David Mara, Esq. (230498)
dmara@maralawfirm.com
Jill Vecchi, Esq. (299333)
jvecchi@maralawfirm.com
MARA LAW FIRM, PC
3160 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 207
San Diego, California 92108
Telephone: (619) 234-2833
Facsimile: (619) 234-4048

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

[*ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON NEXT PAGE*]

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, HALL OF JUSTICE

LUIS CORTEZ, ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ, and WILLIAM MILLER as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EXTREME TRANSPORTATION, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2024-00012130-CU-OE-CTL

[*Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable Evan P. Kirvin; Dept. C-69*]

DECLARATION OF SCOTT M. LIDMAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: November 13, 2026
Time: 9:00 a.m.

Complaint Filed: March 12, 2024
Trial Date: None Set

LIDMAN LAW, APC
Scott M. Lidman (SBN 199433)
slidman@lidmanlaw.com
Milan Moore (SBN 308095)
mmoore@lidmanlaw.com
2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150
El Segundo, California 90245
Tel: (424) 322-4772
Fax: (424) 322-4775

HAINES LAW GROUP, APC
Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226)
phaines@haineslawgroup.com
2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180
El Segundo, California 90245
Tel: (424) 292-2350
Fax: (424) 292-2355

Tuvia Korobkin (SBN 268066)
tuvia@marqueelaw.com
F. Shawn Azizollahi (SBN 268116)
shawn@marqueelaw.com
Gary S. Brotman (SBN 287726)
gary@marqueelaw.com
Alex Purcell (SBN 347862)
alex@marqueelaw.com
MARQUEE LAW GROUP, A Professional Corporation
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 445 East Tower
Beverly Hills, California 90212
(310) 275-1844 telephone
(310) 275-1801 fax

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

I, SCOTT M. LIDMAN, declare as follows:

1. I am a shareholder and principal of the law firm Lidman Law, APC, and counsel for the named plaintiff Luis Cortez ("Plaintiff Cortez") and the proposed Settlement Class in the above-captioned matter. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the State of California and am admitted to practice in this Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration and could testify competently to them if called upon to do so.

2. I am a 1998 graduate of Santa Clara University School of Law, where I graduated *summa cum laude*. During law school, I worked as a summer associate for the international employment law firm of Littler Mendelson, P.C., located in Los Angeles, California.

3. Since graduating from law school, my practice has focused exclusively on employment litigation. In September 1998, I began working as an associate attorney at the international law firm of Littler Mendelson P.C., at its Los Angeles office, which according to its website is the largest law firm in the world exclusively devoted to representing management in employment, employee benefits and labor law matters.

4. In 2000, I left Littler Mendelson to work at the Los Angeles office of Jones Day, which was at the time one of the largest international firms in the world. During my employment at Jones Day, I worked exclusively in the labor and employment department. In or around 2003, I went to work at the law firm of Loeb & Loeb, again working exclusively in the Labor & Employment department. In 2008, I returned to Littler Mendelson, was promoted to Shareholder in 2009, and from 2008 until the end of 2017, I practiced exclusively labor and employment law, with a strong emphasis and most of my time working on wage and hour class actions venued in both state and federal courts. During that time and my legal career in general, I also worked on a number of single and multi-plaintiff wage and hour matters as well.

5. During my employment at Littler Mendelson, I played a significant role in the class actions that I was staffed on, and ran many on my own both as an Of Counsel and as a Shareholder. In particular, I received a wide-array of wage and hour class action experience performing the following types of tasks: drafting demurrers, motions to strike and/or dismiss; removing actions from state court to federal court; drafting and responding to written discovery; drafting and opposing

discovery related motions; arguing discovery related motions; drafting motions to consolidate related matters; interviewing putative class members and obtaining declarations in connection with class certification; drafting oppositions to motions for class certification; drafting motions for decertification following class certification; conducting exposure analyses to assess the strengths and weaknesses of asserted claims, the likelihood of prevailing at class certification and potential damages resulting from such claims; drafting mediation briefs; serving as the primary contact to in-house counsel; deposing named plaintiffs and putative class members; deposing retained expert witnesses; and defending the depositions of corporate witnesses. I also was involved in the negotiations and settlements of many large wage and hour class actions. In short, I played an integral role in all aspects of litigation from the inception of a matter through and beyond class certification. I also supervised the work of several junior attorneys who were staffed on the same wage and hour class actions.

6. Although not exhaustive, below is a list of many of the wage and hour class and PAGA actions that I performed substantial work on while I was a shareholder at Littler Mendelson:

- *Ortega v. J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.*, Case No. 07-cv-8336-RGK-AFMx, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Gary Klausner, presiding[1].
- *Aceves v. J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.*, Case No. CIVDS1306133, California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino, Honorable Michael Sachs, presiding.
- *Moreno v. J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.*, Case No. BC388612, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Mel Red Recana, presiding.
- *Newcomb v. J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.*, Case No. RG16815734, California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Honorable Winifred Smith, presiding.

[1] My work on this matter including proceedings not only in District Court, but also work before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit as well as the United States Supreme Court.

- *Vaquero v. Stoneledge Furniture, LLC, et al.*, Case No. 12-cv-08590-PA-MAN, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Percy Anderson, presiding.
- *Vaquero v. Stoneledge Furniture, LLC, et al.*, Case No. BC388612, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Elihu Berle, presiding.[2]
- *Dugan v. Stoneledge Furniture, LLC*, Case No. 16-cv-01125-PA-FFMx, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Percy Anderson, presiding.
- *Klune v. Stoneledge Furniture, LLC*, Case No. 14-cv-03986-PA-FFMx, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Percy Anderson, presiding.
- *Diaz v. ABM Industries, Inc.; Ampco Parking*, Case No. BC362932, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Jane Johnson, presiding.[3]
- *Adams v. Luxottica Retail North America, Inc.*, Case No. 07CC01346, California Superior Court, County of Orange, Honorable Thierry Patrick Colaw, presiding.
- *Sakkab v. Luxottica Retail North America, Inc.*, Case No. 12-cv-00436-GPC-KSC, United States District Court, Southern District of California.[4]
- *Page v. Oakley, Inc.*, Case No. FCS041512, California Superior Court, County of Solano, Honorable Paul Berman, presiding.
- *Ramirez v. Oakley, Inc.*, Case No. 30-2014-00731617-CU-OE-CJC California Superior Court, County of Orange, Honorable William Claster, presiding.

---

[2] My work on this matter included proceedings not only in the trial court, but also before the California Courts of Appeal and the California Supreme Court.

[3] This matter was consolidated and litigated collectively with Case Nos. BC380923, BC 381523 and BC 406663.

[4] The work I performed on this case included work in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

- *Ramirez v. Oakley, Inc.*, Case No. 30-2014-00723846-CU-DE-CXC, California Superior Court, County of Orange, Honorable William Claster, presiding.
- *Sierra v. Oakley, Inc.*, Case No. 13-cv-00319-AG-JPR, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Andrew Guilford, presiding.[5]
- *Cordova v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-08905-MMM-PLAx, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Margaret Morrow, presiding.
- *Cordova v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-08905-MMM-PLAx, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Margaret Morrow, presiding.
- *Khelghatian v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-7689-DSF-FFMx, United States District Court, Southern District of California, Honorable John A. Houston, presiding.
- *Babasa v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-0595-JAH-BGS, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Margaret Morrow, presiding.
- *Bernal v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-01298-JAH-BGS, United States District Court, Central District of California, Honorable Margaret Morrow, presiding.
- *Khalili v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-08905-MMM-PLAx, United States District Court, Northern District of California.
- *Granata v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 37-2011-00086316-CU-OE-CTL, California Superior Court, County of San Diego, Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, presiding.

[5] The work I performed on this case included work in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

- *Mathews v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. 111CV194781, California Superior Court, County of Santa Clara.
- *Gallegos v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. BC455515, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Terry Green, presiding.
- *Rumohr v. Comerica Bank, et al.*, Case No. CGC-11-508433, California Superior Court, County of San Francisco.
- *Smith v. Werner Enterprises*, Case No. 15-cv-02978-RS, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Honorable Richard Seeborg, presiding.

7. In January 2018, I voluntarily resigned from Littler Mendelson in order to open my own law firm, Lidman Law, APC, to represent employees with work-related claims, including primarily wage and hour claims and almost exclusively on a class-action basis. I am the President and Shareholder of Lidman Law. Currently, over ninety percent (90%) of my practice is dedicated exclusively to the prosecution of wage and hour class actions, and I am currently responsible for prosecuting over sixty-five (65) wage and hour class actions filed in State courts throughout California, with several of them having been removed to Federal courts. I believe that my professional experience renders me more than qualified to serve as class counsel in this lawsuit involving claims of underpaid overtime and minimum wages, meal and rest period violations, and derivative penalty claims. Not only have I litigated dozens of wage and hour cases throughout my career involving similar claims, including the wage and hour class actions identified above, I have litigated similar claims from both plaintiff and defense perspectives. As a result, I am able to realistically assess and evaluate the facts and legal arguments that can be made in pursuit and defense of similar wage and hour claims, as well as those facts and arguments in support and in opposition to class certification. Consequently, I am able to appreciate the significant risks, uncertainties, and delay involved in wage and hour class action litigation.

8. I have been appointed Class Counsel in: *Guardado v. Wesley Allen, Inc.*, Case No. BC683027, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl presiding; *Rodriguez v. Satco, Inc.*, Case No. BC682137, California Superior Court, County of Los

Angeles, Honorable Judge Ann I. Jones presiding; *Ong v. Howard's Appliances, Inc.*, Case No. 30-2017-00964213-CU-OE-CXC, California Superior Court, County of Orange, Honorable Judge William Claster presiding; *Hanke v. C&W Facility Services, Inc.*, Case No. CIVDS1814412, California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino, Honorable Judge David Cohn presiding; *Molina v. Mader News, Inc.*, Case No. BC685960, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Yvette M. Palazuelos presiding; *Alvarez v. Salamander Fire Protection, Inc.*, Case No. BC688326, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge William F. Highberger presiding; *Rodriguez v. H.F. Cox, Inc.*, Case No. BC653164, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Carolyn B. Kuhl presiding; *Fregoso v. Amerigas Propane, Inc.*, Case No. BC697973, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Rafael A. Ongkeko presiding; *Teran v. Western Plastics, Inc.*, Case No. RIC1722619, California Superior Court, County of Riverside, Honorable Judge Sunshine Sykes presiding; *Villegas de Torres v. El Monte Superstore Inc.*, Case No. BC688477, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Daniel J. Buckley presiding; *Huynh v. Polypeptide Laboratories, Inc.*, Case No. BC680872, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Rafael A. Ongkeko presiding; *Guzman v. Century Blinds,* Case No. RIC1723654, California Superior Court, County of Riverside, Honorable Judge Sunshine S. Sykes presiding; *George v. Convergence Marketing,* Case No. CIVDS1901039, California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino, Honorable Judge David S. Cohn presiding; *Coffee, et al. v. Flyers Transportation Energy, LLC,* Case No. 34-2017-00222084, California Superior Court, County of Sacramento, Honorable Judge Alan G. Perkins presiding; *Romero v. Fillmore Hospitality, LLC,* Case No. BC705667, California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge Maren E. Nelson presiding; *Wennerstrom, et al. v. Giant Inland Empire R.V. Center,* Case No. CIVDS1617291, California Superior Court, County of San Bernardino, Honorable Judge David S. Cohn presiding; *Contreras, et al. v. Letter Ride, LLC,* Case No. 37-2018-00020841-CU-OE-CTL, California Superior Court, County of San Diego, Honorable Judge Eddie C. Sturgeon presiding; *Davis v. WeDriveU.*, Case No. 18CV322578, California Superior Court, County of Santa Clara, Honorable Judge Brian C. Walsh presiding; *Acuna v. Canton Food Co., Inc.*, Case No. BC685001,

California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Honorable Judge David S. Cunningham presiding; and *Contreras v. Worldwide Flight Services, Inc.*, Case No. 2:18-cv-06036-PSG-SS, United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Honorable Judge Philip S. Gutierrez presiding.

9. As co-lead counsel for Plaintiff and the proposed Settlement Class, I have been intimately involved in every aspect of this case from its inception through the present, and I believe that the proposed Settlement is a favorable result for the Settlement Class Members under the circumstances presented.

10. On March 12, 2024, Plaintiff Cortez gave written notice to the Labor Workforce and Development Agency ("LWDA") and filed a wage and hour class action against Defendant Extreme Transportation, Inc. ("Defendant") in San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2024-00012130-CU-OE-CTL (the "*Cortez* Action"). A true and correct copy of Plaintiff Cortez's LWDA letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

11. On May 17, 2024, Plaintiff Cortez filed a First Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint against Defendant adding a single claim for civil penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA").

12. On May 11, 2026, pursuant to stipulation and Court order, Plaintiff Cortez filed a Second Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint adding Antonio Rodriguez and William Miller as named plaintiffs and adding causes of action for (i) failure to pay all wages owed, (ii) meal period violations, (iii) rest period violations, (iv) failure to reimburse business expenses, (v) waiting time penalties, and (vi) unfair competition.

//

13. I have directed my office to submit a copy of the instant Motion for Preliminary Approval and all supporting documents via the LWDA's website on the same date concurrently herewith and will file a proof of submission after the Motion for Preliminary Approval and all supporting documents are submitted to the LWDA.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 5 2026 at El Segundo, California.

Scott M. Lidman

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

LIDMAN LAW
2155 CAMPUS DRIVE, SUITE 150, EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245
OFFICE: (424) 322-4772
FAX: (424) 322-4775

March 12, 2024

VIA LWDA WEBSITE
Labor and Workforce Development Agency
Attn: PAGA Administrator
1515 Clay Street, Suite 801
Oakland, CA 94612

VIA U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Extreme Transportation, Inc.
c/o Yu Kwak
3131 Camino Del Rio N.
Suite# 1150
San Diego, CA 92108

VIA U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Extreme Transportation, Inc.
3131 Camino Del Rio N.
Suite #1150
San Diego, CA 92108

Re: *Luis Cortez v. Extreme Transportation, Inc.*

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that this law firm represents Luis Cortez in claims arising from his employment with Extreme Transportation, Inc. ("Defendant"). Mr. Cortez is an "aggrieved employee" as defined by Labor Code sections 2699, *et seq.* due to Defendant's violations of the Labor Code as set forth below. The purpose of this letter is to comply with Labor Code section 2699.3, which requires aggrieved employees to notify their employer and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") of the specific provisions of the Labor Code allegedly violated. For purposes of this letter, an "aggrieved employee" should be considered to include all current and former drivers employed by Defendant and whom received a wage statement from Defendant during the one year preceding the date of this letter through the date of an eventual judgment or settlement.

Defendant did (and continues to do) business by providing, among others services, transportation services. Mr. Cortez was employed by Defendant as a company driver within the one year preceding the submission of this letter, including up to February 28, 2024 when his employment was separated.

Throughout Mr. Cortez's employment, Defendant failed to provide Mr. Cortez and other drivers with accurate, itemized wage statements, in violation of Labor Code section 226. Specifically, the wage statements Defendant provided to their employees failed to include the total hours worked during the applicable pay period, in violation of Labor Code section 226.

As described above, Defendant committed the following violations of the Labor Code:

Wage Statement Violations

Defendant knowingly and intentionally, as a matter of uniform practice and policy, failed to furnish Mr. Cortez and other aggrieved employees with accurate and complete, itemized wage statements that included the total hours worked in violation of Labor Code § 226 *et seq.* Defendant's failure to furnish Mr. Cortez and other aggrieved employees with complete and accurate, itemized wage statements resulted in actual injury, as said failures deprived them of the information necessary to identify potential discrepancies in Defendant's reported data.

As an "aggrieved employee," Mr. Cortez will initiate a civil action on behalf of himself and other aggrieved employees to recover damages, statutory penalties, and civil penalties resulting from the wage statement violations alleged herein. Based on Mr. Cortez's own investigation, and on information and belief, Defendant committed the following Labor Code violations:

a) Defendant violated Labor Code § 226 by failing to furnish Mr. Cortez and other aggrieved employees with accurate and compliant itemized wage statements.

Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3(a)(2)(A), please notify us and Defendant if the LWDA intends to investigate these alleged violations of the Labor Code. Please contact me should you require additional information.

Very truly yours,
LIDMAN LAW APC

Scott M. Lidman