

DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
 Howard L. Magee (State Bar No. 185199)
hmagee@diversitylaw.com
 Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175)
lwlee@diversitylaw.com
 Simon L. Yang (State Bar No. 260286)
sly@diversitylaw.com
 515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
 Los Angeles, California 90071
 Telephone: (213) 488-6555
 Facsimile: (213) 488-6554

POLARIS LAW GROUP
 William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131)
bill@polarislawgroup.com
 501 San Benito Street, Suite 200
 Hollister, California 95023
 Telephone: (831) 531-4214
 Facsimile: (831) 634-0333

HYUN LEGAL, APC
 Dennis S. Hyun (State Bar No. 224240)
dhyun@hyunlegal.com
 515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250
 Los Angeles, California 90071
 Telephone: (213) 488-6555
 Facsimile: (213) 488-6554

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOY ANN JULIAN, as an individual and on
 behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WALGREEN CO., an Illinois corporation; and
 DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-09446-VC

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL
 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
 SETTLEMENT **AS MODIFIED**

Date: March 10, 2022
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Courtroom: 4
 Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

Complaint Filed: November 16, 2020

1 This matter came on for hearing on March 10, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiff, Joy Ann Julian,
2 moves for final approval of a class action settlement and for judgment in this action on the terms set
3 forth in the Amended Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims (“Settlement”). Dkt.
4 Nos. 32-8, 38-1. Defendant, Walgreen Co., does not oppose. The Court has considered the Settlement,
5 all papers and proceedings held herein, and all oral and written comments received regarding the
6 proposed settlement in this action, *Julian v. Walgreen Co.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-09446-VC (“the Action”).
7 Due and adequate notice having been given to the members of the Class, and good cause appearing, the
8 Court finds that:

9 On November 16, 2020, Plaintiff commenced the Action in the Superior Court for the State of
10 California, County of San Mateo. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to pay sick pay
11 wages at the regular rate of pay. The Complaint alleges that Defendant did not factor non-discretionary
12 remuneration, including shift differentials and/or shift premiums, into the regular rate of pay for
13 purposes of calculating and paying sick pay wages. On December 29, 2020, Defendant file its Answer.
14 On December 31, 2020, Defendant filed a Notice of Removal of Action to the United States District
15 Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to diversity jurisdiction.

16 Plaintiff alleged four causes of action on behalf of all current and former non-exempt California
17 employees who were paid sick pay in the same workweek in which they earned any non-discretionary
18 incentive wages from Defendant at any time since May 20, 2016, and as a proxy for the State of
19 California pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”). The class
20 action and PAGA causes of action alleged: (1) violation of Labor Code §§ 201-204, 233, and 246; (2)
21 violation of Labor Code § 226; (3) violation of Labor Code § 2698 et seq.; and (4) unfair or unlawful
22 business practices. Defendant expressly denies the allegations of wrongdoing and violations of law
23 alleged in this Action; and further denies any liability whatsoever to Plaintiff or others.

24 Without admitting any liability, claim, or defense, the Parties determined that to settle the Action
25 and avoid the costs, delay, uncertainty, and disruption of ongoing litigation. On November 18, 2021, the
26 Court granted preliminary approval of the Parties’ Settlement. Dkt. No. 41 (the “Preliminary Approval
27 Order”). On December 27, 2021, the Notice of Class Action Settlement (“Class Notice”) was sent to
28 Class Members in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order.

1 A fairness hearing on the proposed settlement having been held and a decision reached, the Court
2 grants final approval of the class action settlement, and IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

3 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action, Defendant, and the
4 Settlement Class Members.

5 1. The Court has determined that the Class Notice given to Class Members fully and
6 accurately informed all Class Members of all material elements of the proposed class action
7 settlement—including the monetary provisions, the plan of allocation, the release of claims, and the
8 proposed awards of attorneys' fees, costs, and Class Representative Service Payment— constituted the
9 best notice practicable under the circumstances, constituted valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class
10 Members, and complied fully with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States
11 Constitution, and any other applicable laws.

12 2. The Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate
13 in all respects to the Class Members pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and
14 orders the Parties and the Settlement Administrator to implement all remaining terms of the Settlement
15 pertaining to the distribution of the settlement payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

16 3. The plan of distribution as set forth in the Settlement providing for the distribution of the
17 Net Settlement Amount to Settlement Class Members is hereby finally approved as being fair,
18 reasonable, and adequate pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

19 4. As previously held in the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class for settlement purposes
20 is appropriate under Rule 23 and related case law and is defined as follows:

21 All current and former non-exempt California employees who were paid sick pay
22 in the same workweek in which they earned any nondiscretionary incentive wages
23 from Defendant at any time during the Class Period, or May 16, 2016, through
November 18, 2021.

24 5. No individual requested exclusion from the Class.

25 6. As previously held in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, the Court appoints
26 Diversity Law Group, P.C., Polaris Law Group, and Hyun Legal APC as Class Counsel.

1 7. The Court approves payment of a Class Representative Service Payment of \$5,000.00 to
2 Plaintiff for her service to the Class, which shall be paid from, and not in addition to, the Total
3 Settlement Amount.

4 8. The Court approves the payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of **\$68,750.00** to Class
5 Counsel, which shall be paid from, and not in addition to, the Total Settlement Amount. Ten (10) percent
6 of the attorneys' fees awarded will be held back pending the filing of a Post-Distribution Accounting by
7 Class Counsel. The Post-Distribution Accounting shall be filed within twenty-one (21) days after the
8 distribution of the settlement funds (**but before distribution to cy pres recipients**) and should explain in
9 detail when cash payments were sent to Class Members, the number of members who were sent
10 payments, the total amount of payments paid out to Class Members, the average and median recovery
11 per Class Member, the largest and smallest amounts of cash payments paid to Class Members, the
12 number and value of cashed and uncashed checks, the amount **to be** distributed to any cy pres recipient,
13 any significant or recurring concerns communicated by Class Members to the Settlement Administrator
14 and counsel since final approval, and any other issues in settlement administration since final approval,
15 and how any concerns or issues were resolved. Class Counsel are expected to diligently supervise the
16 administration of the Settlement and remain in close contact with the Settlement Administrator. With the
17 Post-Distribution Accounting, Class Counsel should submit a proposed order releasing the remainder of
18 the fees.

19 9. The Court also approves the additional payment of attorneys' costs in the amount of
20 \$7,697.30 to Class Counsel to reimburse them for their expenses, which shall be paid from, and not in
21 addition to, the Total Settlement Amount.

22 10. The Court approves a payment of up to \$8,600 to the Settlement Administrator out of the
23 Total Settlement Amount.

24 11. Any checks for Individual Settlement Payments that are not cashed within 180 days shall
25 be redistributed to those Settlement Class Members who received and cashed their Individual Settlement
26 Payment check, and any of the redistribution checks that are not cashed within 180 days shall be
27 transmitted to Legal Aid At Work.

28 12. All claims asserted in this Action are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to Plaintiff
and Settlement Class Members pursuant to the terms of the Settlement. Each party shall bear his, her, or

its own costs and attorneys' fees, except as provided in the Settlement and as set forth above in this Order or any other Order issued in response to the application by Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and Class Representative Service Award, which was heard concurrently with the hearing for this Order.

13. Upon entry of this Order and the accompanying Judgment, the claims in this Action and the Class Members' Released Claims for each Settlement Class Member against Defendant, and against any and all of the Released Parties defined in the Settlement are fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged pursuant to the terms of the Settlement to the maximum extent permitted by law. The Class Members' Released Claims are defined as follows:

[A]ny and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, penalties, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, action or causes of action of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, contingent or accrued, that are alleged, related to or that reasonably could have arisen out of the same facts alleged in causes of action numbers 1 through 4 in the Action, including, but not limited to: (1) violation of Labor Code §§ 201-204, 233, and 246; (2) violation of Labor Code § 226; (3) violation of Labor Code § 2698 et seq.; and (4) unfair or unlawful business practices. This Release shall include, without limitation, claims that were raised, or that reasonably could have been raised, under the applicable Wage Orders and California Labor Code provisions, including Labor Code §§ 201-204, 210, 226, 226.3, 233, 246, and/or 2698 et seq., based on alleged violations of these Labor Code provisions (collectively, the "Released Claims"). The period of the Released Claims shall be the Class Period. The Parties agree that the judgment, and release of claims provided herein, shall have res judicata effect. The definition of Released Claims shall not be limited in any way by the possibility that Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members may discover new facts or legal theories or legal arguments not alleged in the operative pleadings in the Action but which might serve as an alternative basis for pursuing the same claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief falling within the definition of Released Claims. (the "Release Period").

14. Each Settlement Class Member is bound by this Order and the Judgment, including, without limitation, the release of claims as set forth in the Settlement.

15. This Order, the Judgment, the Settlement, and all papers related thereto, are not, and shall not be construed to be, an admission by Defendant of any liability, claim, or wrongdoing whatsoever, and shall not be offered as evidence of any such liability, claim, or wrongdoing in this Action or in any other proceeding.

16. Without affecting the finality of this Order and the accompanying Judgment filed herewith, the Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Action, the Plaintiff, the Settlement Class Members, and Defendant for the purposes of supervising the implementation,

1 enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement, Preliminary Approval Order,
2 distribution of the Class Settlement Amount, the Final Judgment, and this Order.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 DATED: March 21, 2022

