Electronically Received 10/27/2026 01:07 PM

Sarkis Sirmabekian [SBN 278588]
SIRMABEKIAN LAW FIRM, PC
2600 W Olive Ave, Suite 549
Burbank, California 91505
Telephone: (818) 473-5003
Facsímile: (818) 476-5619
Email: *contact@slawla.com*

Attorney for Plaintiffs LUIS RICARDO CRUZ and STEVE CASTRO ROJAS

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
11/10/2026
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: A. Hernandez Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

LUIS RICARDO CRUZ, an individual; STEVE CASTRO ROJAS, an individual;

Plaintiffs,

v.

B.H.L. ENTERPRISES, INC., a California Corporation, SCOTT A. LIPPMAN, an individual; BARRY H. LIPPMAN, an individual; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive;

Defendants.

Case Number: 25STCV06105

[Honorable Marisa Hernandez-Stern; Dept. 507]

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE PRIVATE ATTORNEYS' GENERAL ACT AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Hearing:
Date: November 10, 2026
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: 507

Complaint Filed: March 5, 2025

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the Court has reviewed and considered Plaintiff's *UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT'* ("Motion"), the supporting documents and replies thereto, and hereby ORDERS:

1. GOOD CAUSE appearing, Plaintiff's Motion for Court Approval of PAGA Settlement is granted;

2. Pursuant to the terms of the Parties' Third Amended Settlement Agreement, the Gross Settlement Amount is increased to $175,573.40 and the PAGA Period is December 17, 2023, through June 21, 2026.

3. Accordingly, the allocations from the Third Amended PAGA Settlement Agreement are as follows:

-Gross Settlement Amount: $175,573.40

-PAGA Counsel Fee: $58,524.46

-PAGA Counsel Litigation Expenses: $11,500 (not to exceed)

-PAGA Representative Service Payment: $30,000 ($15,000 to each Plaintiff)

-Administrator: $3,250

-To the LWDA (65%): $46,994.31

-Individual PAGA Payments (35%): $25,304.63

4. Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the Third Amended Settlement Agreement and related allocations outlined above.

5. The Entire Action is Dismissed with Prejudice, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties, Action, and the Settlement solely for purposes of (i) enforcing the Agreement and/or Judgment, (ii) addressing settlement administration matters, and (iii) addressing such post-Judgment matters as are permitted by law.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/10/2026

Honorable Marisa Hernandez-Stern
Judge of the Superior Court; Dept. 507