BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
Jeffrey S. Herman (State Bar #280058)
Sergio Julian Puche (State Bar #289437)
Trevor G. Moran (State Bar #330394)
2255 Calle Clara
La Jolla, CA 92037
Telephone: (858)551-1223
Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

Website: www.bamlawca.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

MARIO MARTIN, an individual, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA), INC., a Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 25CV108308

DECLARATION OF MARIO MARTIN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT

Hearing Date: December 7, 2026
Hearing Time: 3:00 p.m.
Reservation ID: 450243218975

Judge: Hon. Joscelyn Jones
Dept.: 19

Action Filed: January 24, 2025
Trial Date: Not set

I, Mario Martin, declare as follows:

1. I am over the age of eighteen, a Plaintiff and a proposed Class Representative in the above-entitled matter. I submit this declaration in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and in support of my application for a class representative service payment.

2. I have personal knowledge of all the facts stated herein. I could and would competently testify under oath to these facts in court if requested to do so.

3. I worked for the Defendant United Rentals (North America), Inc. ("Defendant") in California from February of 2022 to August of 2024, and during that time period was classified by Defendant as a non-exempt employee.

4. I retained my attorneys who are experienced in both class action and PAGA representative action litigation and claims against employers for violations of the California Labor Code. I have no personal relationship or family ties to my attorneys or any officer of the Court. I am not aware of having any actual or potential conflicts of interest with another class member in this case. I am not aware of any other pending matter or action asserting claims that will be extinguished or adversely affected by this settlement.

5. I decided to file this class action lawsuit and be a plaintiff/class representative because I felt that my legal rights as an employee and others like me were violated. For example, I was forced to work off the clock, as prior to clocking in for my shift, I was required to put on my uniform consisting of pants, shirt, heavy-duty boots, and PPE, including helmet, glasses and gloves. This took approximately 15 minutes each day. In fact, I was specifically advised by my supervisor that I had to be "ready for work" when clocking in. Similarly, I was required to clock out at the end of my shift, and take off my clothing, storing my uniform in a mandated locker. I also did not always receive compliant meal and rest breaks which were often late, short or missed entirely – and when these violations occurred, I did not receive all break penalty payments I was entitled to. Defendant also miscalculated my regular rate, resulting in an underpayment of my overtime pay.

6. I spoke to my attorneys several times and discussed how Defendant implemented its company policies and procedures. I also assisted my attorneys in their investigation into my claims by providing them documents and answering their questions. I reviewed the complaint before it

was filed and was given access to an electronic file sharing program that alerted me via email when important documents were filed so that I could review them and keep up with the developments in the case which I understood was one of my duties as a class representative. I could also access court filings from this case on my attorney's law firm website. Also, I was informed that Defendant had the right to take my deposition, and that the preparation and deposition itself could take multiple days. Although my deposition was not taken, I feel I would have been adequately prepared and comfortable to give testimony on my experiences.

7. Even though this action is in the process of settling, I was and remain prepared to perform all the duties of a class representative. I understand that as a class representative I have assumed a fiduciary responsibility to prosecute this class action on behalf of the absent class members. I have understood that as a fiduciary, I have a duty to prosecute this action for the benefit of the class members and surrender any right to compromise the group action for an individual gain.

8. I understood that being a plaintiff/class representative in this case meant that I was seeking damages not only for myself but also other current and/or former non-exempt employees working for Defendant in California who make up the class. I felt that these individuals were not aware of their labor law rights and even if they were they would probably be apprehensive about speaking up or even simply because of the time, effort and risk involved in filing a class action lawsuit.

9. I understood that being a part of this lawsuit involved risks. For example, my attorneys explained to me that if the case went to trial and we lost, I could be held responsible to pay for all or part of the attorney fees and costs paid by Defendant to defend this lawsuit. Also, I knew there was a risk that future employers, if they ever find out about this lawsuit, could hold it against me or downgrade me as a potential hire. As the only named Plaintiff in this case it would not be difficult for a future employer to become aware that I sued a former employer for labor law violations. Ultimately, I decided these risks were worth it and decided to fight for my rights and the rights of others regardless of the risks, time and effort I spent on this case.

10. During the lawsuit I stayed in touch with my attorneys by phone and email. I also kept up to date on important developments by reviewing court filings that were made available to me electronically as I described above.

11. A mediation took place on June 17, 2026, with Steve Serratore, Esq., a well-respected and experienced mediator of wage and hour class actions. After the mediation the parties were able to come to an agreement to settle the action. I communicated with my attorneys regarding the terms of the settlement which was reached between the parties and understood that I was representing absent class members and therefore wanted the best possible result to be obtained for the class members and I believe a very positive result was in fact achieved via settlement. I reviewed and signed the Memorandum of Understanding on July 20, 2026. When the final settlement agreement was ready, I reviewed it closely and signed it on October 28, 2026.

12. I have been actively involved with this lawsuit performing the duties described above. Although I did not keep time records, I was in regular contact with my attorneys, reviewed court filings and spent a significant amount of time on the issues presented during the lawsuit and in the settlement process. I estimate that I spent approximately 30-40 hours working on this case up until this point. I believe I have been diligent and have done what is expected of a named plaintiff and a proposed class representative to date, and will continue to do so. I have and always will maintain the best interest of the class members.

13. My attorneys explained to me that the settlement process involves a two-step review by the Court to determine whether the settlement is fair before approving the settlement. I know this process also involves notifying all class members of the settlement terms and of their rights to make a claim for their settlement share, to opt out of the settlement or to object to the settlement.

14. I believe I did the right thing by filing this case on behalf of the class members who, subject to court approval, are in line to receive monetary payments as a result of this case and settlement. This is money they may never have ever gotten if I did not pursue this action on their behalf. I feel significant personal satisfaction to know that I played a role in the class members being entitled to monetary payments as a result of the filing of this lawsuit. I also believe that the requested class representative service award of $15,000 from the settlement is fair compensation for the work I performed and the risks I undertook.

15. In light of all the time and effort I have spent on this case, the risk I undertook by suing a former employer, the exposure to being responsible for paying Defendant costs in the event we did

not win the case, the reputational risk that future employers may hold this lawsuit against me, and in light of the size of the settlement, I believe the request for $15,000 as a class representative service payment is fair and reasonable.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 11/10/2026 (date), at Mario Martin (city, state).

Mario martin (Nov 10, 2026 21:55:42 PST)

Mario Martin