ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY STATE BAR NUMBER: 279810
NAME: Jennifer Gerstenzang, Esq.
FIRM NAME: Zakay Law Group, APLC
STREET ADDRESS: 3110 Camino Del Rio S, Suite 308
CITY: San Diego STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 92108
TELEPHONE NO.: 619-255-9047 FAX NO.:
EMAIL ADDRESS: liana@zakaylaw.com
ATTORNEY FOR *(name)*: Plaintiff Jospeh Naumann

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102
BRANCH NAME: Civil Center Courthouse

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Plaintiff Joseph Naumann
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Central Admixture Pharmacy Services, Inc. et al.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER

CASE NUMBER:
CGC-24-612758

(Check one): [X] **UNLIMITED CASE** (Amount demanded exceeded $35,000) [ ] **LIMITED CASE** (Amount demanded was $35,000 or less)


TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on *(date)*: November 2, 2026

2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: November 3, 2026

Jennifer Gerstenzang, Esq.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF [X] ATTORNEY [ ] PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)


(SIGNATURE)

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
CIV-130 [Rev. January 1, 2024]

www.courts.ca.gov

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Plaintiff Joseph Naumann DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Central Admixture Pharmacy Services, Inc. et al.	CASE NUMBER: CGC-24-612758

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER

(NOTE: You cannot serve the* Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order *if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action.** I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is *(specify):*

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and *(check one):*
   a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
   b. ☐ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:
   a. on *(date):*
   b. from *(city and state):*

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:
   a. Name of person served:
      Street address:
      City:
      State and zip code:
   b. Name of person served:
      Street address:
      City:
      State and zip code:
   c. Name of person served:
      Street address:
      City:
      State and zip code:
   d. Name of person served:
      Street address:
      City:
      State and zip code:

   ☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. *(You may use form POS-030(P).)*

5. Number of pages attached:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

6

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
shani@zakaylaw.com
Eden Zakay (State Bar #339536)
eden@zakaylaw.com
Jennifer Gerstenzang (State Bar #279810)
jenny@zakaylaw.com
Jaclyn Joyce (State Bar #285124)
jaclyn@zakaylaw.com
3110 Camino Del Rio S, Suite 308
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 255-9047

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292

Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court
NOV 02 2026
CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: _____ Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JOSEPH NAUMANN and SARAH LUCHUCK, individuals, on behalf of themselves, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. CENTRAL ADMIXTURE PHARMACY SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, Defendant.	Case No. CGC-24-612758 **~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT** Date: November 2, 2026 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 302

This matter having come before the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Francisco, at 9:00 a.m. on November 2, 2026, with Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of the Zakay Law Group, APLC and Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of the JCL Law Firm, APC as counsel for Plaintiff Joseph Naumann, Nick Rosenthal, Esq. of the Law Office of Nick Rosenthal, APC and Taras Kick, Esq. of The Kick Law Firm, APC as counsel on behalf of Plaintiff Sarah Luchuck, and Paul M. Smith, Esq. of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C., as counsel for Defendant CENTRAL ADMIXTURE PHARMACY SERVICES, INC., ("Defendant"). The Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement of Class Action and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), a true and correct copy of which is attached to the Declaration of Shani O. Zakay, Esq. as Exhibit "1". This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769.

2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Total Settlement Amount that Defendant shall pay is Nine Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($950,000.00). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of

intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations.

4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

5. Plaintiffs seek Attorneys' Fees in the amount not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, currently estimated at Three Hundred Sixteen Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Seven Cents ($316,666.67), plus a reimbursement of reasonably incurred expenses in an amount of up to Thirty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($35,000.00), and proposed Service Awards to the Class Representatives, Joseph Naumann and Sarah Luchuck, in an amount of not more than Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) each. While these awards appear to be within the range of reasonableness, the Court will not approve the Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, or the Service Awards until the Final Approval Hearing.

6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this, or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class:

> "All individuals who are or were employed as non-exempt employees of Defendant Central Admixture Pharmacy Services, Inc. within the State of California during the period from December 27, 2019 to July 27, 2025 ("Class Period"), except for those employees who signed severance agreements with a general release of claims arising out of the closure of Defendant's San Diego location in or around October 2023."

7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class Members is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest

amongst the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for the Class Representatives in their individual capacities and as the representatives of the Class Members.

8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiffs Joseph Naumann and Sarah Luchuck as the representatives of the Class.

9. The Court provisionally appoints Shani Zakay, of Zakay Law Group, APLC, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., of JCL Law Firm, APC, Taras Kick and Tyler Dosaj of The Kick Law Firm, APC, and Nick Rosenthal, Esq. of The Law Office of Nick Rosenthal, APC as Class Counsel for the Class Members.

10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Proposed Notice of Pendency of Class Action Settlement and Final Hearing Date ("Class Notice" or "Notice") attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A". The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including the right of any Class Member to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written request for exclusion, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the notices substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

11. The Court hereby appoints Apex Class Action, LLC as Settlement Administrator. Within twenty (20) calendar days after Defendant receives notice of an order granting preliminary approval of this Settlement, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Data for purposes of preparing and mailing Notice Packets to the Class Members. The Class Data includes information regarding Class Members that Defendant will in good faith compile from its records, including each

Class Member's full name, last-known mailing address, Social Security number, and start and end dates of employment as a non-exempt employee. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt of the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall mail copies of the Notice Packet to all Class Members via regular First-Class U.S. Mail.

12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the Notice by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Settlement of the Released Class Claims that are set forth in the Notice. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received by the Response Deadline which is forty-five (45) calendar days after the Settlement Administrator mails the Notice Packets to Class Members or, in the case of re-mailed Notice, not more than fifteen (15) days from the original Response Deadline. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Class portion of the Settlement, or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement, and Judgment.

13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members will have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails the Class Notice to postmark their written objections to the Settlement Administrator.

14. A hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval and Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, and Service Award shall be held before this Court on March 22, 2024 at 9:00 AM/PM in Department 302 of the San Francisco County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate

and reasonable to the Class; and to finally approve the Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, Service Awards, and the Claims Administration Expenses. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and the motion for Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses, and Service Awards shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel within twenty-eight (28) days following the expiration of the Response Deadline. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and the motion for Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses + Service Awards shall be filed no later than March 8, 2026. (AMC)

15. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Settlement Agreement shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.

16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

Dated: 11/2/2026

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Judge A. Marisa Chun