

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: Lizeth Marin FIRM NAME: Blackstone Law, APC STREET ADDRESS: 8383 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 745 CITY: Beverly Hills TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 622-4278 EMAIL ADDRESS: lmarin@blackstonepc.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff Anna Brewer SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MONTEREY STREET ADDRESS: 1200 Aguajito Road MAILING ADDRESS: 1200 Aguajito Road CITY AND ZIP CODE: Monterey 93940 BRANCH NAME: Monterey Courthouse	STATE BAR NUMBER: 360465 STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 90211 FAX NO.: (855) 786-6356	FOR COURT USE ONLY CASE NUMBER: 24CV005057
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Anna Brewer DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Interim, Inc.		
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER (Check one): ☒ UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$35,000) ☐ LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$35,000 or less)		

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): July 31, 2026
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: July 31, 2026

Lizeth Marin

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ☒ ATTORNEY ☐ PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)


(SIGNATURE)

Miriam L. Schimmel (State Bar No. 185089)
mschimmel@blackstonepc.com
Joana Fang (State Bar No. 309623)
jfang@blackstonepc.com
Alexandra Rose (State Bar No. 329407)
arose@blackstonepc.com
Jared C. Osborne (State Bar No. 335968)
josborne@blackstonepc.com
Lizeth Marin (State Bar No. 360465)
lmarin@blackstonepc.com
BLACKSTONE LAW, APC
8383 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 745
Beverly Hills, California 90211
Tel: (310) 622-4278 / Fax: (855) 786-6356

*Attorneys for Plaintiff ANNA BREWER,
individually, and on behalf of other
similarly situated employees*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY**

ANA BREWER, individually, and on behalf
of other similarly situated employees,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INTERIM, INC.; and DOES 1 through 25,
inclusive,

Defendants.

FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of Monterey
07/31/2026
By: Keith Habicht, Deputy Clerk

Case No.: 24CV005057
[Consolidated with Case No. 25CV000623]

Honorable Thomas W. Wills
Department 15

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: July 31, 2026
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: 15

Complaint Filed: November 27, 2024
Trial Date: Not Set

[PROPOSED] ORDER

On July 31, 2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 15 of the above-captioned Court located at 1200 Aguajito Road, Monterey, California 93940, Plaintiff Anna Brewer's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, came on for hearing before the Honorable Thomas W. Wills. Blackstone Law, APC appeared on behalf of Plaintiff and LightGabler LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant Interim, Inc. ("Defendant").

The Court, having carefully considered the papers, argument of counsel, and all matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Court preliminarily approves the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit 3 to the Declaration of Lizeth Marin in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable.

2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

3. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable. It appears to the Court that extensive investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional costs by all parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the case. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations, and was entered into in good faith.

4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement, including the allocations for the Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Enhancement Payment, ^{in the amount of \$5,000 to named Plaintiff} LWDA Payment, Settlement Administration Costs, and payments to the Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees provided for in the Settlement Agreement, appear to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could

ultimately be given final approval by this Court. Indeed, the Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members and PAGA Employees are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues and are consistent with the requirements of California Labor Code § 2699(e)(1).

5. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the proposed Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of the controversy; and (f) Class Counsel is qualified to act as counsel for Plaintiff in her individual capacity and as the representative of the Class.

6. The Court conditionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the Class, defined as follows:

All current and former hourly-paid and/or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in the State of California and were in job positions that involved direct involvement and interactions with clients in Defendant's programs, such as administrative assistants, counselors, community support workers, clinicians, and kitchen coordinators, etc., (herein referred to as "Program Staff Employee(s)") at any time during the Class Period.

(The Class Period is defined as the period from November 26, 2023 through March 27, 2026)

7. The Court provisionally appoints Jonathan M. Genish, Miriam L. Schimmel, Joana Fang, Alexandra Rose, Jared C. Osborne and Lizeth Marin of Blackstone Law, APC as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel").

8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff Anna Brewer as the representative of the Class ("Class Representative").

9. The Court provisionally appoints CPT Group, Inc. to handle the administration of the Settlement ("Settlement Administrator").

1 10. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after entry of this Order, Defendant will provide the
2 Settlement Administrator with the following information about each Class Member: full name, last
3 known mailing address, Social Security number, dates worked for Defendant during the Class Period,
4 and such other information as is necessary for the Settlement Administrator to calculate Workweeks
5 and Pay Periods (collectively referred to as the “Class List”) in conformity with the Settlement
6 Agreement.

7 11. The Court approves, both as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement
8 (“Class Notice”) attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. The Class Notice shall be provided to Class Members
9 in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to
10 fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement, of Class
11 Members’ right to be excluded from the Class Settlement by submitting a Request for Exclusion, of
12 Class Members’ right to dispute the Workweeks and/or Pay Periods credited to each of them by
13 submitting a Dispute, and of each Settlement Class Member’s right and opportunity to object to the
14 Class Settlement by submitting a Notice of Objection to the Settlement Administrator. The Court
15 further finds that distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the
16 Settlement Agreement and this Order, and that all other dates set forth in the Settlement Agreement
17 and this Order, meet the requirements of due process and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to
18 all persons entitled thereto. The Court further orders the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class
19 Notice in English and Spanish by First-Class U.S. Mail to all Class Members within fourteen (14)
20 calendar days of receipt of the Class List, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

21 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure, set forth in the
22 Settlement Agreement, for seeking exclusion from the Class Settlement. Any Class Member may
23 choose to be excluded from the Class Settlement by submitting a Request for Exclusion in conformity
24 with the requirements set forth in the Class Notice, to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or
25 before the date that is forty-five (45) calendar days from the initial mailing of the Class Notice by the
26 Settlement Administrator to Class Members (“Response Deadline”), or, in the case of a re-mailed
27 Class Notice, the Response Deadline shall be extended fifteen (15) calendar days from the original
28 Response Deadline. Any such person who timely and validly chooses to opt out of, and be excluded

1 from, the Class Settlement will not be entitled to any recovery under the Class Settlement and will not
2 be bound by the Class Settlement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon.
3 Nevertheless, all PAGA Employees will be bound by the PAGA Settlement and issued their Individual
4 PAGA Payment, irrespective of whether they submit a Request for Exclusion. Class Members who
5 do not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion (i.e., Settlement Class Members) shall be
6 bound by the Settlement Agreement and any final judgment based thereon.

7 13. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court on
8 December 4, 2026 at 8:30 a.m./p.m. in Department 15 of the Monterey
9 County Superior Court, located at 1200 Aguajito Road, Monterey, California 93940, to determine all
10 necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the action
11 on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should
12 be finally approved by the Court; whether a judgment, as provided in the Settlement, should be entered
13 herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Settlement should be approved as fair, adequate,
14 and reasonable to the Class Members and PAGA Employees; and determine whether to approve the
15 requests for the Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Enhancement Payment, Settlement Administration Costs,
16 and allocation for the PAGA Amount.

17 14. Class Counsel shall file a motion for final approval of the Settlement and for Attorneys'
18 Fees and Costs, Enhancement Payment, and Settlement Administration Costs, along with the
19 appropriate declarations and supporting evidence, including the Settlement Administrator's
20 declaration, by November 4, 2026, to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing.

21 15. To object to the Class Settlement, a Settlement Class Member must submit their Notice
22 of Objection to the Settlement Administrator on or before the Response Deadline. The Notice of
23 Objection must be signed and must contain the information that is required, as set forth in the Class
24 Notice, including and not limited to the grounds for the objection. Settlement Class Members,
25 individually or through counsel, may also present their objection orally at the Final Approval Hearing,
26 regardless of whether they have submitted a Notice of Objection.


27 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of
28 the Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails

1 to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void, shall be vacated, and
2 the parties shall revert back to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement
3 Agreement. The fact that the Court certified the Class for settlement purposes shall not be admissible
4 or have any bearing on the issue of whether any class should be certified in a non-settlement context.

5 17. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval
6 Hearing and any dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without further notice to the Class
7 Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with
8 the Settlement.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: **July 31, 2026**



Honorable Thomas W. Wills
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MONTEREY

I, Karina Hernandez, certify and declare as follows:

I am over eighteen years of age and not a party to the within action; my business address is 8383 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 745, Beverly Hills, California 90211. On July 31, 2026, I served a copy of the following document(s):

• **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

On the interested parties as follows:

Brier M. Setlur
Chandra Beaton
LIGHTGABLER LLP
760 Paseo Camarillo, Suite 300
Camarillo, CA 93010
Telephone: (805) 248-7208
Emails: bsetlur@lightgablerlaw.com
cbeaton@lightgablerlaw.com
jperez@lightgablerlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant INTERIM, INC.

☒ **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (E-MAIL):** I caused said document(s) to be delivered electronically to be delivered to the above referenced addressee(s) via email from email address khernandez@blackstonepc.com pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(e)(1). I did not receive any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency

Web URL:

<http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act/Private-Attorneys-General-Act.html>

☒ **BY ONLINE SUBMISSION:** The above-referenced documents were transmitted to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency through the online system established for the submission of notices and documents, in conformity with California Labor Code section 2699(I). I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

///

///

///

///

///

1 ☒ **STATE:** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
2 above is true and correct.

3 Executed on July 31, 2026 at Beverly Hills, California.

4 /s/ Karina Hernandez

5 Karina Hernandez