BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
2255 Calle Clara
La Jolla, CA 92037
Telephone: (858)551-1223
Facsimile: (858) 551-1232
Email: Kyle@bamlawca.com
Website: www.bamlawca.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

DEA LOGSDON, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DIGIRAD IMAGING SOLUTIONS, INC., a Corporation; DIGIRAD CORPORATION, a Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: **37-2024-00006267-CU-OE-CTL**

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: May 29, 2025
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Matthew C. Braner
Dept.: 60

Date Action Filed: February 9, 2024
Trial Date: Not set

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on October 5, 2026, the Court entered the Judgment in the above entitled action. A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit #1.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 9, 2026

BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP

By: */s/ Kyle Nordrehaug*
Kyle R. Nordrehaug, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

I, Kyle Nordrehaug, am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2255 Calle Clara, La Jolla, California 92037.

On October 9, 2026, I served the document(s) described as:

1. Notice of Entry of Judgment

X (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE): Pursuant to CCP §1010.6, I provided the documents referenced above electronically via electronic mail (e-mail) to counsel for Defendant at the following confirmed e-mail address(es):

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
Roger M. Mansukhani
Justin M. Michitsch
Scott J. Kerr
633 West Fifth Street, 52nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Email(s): rmansukhani@grsm.com; jmichitsch@grsm.com; sjkerr@grsm.com; emartinez@grsm.com; mxdiaz@grsm.com

Attorneys for Defendant

X (ONLINE TO THE LWDA): I caused the above-described document to be delivered to the Labor Workforce Development Agency via online process at the PAGA Filing website in accordance with the procedure imposed by the LWDA.

X (State): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 9, 2026, at La Jolla, California.

By: */s/ Kyle Nordrehaug*
Kyle R. Nordrehaug, Esq.

EXHIBIT #1

~~RECEIVED~~
~~5/29/2026 12:31:17 PM~~

BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
2255 Calle Clara
La Jolla, CA 92037
Telephone: (858)551-1223
Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
San Diego Superior Court
OCT 05 2026
Clerk of the Superior Court
By: K. Sorianosos, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

DEA LOGSDON, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DIGIRAD IMAGING SOLUTIONS, INC., a Corporation; DIGIRAD CORPORATION, a Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: **37-2024-00006267-CU-OE-CTL**

[*REVISED* ~~PROPOSED~~] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Hearing Date: May 28, 2026
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Matthew C. Braner
Dept.: 60

Date Action Filed: February 9, 2024
Trial Date: Not set

The motion of Plaintiff Dea Logsdon ("Plaintiff") for an order finally approving the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") that memorializes the settlement ("Settlement") between Plaintiff and Defendant Digirad Imaging Solutions, Inc. ("Defendant") and for an award of attorneys' fees and costs, service payment, and the fees of the Administrator, duly came on for hearing on May 29, 2026 before the Honorable Matthew C. Braner.

I.

FINDINGS

Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the motion, the Court makes the following findings:

1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending before the California Superior Court for the County of San Diego, and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Class and the Aggrieved Employees.

3. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiff and a review of the applicable law, the Court finds that the Gross Settlement Amount of Two Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($210,000.00) and the terms set forth in the Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate.

4. The Court further finds that the Settlement was the result of arm's length negotiations conducted after Class Counsel had adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of those claims. In particular, the amount of the Settlement, the significant risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues, and the assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process, among other factors, support the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

5. On December 5, 2025, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. At this same time, the Court approved conditional certification of the Class for settlement purposes only. The Court also determined the group of Aggrieved Employees was manageable for

settlement purposes only.

Notice to the Class

6. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed by first class mail to members of the Class at their last known addresses on or about January 5, 2026. Mailing of the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice option under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the Settlement to the Class. The Class Notice given to the Class Members fully and accurately informed the Class Members (and Aggrieved Employees) of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to object to or comment thereon or to seek exclusion from the Settlement; constituted valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Class Members and Aggrieved Employees adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

7. The Response Deadline for opting out of the Class or submitting written objections to the Settlement was February 19, 2026, which was extended by 14 days for re-mailed Class Notices. There was an adequate interval between mailing of the Class Notice and the response deadline to permit Class Members to choose what to do and act on their decision. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Participating Class Members to participate in this hearing, and all Participating Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement and Class. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly submit a request for exclusion are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

Fairness Of Settlement

8. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.* 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).

a. The settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining between the parties during an all-day mediation before Jason Marsili, an experienced mediator of wage and hour class and representative actions. There has been no collusion between the parties in reaching the Settlement.

b. Plaintiff's investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.

c. Counsel for both parties are experienced in similar employment class and representative action litigation. All counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.

d. The percentage of objectors and requests for exclusion is small. No objections were received. No requests for exclusion were received.

e. The participation rate is high. 66 Participating Class Members will be mailed a settlement payment, representing 100% of the overall Class.

9. The consideration to be given to the Class Members under the terms of the Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable and adequate compensation for the release of Class Members' claims and those related to the Aggrieved Employees, given the uncertainties and significant risks of the litigation and the delays that would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.

10. The Agreement is approved as fair, adequate and reasonable and in the best interests of the Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and the California Labor Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA").

Attorneys' Fees and Costs

11. An award of $70,000 for attorneys' fees, representing one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, and $18,803.68 for litigation costs and expenses, is reasonable, in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results achieved by Class Counsel. The requested awards have been supported by Class Counsel's lodestar and billing statement.

Class Representative Service Payment

12. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payment of not more than $12,500 to Plaintiff, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that a Class Representative Service Payment in the reduced amount of $7,500 to Plaintiff is reasonable in light of the risks and burdens undertaken by Plaintiff in the litigation, for her time and effort in bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Class as explained in the Court's tentative ruling, as well as her agreeing to a general release of all claims

Administration Expenses Payment

13. The Administrator shall calculate and administer the payment to be made to the Participating Class Members in the manner set forth in the Agreement, transmit payment for attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, transmit the Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff, distribute the PAGA Penalties, issue any required tax reporting forms, calculate withholdings and perform the other remaining duties set forth in the Agreement. The Administrator has documented $5,000 in fees and expenses, and this amount is reasonable in light of the work performed by the Administrator.

PAGA Penalties

14. The Agreement provides for PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount of $15,000, which shall be allocated as follows: 75% ($11,250) to the LWDA PAGA Payment and 25% ($3,750) to the Individual PAGA Payments to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees. The Administrator will calculate each Individual PAGA Payment by (a) dividing the amount of the Aggrieved Employees' total 25% share of PAGA Penalties ($3,750) by the total number of PAGA Pay Periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period and (b) multiplying the result by each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Pay Periods. "Aggrieved Employees" are all individuals who are or were employed by Defendant as a non-exempt employee in the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period (January 2, 2023 through December 22, 2023). The Court finds the PAGA Penalties to be reasonable. All Aggrieved Employees will be sent their share of the PAGA Penalties and will be subject to the release of the

Released PAGA Claims as set forth below, whether or not they opt out of the Settlement. Note, referring to the portion of the Gross Settlement Amount allocated to resolution of Plaintiff's PAGA claims as "PAGA Penalties" should not be misconstrued as or misinterpreted as an indication of a finding by this Court that Defendant violated California wage and hour law and/or owes civil penalties as a result. Rather, the term "PAGA Penalties" is simply being used as described herein and for settlement purposes only and has no meaning or significance beyond that.

II.

ORDERS

Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

15. The certification of the Class for the purposes of settlement is confirmed. The Class is defined as follows:

> All individuals who are or were employed by Defendant as a non-exempt employee in the State of California at any time during the Class Period (February 9, 2020 through December 22, 2023).

16. All persons who meet the foregoing definition are members of the Class, except for those individuals who filed a valid request for exclusion ("opt out") from the Class. There were no individuals who requested exclusion from the Class.

17. The manageability of the group of Aggrieved Employees for purposes of settlement is confirmed. The group of Aggrieved Employees is defined as follows:

> All individuals who are or were employed by Defendant as a non-exempt employee in the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period (January 2, 2023 through December 22, 2023).

18. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Class, Aggrieved Employees, and LWDA. Defendant shall fund the Gross Settlement Amount and the amount necessary to pay Defendant's share of payroll taxes thereon within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date.

18. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of $70,000 and costs in the amount of $18,803.68. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff or members of the Class.

19. The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment in the amount of $7,500 to Plaintiff is approved.

20. The payment of $5,000 to the Administrator for their fees and expenses is approved.

21. The PAGA Penalties in the amount of $15,000 are approved and shall be allocated in accordance with the Agreement.

22. The Agreement and this Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class treatment (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. Defendant has denied that it has done anything wrong and disputes all the claims in this Action. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant. Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class Claims and/or the Released PAGA Claims.

23. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to all Parties by Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. The Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be posted on Class Counsel's website as set forth in the Class Notice to the Class. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual Class Members. In accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 2699, Plaintiff shall serve this Final Approval Order and Judgment on the LWDA.

24. If the Agreement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all orders entered in connection herewith, shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED THAT:

25. Except as set forth in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Plaintiff, and all members of the Class, shall take nothing in the Action.

26. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement and enforce the Agreement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

27. The Parties are authorized to agree to and to adopt such amendments, modifications and expansions of the Agreement and all exhibits attached thereto which are consistent with this Final Approval Order and Judgment and as approved by the Court.

28. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise provided in the Agreement and in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

29. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer-side payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, all Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from the Released Class Claims. The "Released Class Claims" are all claims that are alleged in the Operative Complaint or reasonably could have been alleged in the Operative Complaint based on the allegations stated in the Operative Complaint as to the Class Period. These claims include Unfair Competition in Violation of the California Business &

Professions Code Sec. 17200, et seq., failure to pay minimum wages, failure to pay overtime (and doubletime) wages, failure to provide required meal periods and/or meal period premiums, failure to provide required rest breaks and/or rest break premiums, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to reimburse business expenses, failure to pay all wages due upon cessation of employment, failure to pay all wages due and owed during employment, and failure to pay sick wages. Except as expressly set forth in the Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release, by participating in this settlement, any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, or workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time.

30. "Released Parties" are defined as: Defendant and each of its former and present directors, officers, shareholders, owners, employees, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, parents, any company or entity that could have been considered or alleged to be a joint employer with Defendant as to the Class Members during the Class Period and/or as to the Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period, Digirad Corporation, and MD Office Solutions.

31. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, all Aggrieved Employees and the LWDA are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims. The "Released PAGA Claims" are all claims for relief under the PAGA (including civil penalties) that are and/or were alleged in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Action, and/or any PAGA Notice(s) or letter(s) issued by Plaintiff to the LWDA, or that could have been alleged in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Action, and/or any PAGA Notice(s) or letter(s) issued by Plaintiff to the LWDA based on the allegations set forth in any of them for the PAGA Period.

32. As of the Effective Date and upon full funding of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, Plaintiff releases and discharges the Defendant and the Released Parties as to Plaintiff's Release, as set forth fully in paragraph 6.1 of the Agreement.

LET JUDGMENT BE FORTHWITH ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/5/26

HON. MATTHEW C. BRANER
JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA