

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

4 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

5 2255 Calle Clara

6 La Jolla, CA 92037

7 Telephone: (858)551-1223

8 Facsimile: (858)551-1232

9 Email: Kyle@bamlawca.com

10 Website: www.bamlawca.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

14 JOEL VELEZ, an individual, on behalf of
15 himself and on behalf of all persons similarly
16 situated,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 HERBER AIRCRAFT SERVICE, INC., a
20 California Corporation; and DOES 1 through
21 50, inclusive,

22 Defendants.

CASE NO.: **24STCV12247**

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: September 16, 2026

Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.

*[Hearing scheduled by Orders dated February 20,
2026 and May 21, 2026]*

Judge: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl

Dept.: SS-12

Action Filed: May 15, 2024

Trial Date: Not set

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on September 16, 2026, the Court entered the
3 Judgment in the above entitled action. A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached hereto
4 as Exhibit #1.

5 Respectfully submitted,

6

7 Dated: September 16, 2026

**BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK
DE BLOUW LLP**

8

By: /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug
Kyle R. Nordrehaug
Attorneys for Plaintiff

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

3 I, Kyle Nordrehaug, am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am
4 over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La
5 Jolla, California 92037.

6 On September 16, 2026, I served the document(s) described as:

7 **1. Notice of Entry of Judgment**

8 X **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (via electronic service provider):** I caused a copy of the
9 document(s) referenced above to be electronically transmitted to Case Anywhere, an
10 electronic filing/service provider at www.caseanywhere.com pursuant to the Court's Order
11 governing the matter mandating electronic service. The transmission was reported as
12 complete and without error to the following e-mail address(es):

13 DUNN DESANTIS WALT & KENDRICK, LLP

14 Kevin V. DeSantis, Esq.

15 Bradley A. Lebow, Esq.

16 Evan K. McNally, Esq.

17 750 B Street, Suite 2620

18 San Diego, CA 92101

19 Email(s): KdeSantis@ddwklaw.com; Blebow@ddwklaw.com; EmcNally@ddwklaw.com;
20 cbarguiarena@ddwklaw.com

21 Attorneys for Defendant

22 X **ONLINE TO THE LWDA:** I caused the above-described document to be delivered to the
23 Labor Workforce Development Agency via online process at the PAGA Filing website in
24 accordance with the procedure imposed by the LWDA.

25 X (State): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
26 above is true and correct. Executed on September 16, 2026, at La Jolla, California.

27
28

Kyle Nordrehaug

EXHIBIT #1

Electronically Received 08/21/2026 10:53 AM

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
4 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
5 2255 Calle Clara
6 La Jolla, CA 92037
7 Telephone: (858)551-1223
8 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

12
13 JOEL VELEZ, an individual, on behalf of
14 himself and on behalf of all persons similarly
situated,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 HERBER AIRCRAFT SERVICE, INC., a
18 California Corporation; and DOES 1 through
50, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

CASE NO.: **24STCV12247**

**~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL APPROVAL
ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: September 16, 2026
Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl
Dept: SS-12

Date Filed: May 15, 2024
Trial Date: Not set

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

09/16/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Rosas Deputy

1 The unopposed motion of Plaintiff Joel Velez (“Plaintiff”) for an order finally approving
2 the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) with Defendant Herber
3 Aircraft Service, Inc. (“Defendant”), attorneys’ fees and costs, service payment, and the expenses
4 of the Administrator duly came on for hearing on September 16, 2026 before the Honorable
5 Carolyn B. Kuhl.

6 **I.**

7 **FINDINGS**

8 Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the
9 motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 10 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 11 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before
12 the Superior Court for the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, and over all
13 Parties to this litigation, including the Class.
- 14 3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiffs and a review of the
15 applicable law, the Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of Four Hundred Sixty-Four
16 Thousand Fifty Dollars (\$464,050) and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable,
17 and adequate.
- 18 4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm’s length
19 negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became
20 familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount of the
21 Settlement, and the assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process, among other
22 factors, support the Court’s conclusion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

23 **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

- 24 5. On February 20, 2026, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement.
25 At this same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement
26 purposes only.

27 **Notice to the Class**

1 6. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court-approved Class
2 Notice was mailed by first class mail to members of the Class at their last-known addresses on or
3 about June 4, 2026. This mailing of the Class Notice to their last-known addresses was the best
4 notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual
5 notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the
6 Class Members fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the
7 proposed Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion
8 from the Settlement; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied
9 fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other
10 applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided
11 Class Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

12 7. The Response Deadline for opting out or submitting written objections to the
13 Settlement was August 3, 2026, which for re-mailings was extended by fourteen (14) days. There
14 was an adequate interval between notice and the deadline to permit Class Members to choose what
15 to do and to act on their decision. A full and fair opportunity has been afforded to the Class
16 Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be
17 heard have had a full and fair opportunity to be heard. Class Members also have had a full and
18 fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the
19 Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly submit a request for
20 exclusion are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

21 **Fairness of the Settlement**

22 8. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*
23 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).

24 a. The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the
25 Parties during an all-day mediation before Tagore Subramaniam, an experienced mediator of wage
26 and hour class actions. There has been no collusion between the Parties in reaching the
27 Settlement.

1 b. Plaintiff and Class Counsel's investigation and discovery have been
2 sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.

3 c. Counsel for all Parties are experienced in similar employment class action
4 and PAGA litigation. Class Counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.

5 d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. No
6 objections were received. No requests for exclusion were received.

7 e. The participation rate was high. 66 Participating Class Members will be
8 mailed a settlement payment, representing 100% of the overall Class.

9 9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the
10 Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims
11 asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable and adequate compensation for the release of Class
12 Members' claims, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays
13 which would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

14 10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate and reasonable and in the best
15 interests of the Class Members.

16 **Class Counsel Fees Payment and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment**

17 11. An award of \$154,683 for attorneys' fees, representing one-third of the Gross
18 Settlement Amount, and \$26,375.42 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the
19 contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results
20 achieved by Class Counsel. The requested awards have been supported by Class Counsel's
21 lodestar and billing statement.

22 **Class Representative Service Payment**

23 12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payment of not more
24 than \$12,500 to the Plaintiff, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that the Class
25 Representative Service Payment in the amount of \$12,500 to the Plaintiff is reasonable in light of
26 the risks and burdens undertaken by the Plaintiff in this litigation and for his time and effort in
27 bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class, and the high average amount received
28 by class members.

1 **Administration Expenses Payment**

2 13. The Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made to the
3 Class Members, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the
4 Class Representative Service Payment to the Plaintiff, issue all required tax reporting forms,
5 calculate withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth in the Agreement. The
6 Administrator has documented \$6,500 in fees and expenses, and this amount is reasonable in light
7 of the work performed by the Administrator.

8 **PAGA Penalties**

9 14. The Agreement provides for PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount
10 of \$10,000, which shall be allocated \$7,500 to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency
11 ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this
12 Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$2,500 to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees and
13 allocated by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' 25% share of PAGA Penalties
14 (\$2,500) by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during
15 the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Pay
16 Periods. "Aggrieved Employees" are all individuals who are or previously were employed by
17 Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt employees at any time during the PAGA
18 Period (January 11, 2023 through May 12, 2025). Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, the
19 LWDA was provided notice of the Agreement and these settlement terms and has not indicated
20 any objection thereto. The Court finds the PAGA Penalties to be reasonable.

21 **II.**

22 **ORDERS**

23 Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

24 15. The Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Class is defined as
25 follows:

26 All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant who were
27 classified as non-exempt in the State of California at any time during the Class
28 Period but excluding those individuals who signed individual release agreements
(May 15, 2020 through May 12, 2025).

1 16. All persons who meet the foregoing definition are members of the Class, except for
2 those individuals who filed a valid request for exclusion (“opt out”) from the Class. There were
3 no individuals who requested exclusion.
4

5 17. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the
6 best interest of the Class. Defendant shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund
7 the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendant’s share of payroll taxes, by transmitting the funds to
8 the Administrator no later than 14 days after the Effective Date.

9 18. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys’ fees in the amount of \$154,683 and costs in
10 the amount of \$26,375.42. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or
11 reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff or members of the Class.

12 19. The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment in the amount of
13 \$12,500 to the Plaintiff is approved.

14 20. The payment of \$6,500 to the Administrator for its fees and expenses is approved.

15 21. The PAGA Penalties amount of \$10,000 is approved and is to be distributed in
16 accordance with the Agreement.

17 22. Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, Class Counsel shall submit a copy of this
18 Final Approval Order and Judgment to the LWDA within 10 days after its entry.

19 23. Neither the Agreement nor this Settlement is an admission by Defendant, nor is this
20 Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any
21 wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class or representative treatment
22 (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the
23 Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement
24 is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault,
25 wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any
26 negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be
27 evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant.
28 Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding

1 this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in
2 the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel,
3 release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class
4 Claims and/or Released PAGA Claims.

5 24. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all
6 Parties by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. The Final Approval Order
7 and Judgment shall be posted on the website as set forth in the Class Notice to the Class. It shall
8 not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual
9 Class Members.

10 25. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms
11 of the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in
12 connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall
13 revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve
14 their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available
15 defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be
16 certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action.

17 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED THAT:**

18 26. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
19 Plaintiffs, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

20 27. All Parties shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise
21 provided in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

22 28. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement
23 Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class
24 Payments, Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA will
25 release claims against all Released Parties as follows:

26 (a) All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their
27 respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors,
28

1 and assigns, release Released Parties from all class claims that were alleged, or reasonably could
2 have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative Complaint in the Actions which
3 occurred during the Class Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for
4 vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act,
5 unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and class claims
6 outside of the Class Period ("Released Class Claims").

7 (b) All Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA are deemed to release, on behalf
8 of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs,
9 administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties
10 that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the Operative
11 Complaint in the Actions and Plaintiff's PAGA Notice which occurred during the PAGA Period,
12 and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful
13 termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance,
14 disability, social security, workers' compensation, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period
15 ("Released PAGA Claims").

16 (c) Plaintiff and his respective former and present spouses, representatives,
17 agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge
18 Released Parties from the Plaintiff's Release, as fully set forth in the Agreement.

19 29. For any Class Member or Aggrieved Employee whose Individual Class Payment
20 check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the
21 Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's
22 Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member thereby leaving no "unpaid residue"
23 subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b).

24 30. The Court hereby enters judgment in the Action as of the filing date of this Order
25 and Judgment, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement. Without affecting the finality of
26 this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the
27
28

1 interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders entered in
2 connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
3 31. The final report of the administrator is ordered to be filed by Aug. 23, 2027.
4 **LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.**

09/16/2026

5 Dated: _____



A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Carolyn B. Kuhl". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge

HON. CAROLYN B. KUHL
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA