

November 6, 2024

Submitted at <https://www.dir.ca.gov> with \$75 filing fee

PAGA Administrator
California Labor and Workforce Development Agency
PAGAFilings@dir.ca.gov

Re: Genesco Inc. (the “Employer”)

NOTICE OF LABOR CODE VIOLATIONS UNDER LABOR CODE § 2699.3

To: PAGA Administrator, California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the Employer

From: Tuisdi Layne McClure (the “Employee”), who was subjected to the wage and hour practices set forth below

The Employee, by way of the above-named counsel, submits this Notice, under and in compliance with the requirements of California Labor Code § 2699.3(a)/(c), and alleges the facts and theories to support the alleged violations as follows:

During the applicable time period the Employer employed the Employee and all other similarly situated aggrieved employees as non-exempt employees and utilized consistent policies and procedures regarding the Employee and all such other aggrieved employees, as follows:

First, the Employee and other aggrieved employees spent time under the Employer’s control but were not paid therefor. For example, the Employer required the Employee and other aggrieved employees to perform work-related tasks at the beginning of their shifts before clocking in and/or at the end of their shifts after clocking out. The Employee and other aggrieved employees were also required, when off the clock, to use their personal mobile devices for work-related tasks, including before and/or after their shifts. In these circumstances, the Employer failed to pay all straight time, minimum, and/or overtime wages due for the time the Employee and other aggrieved employees were subject to the Employer’s control. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1197, and/or 1198 and the applicable Industrial Wage Order, and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f), 1197.1, and/or 558.

Second, the Employer failed to pay all minimum wages to the Employee and other aggrieved employees. The Employer paid for certain work times at a rate that was below the minimum wage for the work locations of the Employee and other aggrieved employees. As a result, the Employee and other aggrieved employees earned less than minimum wage in pay periods. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, 1198 and the applicable Industrial Wage Order and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f), 1197.1, and/or 558.

Third, the Employer paid the Employee and other aggrieved employees certain forms of non-discretionary pay, including but not limited to bonuses. However, the Employer did not include all forms of remuneration in the overtime pay rate when the Employee and other aggrieved employees worked overtime. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1197, 1198 and the applicable Industrial Wage Order and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f), 1197.1, and/or 558.



Fourth, the Employer failed to timely provide all legally compliant meal breaks (including second meal breaks) to the Employee and other aggrieved employees, because the Employer failed to relinquish all control and/or because meal breaks were missed, interrupted, short and/or late. The Employee and other aggrieved employees routinely worked over five hours but did not always get lawful full thirty-minute uninterrupted meal breaks within the first five hours of their shifts. Further, they sometimes worked over ten and/or twelve hours but were not timely provided a legally compliant second meal break. The Employer did not always pay a meal period penalty for these violations. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and 516 and the applicable Industrial Wage Order ¶ 11 and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f) and/or 558.

Fifth, the Employer failed to always authorize and permit paid rest breaks to the Employee and other aggrieved employees. The Employee and other aggrieved employees did not always get full ten-minute uninterrupted rest breaks within the first four hours of their shift or major fraction thereof and each subsequent four hours worked thereafter or major fraction thereof. As such, the Employee and other aggrieved employees were not always authorized and permitted legally compliant rest breaks. The Employer did not always pay a rest period penalty for these violations. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 516, and the applicable Industrial Wage Order ¶ 12(A)/(B) and owes rest period wages and penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f) and/or 558.

Sixth, the Employee and other aggrieved employees incurred expenses while performing reasonable and necessary work-related duties, including but not limited to the use of their personal vehicles (including but not limited to mileage), uniforms, and personal mobile devices. The Employer failed to reimburse for these work-related expenses. As such, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 450 and 2802 by failing to reimburse the Employee and other aggrieved employees for all business expenses reasonably incurred in the course of their required work duties and owes penalties under Labor Code § 2699(f).0

Seventh, regarding wage statements, under Labor Code § 226 and the applicable Industrial Wage Order, the Employer is required to include certain information on a paystub. Here, because of the Employer failed to pay all wages as set forth above, Employer issued wage statements that inaccurately reflected hours worked and/or wages earned by the Employee and other aggrieved employees, and the Employer has derivatively violated Labor Code § 226 and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f) and/or 226.3.

Eighth, regarding waiting time penalties, because the Employer failed to pay all wages as set forth above, formerly-employed aggrieved employees are entitled to thirty days of wages at their regular rate of pay for the Employer's failure to pay all wages due upon separation of employment. The Employer's failure to timely pay final wages to formerly-employed aggrieved employees was willful in that the Employer adopted policies and/or practices that were incompatible with the Labor Code. Because the Employer failed to pay all wages as set forth above, the Employer derivatively violated Labor Code §§ 201-202 and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f) and/or 201-203.

Ninth, regarding waiting time penalties, in addition to the derivative violations of Labor Code § 203 set forth above, the Employer did not timely pay the Employee and other separated aggrieved employees all wages due and owing in a timely manner. For example, the Employee resigned on December 16, 2023, so her final wages were immediately due and owing. However, the Employer willfully failed to pay the Employee her final wages until December 22, 2023. Therefore, the Employer violated Labor Code §§ 201-203 and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(f) and/or 201-203.



PAGA Administrator
Re: Genesco Inc.
November 6, 2024
Page 3

Tenth, the Employer failed to always provide the Employee and other aggrieved employees with paid sick days. In violation of Labor Code § 246, the Employee and other aggrieved employees worked for the Employer for 30 or more days after July 1, 2015, yet the Employer did not always pay for all requested sick days under Labor Code § 246(a)(1). As such, the Employer owes the Employee and other aggrieved employees unpaid sick days and/or accrued sick days and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(a)/(f), 248.5, and/or 558.

Eleventh, and finally, the Employer failed to always provide the Employee and other aggrieved employees with paid sick days paid at the regular rate of pay. The Employer paid the Employee and other aggrieved employees certain forms of non-discretionary pay, including but not limited to bonuses, commissions, and/or incentive pay. However, the Employer did not include all forms of remuneration in the sick pay rate in violation of Labor Code § 246(l) and owes penalties under Labor Code §§ 2699(a)/(f), 248.5, and/or 558.

Under Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(2)(A), please advise within 65 calendar days of the postmark date of this notice whether the LWDA intends to investigate these alleged violations.

Further, under Labor Code § 2699.3(c)(2)(A), the Employer may cure the alleged violations within 33 calendar days of the postmark date of this notice and within that period, give notice by certified mail if the alleged violation is cured, including a description of actions taken.

In addition, this letter clearly sets forth the Employee's grievances and proposed remedies, under the Labor Code, PAGA, and otherwise, as set forth above. The Employee would like to engage in reasonable efforts to settle this dispute before filing a civil action against the Employer. The Employee gives the Employer the opportunity, prior to the expiration of the deadline for the LWDA to investigate, to meet the Employee's demands and settle this dispute.

We understand that if we do not receive a response within 65 calendar days of the postmark and filing date of this notice that the LWDA intends to investigate these allegations and/or a notice from the Employer that the alleged violations are cured, and/or if the alleged violations are not cured, then the Employee may immediately thereafter commence a civil action against the Employer under Labor Code § 2699.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Emil Davtyan
Gregg Lander
Vanessa M. Ruggles
Taylor B. Keaster

cc: (via Certified Mail)
Genesco Inc.
535 Marriott Drive 12th Floor
Nashville, TN 37214