed to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period premium

wages to Class Members;

(d) Failed to promptly pay all wages due to Class Members upon their discharge or resignation;

(e) Failed to maintain accurate records of all hours Class Members worked, and all meal periods Class Members took or missed;

(f) Failed to reimburse Class Members for all necessary business expenses; and

(g) Violated California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et. seq.* as a result of their illegal conduct as described above.

28. This Court should permit this action to be maintained as a class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because:

(a) The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any question affecting only individual members;

(b) A class action is superior to any other available method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the members of the Class;

(c) The members of the Class are so numerous that it is impractical to bring all members of the class before the Court;

(d) Plaintiff, and the other members of the Class, will not be able to obtain effective and economic legal redress unless the action is maintained as a class action;

(e) There is a community of interest in obtaining appropriate legal and equitable relief for the statutory violations, and in obtaining adequate compensation for the damages and injuries for which Defendants are responsible in an amount sufficient to adequately compensate the members of the Class for the injuries sustained;

(f) Without class certification, the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of:

1) Inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual

members of the Class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants; and/or

2) Adjudications with respect to the individual members which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the adjudications, or would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests, including but not limited to the potential for exhausting the funds available from those parties who are, or may be, responsible Defendants; and,

(g) Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the class as a whole.

29. Plaintiff contemplates the eventual issuance of notice to the proposed members of the Class that would set forth the subject and nature of the instant action. The Defendants' own business records may be utilized for assistance in the preparation and issuance of the contemplated notices. To the extent that any further notices may be required, Plaintiff would contemplate the use of additional techniques and forms commonly used in class actions, such as published notice, e-mail notice, website notice, first-class mail, or combinations thereof, or by other methods suitable to the Class and deemed necessary and/or appropriate by the Court.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Minimum Wages for All Hours Worked)**

30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

31. "Hours worked" is the time during which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted to work, whether or not required to do so.

32. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Defendants knowingly failed to pay to Plaintiff and the Class compensation for all hours they worked. By their failure to pay compensation for each hour worked as alleged above, Defendants willfully violated the

provisions of Section 1194 of the California Labor Code, and any additional applicable Wage Orders, which require such compensation to non-exempt employees.

33. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover minimum wages for all non-overtime hours worked for Defendants.

34. By and through the conduct described above, Plaintiff and the Class have been deprived of their rights to be paid wages earned by virtue of their employment with Defendants.

35. By virtue of the Defendants' unlawful failure to pay additional compensation to Plaintiff and the Class for their non-overtime hours worked without pay, Plaintiff and the Class suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts which are presently unknown to Plaintiff and the Class, but which exceed the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, and which will be ascertained according to proof at trial.

36. By failing to keep adequate time records required by California Labor Code § 1174(d), Defendants have made it difficult to calculate the full extent of minimum wage compensation due Plaintiff and the Class.

37. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194.2, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover liquidated damages (double damages) for Defendants' failure to pay minimum wages.

38. California Labor Code section 204 requires employers to provide employees with all wages due and payable twice a month. Throughout the statute of limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Plaintiff and the Class were entitled to be paid twice a month at rates required by law, including minimum wages. However, during all such times, Defendants systematically failed and refused to pay Plaintiff and the Class all such wages due, and failed to pay those wages twice a month.

39. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to seek recovery of all unpaid minimum wages, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.5, 218.6, and 1194(a).

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Overtime Wages)**

1           40.     Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein  
2 paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

3           41.     California Labor Code § 510 provides that employees in California shall not be  
4 employed more than eight (8) hours in any workday or forty (40) hours in a workweek unless  
5 they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law.

6           42.     California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 1198 provide that employees in California  
7 shall not be employed more than eight hours in any workday unless they receive additional  
8 compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law. Additionally, California  
9 Labor Code § 1198 states that the employment of an employee for longer hours than those fixed  
10 by the Industrial Welfare Commission is unlawful.

11          43.     At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff and the Class have worked more than eight  
12 hours in a workday, as employees of Defendants.

13          44.     At all times relevant hereto, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class  
14 overtime compensation for the hours they have worked in excess of the maximum hours  
15 permissible by law as required by California Labor Code § 510 and 1198. Plaintiff and the Class  
16 are regularly required to work overtime hours.

17          45.     By virtue of Defendants' unlawful failure to pay additional premium rate  
18 compensation to the Plaintiff and the Class for their overtime hours worked, Plaintiff and the  
19 Class have suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts which are presently  
20 unknown to them but which exceed the jurisdictional minimum of this Court and which will be  
21 ascertained according to proof at trial.

22          46.     By failing to keep adequate time records required by Labor Code § 1174(d),  
23 Defendants have made it difficult to calculate the full extent of overtime compensation due to  
24 Plaintiff and the Class.

25          47.     Plaintiff and the Class also request recovery of overtime compensation according  
26 to proof, interest, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 1194(a), as well  
27 as the assessment of any statutory penalties against Defendants, in a sum as provided by the  
28 California Labor Code and/or other statutes.

1           48.     California Labor Code § 204 requires employers to provide employees with all  
2 wages due and payable twice a month. The Wage Orders also provide that every employer shall  
3 pay to each employee, on the established payday for the period involved, overtime wages for all  
4 overtime hours worked in the payroll period. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the Class  
5 with all compensation due, in violation of California Labor Code § 204.

6                                   **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

7                                   **(Against All Defendants for Failure to Provide Meal Periods)**

8           49.     Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein  
9 paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

10          50.     Under California law, Defendants have an affirmative obligation to relieve the  
11 Plaintiff and the Class of all duty in order to take their first daily meal periods no later than the  
12 start of Plaintiff and the Class' sixth hour of work in a workday, and to take their second meal  
13 periods no later than the start of the eleventh hour of work in the workday. Section 512 of the  
14 California Labor Code, and Section 11 of the applicable Wage Orders require that an employer  
15 provide unpaid meal periods of at least 30 minutes for each five-hour period worked. It is a  
16 violation of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code for an employer to require any employee  
17 to work during any meal period mandated under any Wage Order.

18          51.     Despite these legal requirements, Defendants regularly failed to provide Plaintiff  
19 and the Class with both meal periods as required by California law. By their failure to permit  
20 and authorize Plaintiff and the Class to take all meal periods as alleged above (or due to the fact  
21 that Defendants made it impossible or impracticable to take these uninterrupted meal periods),  
22 Defendants willfully violated the provisions of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code and  
23 the applicable Wage Orders.

24          52.     Under California law, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to be paid one hour of  
25 additional wages for each workday he or she was not provided with all required meal period(s),  
26 plus interest thereon.

27                                   **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

28                                   **(Against All Defendants for Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods)**

53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 19 in this Complaint.

54. Defendants are required by California law to authorize and permit breaks of 10 uninterrupted minutes for each four hours of work or major fraction of four hours (i.e. more than two hours). Section 512 of the California Labor Code, the applicable Wage Orders require that the employer permit and authorize all employees to take paid rest periods of 10 minutes each for each 4-hour period worked. Thus, for example, if an employee's work time is 6 hours and ten minutes, the employee is entitled to two rest breaks. Each failure to authorize rest breaks as so required is itself a violation of California's rest break laws. It is a violation of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code for an employer to require any employee to work during any rest period mandated under any Wage Order.

55. Despite these legal requirements, Defendants failed to authorize Plaintiff and the Class to take rest breaks, regardless of whether employees worked more than 4 hours in a workday. By their failure to permit and authorize Plaintiff and the Class to take rest periods as alleged above (or due to the fact that Defendants made it impossible or impracticable to take these uninterrupted rest periods), Defendants willfully violated the provisions of Section 226.7 of the California Labor Code and the applicable Wage Orders.

56. Under California law, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to be paid one hour of premium wages rate for each workday he or she was not provided with all required rest break(s), plus interest thereon.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**(Against All Defendants for Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses)**

57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

58. Defendants violated Labor Code section 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders, by failing to pay and indemnify the Plaintiff and the Class for their necessary expenditures and losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties or of their obedience to directions of Defendants.

59. As a result, Plaintiff and the Class were damaged at least in the amounts of the expenses they paid, or which were deducted by Defendants from their wages.

60. Plaintiff and the class they represent are entitled to attorney's fees, expenses, and costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code section 2802(c) and interest pursuant to Labor Code section 2802(b).

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**(Against all Defendants for Failure to Pay Wages of Discharged Employees – Waiting Time Penalties)**

61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

62. At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.

63. Within the applicable statute of limitations, the employment of Plaintiff and many other members of the Class ended, i.e. was terminated by quitting or discharge, and the employment of others will be. However, during the relevant time period, Defendants failed, and continue to fail to pay terminated Class Members, without abatement, all wages required to be paid by California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.

64. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and those Class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

65. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall

1 continue as a penalty wage from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is  
2 commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

3 66. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their additionally  
4 accruing wages for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to 30 days  
5 maximum pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.

6 67. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.5, 218.6 and 1194, Plaintiff and the  
7 Class are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, interest, expenses, and costs  
8 incurred in this action.

### 9 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 10 **(Against all Defendants for Failure to Provide and Maintain Accurate and** 11 **Compliant Wage Records)**

12 68. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein  
13 paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

14 69. At all material times set forth herein, California Labor Code § 226(a) provides that  
15 every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement  
16 in writing showing nine pieces of information, including: (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours  
17 worked by the employee, (3) the number of piece-rate units earned and any applicable piece rate  
18 if the employee is paid on a piece-rate basis, (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made  
19 on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages  
20 earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the  
21 employee and the last four digits of his or her social security number or an employee  
22 identification number other than a social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal  
23 entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and  
24 the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee.

25 70. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with  
26 complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the  
27 failure to correctly identify the gross wages earned by Plaintiff and the Class, the failure to list  
28 the true "total hours worked by the employee," and the failure to list the true net wages earned.

71. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code § 226(a), Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-protected rights.

72. Specifically, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have been injured by Defendants' intentional violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) because they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving, accurate, itemized wage statements under California Labor Code § 226(a).

73. Calculation of the true wage entitlement for Plaintiff and the Class is difficult and time consuming. As a result of this unlawful burden, Plaintiff and the Class were also injured as a result of having to bring this action to attempt to obtain correct wage information following Defendants' refusal to comply with many of the mandates of California's Labor Code and related laws and regulations.

74. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with California Labor Code § 226(a).

75. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to injunctive relief, as well as an award of attorney's fees and costs to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code § 226(h).

### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**(Against all Defendants for Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200,  
et seq.)**

76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

77. Defendants, and each of them, are “persons” as defined under California Business & Professions Code § 17201.

78. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be, unfair, unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, other Class members, and to the general public. Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

79. Defendants' activities, as alleged herein, are violations of California law, and

1 constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business & Professions  
2 Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

3 80. A violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* may be  
4 predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. All of the acts described herein as  
5 violations of, among other things, the California Labor Code, are unlawful and in violation of  
6 public policy; and in addition are immoral, unethical, oppressive, fraudulent and unscrupulous,  
7 and thereby constitute unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices in violation of  
8 California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

9 **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages**

10 81. Defendants' failure to pay minimum wages, and other benefits in violation of the  
11 California Labor Code constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by California  
12 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

13 **Failure to Pay Overtime Wages**

14 82. Defendants' failure to pay overtime compensation and other benefits in violation  
15 of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and 1198 constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity  
16 prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

17 **Failure to Maintain Accurate Records of All Hours Worked**

18 83. Defendants' failure to maintain accurate records of all hours worked in accordance  
19 with California Labor Code § 1174.5 and the IWC Wage Orders constitutes unlawful and/or  
20 unfair activity prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

21 **Failure to Provide Meal Periods**

22 84. Defendants' failure to provide meal periods in accordance with California Labor  
23 Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful and/or  
24 unfair activity prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

25 **Failure to Authorize and Permit Rest Periods**

26 85. Defendants' failure to authorize and permit rest periods in accordance with  
27 California Labor Code § 226.7 and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful  
28 and/or unfair activity prohibited by Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

1                                   **Failure to Indemnify Necessary Business Expenses**

2           86.     Defendants' failure to indemnify employees for necessary business expenses in  
3 accordance with California Labor Code § 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders, as alleged above,  
4 constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity prohibited by Business and Professions Code §§  
5 17200, *et seq.*

6                                   **Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements**

7           87.     Defendants' failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements in accordance  
8 with California Labor Code § 226, as alleged above, constitutes unlawful and/or unfair activity  
9 prohibited by California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*

10          88.     By and through their unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices  
11 described herein, the Defendants, have obtained valuable property, money and services from  
12 Plaintiff, and all persons similarly situated, and have deprived Plaintiff, and all persons similarly  
13 situated, of valuable rights and benefits guaranteed by law, all to their detriment.

14          89.     Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered monetary injury as a direct result of  
15 Defendants' wrongful conduct.

16          90.     Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative Class, is entitled  
17 to, and do, seek such relief as may be necessary to disgorge money and/or property which the  
18 Defendants have wrongfully acquired, or of which Plaintiff and the Class have been deprived, by  
19 means of the above-described unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices. Plaintiff and  
20 the Class are not obligated to establish individual knowledge of the wrongful practices of  
21 Defendants in order to recover restitution.

22          91.     Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative class, are further  
23 entitled to and do seek a declaration that the above described business practices are unfair,  
24 unlawful and/or fraudulent, and injunctive relief restraining the Defendants, and each of them,  
25 from engaging in any of the above-described unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business  
26 practices in the future.

27          92.     Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative class, have no  
28 plain, speedy, and/or adequate remedy at law to redress the injuries which the Class Members

1 suffered as a consequence of the Defendants' unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business  
2 practices. As a result of the unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices described  
3 above, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of members of the putative Class, has suffered and  
4 will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless the Defendants, and each of them, are restrained  
5 from continuing to engage in said unfair, unlawful and/or fraudulent business practices.

6 93. Plaintiff also alleges that if Defendants are not enjoined from the conduct set forth  
7 herein above, they will continue to avoid paying the appropriate taxes, insurance and other  
8 withholdings.

9 94. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*, Plaintiff  
10 and putative Class Members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and retained by  
11 Defendants during a period that commences four years prior to the filing of this complaint; a  
12 permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all outstanding wages due to Plaintiff and  
13 Class Members; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §  
14 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs.

### 15 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 16 **(Against all Defendants for Civil Penalties Under the Private Attorneys General Act** 17 **of 2004, Cal. Lab. Code § 2698 et seq.)**

18 95. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein  
19 paragraphs 1 through 20 in this First Amended Complaint.

20 96. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants were subject to the Labor Code of the  
21 State of California and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Orders.

22 97. California Labor Code § 2699(a) specifically provides for a private right of action  
23 to recover penalties for violations of the Labor Code: "Notwithstanding any other provision of law,  
24 any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor  
25 and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards,  
26 agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a  
27 civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or  
28 former employees pursuant to the procedures specified in Section 2699.3."

1           98. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to California Labor  
2 Code § 2699.3. On November 28, 2024, Plaintiff gave written notice by online filing to the Labor  
3 and Workforce Development Agency and by certified mail to Defendants of the specific provisions  
4 of the Labor Code that Defendants have violated against Plaintiff and current and former Aggrieved  
5 Employees, including the facts and theories to support the violations. At the time of this filing, 65  
6 days have elapsed since Plaintiff provided notice, but the Labor and Workforce Development  
7 Agency has not indicated that it intends to investigate Defendants' Labor Code violations discussed  
8 in the notice. Accordingly, Plaintiff may commence a civil action to recover penalties under Labor  
9 Code § 2699 pursuant to § 2699.3 for the violations of the Labor Code described in this Complaint.  
10 These penalties include, but are not limited to, penalties under California Labor Code §§ 210,  
11 226.3, 558, 1197.1, and 2699(f)(2).

12           99. In addition, Plaintiff seeks penalties for Defendants' violation of California  
13 Labor Code § 1174(d). Pursuant to California Labor Code § 1174.5, any person, including any  
14 entity, employing labor who willfully fails to maintain accurate and complete records required by  
15 California Labor Code § 1174 is subject to a penalty under § 1174.5. Pursuant to the applicable  
16 IWC Order § 7(A)(3), every employer shall keep time records showing when the employee begins  
17 and ends each work period. Meal periods, and total hours worked daily shall also be recorded.  
18 Additionally, pursuant to the applicable IWC Order § 7(A)(5), every employer shall keep total  
19 hours worked in the payroll period and applicable rates of pay.

20           100. During the time period of employment for Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees,  
21 Defendants failed to maintain records pursuant to the Labor Code and IWC Orders by failing to  
22 maintain accurate records showing meal periods, and accurate records showing when employees  
23 begin and end each work period. Defendants' failure to provide and maintain records required by  
24 the Labor Code IWC Wage Orders deprived Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees the ability to  
25 know, understand and question the accuracy and frequency of meal periods, and the accuracy of  
26 their hours worked stated in Defendants' records. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved  
27 Employees had no way to dispute the resulting failure to pay wages, all of which resulted in an  
28 unjustified economic enrichment to Defendants. As a direct result, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved

1 Employees have suffered and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment  
2 of such wages, lost interest on such wages and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel  
3 Defendants to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts  
4 according to proof at trial. Because of Defendants' knowing failure to comply with the Labor Code  
5 and applicable IWC Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees have also suffered an  
6 injury in that they were prevented from knowing, understanding, and disputing the wage payments  
7 paid to them.

8 101. Based on the conduct described in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff is  
9 entitled to an award of civil penalties on behalf of herself, the State of California, and similarly  
10 Aggrieved Employees of Defendants. The exact amount of the applicable penalties, in all, is in an  
11 amount to be shown according to proof at trial. These penalties are in addition to all other remedies  
12 permitted by law.

13 102. In addition, Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs  
14 pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1), which states, "Any employee who prevails in  
15 any action shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated only with respect to  
18 the class claims, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as  
19 follows:

20 **Class Certification**

- 21 1. That this action be certified as a class action with respect to the First, Second,  
22 Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Causes of Action;  
23 2. That Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class; and  
24 3. That counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as Class Counsel.

25 **As to the First Cause of Action**

- 26 4. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California  
27 Labor Code §§ 204 and 1194 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay all  
28 minimum wages due;

- 1           5.     For general unpaid wages as may be appropriate;
- 2           6.     For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
- 3 such amounts were due;
- 4           7.     For liquidated damages;
- 5           8.     For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
- 6 California Labor Code § 1194(a); and,
- 7           9.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

8                               As to the Second Cause of Action

9           10.    That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California

10 Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to pay all

11 overtime wages due;

- 12           11.    For general unpaid wages at overtime wage rates as may be appropriate;
- 13           12.    For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid overtime compensation commencing
- 14 from the date such amounts were due;
- 15           13.    For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to
- 16 California Labor Code § 1194(a); and,
- 17           14.    For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

18                               As to the Third Cause of Action

19           15.    That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California

20 Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders;

- 21           16.    For unpaid meal period premium wages as may be appropriate;
- 22           17.    For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date
- 23 such amounts were due;
- 24           18.    For reasonable attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5,
- 25 and for costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 26           19.    For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

27                               As to the Fourth Cause of Action

28

1           20.     That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California  
2 Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and the IWC Wage Orders;

3           21.     For unpaid rest period premium wages as may be appropriate;

4           22.     For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date  
5 such amounts were due;

6           23.     For reasonable attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5,  
7 and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

8           24.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

9                               As to the Fifth Cause of Action

10          25.     That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated Labor Code §  
11 2802 and the IWC Wage Orders;

12          26.     For general unpaid wages and reimbursement of business expenses as may be  
13 appropriate;

14          27.     For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid compensation commencing from the date  
15 such amounts were due;

16          28.     For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

17          29.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

18                               As to the Sixth Cause of Action

19          30.     That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California  
20 Labor Code §§ 201, 202, and 203 by willfully failing to pay all compensation owed at the time of  
21 termination of the employment;

22          31.     For statutory wage penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 for former  
23 employees who have left Defendants' employ;

24          32.     For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were  
25 due;

26          33.     For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

27          34.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

28                               As to the Seventh Cause of Action

1           35.     That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the record  
2 keeping provisions of California Labor Code § 226(a) and applicable IWC Wage Orders, and  
3 willfully failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements thereto;

4           36.     For penalties and actual damages pursuant to California Labor Code § 226(e);

5           37.     For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California  
6 Labor Code § 226(h);

7           38.     For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and

8           39.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

9                               As to the Eighth Cause of Action

10          40.     That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California  
11 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* by failing to pay wages for all hours worked  
12 (including minimum and overtime wages), failing to provide meal periods, failing to maintain  
13 accurate records of meal periods, failing to authorize and permit rest periods, and failing to  
14 maintain accurate records of all hours worked and meal periods, failing to furnish accurate wage  
15 statements, and failing to indemnify necessary business expenses;

16          41.     For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiff and all Class Members and  
17 prejudgment interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;

18          42.     For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all  
19 funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by  
20 Defendants as a result of violations of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*;

21          43.     For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to  
22 California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;

23          44.     For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California  
24 Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*; and,

25          45.     For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.  
26  
27  
28

As to the Ninth Cause of Action

46. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the California Labor Code by failing to pay wages for all hours worked (including minimum, straight time, and overtime wages), failing to provide meal periods, failing to maintain accurate records of meal periods, failing to authorize and permit rest periods, failing to maintain accurate records of all hours worked and meal periods, failing to indemnify necessary business expenses, failing to pay final wages at termination, and failing to furnish accurate wage statements;

47. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof. For all civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699, et seq., and all other applicable Labor Code provisions;

48. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699;

49. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

50. For any additional relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Kane Moon  
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan  
Allen Feghali

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all causes of action triable by jury.

Dated: March 06, 2025

MOON LAW GROUP, PC

By:



Kane Moon  
Lilit Ter-Astvatsatryan  
Allen Feghali

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

3  
4 I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not  
a party to the within suit; my business address is 725 S. Figueroa St., 31<sup>st</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017.

5 On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: **FIRST AMENDED CLASS**  
6 **ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT** on the interested parties in this  
action by sending ☐ the original [or] ☒ a true copy thereof ☒ to interested parties as follows [or] ☐  
7 as stated on the attached service list:

8 Agent Eric Bahra  
9 15497 W SAND STREET  
VICTORVILLE, CA 92392

10 *Agent of Service of Process for Defendant HIGH DESERT PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES, INC*

11  
12 ☒ **BY U.S. MAIL:** I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California.  
13 The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am “readily familiar”  
14 with the firm’s practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing.  
Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day  
15 with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course  
of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed  
16 invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after  
date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is  
18 true and correct. Executed this **March 6, 2025** at Los Angeles, California.

19 Jessica Partida  
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
Type or Print Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Jessica Partida  
Signature