

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Sydney Castillo Johnson (State Bar #343881)
John L. Nitti (State Bar #330752)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com
jnitti@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Nicole Noursamadi (State Bar #357246)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
shani@zakaylaw.com
nicole@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

EVANGELINA LOZANO and PORFIRIO
SANTIAGO, individuals, on behalf of
themselves, and on behalf of all persons
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CEN CAL BUILDERS & DEVELOPERS,
INC., a California corporation; DOES 1-50,
Inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 23CECG04411

*Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Lisa M.
Gamoian.; Dept. 403*

**DECLARATION OF JUSTIN LO IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEY'S
FEES AND COSTS**

Date: February 19, 2026

Time: 3:27 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Lisa M. Gamoian

Dept.: 403

Complaint Filed: October 9, 2023

DECLARATION OF JUSTIN LO

I, JUSTIN LO, Declare:

1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before all the courts of the State of California and before this Court. I am a founder of the law firm Work Lawyers P.C., and the attorney of record for Plaintiff Porfirio Santiago.
2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the following under oath.
3. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs.
4. Work Lawyers P.C. is representing Plaintiff Porfirio Santiago in the above-entitled action.
5. I am unaware of any conflict of interest between myself or my law firm and any member of the proposed Class which should or would preclude me from representing the Class. I also am unaware of any other cases raising any issues that overlap with the proposed class or issues raised in this matter.
6. On or about October 9, 2023, I filed a Complaint on behalf of the plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees who worked for Defendant in California.
7. In the course of informal discovery prior to mediation my office along with other Plaintiff's counsel received and reviewed sufficient wage statements, employment documents and time cards to derive various damage models and possibilities of violation rates and damages.

Qualifications of Class Counsel

8. I have 13 years of experience as a practicing attorney, I graduated from University of California Irvine in 2006 and graduated from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law in 2011. While in law school, I obtained a specialization in business law and policy.
9. I was selected as a 2019, 2020 and 2021 as a Rising Star in Employment Law by Super Lawyers.

10. I have successfully represented hundreds of employees in legal matters including
PAGA lawsuits, complex litigation cases and individual wage and hour and
employment related matters. Work Lawyers PC's practice is devoted entirely to the
prosecution of employment-related violations of the California Labor Code on
behalf of California employees.
11. Our firm focuses entirely on labor and employment matters on behalf of California
employees and we have been exclusively practicing labor and employment, wage
and hour class and PAGA actions since 2017. My firm is currently lead or co-lead
counsel in over 50 wage and hour class actions and/or representative actions
brought under the Private Attorneys' General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") in both
federal and state court. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a list of my representative wage
and hour cases where I am lead or co-counsel.

THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, JUST AND REASONABLE

12. There was a tremendous amount of work performed by Work Lawyers PC on behalf
of Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff Class on a contingency basis. Not less than 71.20
hours have been expended by Work Lawyers PC on this matter.
13. Plaintiffs counsel now collectively seeks an award of attorneys' fees in the amount
of \$250,000.00 or approximately 1/3rd of the gross settlement amount of the
\$750,000.00 as legal fees for services rendered on a wholly contingent basis as well
as reimbursement of out-of-pocket litigation expenses reasonable incurred in the
prosecution of this litigation in the amount of \$823.32.
14. The Court has the power to award attorney's fees and expenses to Plaintiff's
counsel under the "common fund" theory as held by the California Supreme Court
in *Laffitte v. Robert Half International, Inc.* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480. The "common
fund" theory permits attorneys' fees in a class action to be calculated as a
percentage of the common fund created by a settlement or judgment and an award
is not per se unreasonable merely because it is calculated as a percentage of the
common fund. *Lafitte* at 486.

- 1 15. The applicable hourly billing rates for the attorneys who worked on this matter on
2 behalf of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class are as follows: \$750 per hour for Justin Lo.
3 Using the lodestar method, attorney time multiplied by counsel's billing rate yields a
4 lodestar of \$80,100 and a multiplier of 1.5 based upon 71.20 contingent hours of
5 service performed.
- 6 16. In determining my hourly rate, I have taken into consideration the Laffey Matrix. The
7 Laffey Matrix is a "pay table for attorneys put out by the Department of
8 Justice."(*Nemecek & Cole v. Horn* (2012) 208 Ca.App.4th 641, 65-651 [approving
9 attorney fees based on the Laffey Matrix]; see also *Tukes v. Richard* (2022) 81
10 Cal.App.5th 1, 18 [approving attorney fees based on the Laffey Matrix].) The
11 Laffey Matrix represents "an official source of attorney rates based in the District of
12 Columbia area, which can be adjusted" to the California community in question "by
13 using Locality Pay Tables." (*Syers Properties III, Inc. v. Rankin* (2014) 226
14 Cal.App.4th 691, 695 [quoting, with approval, *In*
15 *re HPL Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation* (N.D. Cal. 2005) 366 F.Supp.2d
16 912, 922].) Following the approach taken in *Syers* and *HPL Technologies*, I have
17 located my hourly rate on the Laffey Matrix, attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. Being an
18 attorney for over 13 years, my hourly rate on the Laffey Matrix is \$878 per hr.
- 19 17. Accordingly, my requested rate of \$750 per hour is conservative as compared to my
20 rate under the Laffey Matrix.
- 21 18. To date Work Lawyers PC, through the efforts of myself has spent at least a
22 cumulative 71.20 contingent hours litigating this matter. See contemporaneously
23 recorded time attached as **Exhibit C**. Work Lawyers PC has also incurred
24 reasonable and necessary costs of \$823.32. These costs are detailed as **Exhibit D**.

25 //

26 //

27 //

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
2 foregoing is true and correct.
3

4 Executed January 22, 2026, at Torrance, California.
5

6 
7 _____
Justin Lo
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

- *Sanae Matsuda v. Harry T. Nomura, D.D.S. Inc.*, San Francisco Case No. CGC-19-574949
- *Hector Montoya v Kings Oil Tools Inc.*, San Luis Obispo Superior Court, Case No. 19CVP-0413;
- *Stephen Bailey v. Kinder Morgan G.P.* United States District Court, Case No. 3:18-cv-03424-TSH
- *Genevieve Rangel v. Bassett Furniture NC LLC.* Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2018-01040101-CU-OE-CXC
- *Martin Rubio v. Altman Specialty Plants, Inc.* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 18STCV09215
- *Caroline Villata v. Stars Recreation Center, Solano County Superior Court Case No. FCS054507*
- *Jason Hogan v. Wolverine Distribution Inc.*, Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1902891
- *Jose Rosales v. IDT America Corp. et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV01581
- *Eric Hardie v. Mattel Inc. et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV11096
- *Richard Ward v. Miller Jones Mortuary & Crematory, Inc.* Riverside Superior Court Case No. RIC2002589
- *Michael Franchini v. General Consolidated Constructors, Inc.* Riverside Superior Court Case No. RIC2001600
- *Christopher Marrone v. Caffeine Studios LLC.*, San Mateo Superior Court Case No. 20-CIV-04830
- *Annette Arredondo v. UFIT Physical Therapy Enterprise Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV07346*
- *Chelsea Bedoni v. LPDB Joint Ventures, Yuba Superior Court Case No. CVCV19-01058*
21STCV25740
- *Allan Castaneto v. Calop Business Systems, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV03772
- *Joaquin Del Cid, Jr. v. Jonathan Club, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV12113*
- *Adam Davidson v. Los Angeles Truck Centers, LLC, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV41572*
- *Donald Dumas v. Allied Nationwide Security, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV26647
- *Shawn Fitzpatrick v. Concord Foods, Inc.* San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2116303
- *Ronak Garg v. Providence Medical Associates, Inc.* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV03368
- *Brenda Hayden vs. Aftermath Services, LLC, Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2020-00287716-CU-OE-GDS*
- *Chad Hooper v. Vizio, Inc.*, Orange Superior Court Case No. 30-2020-01144007-CU-OE-CXC
- *Ghufran Khan v. Atlas Air, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV40478
- *James Legare v. Lebo Automotive, Inc.* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV03227
- *Charlie Meas v. Excel Test Prep Alameda Superior Court Case No. HG19027287*

- *Sharon Park v. American Contractors Indemnity Company Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV03555*
- *Fernando Pereyra v. Maverick Aerospace, LLC Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV29642*
- *Natalie Randazzo v. BCSF, Inc. San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-20-585430*
- *Geovanni Romero v. The Nu Paradigm House, LLC Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV35414*
- *Issa Sakhel v. One World Beef LLC San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00043571-CU-OE-NC*
- *Christina Zamora v. Fresno Community Hospital Medical Center Fresno Superior Court Case No. 20CECG01220*
- *Jessica Oropeza v. Oceanfront Recovery at Laguna Beach, LLC. Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2023-01302016-CU-OE-CXC*
- *Juan Alvarez v. Festo Corporation Alameda County Superior Court Case No. 22CV010098*
- *Courtney Haynes v. The GAP, INC. Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 21CECG02615*
- *Marta Aguilar v. Elder Care Alliance of San Rafael Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG21107053*
- *Jorge Solis v. S. Martinelli & Company Santa Cruz County Superior Court Case No. 24CV00325*
- *Essameldin Ismail v. American Airline, Inc. United States District Court – Central District of California Case No. 2:22-cv-01111-KK-JPR*
- *Eduardo Corono v. Serpico Landscaping Inc. Alameda County Superior Court Case No. 24CV084951*
- *Byron Mejia Aguilar v. 365 Hospitality Associates LLC San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC23603788*
- *Carina Ramirez and Pablo Ortiz Garcia v. Vista verde Labor Inc. Monterey County Superior Court Case No. 23CV000632*
- *Roberto Silva v. Apex Systems, LLC Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01102654-CU-OE-CXC*
- *Jose Saul Castro v. UC Framing Corp, et al. Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No.: CV23002110*
- *Alberto Aparicio v. Nagatanien RS Foods LLC Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 21STCV31534*
- *Luis Orlando Orellana Garcia v. Phillip Verwey dba Philip Verwey Farms Kings County Superior Court Case No. 21C-0411*
- *Iria Jimenez Sandoval v. Marathon Packing Corporation Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG21115325*
- *Jesse Unruh v. Corey Nursery, Inc. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV15892*

EXHIBIT B

LAFFEY MATRIX

[History](#)
[Case Law](#)
[See the Matrix](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/24- 5/31/25	1.080182	\$258	\$473	\$581	\$839	\$948	\$1141
6/01/23- 5/31/24	1.059295	\$239	\$437	\$538	\$777	\$878	\$1057
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406
6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389

6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., *DL v. District of Columbia*, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $i_{\frac{1}{2}}$ Years Out of Law School $i_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $i_{\frac{1}{2}}1-3$ " includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $i_{\frac{1}{2}}4-7$ " applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $i_{\frac{1}{2}}1-3$ " from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $i_{\frac{1}{2}}4-7$ " on June 1, 1999, and tier $i_{\frac{1}{2}}8-10$ " on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

EXHIBIT C

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Communicate (with client) Discuss with client about preliminary facts of the case and prepare retainer agreement.	BILLABLE	10/2/2023	1.6	\$750.00	\$1,200.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Discuss with client regarding additional facts of case; Review intake; Request documents from client; Verify additional facts.	BILLABLE	10/11/2023	0.8	\$750.00	\$600.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Follow up with client regarding additional questions and collecting additional documents	BILLABLE	10/13/2023	0.5	\$750.00	\$375.00	JL
Draft/revise Draft PAGA letter/notice to LWDA	BILLABLE	10/31/2023	1.7	\$150.00	\$255.00	JL
Review/analyze Review 226 records response from employer	BILLABLE	11/28/2023	3.4	\$750.00	\$2,550.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Draft/revise Review client's file, intake, and potential areas of explore and defenses	BILLABLE	1/3/2024	2.4	\$750.00	\$1,800.00	JL
Draft/revise Draft PAGA complaint	BILLABLE	1/4/2024	2.3	\$750.00	\$1,725.00	JL
Time Review 226, review complaint. Update complaint.	BILLABLE	1/15/2024	1	\$0.00	\$0.00	JL
Filed File PAGA Complaint	BILLABLE	1/18/2024	0.1	\$750.00	\$75.00	JL
Draft/revise Drafted and filed ntc of peremptory challenge	BILLABLE	1/22/2024	0.4	\$750.00	\$300.00	JL
Review and Revise Review history report produced by Defendant's counsel	BILLABLE	1/25/2024	0.8	\$750.00	\$600.00	JL
Filed Filed proof of service of summons and complaint	BILLABLE	2/5/2024	0.1	\$750.00	\$75.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Review/analyze Discuss with Mr. Lapuyade and Mr. Zakay terms of joint representation. Review and sign JPA agreement after discussion with client	BILLABLE	3/22/2024	0.6	\$750.00	\$450.00	JL
Review/analyze Review Joint stop to stay action pending mediation and proposed order	BILLABLE	4/4/2024	0.4	\$750.00	\$300.00	JL
Review/analyze Review and cross reference wage statements and time cards of employees produced by Defendant's counsel.	BILLABLE	6/25/2024	7.4	\$750.00	\$5,550.00	JL
Review/analyze Analyze document production for time rounding and number of handwritten time cards	BILLABLE	6/26/2024	4.7	\$750.00	\$3,525.00	JL
Review/analyze Review and confirm statistical data with Defendant's counsel.	BILLABLE	6/27/2024	0.2	\$750.00	\$150.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Review/analyze Review and analyze documents and wage statements for meal period violations and corresponding meal period premium payment	BILLABLE	6/28/2024	5.2	\$750.00	\$3,900.00	JL
Review/analyze Review handbook for mediation and extra relevant pages and verify current law for mediation	BILLABLE	7/3/2024	1.9	\$750.00	\$1,425.00	JL
Review/analyze Review and analyze documents for overtime violations and pull exhibits accordingly.	BILLABLE	7/4/2024	3.7	\$750.00	\$2,775.00	JL
Review/analyze Review and analyze documents produced by Defendant for other violations.	BILLABLE	7/4/2024	4.1	\$750.00	\$3,075.00	JL
Communicate (other outside counsel) Further discussion with Plaintiff's counsel regarding mediation	BILLABLE	7/16/2024	0.3	\$750.00	\$225.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Review and Revise Begin outlining arguments in support of mediation and created highlighted exhibits with potential violations.	BILLABLE	7/18/2024	1.1	\$750.00	\$825.00	JL
Review and Revise Review mediation brief and prepare exhibits.	BILLABLE	7/23/2024	3.2	\$750.00	\$2,400.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Communicate with client regarding mediation practices, expectations and potential outcomes	BILLABLE	7/29/2024	1.2	\$750.00	\$900.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Discussion with client regarding mediation.	BILLABLE	7/30/2024	0.5	\$750.00	\$375.00	JL
Review/analyze Review brief and expert report prior to mediation	BILLABLE	7/30/2024	1.8	\$750.00	\$1,350.00	JL
Appear for/attend Mediation w Tripper Ortman	BILLABLE	7/31/2024	8.5	\$750.00	\$6,375.00	JL
Draft/revise Drafted and filed case management statement	BILLABLE	8/7/2024	0.7	\$750.00	\$525.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Draft/revise Draft and file joint status report	BILLABLE	9/24/2024	0.4	\$750.00	\$300.00	JL
Review/analyze Review edits and add comments regarding Long Form Settlement agreement	BILLABLE	11/28/2024	1.4	\$750.00	\$1,050.00	JL
Review/analyze review and sign long form settlement agreement	BILLABLE	12/5/2024	0.5	\$750.00	\$375.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Discuss long form settlement agreement with CI and sent it out for his signature	BILLABLE	12/5/2024	0.4	\$750.00	\$300.00	JL
Review and Revise Review edits to MOU	BILLABLE	12/5/2024	0.4	\$750.00	\$300.00	JL
Communicate (with client) Discuss MOU with CL and send it out for CL's signature	BILLABLE	12/5/2024	0.3	\$750.00	\$225.00	JL
Draft/revise Drafted Request for Dismissal	BILLABLE	2/3/2025	0.2	\$150.00	\$30.00	JL

ITEM	STATUS	DATE	HRS.	RATE	TOTAL	BILLED BY
Draft/revise Review Draft Declaration for Mtn for Preliminary approval including accompanying documents.	BILLABLE	2/11/2025	3.7	\$150.00	\$555.00	JL
Draft/revise Draft and finalize C declaration ISO Mx for Final Approval. Also send Declaration to C for his signature	BILLABLE	6/12/2025	0.5	\$150.00	\$75.00	JL
Draft/revise Review motion for final approval, accompanying documents and draft declaration.	BILLABLE	6/27/2025	2.8	\$750.00	\$2,100.00	JL
			71.20	\$48,990.00 USD		

EXHIBIT D

EXPENSE	STATUS	DATE	PRICE	TOTAL	QTY	MATTER	BILLED BY
Postage 226 Certified Mail	BILLABLE	10/26/2023	\$9.10	\$36.40	4	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	JL
LWDA LWDA PAGA Notice Submission	BILLABLE	10/31/2023	\$75.00	\$75.00	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Postage PAGA Ntc Certified Mail	BILLABLE	10/31/2023	\$4.75	\$9.50	2	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Courtfees E-Filing Complaint	BILLABLE	1/22/2024	\$465.30	\$465.30	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Courtfees Process Service of Complaint	BILLABLE	1/23/2024	\$128.75	\$128.75	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Courtfees E-Filing Strike of Judge	BILLABLE	1/25/2024	\$17.10	\$17.10	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Courtfees E-Filing POS	BILLABLE	2/6/2024	\$17.10	\$17.10	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	RS
Rapid Legal E-filing case Management Statement	BILLABLE	8/9/2024	\$17.10	\$17.10	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	JL
Rapid Legal Case Management Statement Invoice 10456407	BILLABLE	9/26/2024	\$18.03	\$18.03	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	JL

EXPENSE	STATUS	DATE	PRICE	TOTAL	QTY	MATTER	BILLED BY
Rapid Legal Statement INVOICE 11206320	BILLABLE	1/28/2025	\$19.52	\$19.52	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	JL
Rapid Legal Request for Dismissal Order #: 11262097	BILLABLE	2/5/2025	\$19.52	\$19.52	1	Santiago v. Cen Cal Builders	JL
				\$823.32 USD			