

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Sydney Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619)255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

JAMES E. AGUILAR, an individual;
PAMELA CAZARES, an individual, on behalf
of themselves and on behalf of all persons
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

T & K, L.P., a California Limited Partnership;
and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 21CV384674

**DECLARATION OF JEAN-CLAUDE
LAPUYADE, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Date:

Hearing Time:

Judge:

Dept.:

Action Filed: July 23, 2021

1 I, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., declare as follows:

2 1. I am over eighteen years of age and if called as a witness, I could and would competently
3 testify to the following facts from my own personal knowledge. I am an attorney-at-law and the owner
4 of the law firm of JCL LAW FIRM, APC (hereinafter "Class Counsel"). I am co-counsel of record for
5 Plaintiffs JAMES E. AGUILAR and PAMELA CAZERES (collectively hereinafter "Plaintiffs") in this
6 wage and hour class action filed against T&K, L.P. (hereinafter "Defendant").

7 2. This declaration is being submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary
8 Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

9 **I. EXHIBITS**

10 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** is a true and correct copy of the fully executed Joint
11 Stipulation and Agreement for Class and Representative Action Settlement and Release ("Agreement"
12 or "Settlement Agreement"). Attached as **Exhibit "1"** to the Agreement is a true and correct copy of
13 the proposed Class Notice. Attached as **Exhibit "2"** to the Agreement is a true and correct copy of the
14 Proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Attached as **Exhibit "3"**
15 to the Agreement is a true and correct copy of the Proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment.

16 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** is a true and correct copy of the proof of filing with the
17 Labor and Workforce Development Agency notice of this settlement with the Settlement Agreement
18 and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement evidencing Class
19 Counsel's compliance with California Labor Code Section 2699(1)(2).

20 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "C"** is a true and correct copy of the bid for settlement
21 administration submitted by ILYM Group, Inc., in the amount of \$23,600.00.

22 6. Attached hereto as **"Exhibit D"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm "Firm
23 Resume" detailing some of the major cases the JCL Law Firm has undertaken.

24 **II. INTRODUCTION**

25 7. An objective evaluation of the Settlement confirms that the relief negotiated on behalf of
26 the Class is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement was negotiated by the Parties at arm's length.
27 The Settlement confers substantial benefits to the Class Members. The relief offered by the Settlement

1 is particularly impressive when viewed against the difficulties encountered by plaintiffs pursuing wage
2 and hour cases (*see infra*). Indeed, by settling now rather than proceeding to trial, the Class will not
3 have to wait (possibly years) for relief, nor will they have to bear the risk of class certification being
4 denied or of Defendant prevailing at trial. Assuming there are zero opt-outs, the entire Net Settlement
5 Amount will be paid to all Settlement Class Members resulting in an average Individual Class Payment
6 of approximately **\$610.99**. At the time of mediation, there were approximately 1,183 Aggrieved
7 Employees during the PAGA Period that who worked an aggregate of 22,600 PAGA Pay Periods.

8 8. As discussed below, the proposed Settlement satisfies all criteria for preliminary
9 settlement approval and falls within the range of reasonableness. The average Individual Settlement
10 Payment to the Settlement Class meets or exceeds awards in similar wage and hour class actions.
11 Moreover, the proposed Settlement Class is appropriate for provisional certification. Accordingly,
12 Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court grant preliminary approval of the Settlement.

13 **III. FACTS AND PROCEDURE**

14 **A. Overview of the Litigation**

15 9. Defendant owns and operates restaurants throughout Northern California, including but
16 not limited to, Santa Clara County. Plaintiff Aguilar alleges he worked for Defendant as a non-exempt
17 employee from August 2020 to April 2021. Plaintiff Cazares alleges she worked for Defendant as a
18 non-exempt employee from November 2020 to April 2021. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant, among
19 other things, failed to provide compliant meal and rest periods, furnished inaccurate itemized wage
20 statements, miscalculated the regular rate of pay for purposes of paying overtime compensation, and
21 failed to reimburse employees for mandatory business expenses. Throughout their employment,
22 Plaintiffs were subject to Defendant's written policies and procedures contained in, among other
23 documents, the T&K, LP Employee Handbook."

24 10. Defendant forcefully denies liability for each of Plaintiffs' claims. Further, Defendant
25 asserts that it fully complied with all applicable employment laws and raised several other significant
26 defenses to Plaintiffs' claims, including but not limited to, compliant compensation policies and plans,
27
28

1 compliant meal and rest practices, compliant wage statements, and timely payment of all wages due
2 upon separation of employment.

3 11. On May 19, 2021, Plaintiffs served Defendant and the Labor and Workforce
4 Development Agency (“LWDA”) with a Notice of Violations (“PAGA Notice”). Plaintiffs’ PAGA
5 Notice set forth the facts and theories supporting Defendant’s alleged violations of various provisions
6 of the California Labor Code and applicable Industrial Welfare Commissions (“IWC”) Wage Order and
7 of their intent to pursue claims under California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Lab.
8 Code Sections 2698 *et seq.* (“PAGA”).

9 12. On July 23, 2021, Plaintiff James E. Aguilar filed this class action complaint in the Santa
10 Clara Superior Court. The Action alleged ten causes of action against Defendant for: (1) Unfair
11 Competition in Violation of Bus. and Prof. Code sections 17200 *et seq.*; (2) Failure to pay minimum
12 wages in violation of California Labor Code sections 1194, 1197 & 1197.1; (3) Failure to pay overtime
13 wages in violation of California Labor Code sections 510 *et seq.*; (4) Failure to provide required meal
14 periods in violation of California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and the applicable IWC wage
15 order; (5) Failure to provide required rest periods in violation of California Labor Code sections 226.7
16 and 512 and the applicable IWC wage order; (6) Failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements
17 in violation of California Labor Code section 226; (7) Failure to provide wages when due in violation
18 of Cal. Lab. Code sections 201, 202 and 203; (8) Failure to reimburse employees for required business
19 expenses in violation of California Labor Code section 2802, and (10) Violations of the California Labor
20 Code Private Attorneys General Act [Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.*]. On March 4, 2022, the First
21 Amended Class Action Complaint was filed to add Plaintiff Pamela Cazares as an additional plaintiff
22 and class representative. After commencing written discovery, the Parties agreed to mediation.

23 **B. Class Counsel Thoroughly Investigated the Factual and Legal Issues**

24 13. To prepare for mediation, Class Counsel requested pertinent documents and data points
25 to evaluate Plaintiffs’ claims and measure Defendant’s liability exposure to the Class. In response,
26 Defendant produced, among other things, time, and payroll records for 25% of the Class, aggregate
27 workweek and pay period data, and copies of applicable handbooks, policies, and procedures. In total,
28

1 Defendant produced nearly 15,000 pages of documents and approximately 2.7 million unique cells of
2 time and payroll data.

3 14. Class Counsel then retained the statisticians and economists at Berger Consulting Group
4 (“BCG”) to evaluate the Class Members’ payroll and time data and to calculate Defendant’s liability
5 exposure to the Class. BCG provides data analysis and damage modeling consulting services,
6 specializing in wage and hour class actions. BCG analyzed Defendant’s time and payroll data and
7 developed various damage models that assisted Class Counsel at the time of mediation.

8 15. Overall, Class Counsel thoroughly investigated the claims at issue. Class Counsel (1)
9 reviewed Plaintiffs’ suitability as a putative class representatives through interviews, background
10 investigations, and analysis of their employment files; (2) evaluated Plaintiffs’ potential claims; (3)
11 researched similar wage and hour class actions; (4) analyzed time and payroll records for the Class; (5)
12 analyzed Defendant’s labor policies and practices; (6); developed comprehensive damage models which
13 estimated Defendant’s liability for purposes of settlement with the assistance of a third party damages
14 consultant, BCG; (7) drafted the mediation brief; and (8) participated in the mediation. This
15 investigation allowed Class Counsel to fully assess the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled,
16 and to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have
17 agreed. Based on its investigation of the facts, applicable law, and marketplace for similar settlements,
18 and considering the risk of significant delay and uncertainty associated with litigation, and the various
19 defenses asserted by Defendants, Class Counsel firmly believes this Agreement to be in the best
20 interests of the Class Members. Because the Settlement’s terms are fair, reasonable, and adequate.

21 **C. The Parties Settled at Mediation**

22 16. On August 29, 2022, the Parties participated in a full day mediation with the Hon. Carl J.
23 West (Ret.), an experienced wage and hour mediator and retired Los Angeles County Superior Court
24 Judge. The mediation concluded with a settlement after the Parties accepted a Mediator’s proposal,
25 which was subsequently memorialized in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) and
26 then the Agreement.

20. As set forth in greater detail above, Class Counsel conducted a very thorough investigation into the factual and legal issues in dispute. The investigation allowed Class Counsel to fully assess the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, such as to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed. Based on this investigation of the facts, applicable law, and marketplace for similar settlements, and considering the risk of significant delay, uncertainty associated with litigation, and the various defenses asserted by Defendant, Class Counsel firmly believes this Agreement to be in the best interests of the Class Members. Because the Settlement's terms are fair, reasonable, and adequate, the Court should preliminarily approve the Settlement.

21. The Maximum Settlement Amount of \$2,000,000.00 is fair and reasonable considering the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled and the various potential impediments to recovery. Prior to mediation, Class Counsel retained BCG to develop economic damage models measuring Defendant's liability exposure while accounting for the uncertainties of continued litigation. The various models were informed by Class Counsel's investigation, including the analysis of a significant sample of time and payroll data. Class Counsel projected Defendant's maximum liability exposure at \$18,966,252.00 comprised of \$10,723,752.00 dollars for *class* liability and another \$8,242,500.00 for PAGA penalties. Thus, the Maximum Settlement Amount of \$2,000,000.00 represents approximately 18.6% of Defendant's *class-wide* liability exposure and approximately 10.5% of Defendant's maximum liability exposure – before applying any discount factors. The following summarizes Defendant's estimated exposure.

22. One of Plaintiffs' primary claims in this action is that because of their rigorous work schedules, Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees were not able to take timely and duty-free meal and rest periods. Plaintiffs also alleged that Defendant implemented a so-called "on premises" rest period practice. Based on the review of the Class Members' time and payroll data, BCG estimated modest violation rate of **39.1%** for meal periods. Plaintiffs utilized the same violation rate for purposes of quantifying damages for rest period violations. In total, Class Counsel estimated Defendant's exposure for the meal and rest claims at \$5,020,621.

23. Defendant argued, *inter alia*, that it provided the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees with compliant meal and rest periods as evidenced by the modest violation rate. Defendant also argued that they maintained facially compliant meal and rest period policies and began paying missed meal and rest period premiums in 2021. Thus, Defendant argued, any missed meal and rest periods were done so voluntarily and not eligible for premium payments. In sum, Defendant argued that there was no potential liability for Plaintiffs' alleged meal and rest period violations and any claimed violations required a highly individualized inquiry not suitable to class treatment.

24. One of Plaintiffs' primary claims in this Action is that Defendant failed to calculate certain non-discretionary compensation into the regular rate of pay for purposes of paying overtime and redeemed sick pay. Based on the review of the Class Members' time and payroll data, BCG estimated Defendant underpaid the Class Members approximately \$57,248. Defendant vigorously denied liability and asserted that all incentive compensation was either properly calculated into the regular rate of pay or properly excluded as discretionary compensation.

25. Plaintiffs also alleged that they were required to perform work while off-the-clock. Specifically, Plaintiffs alleged that during portions of the Class Period, Defendant required the Class to work while off-the-clock. Specifically, Plaintiffs were required to spend time completed working related tasks before and after their shifts while off-the-clock. Plaintiffs' expert estimated Defendant's exposure for this claim to be \$124,515 based on an assumed 5 minutes of uncompensated time spent working off-the-clock. Defendant argued that any time spent performing this task was voluntary and/or de minimis, and, thus, not compensable.

26. Plaintiffs further alleged that Defendant required the Class to regularly pay out-of-pocket to use their cell phones for work-related purposes. California law requires “[a]n employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer...” (See *Cal. Labor Code* § 2802(a).) Plaintiffs estimated Defendant’s exposure for this claim at \$152,302 or \$5 per month for each member of the Class during the Class Period.

1 27. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant's alleged violations resulted in derivative violations of
2 Labor Code sections 203 and 226(a). Class Counsel considered the arguable presence of various
3 penalties and weighed the potential recoveries against probable defenses. Specifically, Defendant could
4 argue that Plaintiffs could not prove the "willful" prong needed to obtain waiting time penalties under
5 Labor Code section 203. Additionally, Defendant could argue that Plaintiffs could not show that Class
6 Members suffered an "injury" because of wage statement violations, as required by Labor Code section
7 226. Plaintiffs estimated Defendant's exposure for these claims to be approximately \$5,369,066
8 (\$3,337,316 for Labor Code section 203 waiting time penalties and \$2,031,750 for Labor Code section
9 226 penalties).

10 28. Class Counsel's damage models also estimated Defendant's exposure for PAGA
11 penalties. At the time of mediation, Plaintiffs estimated Defendant's PAGA exposure to be
12 approximately \$8,242,500 dollars.

13 29. To recover any PAGA penalties, Plaintiffs would be required at trial to prove each of the
14 underlying Labor Code violations. *See Cardenas v. McLane Foodservice, Inc.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
15 13126, *10 (C.D. Cal. 2011) ("Given the statutory language [of PAGA], a plaintiff cannot recover on behalf
16 of individuals whom the plaintiff has not proven suffered a violation of the Labor Code by the defendant.").
17 Here, Plaintiffs would need to prove that each Aggrieved Employee suffered a violation for each pay period
18 the employee worked. Again, Defendant raised several defenses that presented serious threats to the claims
19 of the Plaintiffs and the Aggrieved Employees. In addition to those arguments discussed *supra*, Defendant
20 also argued that the Court of Appeals decision in *Cacho v. Eurostar, Inc.* (2019) 43 Cal.App.5th 885,
21 weakened Plaintiffs' claims, on liability, value, and manageability as to the rest period claims.

22 30. The proposed Settlement allocates \$100,000 to satisfy the PAGA claims. This amount
23 represents approximately 5% of the Maximum Settlement Amount. This achieves a fair, reasonable,
24 and adequate resolution of Plaintiffs' PAGA claim. *See Moniz v. Adecco* (2021) 72 Cal.App.5th 56.
25 Further, the amount allocated to the satisfaction of Plaintiffs' PAGA claim, is well within the range of
26 reasonableness. *See, e.g., Nordstrom Comm'n Cases* (2010) 186 Cal.App.4th 576, 589 (approving 0%
27 PAGA allocation); *Berry v. Urban Outfitters* (N.D. Cal. Lead Case No. 13-cv-02628-JSW) (approving

1 1% PAGA allocation in \$5M settlement); *Jack v. Hartford Fire Ins. Co.* (S.D. Cal. 2011) 2011 WL
2 4899942 * 6 (approving \$3,000 PAGA allocation in \$1.2M settlement); *Singer v. Becton Dickinson &*
3 *Co.* (S.D. Cal. 2010) 2010 WL 2196104 *2 (approving \$3,000 PAGA allocation in \$1M settlement);
4 and *Hopson v. Hanesbrands, Inc* (N.D. Cal. 2009) 2009 WL 928133 * 9 (approving \$1,500 PAGA
5 allocation in \$1.026M settlement.)

6 **B. Litigation Risks**

7 31. With respect to the most immediate challenge, i.e., class certification, the risks attendant to
8 the certification of similar wage and hour claims are demonstrated by *Magadia v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.*
9 (9th Cir. 2021) 999 F.3d 668. There, the Ninth Circuit, vacated reversed and remanded a \$110 million
10 judgement against an employer for, *inter alia*, inaccurately paying overtime, and directed the trial court to
11 enter judgement in favor of the employer. *See also O’Conner v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2018)
12 904 F.3d 1087 (decertification of wage and hour misclassification action); *see also Duran v. U.S. Bank*
13 *National Association* (2014) 59 Cal.4th 1, 31 (reversing a trial verdict in favor plaintiffs in a wage and hour
14 class action)); *Tien v. Tenet Healthcare Corp.* (2012) 209 Cal.App.4th 1077, 1088 (“[T]he reason, if any,
15 that employees might not take their breaks were predominantly individualized questions of fact not
16 susceptible to class treatment.”); *Ali v. U.S.A. Cab Ltd.* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 1333, 1341 (affirming denial
17 of certification because employees’ declarations attesting to having taken meal and rest breaks demonstrated
18 that individualized inquiries were required to show harm); *Campbell v. Best Buy Stores, L.P.*, (C.D. Cal.
19 2013) 2013 WL 5302217 at *11-13 (following *Brinker* and denying certification of proposed off-the-clock
20 and rest and meal break classes due to lack of uniform policy); *Brown v. Fed Express Corp.* (C.D. Cal. 2008)
21 249 F.R.D. 580, 587-88 (denying certification of driver meal and rest period claims based on the
22 predominance of individual issues). Collectively, the examples cited above illustrate the uncertain nature
23 of class litigation. Consequently, the uncertainty of obtaining certification through a contested motion is a
24 significant risk factor favoring settlement.

25 32. Finally, continuing to litigate this action would require continued and extensive resources
26 coupled with significant Court time to proceed through trial and post-trial motions, which can often
27 take years. Furthermore, wage and hour litigation is complex and expensive, as it often necessitates

1 extensive expert testimony, particularly with regards to damages. But even if Plaintiffs are successful
2 at trial, Plaintiffs are acutely aware that a judgment does not end the litigation. Plaintiffs fully expect
3 that Defendant would appeal any favorable judgment. As demonstrated by *Magadia, supra*, 999 F.3d
4 668, the risk of an appeal and subsequent reversal is real.

5 33. Given the amount of the settlement here, as compared to potential value of the claims,
6 offset by the various inherent risks to continued litigation, the settlement is fair and reasonable.

7 **C. Proposed Notice Informs the Class About the Case and Settlement**

8 34. The Parties jointly drafted the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement (“Class
9 Notice”) which fairly and neutrally informs the Class of their rights and remedies. The Settlement
10 Administrator will first verify addresses, then mail the proposed Class Notice to the entire Class. The
11 Class Notice includes information regarding the nature of the lawsuit, a summary of the substance of
12 the Settlement’s terms, the procedure, and time within which to requests for exclusions or objections to
13 the Settlement, the date for the final approval hearing, the formula used to calculate settlement
14 payments, and the terms and scope of the Released Class Claims. Agreement at §§ 1.25 and 5.2. The
15 Parties negotiated this method to ensure delivering the most reasonable method of notice to the Class
16 while ensuring cost effective administration of the Settlement.

17 35. Accordingly, both the method of disseminating the Class Notice and content of the Class
18 Notice satisfy due process. (*See* C.R.C. 3.776(e)-(f); *State of Cal. v. Levi Strauss* (1986) 41 Cal.3d
19 460,485 (the class notice must “fairly apprise the class members of the terms of the proposed
20 compromise and of the options open to dissenting class members.”).)

21 **D. The Court Should Preliminarily Approve the Incentive Award**

22 36. The Settlement provides for a Service Awards of \$10,000 to each Plaintiff Aguilar and
23 Plaintiff Cazares. The Service Awards are fair and reasonable compensation for the Plaintiffs efforts
24 bringing the action, assisting Class Counsel with the litigation and settlement, regularly conferring with
25 Class Counsel on the status of the case and the strategies for prosecuting the claims, reviewing the
26 proposed Settlement to ensure that its terms are fair and provide adequate relief for the Settlement Class.

37. Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs will file a formal motion for the negotiated Class Representative Service Award once preliminary approval of the Settlement is granted. Plaintiffs respectfully submit that the above showing is sufficient for preliminary approval of the negotiated Class Representative Service Award.

E. The Court Should Preliminary Approve Class Counsel’s Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses

38. Class Counsel took this matter on a contingency fee basis and invested significant time and expense successfully prosecuting Plaintiffs' claims. As discussed *supra*, the estimated average Individual Settlement Payments illustrates the demonstrably positive outcome for the Class. Considering the positive outcome, and considering the supporting authority, the proposed Attorneys' Fees and Expenses are fair and reasonable.

39. Plaintiffs will file a formal motion for the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses once preliminary approval of the Settlement is granted. Plaintiffs respectfully submit that the above showing is sufficient for preliminary approval of the negotiated attorneys' fees.

F. The Court Should Provisionally Certify the Settlement Class

40. For Settlement, Plaintiffs contend, and Defendant does not dispute, that provisional certification of the Class is appropriate.

41. Because all members of the Class are Defendant's current and former non-exempt employees, the class is readily ascertainable from Defendant's regular business records, i.e., payroll records.

42. The statutes relating to each of Plaintiffs' claims apply with equal force and effect to the entire Settlement Class. Factually, Defendant's policies and practices apply class-wide, and Defendant's liability can be determined by facts common to all members of the class. The wage and hour issues are both numerous and substantial, and a class action is the most advantageous method of dealing with the claims of the Settlement Class.

43. Plaintiffs' wage and hour claims are typical of the proposed Class because they arise from the same factual basis and are based on the same legal theories applicable to the other Class Members.

1 Likewise, Plaintiffs' interests are entirely coextensive with the interests of the Class. Plaintiffs allege
2 that they were injured by the same company-wide practices to which the proposed Class Members were
3 subject to and seek the same relief. Plaintiffs have already demonstrated their ability to advocate for
4 the interests of the Class Member by initiating this litigation, undertaking extensive class discovery,
5 and evaluating the proposed settlement to assure that it is fair.

6 44. A class action is superior to a multitude of individual lawsuits. Given the size and amount
7 of each individual claim, the Class Members likely have little incentive to litigate their claims on an
8 individual basis because the out-of-pocket expense and personal commitment necessary to litigate each
9 claim outweighs any potential recovery. In sum, class treatment is superior to individual, case-by-case
10 adjudication.

11 **F. Class Counsel's Experience**

12 45. Plaintiff, the Settlement Class Members and the Aggrieved Employees are represented
13 by competent and experienced counsel to pursue their claims. I established the JCL Law Firm, APC
14 ("Firm"), in 2010. The Firm is a boutique plaintiff firm representing aggrieved employees and
15 consumers throughout California in wage and hour class actions, PAGA Actions and complex multi-
16 party product liability matters. The Firm currently consists of myself, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
17 (Partner/Owner), Sydney Castillo-Johnson (Associate Attorney), Monnett De La Torre (Associate
18 Attorney), Tesla Stone (Paralegal), Maria Watters (Paralegal), Jennifer Hemming (Legal Secretary),
19 Sara Christensen (Legal Assistant), and Madelyn Uchiyama (Legal Assistant).

20 46. I am qualified to represent the Plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved
21 Employees. I have extensive experience with wage and hour class and representative actions litigation
22 like this matter, and employment matters in general. Additionally, I have extensive complex mass tort
23 litigation experience and complex construction product liability experience. I can, will and have
24 adequately represented the interests of the Plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved
25 Employees throughout this action.

47. I have represented consumers and employees in California class actions, and complex multi-party product liability actions exclusively for more than 15 years. I have been in practice as a plaintiffs' civil litigation attorney since April 2007.

48. I have significant class counsel and collective action counsel experience. My credentials are reflected in the JCL Law Firm, APC, Firm Resume, which is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

49. Since 2010, my firm has successfully resolved more than sixty (65) cases and recovered in excess of \$75 million on behalf of the Firm's clients.

50. Since 2010, I have successfully tried two (2) cases to conclusion, each resulting in a favorable verdict for the firm's clients.

51. Finally, I have published appellate experience in *William Blanchette et al., v. The Superior Court of Imperial County, (GHA Enterprises)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521.

52. Consequently, I was named to the prestigious Thomson Reuters, Super Lawyers, Rising Star in 2015, 2016, 2017 and, again, in 2018. According to Thomson Reuters, the Super Lawyers, Rising Star list recognizes the top 2.5% of eligible attorneys. (see https://www.superlawyers.com/about/selection_process.html.)

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of March 2023, at San Diego, California.

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

By: 
Jean-Claude Lapuyade
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

JOINT STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT FOR CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

This Joint Stipulation and Agreement for Class and Representative Action Settlement and Release is made and entered into by Plaintiffs James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares, individually and as representatives of the Settlement Class, as defined below, and T & K, L.P. (“Defendant”). This Stipulation is subject to the approval of the Court and is made for the sole purpose of attempting to consummate settlement and resolution of the Action, Released Class Claims, and Released PAGA Claims, as defined below, subject to the following terms and conditions. As detailed below, in the event the Court does not enter an order granting final approval of the Settlement, as defined below, or the conditions precedent are not met for any reason, this Stipulation is void and of no force or effect whatsoever.

I. DEFINITIONS

As used in this Stipulation, the following terms shall have the meanings specified below. To the extent terms or phrases used in this Stipulation are not specifically defined below, they are defined elsewhere in this Stipulation.

1.1 “Action” or “Litigation” mean the lawsuit pending in the Court, entitled *James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.*, filed on July 23, 2021, in the Santa Clara County Superior Court, and assigned Case No. 21cv384674, with the First Amended Complaint filed on March 4, 2022.

1.2 “Administration Expenses” shall include all costs and expenses associated with and paid to the Settlement Administrator, which are anticipated not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00).

1.3 “Aggrieved Employees” shall mean all individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the PAGA Period.

1.4 “James E. Aguilar,” “Pamela Cazares,” “Plaintiffs,” or “Class Representatives” mean James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares, the named plaintiffs in the Action, who will represent the Class subject to court approval.

1 1.5 “Class” or “Class Members” means all individuals who are or previously were
2 employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the
3 Class Period.

4 1.6 “Class Counsel” and “Plaintiff’s Counsel” mean:

5 Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
6 Zakay Law Group, APLC
7 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
8 San Diego, CA 92121

9 Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
10 JCL Law Firm, APC
11 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
12 San Diego, CA 92121

13 1.7 “Class List” means information regarding Class Members that Defendant will in good
14 faith compile from its records and provide to the Settlement Administrator, including Class Members
15 names, Last Known Address, dates of employment, and social security numbers.

16 1.8 “Class Notice” means the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Hearing
17 Date for Court Approval, as set forth in the form of **Exhibit 1** attached hereto, or as otherwise
18 approved by the Court, which is to be mailed to Class Members.

19 1.9 “Class Period” means the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including
20 October 29, 2022.

21 1.10 “Settlement” shall mean the settlement embodied in this Stipulation, which is subject
22 to Court approval.

23 1.11 “Complaint” means the Class Action Complaint filed in the Action on July 23, 2021
24 and the First Amended Class Action Complaint filed on March 4, 2022.

25 1.12 “Counsel for Defendant” or “Defense Counsel” means Beth A. Kahn and Ryan C.
26 McKim of Clark Hill LLP.

27 1.13 “Court” means the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Santa
28 Clara.

 1.14 “Effective Date” shall be the date when all of the following events have occurred: (a)
this Stipulation has been executed by all Parties; (b) the Court has given preliminary approval to the
Settlement; (c) notice has been given to the Class Members providing them with an opportunity to opt

1 out of the Settlement; (d) the Court has held a Final Approval Hearing and entered the Final Approval
2 order and Judgment; and (e), the later of the following events: (i) the expiration of the period for filing
3 any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding challenging the Final Approval Order and Judgment
4 has elapsed without any appeal, writ or other appellate proceeding having been filed; or the dismissal
5 of any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding opposing the Settlement with no right to pursue
6 further remedies or relief; (ii) or any appeal, writ, or the issuance of such other final appellate order
7 upholding the Final Approval Order and Judgment with no right to pursue further remedies or relief.
8 In this regard, it is the intention of the Parties that the Settlement shall not become effective until the
9 Final Approval Order and Judgment is completely final and there is no further recourse by an
10 appellant or objector who seeks to contest the Settlement.

11 1.15 “T & K, L.P.” or “Defendant” mean the defendant in the Action and employer of
12 Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

13 1.16 “Hearing on Preliminary Approval” or “Preliminary Approval Hearing” mean the
14 hearing held on the motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement.

15 1.17 “Maximum Settlement Amount” means the agreed upon non-reversionary settlement
16 amount totaling two million dollars and zero cents (\$2,000,000.00) to be paid in full settlement of the
17 Action, Released PAGA Claims, and Released Class Claims, including the Net Settlement Amount
18 (which is inclusive of 25% of the PAGA Payment and Employees’ Share of the Employment and
19 Payroll Taxes), Administration Expenses, Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses, Service Award, and LWDA
20 Payment, as further described in Section 6.1. Defendant’s (employer’s) share of payroll taxes as to the
21 Individual Settlement Amounts constituting wages shall be paid by Defendant separately from and in
22 addition to the Maximum Settlement Amount.

23 1.18 “Individual Settlement Amount” means a Class Member’s total gross share of the Net
24 Settlement Amount, which shall be allocated and distributed to Class Members based on the number
25 of Workweeks credited to the Class Member, as further described in Section 6.2.

26 1.19 “Individual Settlement Payment” shall mean the payment to Class Members as
27 determined by the Individual Settlement Amount calculation described in Section 6.2.
28

1 1.20 “Judgment” or “Final Approval Order and Judgment” mean the order finally certifying
2 the Class for settlement purposes and finally approving this Stipulation and Settlement and entering
3 judgment, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**, which shall constitute approval
4 pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(a) and be a judgment for purposes of California Rule of
5 Court 3.771(a).

6 1.21 “Last Known Address” means the most recently recorded mailing address for a Class
7 Member that is contained in the employment, payroll, or personnel records maintained by Defendant.

8 1.22 “LWDA” means California’s Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and
9 necessarily includes the Division of Labor Standards and Enforcement (DLSE) within the Department
10 of Industrial Relations (DIR).

11 1.23 “NCOA Check” means within fifteen (15) calendar days from the date the Preliminary
12 Approval Date, the Settlement Administrator will perform a National Change of Address (“NCOA”)
13 check for Class Members’ most current address based on the information provided by Defendant, prior
14 to mailing the Court-approved Class Notice to the Class Members.

15 1.24 “Net Settlement Amount” means the portion of the Maximum Settlement Amount that
16 is available for distribution to Class Members, as further described in Section 6.1.

17 1.25 “Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement” or “Class Notice” mean a notice to be
18 approved by the Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, which will constitute
19 notice to the Class pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(f) and, once approved by the Court,
20 shall be deemed compliant with California Rule of Court 3.766(d).

21 1.26 “Notice Mailing Deadline” means the deadline for the Settlement Administrator to mail
22 the Class Notice to Class Members, which shall be no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the
23 Preliminary Approval Date.

24 1.27 “PAGA Payment” means, subject to the approval of the Court, the amount of One
25 Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00), from the Maximum Settlement Amount, for
26 penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, as codified at California Labor Code §
27 2698, *et seq.* (“PAGA”).
28

1 1.28 “PAGA Period” means the period from May 19, 2020, up to and including October 29,
2 2022.

3 1.29 “Parties” or “Settling Parties” mean, collectively, Plaintiffs and Defendant
4 (individually they are each referred to as “Party” or “Settling Party”).

5 1.30 “Employment and Payroll Taxes” shall mean the employee’s and employer’s share of
6 state and federal employment and payroll taxes and withholdings with respect to the wage-portion of
7 Individual Settlement Amounts. The employees’ share of the Employment and Payroll Taxes is
8 included in the Maximum Settlement Amount that Defendant will pay (subject to the occurrence of the
9 Effective Date). The employer’s share of the Employment and Payroll Taxes is not included in the
10 Maximum Settlement Amount and will be paid by Defendant separately from and in addition to the
11 Maximum Settlement Amount.

12 1.31 “Preliminary Approval Date” shall mean the date on which the Court grants
13 preliminary approval of this Stipulation.

14 1.32 “Preliminary Approval Order” or “Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the
15 Settlement and Setting a Final Approval Hearing” shall mean an order to be executed and filed by the
16 Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**, which will constitute an order certifying
17 a provisional class for settlement purposes only pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(d) and an
18 order setting a final approval/fairness hearing pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(e).

19 1.33 “Released Class Claims” shall mean all claims that were alleged or reasonably could
20 have been alleged in the Complaint based on the facts contained therein, arising during the Class
21 Period, including, but not limited to, California Labor Code sections 200, 201, 201.3, 201.5, 202,
22 201.3, 202, 203, 204, 205.5, 206.5, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, et seq., 512,
23 515, 558, 1174, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1197.14, 1198, 1199, 2802, 2804, 2698 et seq.,
24 2699, 2699.3, 2699.5, and any federal counterparts, Code of Civil Procedure sections 382, 395, 395.5,
25 410.10, 474, and any federal counterparts, California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et
26 seq., 17203, any IWC Wage Orders, any claims involving any alleged unfair competition in violation
27 of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq., failure to pay minimum wages in violation of Cal. Labor
28 Code §§ 1194, 1197 & 1197.1, failure to pay overtime wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et

1 seq., failure to provide required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the
2 applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide required rest periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§
3 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide accurate itemized statements in
4 violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226, 226.2, failure to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab.
5 Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, failure to timely pay wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, failure to
6 reimburse employees for required expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802, and unpaid wages
7 due to alleged off the clock work.

8 1.34 “Released PAGA Claims” shall mean all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged,
9 or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the complaint, first amended
10 complaint, and PAGA notice provided to the LWDA pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a),
11 including but not limited to, claims involving Labor Code sections 200 , 201, 201.3, 201.5, 202, 201.3,
12 202, 203, 204, 205.5, 206.5, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, et seq., 512, 515,
13 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1197.14, 1198, 1199, 2802, 2804, 2698 et seq., 2699,
14 2699.3, 2699.5, the applicable IWC Wage Order, any alleged failure to pay minimum wages in
15 violation of Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 & 1197.1, failure to pay overtime wages in violation of
16 Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq., failure to provide required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab Code
17 §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide required rest periods in
18 violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide
19 accurate itemized statements in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226, 226.2, failure to provide wages
20 when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, failure to timely pay wages in violation
21 of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, failure to reimburse employees for required expenses in violation of Cal.
22 Lab. Code § 2802, and unpaid wages due to alleged off the clock work, that occurred during the
23 PAGA Period.

24 1.35 “Released Parties” shall mean Defendant, Taco Bell Corp., Yum! Brands, Inc. and all
25 of their respective current and former partners, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, joint
26 employers, and their affiliated entities, and each of their respective owners, officers, directors,
27 managing agents, exempt-employees, partners, shareholders, agents, and any other successors, heirs,
28 assigns, or legal representatives, any attorneys, insurers, and claims representatives.

1 1.36 “Request for Exclusion” means a request in writing to be excluded from the Settlement
2 which contains: the full name, address, telephone number, last four digits of social security number,
3 and signature of the Class Member; the case name and number of the Action; and a statement
4 indicating that he or she wishes to be excluded from the Settlement.

5 1.37 “Response Deadline” means the deadline for a Class Member to submit a Request for
6 Exclusion, Objection, and/or Workweeks Dispute, by mail to the Settlement Administrator, which is
7 no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the Class Notice is mailed to the Class.

8 1.38 “Service Award” means any additional monetary payment provided to the Class
9 Representatives, not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00) each, subject to
10 Court approval.

11 1.39 “Settlement Hearing” or “Final Approval Hearing” or “Settlement Fairness Hearing”
12 mean a hearing set by the Court for the purpose of: (i) determining the fairness, adequacy, and
13 reasonableness of the Stipulation and associated settlement pursuant to class action procedures and
14 requirements; (ii) determining the good faith of the Stipulation and associated settlement; and (iii)
15 entering the Final Approval Order and Judgment. This hearing is intended to be the settlement hearing
16 or final approval hearing required under California Rule of Court 3.769(a).

17 1.40 “Settlement Administrator” means ILYM GROUP, Inc., which the Parties have agreed
18 will be responsible for administration of the Settlement and related matters, subject to approval and
19 appointment by the Court.

20 1.41 “Settlement Class” or “Settlement Class Member(s)” or “Members of the Settlement
21 Class” means any, or collectively all Class Members who do not submit timely and valid Requests for
22 Exclusion to opt out of the settlement pursuant to Section 5.6 and, thus, become bound by the
23 Judgment.

24 1.42 “Settlement” shall mean the settlement embodied in this Stipulation, which is subject to
25 Court approval.

26 1.43 “Stipulation” means the Joint Stipulation and Agreement for Class and Representative
27 Action Settlement and Release and all of its attachments and exhibits, which the Settling Parties
28

1 understand and agree sets forth all material terms and conditions of the Settlement between them, and
2 which is subject to Court approval.

3 1.44 “Updated Address” means a mailing address that was located by way of NCOA Check
4 or Experian Skip Tracing or an updated mailing address provided by the United States Postal Service
5 or a Class Member.

6 **II. FACTUAL & PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND OF ACTION**

7 2.1 Plaintiffs’ Claims: On July 23, 2021, Plaintiff James E. Aguilar, a former employee of
8 Defendant, filed this Action against Defendant alleging that Defendant has, with respect to Plaintiff
9 and the Class Members, allegedly engaged in unfair competition in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof.
10 Code § 17200, et seq., failed to pay minimum wages in violation of Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 &
11 1197.1, failed to pay overtime wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq., failed to provide
12 required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage
13 Order, failed to provide required rest periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the
14 applicable IWC Wage Order, failed to provide accurate itemized statements in violation of Cal. Lab.
15 Code §§ 226, 226.2, failed to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, and
16 203, failed to timely pay wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, and failed to reimburse
17 employees for required expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802. The Complaint also alleged
18 Defendant was liable under PAGA.

19 2.2 Amended Complaint: On March 4, 2022, the First Amended Class Action Complaint
20 was filed to add Plaintiff Pamela Cazares as an additional plaintiff and class representative.

21 2.3 Discovery, Investigation, & Research: Class Counsel has conducted a thorough
22 investigation into the facts of the Action, including extensive informal discovery and exchange of a
23 volume of documents and information, which included and was not limited to, Defendant’s production
24 of a sampling of information/data for the putative class members to allow Plaintiffs and Class Counsel
25 to perform an analysis and valuation of all claims. Prior to mediation the Parties exchanged
26 information and documents to investigate the veracity, strength, and scope of the claims, and to allow
27 analysis and evaluation of the claims and Defendant’s defenses thereto. The Class Representatives
28 have vigorously prosecuted this case, and Defendant has vigorously contested it.

1 2.4 Mediation: On August 29, 2022, the Settling Parties participated in a full-day mediation
2 before experienced employment mediator, the Honorable Carl West (ret.). At mediation, the Parties
3 exchanged information and documents, and engaged in intensive discussions regarding their
4 evaluations of the Litigation and various aspects of the Litigation, including the risks and delays of
5 further litigation, the risks to the Settling Parties of proceeding with class certification, the law relating
6 to the allegations, the evidence produced and analyzed, and the possibility of appeals, among other
7 things. With the assistance of the mediator's evaluation, the Parties reached a settlement of the Action.
8 Based on the information, data, and documents exchanged, and their own independent investigation
9 and evaluation, Class Counsel is of the opinion that the settlement with Defendant for the
10 consideration and on the terms set forth in this Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in
11 the best interest of the Class Members in light of all known facts and circumstances. Further, the Class
12 Representatives have carefully evaluated the terms of the Settlement, and, based upon that review,
13 have determined that it is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

14 2.5 Allegations of the Class Representative and Benefits of Settlement:

15 (a) Based on the exchange of information and documents, the mediation session,
16 and discussions between counsel, Class Representatives and Class Counsel have assessed the Parties'
17 positions and evaluated the value of the claims of the Class. The information and documents
18 exchanged by the Parties and settlement discussions are sufficient to reliably assess the merits of the
19 Parties' respective positions and to compromise the issues on a fair and equitable basis.

20 (b) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe that the claims, causes of action,
21 allegations and contentions asserted in the Action have merit. However, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel
22 recognize and acknowledge the expense and delay of continued lengthy proceedings necessary to
23 prosecute the Action against Defendant through trial and through appeals. Class Counsel has taken
24 into account the uncertain outcome of the litigation, the risk of continued litigation in complex actions
25 such as this, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation, and the potential difficulty
26 of obtaining certification of the Action as well as trying the claims of the Class Members. Class
27 Counsel is mindful of the potential problems of proof under, and possible defenses to, the claims
28 alleged in the Action. Class Counsel has also taken into account Defendant's potential defenses to

1 Plaintiffs' allegations. Class Counsel believes that the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation confers
2 substantial benefits upon Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members and that an independent review
3 of this Stipulation by the Court in the approval process will confirm this conclusion. Based on their
4 own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel has determined that the Settlement set
5 forth in the Stipulation is in the best interests of Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

6 2.6 No Admissions or Concessions Regarding Fault or Liability:

7 (a) Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, this Stipulation and
8 compliance with this Stipulation shall not be construed as an admission, concession, or indication by
9 either Party with respect to fault or liability whatsoever for the claims asserted in the Action, or as an
10 admission by either Party that the Action is either appropriate or inappropriate for class certification or
11 representative adjudication. The Parties are agreeing that the Action is appropriate for class
12 certification and representative adjudication only for the purposes of settlement as described within
13 this Stipulation.

14 (b) Pursuant to California Evidence Code §§ 1152, 1154, and any other analogous
15 rules of evidence that are applicable, neither the Stipulation, nor any act performed or document
16 executed pursuant to, or in furtherance of, the Stipulation: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be
17 used as an admission or evidence of, the validity of any Released Class Claims and/or Released PAGA
18 Claims, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Parties, or any of them; or (b) is or may be
19 deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence of any fault or omission of the Released
20 Parties, or any of them, in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative
21 agency, or other tribunal. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree not to argue or present any argument, and
22 hereby waive any argument, that Defendant could not contest (or is estopped from contesting)
23 collective or representative action treatment pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, and/
24 or class action treatment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, or any corresponding
25 state law or rule, on any grounds if the Court fails to enter Judgment. This Stipulation shall not be
26 deemed an admission by Defendant that collective or representative action pursuant to California
27 Labor Code § 2698, *et seq.* and/or class action treatment pursuant to California Code of Civil
28 Procedure § 382, or any corresponding law or rule in the Action is proper or cannot be contested on

1 any grounds, nor shall the Stipulation be grounds for estoppel against Defendant's right to contest
2 class, representative or collective action treatment for any purpose other than this Stipulation.

3 2.7 Defendant's Denial of Wrongdoing or Liability:

4 (a) Defendant denies and continues to deny each and all of the allegations, claims,
5 and contentions alleged by Plaintiffs in the Action. Defendant has expressly denied and continues to
6 deny all charges of wrongdoing or liability against it arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts
7 or omissions alleged in the Action. Defendant contends that it complied in good faith with California
8 and federal wage and hour laws and has dealt legally and fairly with Plaintiffs and Settlement Class
9 Members. Defendant contends that it appropriately paid its employees at all times, that it always
10 provided employees with the opportunity to take timely and compliant meal and rest breaks, that it
11 was compliant with all applicable wage-and-hour laws, and all other laws, at all times, and that, if this
12 matter were to be further litigated, it would have strong defenses on the merits and as to class
13 certification. In evaluating its position at mediation, Defendant agreed to the Maximum Settlement
14 Amount with the express understanding that Defendant's share of Employment and Payroll Taxes will
15 be paid separately from and in addition to the Maximum Settlement Amount.

16 (b) Further, Defendant denies that, for any purpose other than settling this Action,
17 these claims are appropriate for class or representative treatment. Nonetheless, Defendant has
18 concluded that further proceedings in the Action would be protracted and expensive and agrees to the
19 Action being fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this
20 Stipulation in order to dispose of burdensome and protracted litigation, to permit the operation of
21 Defendant's business without further expensive litigation and the distraction and diversion of its
22 personnel with respect to matters at issue in the Action. In entering into this Stipulation, Defendant has
23 also taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in complex cases
24 such as the Action.

25 (c) Defendant does not waive, but rather expressly reserves, all rights to challenge
26 all such claims and allegations in the Action upon all procedural and factual grounds, including
27 without limitation the ability to challenge class treatment or representative adjudication on any
28 grounds or to assert any and all defenses or privileges if this Stipulation is deemed void or does not

1 take effect.

2 2.8 Intent of the Settlement: The Settlement set forth herein intends to achieve the
3 following: (1) entry of an order approving the Settlement; (2) entry of Judgment of the Action; (3)
4 discharge of Released Parties from liability for any and all of the Released Class Claims and Released
5 PAGA Claims; and (4) release by the Class Representatives pursuant to section 9.2.

6 **III. CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION & APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL**

7 3.1 The Settlement Class. For the purposes of this Stipulation and the Settlement of the
8 Action only, the Parties stipulate to conditional class certification of the Settlement Class.

9 3.2 Appointment of Class Counsel and Class Representatives. For purposes of this
10 Stipulation and subject to the Court's approval, the Parties hereby stipulate to the appointment of Class
11 Counsel as counsel for the Class, and Plaintiffs as Class Representatives of the Class, solely in order to
12 effectuate the Settlement pursuant to this Stipulation.

13 **IV. CLASS SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION**

14 4.1 The Class Representatives Service Award. Class Counsel will request, and Defendant
15 will not oppose, a Service Award to Plaintiffs from the Maximum Settlement Amount in the amount
16 of \$10,000 to each Class Representative (\$20,000 total). This Service Award shall be in addition to
17 whatever payments the Class Representatives are otherwise entitled to as Class Members. The Class
18 Representatives will be issued an IRS Form 1099 and its state and local equivalents for any Service
19 Award received under the Stipulation. Should the Class Representatives Payment not be approved or
20 reduced by the Court, any amount not approved and/or reduced by the Court will be returned to the
21 Net Settlement Amount pursuant to the remaining terms herein.

22 4.2 Payment to Settlement Class Members. Each Settlement Class Member shall be eligible
23 to receive payment of the Individual Settlement Amount, which is a share of the Net Settlement
24 Amount based on the pro rata number of Workweeks allocated to a Settlement Class Member as a
25 proportion of all Workweeks allocated to all Settlement Class Members. The Individual Settlement
26 Amount for each Settlement Class Member shall be calculated in accordance with Section 6.2. Each
27 Settlement Class Member, including Plaintiffs, shall be responsible for the payment of each of their
28 portion of applicable taxes, if any, and required withholding with respect to his or her Individual

Settlement Amount and shall hold Defendant harmless from any and all liability with regard thereto.

4.3 No Effect on Employee Benefit Plans. Neither the Settlement nor any amounts paid under the Settlement will modify any previously credited hours, days, or weeks of service under any employee benefit plan, policy or program sponsored by Defendant. Such amounts will not form the basis for additional contributions to, benefits under, or any other monetary entitlement under Defendant's sponsored benefit plans, policies or programs. The payments made under the terms of this Stipulation shall not be applied retroactively, currently, or on a going forward basis, as salary, earnings, wages, or any other form of compensation for the purposes of any of Defendant's benefit plan, policy or programs. Defendant retains the right to modify the language of its benefits plans, policies and programs to effect this intent and to make clear that any amounts paid pursuant to this Stipulation are not for "weeks worked," "weeks paid," "weeks of service," or any similar measuring term as defined by applicable plans, policies and programs for purpose of eligibility, vesting, benefit accrual, or any other purpose, and that additional contributions or benefits are not required by this Stipulation. Defendant does not consider the payments provided for under this Settlement as payments of "compensation" for purposes of determining eligibility for, or benefit accrual within, any benefit plans, policies, or programs, or any other plan sponsored by Defendant.

4.4 Attorneys' Fees and Expenses to Class Counsel. As part of the motion for final approval of the Settlement, Class Counsel may submit an application for an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed one third of the Maximum Settlement Amount (i.e., \$666,666.66) and reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses of up to Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00) (together, "Attorneys' Fees and Expenses"). Defendant agrees not to object to any such fee, cost or expense application in those amounts, or lesser amounts. As a condition of this Settlement, Class Counsel has agreed to pursue fees only in the manner reflected by this Section. Any Attorneys' Fees and Expenses awarded by the Court shall be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount in arriving at the Net Settlement Amount and shall not constitute payment to any Settlement Class Members. If the Court's award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses is less than set forth above, the Net Settlement Amount shall be recalculated to reflect the actual Attorneys' Fees and Expenses awarded.

1 The Attorneys' Fees and Expenses approved by the Court shall encompass: (a) all work
2 performed and costs and expenses incurred by, or at the direction of, any attorney purporting to
3 represent the Settlement Class through the date of this Stipulation; (b) all work to be performed and
4 costs to be incurred in connection with approval by the Court of the Settlement; and (c) all work to be
5 performed and costs and expenses, if any, incurred in connection with administering the Class
6 Settlement through entry of Judgment.

7 4.5 Settlement Administrator's Costs and Expenses. All costs and expenses due the
8 Settlement Administrator in connection with its administration of the Class Settlement, including, but
9 not limited to, providing the Class Notice, locating Settlement Class Members, processing Requests
10 for Exclusion and Objections, and calculating, administering and distributing Individual Settlement
11 Payments to Settlement Class Members and related tax forms, shall be paid from the Maximum
12 Settlement Amount, and shall not exceed \$25,000.00.

13 4.6 PAGA Payment. Each Aggrieved Employee shall be entitled to receive a portion of the
14 PAGA Payment. The PAGA Payment shall consist of the penalties pursuant to PAGA that the Parties
15 have agreed is a reasonable sum to be paid in settlement of the PAGA claims included in the Action
16 and in Plaintiffs' PAGA notice to the LWDA. The Parties agree that One Hundred Thousand Dollars
17 and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00) of the Maximum Settlement Amount is allocated to the payment
18 required for settlement of the claims under PAGA (the "PAGA Payment"), of which seventy-five
19 percent (75%), or Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$75,000.00), shall be paid to the
20 LWDA (the "LWDA Payment"), and twenty-five percent (25%), or Twenty-Five thousand Dollars
21 and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00), shall be paid to the Aggrieved Employees on a pro rata basis. The
22 portion of the PAGA Payment allocated to the Aggrieved Employees shall be distributed to the
23 Aggrieved Employees based on the pro rata number of pay periods worked by each particular
24 Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period as a proportion of all pay periods worked by all
25 Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.

26 A request to opt out of the class settlement shall not serve to exclude an Aggrieved Employee
27 from participation in the PAGA settlement. Each of the Class Members, who is also an Aggrieved
28 Employee, that opts-out, if any, shall still be entitled to their share of the PAGA Payment. Class

Members who are also Aggrieved Employees shall have no right or ability to opt out of the portion of the settlement agreement releasing the Released PAGA Claims.

With respect to the PAGA Payment and any payments made to individual Aggrieved Employees, all such payments shall be treated as payments owing for penalties and interest thereon and shall not be considered wages. The settlement administrator shall issue to Aggrieved Employees an IRS Form 1099 reflecting such payment. Aggrieved Employees shall be solely responsible for the payment of all taxes with respect to any PAGA payments made to them.

Class Counsel shall comply with Labor Code section 2699(l).

V. NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS AND CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION PROCESS

5.1 The Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for mailing the Class Notice (**Exhibit 1**) to Class Members, providing the necessary translation for the Class Notice (Spanish), handling inquiries from Class Members concerning the Class Notice, determination of Individual Settlement Amounts, maintaining the settlement funds in an appropriate account, preparing, administering and distributing Individual Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members, issuing a final report and performing such other duties as the Parties may direct. On a weekly basis, the Settlement Administrator will provide reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel summary information updating them as to the number of valid and timely Requests for Exclusion and complete and timely objections received. The Settlement Administrator will serve on Class Counsel and Defense Counsel via e-mail date-stamped copies of the original Requests for Exclusion and objections no later than five (5) calendar days after their receipt. The Settlement Administrator will provide Class Counsel with proof of mailing of the Class Notice, without listing individual Class Member names or contact information, which the Settlement Administrator will file with the Court at the time Class Counsel files its motion for final approval of the Settlement. No later than fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will compile and deliver to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel a report and a declaration with summary information regarding (a) the total amount of final Individual Settlement Amounts of each Settlement Class Member (b) the number of Settlement Class Members to receive such payments, and (c) the final number of timely and valid opt outs and timely and valid objections, without listing Class Member

1 names or contact information. Administration Expenses are not anticipated to exceed \$25,000.00.
2 Prior to the calculation and distribution of the Individual Settlement Payments, the Settlement
3 Administrator shall calculate the total Administration Expenses through the conclusion of their
4 services and such actual amount will be deducted from the Maximum Settlement Amount prior to the
5 final calculation of the Individual Settlement Amounts. Under no circumstances will Settlement
6 Administrator disclose Class Member names and/or contact information to Class Counsel or the Court
7 without prior written authorization from Defendant or subject to legal process, except that the
8 Settlement Administrator shall disclose the names of Class Members who validly Opted Out of the
9 Settlement, for purposes of inserting such Class Members into the Final Approval Order to insure their
10 exclusion.

11 5.2 Notice to Class Members.

12 (a) If, by entering the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court provides authorization
13 to send the Class Notices, the Settlement Administrator will undertake the mailing of the Class Notice
14 to all Class Members, no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the Preliminary Approval Date.
15 The Class Notices shall be mailed via first class mail through the United States Postal Service, postage
16 pre-paid. The envelope containing the Class Notice shall bear the following phrase in bold type, ¼
17 inch below the return address or ¼ inch above the addressee's address: RETURN SERVICE
18 REQUESTED. The envelope shall also bear the following phrase in the bottom left-hand corner:
19 IMPORTANT – T & K, L.P. CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT INFORMATION. PLEASE OPEN
20 IMMEDIATELY. The mailing enclosing the Class Notice will not contain any other materials. The
21 Class Notice and its envelope or covering shall be marked to denote the return address of the
22 Settlement Administrator.

23 (b) Defendant shall prepare a list, in an electronically usable format, for the
24 Settlement Administrator containing for each Class Member, to the extent Defendant has such
25 information, the following: the first, last, and middle name; Last Known Address; social security
26 numbers; Workweeks; and any other information required to effectuate the Settlement ("Class List").
27 By approving this Settlement, the Court will be deemed to have authorized Defendant to provide the
28 Settlement Administrator with this information, including the social security numbers of the Class

1 Members. Defendant shall provide this list to the Settlement Administrator within ten (10) calendar
2 days of the Preliminary Approval Date. The Class List, and any other data provided by Defendant to
3 the Settlement Administrator shall be treated as confidential and not subject to disclosure by the
4 Settlement Administrator, except as necessary to address disputes regarding Workweeks, to respond to
5 a specific inquiry from a Class Member, and/or as otherwise necessary for the Settlement
6 Administrator to perform their obligations described in this Stipulation. Further, the Settlement
7 Administrator shall use commercially reasonable efforts to secure the data provided by Defendant at
8 all times so as to avoid inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure or use of such data other than as
9 permitted by this Stipulation and shall destroy the data (and all copies of it) in a complete and secure
10 manner when such data is no longer required for purposes of this Stipulation. At no time during the
11 settlement process will any Class Member's address, telephone number or social security number be
12 filed with the Court. The Settlement Administrator shall ensure that the notices and any other
13 communications to Class Members shall not include the Class Members' social security number,
14 except for the last four digits.

15 (c) Prior to mailing the Class Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall undertake
16 a NCOA check to ascertain the accuracy of the Last Known Address of the Class Members. To the
17 extent this process yields an Updated Address, the Updated Address shall replace the Last Known
18 Address and be treated as the new Last Known Address for purposes of this Stipulation, for Class
19 Notice mailing, and mailing of settlement checks.

20 (d) If a Class Member is known to be deceased, the Class Notice for that deceased
21 Class Member shall be mailed to the Last Known Address (or Updated Address, if applicable) of the
22 legal representative of the deceased Class Member's estate, to the extent known.

23 (e) Unless the Settlement Administrator receives a Class Notice returned from the
24 United States Postal Service for reasons discussed below in this paragraph, that Class Notice shall be
25 deemed mailed and received by the Class Member to whom it was sent five (5) calendar days after
26 mailing. In the event that subsequent to the first mailing of a Class Notice and prior to the Response
27 Deadline, that Class Notice is returned to the Settlement Administrator by the United States Postal
28 Service as undeliverable, without a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall undertake

1 an Experian Skip Tracing with respect to the Class Member to attempt to ascertain the current address
2 of the particular Class Member in question and, if such an address is ascertained, the Settlement
3 Administrator will re-send the Class Notice to the newly-found address within three (3) business days
4 of receipt of the returned Class Notice. In the event that subsequent to the first mailing of a Class
5 Notice and prior to the Response Deadline that Class Notice is returned to the Settlement
6 Administrator by the United States Postal Service with a forwarding address for the recipient, the
7 Settlement Administrator shall re-mail the notice to that address within three (3) business days of
8 receipt of the returned Class Notice, and the forwarding address shall be deemed the Updated Address
9 for that Class Member. In either event, the Class Notice shall be deemed received once it is mailed for
10 the second time. In the event that subsequent to the first mailing of a Class Notice it is returned to the
11 Settlement Administrator by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable, after the Response
12 Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall be required to take no further action with respect to that
13 Class Notice and it shall be deemed to have been delivered. In the event the Settlement Administrator
14 must re-mail any Class Notice pursuant to the provisions of this Paragraph due to being returned, the
15 Response Deadline shall be extended by ten (10) calendar days from the date of the re-mailing of the
16 Class Notice, for those mailings. The Settlement Administrator shall include a cover letter with any
17 re-mailing informing the Class Member of the re-mailing of the Class Notice and extended Response
18 Deadline. Compliance with the procedures described in this paragraph shall constitute due and
19 sufficient notice to Class Members of the Settlement and of the Final Approval Hearing, and shall
20 satisfy the requirements of due process. Nothing else shall be required of or done by the Parties, Class
21 Counsel, counsel for Defendant, or the Settlement Administrator to provide notice of the Settlement
22 and the Final Approval Hearing.

23 5.3 Declaration of Compliance. No later than twenty (20) calendar days after the Response
24 Deadline (or any applicable extended Response Deadline), the Settlement Administrator shall provide
25 Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant with a declaration attesting to completion of the notice
26 process, including any attempts to obtain Updated Addresses for, and the re-sending of, any returned
27 Class Notices, including any and all other required steps (“Declaration of Compliance”), which shall
28 be filed with the Court by Class Counsel.

1 5.4 Responses to the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement. Pursuant to California
2 Rule of Court 3.766(d)(5), Class Members have the option to enter an appearance in this Litigation at
3 their own expense, on their own, or by obtaining their own attorney(s). Class Members who choose
4 this option will be responsible for any attorneys' fees or costs incurred as a result of this election. The
5 Class Notice will advise Class Members of this option.

6 5.5 Objections to Settlement. Class Members who do not submit timely and valid Requests
7 for Exclusion may object to the Settlement by filing written objections ("Objection(s)") with the Court
8 and serving a copy on the Parties by submitting the copy to the Settlement Administrator no later than
9 the Response Deadline. The Objection must: contain the Class Member's full name, dates of
10 employment as an employee of Defendant, address, last four digits of the Settlement Class Member's
11 Social Security number, and signature; contain the case name and number of the Action; set forth the
12 basis for the objection; if the Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval
13 Hearing; and identify any counsel representing the Settlement Class Member in connection with the
14 Objection. A Class Member who objects to the Settlement will still be considered a Settlement Class
15 Member. The Settlement Administrator shall provide a copy of any Objections that it receives to
16 Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant. A Class Member who fails to timely file and serve an
17 Objection may still lodge any objection to the Settlement by appearing in person at the Final Approval
18 Hearing, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

19 5.6 Opting Out of Settlement. Class Members may elect to opt out of the Settlement and,
20 thus, exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. Class Members who wish to exercise this option
21 must mail to the Settlement Administrator a written Request for Exclusion that is postmarked on or
22 before the Response Deadline. If a timely and valid Request for Exclusion is not received by the
23 Settlement Administrator from a Class Member on or before the Response Deadline, then that Class
24 Member will be deemed to have forever waived his or her right to seek exclusion from the Settlement
25 and Settlement Class and shall be bound by the Settlement and Judgment. The Class Notice will
26 advise Class Members of the option to opt out of the Settlement and will contain instructions on how
27 to do so. Class Members who do validly request exclusion from the Settlement by submitting a valid
28 and timely Request for Exclusion shall not be bound by the terms of the Settlement, shall not be

1 allowed to object to the Settlement, and shall not be entitled to any benefits as a result of the
2 Settlement.

3 In the event that any Class Member timely submits a Request for Exclusion, but it is deficient,
4 as soon as possible but not later than five (5) business days after the Settlement Administrator's receipt
5 of such deficient Request for Exclusion, the Settlement Administrator shall send by first class, postage
6 pre-paid, United States mail a notice to such Class Member informing him or her of the deficiency and
7 that he or she has ten (10) calendar days from the date of the deficiency notice (which shall be the date
8 the deficiency notice is mailed) to cure the deficiency and postmark and mail a corrected Request for
9 Exclusion.

10 The Parties agree that the Response Deadline shall not be extended, and no untimely
11 submissions will be honored, under any circumstances, unless mutually agreed to by the Parties.

12 5.7 Right to Monitor and Review. All counsel have the right to monitor and review
13 administration of the Settlement to verify that the monies allocated to the Class Members are
14 distributed in the correct amount and to the proper Class Members, as well as ensure that the proper
15 mailing and administration is taking place (or took place).

16 **VI. CLASS SETTLEMENT FUNDING AND DISTRIBUTION**

17 6.1 Allocation of the Maximum Settlement Amount. The Maximum Settlement Amount,
18 that is, total sum of Two Million Dollars and Zero Cents (\$2,000,000.00) that Defendant shall pay
19 under the terms of the Settlement (subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date), is inclusive of and
20 allocated as follows:

21 (a) Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, not to exceed \$666,666.66 in fees and not to
22 exceed \$25,000.00 in litigation costs and expenses, to Class Counsel;

23 (b) Administration Expenses, not to exceed \$25,000.00, to the Settlement
24 Administrator;

25 (c) Service Award to the Class Representatives, not to exceed \$10,000.00 each;

26 (d) The amount of 75% of the PAGA Payment, in the amount of \$75,000.00, to the
27 State of California for the benefit of the LWDA ("LWDA Payment");
28

1 (e) The amount of 25% of the PAGA Payment, in the amount of \$25,000.00, to the
2 Aggrieved Employees; and

3 (f) Net Settlement Amount.

4 The “Net Settlement Sum” or “Net Settlement Amount” means the net amount that Defendant
5 will pay (subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date) to all Settlement Class Members and is
6 calculated by subtracting Section 6.1(a)-(e) from the Maximum Settlement Amount.

7 The Maximum Settlement Amount may increase subject to Section 7.3 [escalator clause].

8 6.2 Calculation of the Individual Settlement Amounts. Individual Settlement Amounts to
9 be paid to Settlement Class Members shall be paid from the Net Settlement Amount. For purposes of
10 calculating the *estimated* Individual Settlement Amounts, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate
11 the estimated Net Settlement Amount based on the estimated values in Section 6.1(a)-(e) prior to
12 sending Class Notice to the Class Members. Prior to final distribution, the Settlement Administrator
13 shall calculate the *final* Net Settlement Amount based on the actual values in Section 6.1(a)-(e).

14 The portion of the Net Settlement Amount payable to each Settlement Class Member will be
15 calculated as follows:

16 The “Workweeks” are calculated as the combined total of workweeks
17 worked by each employee during the Class Period. The Settlement
18 Administrator shall divide the Net Settlement Amount by the total
19 number of Workweeks worked by each Class Member during the Class
20 Period, this will be calculated by dividing the workweeks worked by
21 each Class Member by the total amount of Workweeks of all Class
22 Members, in order to determine the amount each Settlement Class
23 Member is entitled to for each Workweek (the “Payment Ratio”). The
24 Settlement Administrator will multiply the Payment Ratio by the total
25 number of Workweeks that each Settlement Class Member is credited
26 with to arrive at his or her Individual Settlement Amount. Settlement
27 Class Member will be issued payment of their Individual Settlement
28 Amount subject to reduction for Employment and Payroll Taxes, and the

1 net payment is referred to as an “Individual Settlement Payment.”

2 Defendant will provide the Settlement Administrator with any information that is in the
3 Defendant’s possession reasonably necessary to perform the calculation of Workweeks for each
4 Settlement Class Member, and any other reasonably required information the Settlement
5 Administrator requests to perform the calculations required under this Stipulation.

6 Settlement Class Members will have one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days to negotiate
7 their respective settlement payments under this Agreement. Any checks not negotiated within the one
8 hundred and eighty (180) calendar days will be subject to the handling in conformity with Section 6.5.

9 6.3 Composition of Payments to Settlement Class Members. Each Individual Settlement
10 Amount will be allocated as follows: 10% shall be reported as wages on IRS Form W-2 and its state
11 and local equivalents, and 90% shall be reported as interest and penalties on IRS Form 1099 and its
12 state and local equivalents. The wage portion shall be subject to Employment and Payroll Taxes. The
13 non-wage portion will not be subject to any Employment and Payroll Taxes.

14 Other than the withholding of Employment and Payroll Taxes with respect to the wage portion
15 of Individual Settlement Amounts, the Class Representative and the Settlement Class Members are
16 solely responsible for the reporting and payment of the employee’s share of any federal, state, and/or
17 local income or other tax or any other withholdings due with respect to any other funds received as a
18 part of the Settlement, if any. The Class Representatives agree to defend, indemnify and hold
19 Defendant harmless for and against any damage or tax penalty Defendant incurs as a result of the
20 failure by the Class Representatives to pay all taxes due by their receipt of payments pursuant to this
21 Stipulation. Class Counsel agrees to defend, indemnify and hold Defendant harmless for and against
22 any damage or tax penalty Defendant incurs as a result of the failure by Class Counsel to pay all taxes
23 due as a result of Class Counsel’s receipt of payments pursuant to this Stipulation. Defendant shall
24 have no responsibility for deciding the validity of the Individual Settlement Amounts or any other
25 payments made pursuant to this Stipulation, shall have no involvement in or responsibility for the
26 determination or payment of the Settlement Class Member's taxes and required withholdings, and shall
27 have no liability for any errors made with respect to such taxes and required withholdings. Although
28 the Settlement Administrator will calculate and pay the standard applicable taxes and required

1 withholdings, if any, on the portion of the Individual Settlement Amounts constituting wages on the
2 behalf of the Settlement Class Member, Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members shall be solely
3 responsible for any and all employee's share of tax obligation associated with their respective
4 Individual Settlement Amounts and Service Award.

5 The Parties agree (and the Settlement Administrator shall warrant) that the Settlement shall be
6 administered as a qualifying, designated settlement fund pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal
7 Revenue Code. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for issuing all settlement payments
8 and handling all required state and federal tax withholding and reporting related thereto.

9 6.4 Time for Funding and Distribution of Payments under the Settlement. Within ten (10)
10 calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall deposit the Maximum Settlement Amount into
11 a qualified settlement account established by the Settlement Administrator. No later than five (5)
12 business days after Defendant deposits the Maximum Settlement Amount with the Settlement
13 Administrator, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the following payments: Individual
14 Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Member and Aggrieved Employees, Attorneys' Fees and
15 Expenses to Class Counsel, Service Award to Class Representatives, and LWDA Payment to the
16 LWDA, all in the Court-approved amounts. The Settlement Administrator shall make every effort to
17 pay the applicable taxes and required withholdings, if any, associated with each Settlement Class
18 Member's Individual Settlement Amount and mail the Individual Settlement Payment to each
19 Settlement Class Member, by first-class U.S. mail, to the last-known address no later than five (5)
20 business days after Defendant deposits the Maximum Settlement Amount with the Settlement
21 Administrator. If the Settlement Administrator is not able to do so within the time period set forth
22 above, it shall so inform Class Counsel and Defense Counsel and provide an approximate date by
23 which the applicable taxes and required withholdings shall be paid and the Individual Settlement
24 Payments will be mailed. Under no circumstances shall the Settlement Administrator distribute checks
25 to Settlement Class Members until all Individual Settlement Payments have been considered,
26 calculated and accounted for, and all of the remaining monetary obligations have been calculated and
27 accounted for. Within two hundred (200) calendar days of the Effective Date, the Settlement
28 Administrator shall file with the Court and provide to Class Counsel a declaration of payment. In the

1 event that any Settlement Class Member is deceased, payment shall be made payable to the estate of
2 that Settlement Class Member and delivered to the executor or administrator of that estate, unless the
3 Settlement Administrator has received an affidavit or declaration pursuant to California Probate Code
4 § 13101, in which case payment shall be made to the affiant(s) or declarant(s).

5 6.5 Non-Cashed Settlement Checks. Individual Settlement Payment checks shall be valid
6 for a period of one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days after they are mailed, and thereafter, will
7 be cancelled. Funds associated with cancelled checks will be donated to Child Advocates of Silicon
8 Valley, a 401(c) non-profit, an organization that provides legal aid to low-income individuals and
9 families including related to employment issues.

10 **VII. NULLIFICATION OF THIS STIPULATION**

11 7.1 Non-Approval of the Stipulation. If (a) the Court should for any reason fail to approve
12 this Stipulation in the form agreed to by the Parties, or (b) the Court should for any reason fail to enter
13 Judgment of the Action, or (c) the approval of the Settlement and Judgment is reversed, modified or
14 declared or rendered void, then the Settlement and conditional class certification shall be considered
15 null and void, and neither the Settlement, conditional class certification, nor any of the related
16 negotiations or proceedings, shall be of any force or effect, and all parties to the Settlement shall stand
17 in the same position, without prejudice, as if the Class Settlement had been neither entered into nor
18 filed with the Court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties may attempt in good faith to cure any
19 perceived defects in the Stipulation to facilitate approval.

20 7.2 Defendant's Right to Void or Rescind Settlement Due to Opt-Outs. If ten percent (10%)
21 or more of the Class Members submit timely and valid Requests for Exclusion, Defendant shall have
22 the option, in its sole discretion, to rescind this Stipulation within ten (10) business days of learning
23 this information, whereupon the Settlement and Stipulation shall be null and void for any and all
24 purposes and may not be used or introduced in the Action or any other proceeding. The Parties will be
25 restored to their respective positions in the Action as if this Settlement was never negotiated, drafted,
26 or agreed upon. The Settlement Administrator shall notify Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendant
27 of the total number of timely and valid Requests for Exclusion and the individual settlement value for
28 each such Class Members had they not opted out by no later than fourteen (14) days after the

1 Response Deadline. Should Defendant choose to void the Settlement under this paragraph, it shall be
2 responsible for all Administration Expenses actually incurred up to the time it sought to void the
3 Settlement.

4 7.3 Escalator Clause. Defendant estimates the number of work weeks during the Class
5 Period to be approximately 94,000. If the actual number of work weeks during the Class Period
6 exceeds 94,000 by more than 10%, Defendant at its exclusive discretion shall either increase the
7 Maximum Settlement Amount proportionately for any excess increase in the total number of work
8 weeks during the Class Period, or in the alternative, Defendant shall have the exclusive option to
9 modify the applicable Class Period to a date to avoid incurring the pro rata increase. For example, if
10 the number of work weeks during the Class Period increases by 12%, the Maximum Settlement
11 Amount will increase by 2% (actual increase minus the 10% tolerated increase).

12 7.4 Invalidation. Invalidation of any material portion of the Settlement shall invalidate the
13 Settlement in its entirety, unless the Parties through their counsel may subsequently agree in writing
14 that the remaining provisions of the Settlement are to remain in full force and effect.

15 7.5 Stay Upon Appeal. In the event of a timely appeal from the approval of the Settlement
16 and Judgment, the Judgment shall be stayed, and Defendant shall not be obligated to fund the
17 Maximum Settlement Amount or take any other actions required by this Stipulation until all appeal
18 rights have been exhausted by operation of law.

19 7.6 Notice in Writing. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, in the event the Stipulation
20 shall be terminated, cancelled, declared void, or fails to become effective in accordance with its terms,
21 within ten (10) business days after written notification of such event, Defendant and Class Counsel
22 shall notify each other of this event in writing.

23 **VIII. MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL**

24 8.1 Preliminary Approval. Class Counsel shall promptly submit this Stipulation to the
25 Court for preliminary approval and determination by the Court as to its fairness, adequacy, and
26 reasonableness, and entry of a Preliminary Approval Order substantially in the form filed concurrently
27 herewith as **Exhibit 2**, which schedules a hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be
28 approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Settlement Class Members, approving as to form

1 and content the proposed Class Notice attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, and directing the mailing of the
2 Class Notice to Class Members.

3 Failure of the Court to enter the Preliminary Approval Order in its entirety will be grounds for
4 Parties to terminate the Settlement and the terms of this Stipulation pursuant to Section 7.1; however,
5 pursuant to Section 7.1, the Parties are to take all reasonable steps to cure any deficiencies so as to
6 avoid any termination of the Settlement.

7 8.2 **Final Approval**. The Final Approval Hearing shall be held before the Court. At the
8 Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs shall move the Court for the entry of the final order certifying the
9 Settlement Class for settlement purposes only and approving the Settlement as being fair, reasonable
10 and adequate to the Settlement Class Members within the meaning of California Rules of Court, Rule
11 3.769(c), (d) and (e) and for the entry of a final Judgment of the Action consistent with the terms of
12 the Settlement and California Rule of Court 3.769(h). Should the Court require Class Counsel and/or
13 Defense Counsel to submit any pleadings and/or evidence for the Court's determination, the Parties
14 will comply to the extent reasonable.

15 8.3 **Entry of Judgment**. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h) and any other
16 applicable rules, if the court approves this Settlement after the Final Approval Hearing, the Court must
17 make and enter Judgment. The Judgment must include a provision for the retention of the court's
18 jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of the Judgment. This Judgment shall be a judgment
19 for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.771(a).

20 **IX. RELEASES AND WAIVERS**

21 9.1 **Release of Claims by Settlement Class Members and Plaintiffs**. Upon the funding of the
22 Maximum Settlement Amount, each Settlement Class Member and Plaintiffs, each release, discharge,
23 and agree to hold harmless the Released Parties, and each of them, of and from any and all Released
24 Class Claims.

25 It is the desire of the Parties and the Class Members to fully, finally, and forever settle,
26 compromise, and discharge the Released Class Claims.

27 Plaintiffs and each Class Member (except those who submit a timely and valid Request for
28 Exclusion), will be bound to the release of Released Class Claims as a result of the Settlement and to

1 the terms of the judgment.

2 9.2 Release of Claims by Plaintiffs. In exchange for Pamela Cazares's Class
3 Representative's Payment and \$9,700.00 of James E. Aguilar's Class Representative's Payment,
4 Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves each release and discharge all Released Parties from any and all
5 claims, including but not limited to: Any and all wage-and-hour claims arising under the laws of the
6 State of California, including, without limitation, statutory, constitutional, contractual, and/or common
7 law claims for wages, damages, restitution, unreimbursed expenses, equitable relief, penalties,
8 liquidated damages, interest, and/or punitive damages (including, without limitation, claims under any
9 applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order, the California Private Attorneys General Act,
10 or any other provision of the California Labor Code); Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; 42
11 U.S.C. § 1981; the Americans With Disabilities Act; Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of
12 1973; the Family Medical Leave Act; the Fair Labor Standards Act; the Employee Retirement Income
13 Security Act; the Occupational Safety and Health Act; the Worker Adjustment and Retraining
14 Notification Act, as amended; the California Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §
15 17200 et seq.); the California Fair Employment and Housing Act; any state, civil, or statutory laws,
16 including any and all human rights laws and laws against discrimination; any claims that could have
17 been alleged in the Lawsuit; and any other federal, state, or local statutes, codes, or ordinances; any
18 common law, contract law, or tort law cause of action; and any claims for interest, attorneys' fees,
19 and/or costs. This release does not extend to claims that cannot be released as a matter of law, such as
20 workers' compensation claims.

21 In exchange for Pamela Cazares's Class Representative's Payment and \$9,700.00 of James E.
22 Aguilar's Class Representative's Payment, Plaintiffs expressly waive the protections of California
23 Civil Code section 1542, and it is their intention in executing this Stipulation that the same shall be
24 effective as a bar to each and every claim, complaint, action, debts, promises, demand, cause of action,
25 obligation, damage, liability, charge, attorneys' fees and costs herein above released. The Plaintiffs
26 hereby expressly waive and relinquish all of their rights and benefits, if any, arising under California
27 Civil Code section 1542, which provides:

28 **A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
 THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT**

1 **KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT**
2 **THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF**
3 **KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY**
4 **AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR**
5 **OR RELEASED PARTY.**

6 Plaintiffs may, being aware of Section 1542, hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from
7 those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of all the claims
8 referenced herein, but stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs shall and hereby do
9 fully, finally and forever settle and release any and all claims against the Released Parties, known or
10 unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, that were asserted or could have
11 been asserted upon any theory of law or equity without regard to the subsequent discovery of existence
12 of such different or additional facts.

13 Plaintiff James E. Aguilar (“Aguilar”) acknowledges that he is knowingly and voluntarily
14 waiving and releasing any rights he may have under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act
15 (“ADEA”). Aguilar also acknowledges that the consideration given in this agreement for the waiver
16 and release is \$300.00 of his \$10,000.00 Class Representative’s Payment and is in addition to anything
17 of value to which he was already entitled. Aguilar further acknowledges that he has been advised by
18 this in writing, as required by the Older Workers’ Benefit Protection Act, that: (a) his waiver and
19 release does not apply to any rights or claims that may arise after the ADEA Effective Date (defined
20 below) of this agreement; (b) he should consult with an attorney prior to executing this agreement; (c)
21 he alone has at least twenty-one (21) days to consider the waiver and release of any rights he may have
22 under the ADEA (although he may execute this agreement earlier) and in the event Aguilar should
23 decide to execute this agreement in fewer than 21 days, Aguilar has done so with the express
24 understanding that Aguilar has been given and declined the opportunity to consider the waiver and
25 release of his rights under the ADEA for a full 21 days; (d) he has seven (7) days following his
26 execution of this agreement to revoke the waiver and release of any rights he may have under the
27 ADEA; (e) this waiver and release of rights that Aguilar may have under the ADEA shall not be
28 effective until the date upon which the revocation period has expired (the “ADEA Effective Date”).
 Aguilar may revoke this waiver and release of rights that Aguilar may have under the ADEA only by

1 giving written notice of his revocation to Beth Kahn and Ryan McKim of Clark Hill LLP via
2 electronic mail addressed to bkahn@clarkhill.com and rmckim@clarkhill.com, to be received by no
3 later than the end of the day on the seventh day following Aguilar's execution of this Agreement. In
4 the event Aguilar revokes, he will not receive the amount allocated herein for any potential claim
5 arising under the ADEA and all claims other than those arising under the ADEA remain released.

6 9.3. Release of PAGA Claims. Upon the funding of the Maximum Settlement Amount, each
7 Aggrieved Employee, the State of California and Plaintiffs, each release, discharge, and agree to hold
8 harmless the Released Parties, and each of them, of and from any and all Released PAGA Claims.

9 It is the desire of the Aggrieved Employees, the State of California, and Plaintiffs to fully,
10 finally, and forever settle, compromise, and discharge the Released PAGA Claims.

11 Upon Court approval of this settlement, each Aggrieved Employee, the State of California and
12 each Plaintiff, will be bound to the release of Released PAGA Claims as a result of the Settlement and
13 to the terms of the judgment.

14 **X. DUTIES OF THE PARTIES**

15 10.1 Mutual Full Cooperation. The Parties agree to cooperate fully with one another to
16 accomplish and implement the terms of this Stipulation. Such cooperation shall include, but not be
17 limited to, execution of such other documents and the taking of such other actions as may reasonably
18 be necessary to fulfill the terms of this Settlement. The Parties shall use their best efforts, including all
19 efforts contemplated by this Stipulation and any other efforts that may become necessary by court
20 order or otherwise, to effectuate this Stipulation and the terms set forth herein. As soon as practicable
21 after execution of this Stipulation, Class Counsel, with the cooperation of Defendant and Defense
22 Counsel, shall take all necessary and reasonable steps to secure the Court's preliminary approval and
23 final approval of this Stipulation.

24 10.2 Duty to Support and Defend the Settlement. The Parties agree to abide by all of the
25 terms of the Settlement in good faith and to support the Class Settlement fully and to use their best
26 efforts to defend this Class Settlement from any legal challenge, whether by appeal or collateral attack.

27 10.3 Duties Prior to Court Approval. Class Counsel shall promptly submit this Stipulation to
28 the Court for Preliminary Approval and determination by the Court as to its fairness, adequacy, and

1 reasonableness. Promptly upon execution of this Stipulation, Class Counsel shall apply to the Court
2 for the entry of a Preliminary Order substantially in the form filed concurrently herewith as **Exhibit 2**,
3 scheduling a hearing on the question of whether the proposed Class Settlement should be approved as
4 fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Settlement Class Members, approving as to form and content
5 the proposed Class Notice attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, and directing the mailing of the Class Notice
6 to Settlement Class Members.

7 **XI. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

8 11.1 Voiding the Stipulation. Pending Court approval and other than as provided in Section
9 VIII (entitled “NULLIFICATION OF THIS STIPULATION”) herein, if any of the conditions set
10 forth in this Stipulation are not met and satisfied, this Stipulation shall, at the option of either Plaintiffs
11 or Defendant, be ineffective, void, and of no further force and effect, and shall not be used or be
12 admissible in any subsequent proceeding, either in this Court or in any other court or forum. If either
13 Party decides to void the Settlement, then the Settlement and conditional class certification shall be
14 considered void, and neither the Settlement, Stipulation, conditional class certification, nor any of the
15 related negotiations or proceedings, shall be of any force or effect, and the Parties shall stand in the
16 same position, without prejudice, as if this Stipulation had been neither entered into nor filed with the
17 Court. Should either Party choose to void the Settlement under this paragraph, such party shall be
18 responsible for all Administration Expenses actually incurred up to the time such Party sought to void
19 the Settlement not to exceed the cap of \$25,000. If both Defendant and Plaintiffs choose to void the
20 Settlement under this paragraph, each shall be responsible for his or its proportionate share of the
21 Administration Fees actually incurred not to exceed the collective cap of \$25,000.

22 11.2 No Prior Assignments. The Parties represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not
23 directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber
24 to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or right
25 herein released and discharged except as set forth herein.

26 11.3 Non-Admission. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as or deemed to be an
27 admission by any Party of any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward any other Party,
28 or any other person, and the Parties specifically disclaim any liability, culpability, negligence, or

wrongdoing toward each other or any other person. Each of the Parties has entered into this Stipulation with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience, expenses, and contingencies. Nothing herein shall constitute any admission by the Released Parties of wrongdoing or liability, or of the truth of any factual allegations in the Action. Nothing herein shall constitute any admission by the Released Parties regarding the merits of the Claims in this Action, including but not limited to claims for unpaid wages or other compensation, benefits or penalties under California and/or federal law. Nothing herein shall constitute an admission by the Released Parties that the Action was properly brought as a class or representative action other than for settlement purposes. To the contrary, the Released Parties have denied and continue to deny each and every material factual allegation and all Claims. To this end, the Class Settlement of the Action, the negotiation and execution of this Stipulation, and all acts performed or documents executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Stipulation or the Class Settlement are not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be used as, an admission or evidence of any wrongdoing or liability on the part of the Released Parties or of the truth of any of the factual allegations in the Complaint in the Action; and are not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be used as, an admission or evidence of any fault or omission on the part of the Released Parties in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative or governmental agency or other tribunal.

11.4 No Publicity.

(a) Plaintiffs and Defendant, and their respective counsel, recognize, and accept that the Parties to this Stipulation desire that information regarding the terms of this Stipulation, the fact of the Settlement embodied in this Stipulation, the disposition of the Action, the Action, and all matters relating to the litigation of the Action, including discovery proceedings therein, and evidence obtained during the course of the Action, shall be provided to the Class by way of the Court-approved Class Notice and public access to Court records in the Action, and that this information shall not otherwise be discussed with or presented to the media or press, and shall not be discussed or mentioned in any of Class Counsel's internal or external advertising or marketing materials, including but not limited to any website(s) maintained by Class Counsel.

1 (b) Further, Plaintiffs and their counsel agree that they will not issue any press
2 releases, initiate any contact with the press, respond to any press inquiry, post on any internet
3 websites, or have any communication with the press about the Action, and/or the fact, amount or terms
4 of the Settlement. Before the date of the filing of the motion for preliminary approval of the
5 Settlement, Plaintiffs and their counsel will not initiate any contact with Class Members or anyone else
6 about the Settlement, except that: (a) Class Counsel, if contacted by a Class Member, may respond
7 that a settlement has been reached and that the details will be communicated in a forthcoming Court-
8 approved notice; and (b) the Plaintiffs, if contacted by a Class Member, may respond only that the
9 Class Member should contact Class Counsel.

10 11.5 Non-Retaliation. Defendant understands and acknowledges that it has a legal obligation
11 not to retaliate against any Class Member who elects to participate in the Settlement or elects to opt
12 out of the Settlement. Defendant will refer any inquiries regarding this Settlement to the Settlement
13 Administrator or Class Counsel and will not discourage Class Members who are currently working for
14 Defendant, directly or indirectly, from making claims, opting out or objecting to the Class Settlement.

15 11.6 Construction. The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation
16 are the result of lengthy, intensive, arms-length non-collusive negotiations between the Parties and that
17 this Stipulation is not to be construed in favor of or against any Party by reason of the extent to which
18 any Party or its counsel participated in the drafting of this Stipulation. If any of the dates in the
19 Stipulation fall on a weekend, bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next
20 business day. Except that should the Response Deadline (or extension(s) thereof specified in the
21 Stipulation relating to a deficiency notice or a re-mailing) fall on a Saturday and regular U.S. Mail
22 service is in operation that day, then no further extension pursuant to this paragraph shall apply to
23 these specific deadlines. The language of all parts of this Stipulation shall in all cases be construed as
24 a whole, according to its fair meaning, and not strictly for or against either Party. No Party shall be
25 deemed the drafter of this Stipulation. The Parties acknowledge that the terms of the Stipulation are
26 contractual and are the product of negotiations between the Parties and their counsel. Each Party and
27 his/its counsel cooperated in the drafting and preparation of the Stipulation. In any construction to be
28

1 made of the Stipulation, the Stipulation shall not be construed against any Party and the canon of
2 contract interpretation set forth in California Civil Code section 1654 shall not be applied.

3 11.7 Governing Law. The Stipulation and the exhibits hereto shall be considered to have
4 been negotiated, executed, and delivered, and to have been wholly performed, in the State of
5 California, and the rights and obligations of the Parties to the Stipulation shall be construed and
6 enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the internal, substantive laws of the State of California
7 without regard to conflict of law principles in all respects, including execution, interpretation,
8 performance, and enforcement.

9 11.8 Notices. Except for mailing of the Class Notice to Class Members, any and all notices
10 or other communications required or permitted under this Stipulation shall be in writing and shall be
11 sufficiently given if delivered to the Party or their counsel by U.S. certified mail, postage prepaid, e-
12 mail, facsimile, or overnight delivery addressed to the address of the Party appearing in this
13 Stipulation.

14 11.9 Captions and Interpretations. Section titles or captions contained herein are inserted as
15 a matter of convenience and for reference only and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the
16 scope of this Stipulation or any provision thereof.

17 11.10 Modification. This Stipulation may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in
18 writing signed by the Parties' counsel and approved by the Court. In the event that the Court requires
19 certain changes to this Stipulation, the Parties agree that such amendments can be made through a
20 stipulation signed solely by their counsel, provided that such amendment conforms precisely to the
21 Court's order.

22 11.11 Integration Clause. This Stipulation contains the entire agreement between the Parties
23 relating to the Settlement of the Action and the transactions contemplated thereby, and all prior or
24 contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or
25 written, and whether by a party or such party's legal counsel, are hereby superseded. No rights under
26 this Stipulation may be waived except in writing executed by the Parties.

27 11.12 Successors and Assigns. This Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit
28 of the Parties and Settlement Class Members (excluding only persons who submit a timely and valid

1 Request for Exclusion) and their respective present and former heirs, trustees, executors,
2 administrators, representatives, officers, directors, shareholders, agents, employees, insurers,
3 attorneys, accountants, auditors, advisors, consultants, pension and welfare benefit plans, fiduciaries,
4 parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, related companies, joint ventures, predecessors, successors,
5 and assigns.

6 11.13 Corporate Signatories. Any person executing this Stipulation or any such related
7 document on behalf of a partnership hereby warrants and promises, for the benefit of all Parties hereto,
8 that such person has been duly authorized by such partnership to execute this Stipulation or any such
9 related document.

10 11.14 Execution in Counterparts. This Stipulation shall become effective upon its execution
11 by all of the undersigned. The Parties may execute this Stipulation in counterparts, and execution of
12 counterparts shall have the same force and effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.
13 Parties may also execute the Stipulation by way of electronic signature or other electronic means.

14 11.15 No Third Party Beneficiaries. The Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the
15 benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto; however, this Stipulation is not designed to
16 and does not create any third party beneficiaries unless otherwise specifically provided herein.

17 11.16 No Cooperation with Other Claims. The Class Representatives shall not cooperate with,
18 help, bring, counsel, aid, or in any manner assist any person or entity in filing or pursuing a claim,
19 complaint, class action, collective action, representative action, action, debt, promise, demand,
20 grievance, cause of action, obligation, damage, liability, or charge against Defendant, except as
21 required by law or regulation.

22 11.17 Other Claims. No person shall have any claim against the Class Representative, Class
23 Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, or any of the Released Parties based on the payments made or
24 other actions taken substantially in accordance with this Stipulation and the settlement contained
25 herein or further orders of the Court.

26 11.18 Attorney Fees, Costs and Expenses. Except as otherwise specifically provided for
27 herein, each party shall bear her, his, or its own attorney fees, costs and expenses, taxable or
28

1 otherwise, incurred by them in or arising out of the Action and shall not seek reimbursement thereof
2 from any other party to this Stipulation.

3 11.19 Action to Enforce Agreement. In any suit or court action to enforce the terms of this
4 Stipulation, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover his or its attorneys' fees and costs.

5 11.20 Court Retains Jurisdiction. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to
6 implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, and all parties hereto submit to the
7 jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the Settlement embodied in the
8 Stipulation.

9 11.21 Fair, Adequate, and Reasonable Settlement. The Parties agree that this settlement is
10 fair, adequate, and reasonable, and will so represent to the Court. In addition, Judge Carl West (Ret.),
11 may, at his discretion, execute a declaration supporting the settlement, and the Court may, in its
12 discretion, contact Judge West to discuss the settlement, and whether the settlement is fair and
13 reasonable.

14 11.22 The Parties agree that any dispute relating to the terms of the Stipulation shall be
15 resolved by the Hon. Carl West (Ret.).

16 11.23 Neither Defendant, nor its counsel shall have any liability for any tax implications
17 related to the receipt of settlement funds herein, including by the third-party settlement administrator;
18 any and all such liability and/or obligations shall be borne solely by the recipients of any funds to be
19 paid by Defendant herein. The Parties acknowledge neither Defendant nor Plaintiffs' counsel have
20 provided any tax-related advice whatsoever.

21 11.24 There are no third-party beneficiaries who are to be benefited or who may enforce the
22 terms of this Stipulation.

23 11.25 Plaintiffs represent that Plaintiffs have not assigned Plaintiffs' rights to any other
24 person or entity.

25 11.26 Plaintiffs represent that Plaintiffs have not filed or participated in any other charge or
26 complaint against Defendant, its officers, directors, partners, and/or any of its related/affiliated entities
27 except the instant class action.
28

1 11.27 Plaintiffs and their counsel agree to provide completed and signed W-4 forms from
2 Plaintiffs and W-9 forms from Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel to Defense Counsel and the Settlement
3 Administrator.

4 11.28 The settlement administrator shall deposit the settlement payment into federally insured
5 bank accounts (i.e., FDIC) so that all money held by the settlement administrator is in federally
6 insured bank accounts. Any interest on the earned money in said bank accounts shall be added to the
7 Net Settlement Amount.

8 11.29 The section and paragraph headings contained in this Stipulation are for reference
9 purposes only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Stipulation.

10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties and their counsel have executed this Stipulation on the
11 date below their signatures or the signature of their representatives. The date of the Stipulation shall be
12 the date of the latest signature.

13 THIS STIPULATION HAS BEEN READ AND AGREED TO INDIVIDUALLY AND ON
14 BEHALF OF THE PROPOSED CLASS:

15
16 
17 [james edward Aguilar \(Feb 15, 2023 15:56 PST\)](#)

Dated: 02-15, 2023

18 Plaintiff James E. Aguilar

19
20 
21 [Pamela Cazares \(Feb 15, 2023 15:41 PST\)](#)

Dated: 2023, 2023

22 Plaintiff Pamela Cazares

23
24 READ AND AGREED TO ON BEHALF OF T & K, L.P.

25
26
27 _____
28 Taylor Loesch

Dated: _____, 2023

11.27 Plaintiffs and their counsel agree to provide completed and signed W-4 forms from Plaintiffs and W-9 forms from Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel to Defense Counsel and the Settlement Administrator.

11.28 The settlement administrator shall deposit the settlement payment into federally insured bank accounts (i.e., FDIC) so that all money held by the settlement administrator is in federally insured bank accounts. Any interest on the earned money in said bank accounts shall be added to the Net Settlement Amount.

11.29 The section and paragraph headings contained in this Stipulation are for reference purposes only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Stipulation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties and their counsel have executed this Stipulation on the date below their signatures or the signature of their representatives. The date of the Stipulation shall be the date of the latest signature.

THIS STIPULATION HAS BEEN READ AND AGREED TO INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF THE PROPOSED CLASS:

Dated: _____, 2023
Plaintiff James E. Aguilar

Dated: _____, 2023
Plaintiff Pamela Cazares

READ AND AGREED TO ON BEHALF OF T & K, L.P.

Taylor Loesch
Dated: 2/15/2023, 2023
Taylor Loesch

EXHIBIT 1

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT **& HEARING DATE FOR COURT APPROVAL**

James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.
Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara
Case No. 21cv384674

To: All individuals who are or previously were employed by T & K, L.P. in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022.

THIS NOTICE MAY AFFECT YOUR RIGHTS. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.

You are receiving this notice because T & K, L.P.'s ("Defendant") records indicate that you may be entitled to participate in this class action and representative action settlement.

The following recitation does not constitute the findings of the Court. Defendant adamantly denies any and all liability. This notice should not be understood to be an expression of the Court's views on the merits of any claim or defense raised by the parties.

I. WHY IT IS IMPORTANT TO READ THIS NOTICE:

The Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara (the "Court") has granted preliminary approval to a proposed Settlement (defined below) of a class action lawsuit and representative action regarding wage and hour claims of all individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022.

Because your rights may be affected by the Settlement (defined below), it is important that you read this notice carefully. Defendant's records show that you were employed by Defendant sometime during the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022 ("Class Period"). Therefore, you are a "Class Member" in this lawsuit.

The purpose of this notice is to provide you with a brief description of the class action lawsuit and representative action, what investigation of the claims has taken place, to inform you of the terms of the proposed Settlement (defined below) and to discuss your rights and options in connection with the lawsuit and the Settlement (defined below).

II. WHAT YOU NEED TO DO IN RESPONSE TO THIS NOTICE:

You have received this notice because Defendants' records indicate that you are a member of the conditionally certified Class (defined below). You have the following options:

- 1. IF YOU WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND REMAIN A MEMBER OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS AND BE ISSUED A PAYMENT UNDER THE SETTLEMENT, YOU (or in the event of a deceased Class Member, the estate or authorized beneficiary) ARE NOT REQUIRED TO TAKE ANY FURTHER ACTION.** You will be issued payment if the Court grants final approval of the Settlement (defined below) and judgment becomes final, and you will release the Released Parties for the Released Claims (see section VIII below for definitions of "Released Claims" and "Released Parties"). If you wish to receive a payment under the Settlement, you must not "opt out" of the Settlement. **To ensure receipt of your payment, you must make sure that your correct mailing address is on file with the Settlement Administrator.**
- 2. YOU MAY ELECT TO "OPT OUT" OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS AND THUS EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT.** If you wish to opt out of the Settlement and exclude yourself from the Settlement, you must mail to the Settlement Administrator the enclosed Opt-Out Form or a written request ("Request for Exclusion") postmarked on or before **TBD**. A Request for Exclusion must include: (1) your full

name, address, telephone number, last four digits of your social security number, and signature; (2) the case name and number of the action (i.e., *James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.*); and (3) a statement indicating that you wish to be excluded from the Settlement. If a timely and complete Opt-Out Form or Request for Exclusion is not received by the Settlement Administrator at the address listed below, postmarked on or before [TBD], you will be deemed to have forever waived your right to opt out of the Settlement. Class Members who do not properly opt out of the Settlement shall be “Settlement Class Member(s).” Class Members who do properly opt out of the Settlement shall not be bound by the terms of the Settlement, shall not be allowed to object to this Settlement, and shall not be entitled to any benefits as a result of this Settlement, including payment of any Individual Settlement Amount (defined below), except a portion of the PAGA payment, if applicable, in the estimated amount of [\$]. All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period from May 19, 2020, up to and including October 29, 2022 have no right or ability to opt out of the portion of this Settlement releasing Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) claims. The Settlement Administrator’s address is below:

[Settlement Administrator]
[Address]

3. **PROVIDED YOU DO NOT OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS, YOU MAY OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT.** You may object to the Settlement by mailing a written objection (“Objection”) to the Settlement Administrator, on or before [TBD], to the address listed in section II.2 above. The Objection must (1) contain your full name, dates of employment as an employee of Defendant, and signature; (2) the case name and number of the action (*James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.*); (3) the reason(s) for the objection (if any); and (4) identify any counsel representing you in connection with the Objection. You may attend the Settlement Hearing (defined below), including by audio or visual technology, and may ask to speak regarding your objections, regardless of whether you submitted an Objection. More information can be found at www.scsccourt.org. If you take no action, you will remain a member of the Class. A Class Member who does not opt out of participation in the Settlement will be bound by the judgment and be issued payment under the Settlement (defined below). If you remain a member of the Class, you will be represented by the following attorneys who have been designated by the Court as Class Counsel:

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121

INFORMATION REGARDING FINAL APPROVAL HEARING. The Final Approval Hearing determines whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether the Service Award (defined below) to Plaintiffs, Administration Expenses (defined below) to the Settlement Administrator, and attorneys’ fees and costs to Class Counsel should be awarded. That hearing will be held on [TBD] at [TBD] before the Hon. Sunil Kulkarni, in Department 1 of the Santa Clara Superior Court located at 191 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 (“Settlement Hearing”).

If the Court enters judgment based on the Settlement (defined below), the judgment will be posted on the Settlement Administrator’s website. The Settlement Administrator’s website address is [Website Address].

You are not required to attend the Settlement Hearing, although you are welcome to attend.

III. WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT:

On behalf of themselves and on behalf of other employees, James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares (collectively "Class Representatives" or "Plaintiffs") claim that Defendant has, with respect to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, allegedly engaged in unfair competition in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq., failed to pay minimum wages in violation of Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 & 1197.1, failed to pay overtime wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq., failed to provide required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failed to provide required rest periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failed to provide accurate itemized statements in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226 & 226.2, failed to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, failed to timely pay wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, and failed to reimburse employees for required expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802. The operative complaint also alleges Defendant is liable under PAGA. On behalf of themselves and other employees of Defendant, Plaintiffs seek, *inter alia*, damages, unpaid wages, penalties, attorneys' fees, and interest for alleged wage and hour violations.

Defendant adamantly denies each, every, and all of the allegations of the lawsuit, and maintains that it complied with all California wage and hour laws, such that the Class Representatives cannot properly recover any damages including but not limited to civil penalties under California law. Although Defendant denies these allegations, Defendant has chosen to resolve the matter based upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Joint Stipulation and Agreement for Class and Representative Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"). The Court will determine whether or not to grant final approval of the Settlement.

The Settlement provides for the certification, for settlement purposes only, of all individuals who are or previously were employed by T & K, L.P. in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022.

IV. THE SETTLEMENT OF THE CLAIMS:

On August 29, 2022, the parties participated in a full-day mediation before an employment law mediator, the Hon. Carl West (ret.), which lead to settlement of the entire action.

The Class Representatives and Class Counsel believe the Settlement is in the best interest of the Class.

Through the Settlement, neither Defendant nor any of its employees have admitted any liability or wrongdoing and, in fact Defendant expressly denies any wrongdoing. The Court did not decide in favor of Plaintiffs or Defendant. The Settlement Agreement has been preliminarily approved by the Court. A full copy of the Settlement Agreement, embodied in the document entitled "JOINT STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT FOR CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE" as preliminarily approved by the Court, as well as other public documents filed in this case, can be inspected in the Office of the Court Clerk located at 191 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95113.

V. THE TERMS OF THE CONDITIONAL CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT:

On behalf of the Class Members, the Class Representatives have reached a Settlement with Defendant and on [TBD] the Court conditionally certified a class, for settlement purposes only, comprised of the following persons (collectively, the "Class"):

All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the Class Period who do not submit timely and valid Requests for Exclusion to opt out of the settlement and, thus, become bound by the Judgment.

Without admitting liability, Defendant has agreed to pay \$2,000,000.00 (the "Gross Settlement Amount") for a release of Defendant and all Released Parties (defined below) from the Released Claims (defined below) (see below for more information). This is a non-reversionary settlement. Settlement Class Members will be bound by the Settlement and will release Defendant and all Released Parties (defined below) from the Released Claims (defined below) (see below for more information).

This Settlement is conditioned upon the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara entering an order at or following the Settlement Hearing finally approving the Settlement as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

The following payments will be sought, subject to final approval by the Court, from the Gross Settlement Amount:

- (1) Payment in the amount of up to \$10,000.00 to each of the Class Representatives ("Service Award") in addition to the sums that they will receive as Settlement Class Members, to compensate them for acting on behalf of the Class, including the time they expended to fulfill the role of Class Representatives (e.g., assisting with the investigation of the claims, etc.) and for a general release and waiver of Civil Code section 1542;
- (2) Payment to the Settlement Administrator for the fees and costs of administering this Settlement ("Administration Expenses"), in the estimated maximum amount of \$25,000.00;
- (3) Payment in an amount of \$100,000.00 for penalties pursuant to the California Labor Code Private Attorney General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), of which 75% of the amount (\$75,000.00) will be distributed to the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining 25% of the amount (\$25,000.00) of the penalties will be distributed to all individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time from May 19, 2020, up to and including October 29, 2022;
- (4) Payment in an amount of up to \$666,666.66 to Class Counsel as attorneys' fees, to compensate them for their services in litigating this case for approximately 2 years on a contingency basis; and
- (5) Payment in an amount of up to \$25,000.00 to Class Counsel, to reimburse Class Counsel for actual litigation costs and expenses expended in this matter by Class Counsel.

The "Net Settlement Amount" is the Gross Settlement Amount less the above-mentioned requested payments which are subject to final approval by the Court. The Net Settlement Amount will be automatically distributed to Settlement Class Members, and these gross individual shares are known as the "Individual Settlement Amount." The Individual Settlement Amount for each Settlement Class Member shall be determined on a *pro rata* basis based on his or her number of Workweeks (defined below) as compared to the total Workweeks of all Settlement Class Members. Workweeks are calculated by determining the number of days between the Settlement Class Member's start and end dates of employment from July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022 and then dividing by seven (7). If a Settlement Class Member was hired prior to July 23, 2017, then his or her "start date" will be July 23, 2017.

VI. WHAT IS YOUR EXPECTED SHARE:

As discussed above, the Net Settlement Amount will be automatically distributed to Class Members who do not opt out of this Settlement, based on their respective number of Workweeks.

Defendant's records reflect that you worked [insert number of workweeks] workweeks during the Class Period, and based on this number of Workweeks, your gross Individual Settlement Amount is estimated to be [insert estimated amount].

If you wish to dispute the number of Workweeks attributed to you, you may do so by submitting a written dispute ("Workweeks Dispute") to the Settlement Administrator at the address listed in section II.2 (above), postmarked on or before [TBD]. A Workweeks Dispute must state: (a) your full name, address, telephone number, last four digits of your social security number, and signature; (b) the case name and number of the action (*James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.*); (c) contain a statement indicating your intent to dispute or challenge the number of Workweeks credited to you and clearly identify the number of Workweeks you contend should be credited to you; and (d) include any records or documentation to support the Workweeks Dispute.

The amount stated herein as your estimated Individual Settlement Amount may change depending on the number of Class Members who do not opt-out and the Court's order with respect to the requests for attorneys' fees, litigation costs and expenses, award to class representative, administration expenses, and PAGA payment. Further, your gross Individual Settlement Amount will be allocated as 10% wages (reported on an IRS Form W-2), and 90% interest and penalties (reported on an IRS Form 1099). The wage portion is subject to employee's and employer's share of state and federal employment and payroll taxes and withholdings prior to distribution.

VII. WHEN CAN I EXPECT TO RECEIVE MY SHARE OF THE SETTLEMENT:

The Settlement Administrator will distribute the settlement funds after funding has occurred and after the Court enters the final approval order and judgment.

Within five (5) business days after Defendant funds the Gross Settlement Amount, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the payments as follows: the individual settlement payments to Settlement Class Members and PAGA Group Members (defined below), Service Award to Class Representatives, LWDA payment to the LWDA, Administration Expenses, and fees and expenses to Class Counsel, all in the Court-approved amounts.

VIII. RELEASE OF CLAIMS OF SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS:

If the Court (defined below) grants final approval of the Settlement and upon funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, each Settlement Class Member and Plaintiffs, each release, discharge, and agree to hold harmless the Released Parties (defined below), and each of them, of and from any and all Released Claims (defined below).

It is the desire of the Plaintiffs, Defendant, and the Class Members to fully, finally, and forever settle, compromise, and discharge the Released Class Claims.

Plaintiffs and each Class Member (except those who submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion), will be bound to the release of Released Class Claims (defined below) as a result of the Settlement and to the terms of the judgment.

“Action” means the lawsuit pending in the Court, entitled *James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.*, filed on July 23, 2021, in the Santa Clara County Superior Court, and assigned Case No. 21cv384674, with the First Amended Complaint filed on March 4, 2022.

“Complaint” means the Class Action Complaint filed in the Action on July 23, 2021 and the First Amended Class Action Complaint filed on March 4, 2022.

“Released Claims” include all claims that were alleged or reasonably could have been alleged in the Complaint based on the facts contained therein, arising during the Class Period, including, but not limited to, California Labor Code sections 200, 201, 201.3, 201.5, 202, 201.3, 202, 203, 204, 205.5, 206.5, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, et seq., 512, 515, 558, 1174, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1197.14, 1198, 1199, 2802, 2804, 2698 et seq., 2699, 2699.3, 2699.5, and any federal counterparts, Code of Civil Procedure sections 382, 395, 395.5, 410.10, 474, and any federal counterparts, California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq., 17203, any IWC Wage Orders, any claims involving any alleged unfair competition in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq., failure to pay minimum wages in violation of Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 & 1197.1, failure to pay overtime wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq., failure to provide required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide required rest periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide accurate itemized statements in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226, 226.2, failure to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, failure to timely pay wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, failure to reimburse employees for required expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802, and unpaid wages due to alleged off the clock work.

“Released Parties” means Defendant, Taco Bell Corp., Yum! Brands, Inc. and all of their respective current and former partners, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, joint employers, and their affiliated entities, and each of their respective owners, officers, directors, managing agents, exempt-employees, partners, shareholders, agents, and any other successors, heirs, assigns, or legal representatives, any attorneys, insurers, and claims representatives.

In connection with the above Released Claims, and in consideration of Defendant’s payments of the Gross Settlement Amount, each and every Class Member who does not opt out, including Plaintiffs, will be deemed also to have acknowledged and agreed that California Labor Code section 206.5 is not applicable to Defendant and the Class Members because there is a good faith dispute as to whether any wages are due at all to any Class Member. California Labor Code section 206.5 provides in pertinent part as follows:

AN EMPLOYER SHALL NOT REQUIRE THE EXECUTION OF A RELEASE OF A CLAIM OR RIGHT ON ACCOUNT OF WAGES DUE, OR TO BECOME DUE, OR MADE AS AN ADVANCE ON WAGES TO BE EARNED, UNLESS PAYMENT OF THOSE WAGES HAS BEEN MADE.

IX. RELEASE OF CLAIMS OF PAGA GROUP MEMBERS:

If the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and the Gross Settlement Amount is funded, each PAGA Group Member (defined below), the State of California and Plaintiffs, each release, discharge, and agree to hold harmless the Released Parties, and each of them, of and from any and all Released PAGA Claims (defined below).

“PAGA Group Member(s)” means all individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period from May 19, 2020, up to and including October 29, 2022.

“Released PAGA Claims” means all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the facts stated in the complaint, first amended complaint, and PAGA notice provided to the LWDA pursuant to Labor Code section 2699.3, subd. (a), including but not limited to, claims involving Labor Code sections 200, 201, 201.3, 201.5, 202, 201.3, 202, 203, 204, 205.5, 206.5, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, et seq., 512, 515, 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1197.14, 1198, 1199, 2802, 2804, 2698 et seq., 2699, 2699.3, 2699.5, the applicable IWC Wage Order, any alleged failure to pay minimum wages in violation of Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 & 1197.1, failure to pay overtime wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq., failure to provide required meal periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide required rest periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the applicable IWC Wage Order, failure to provide accurate itemized statements in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226, 226.2, failure to provide wages when due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, and 203, failure to timely pay wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 204, failure to reimburse employees for required expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802, and unpaid wages due to alleged off the clock work, that occurred during the period from May 19, 2020, up to and including October 29, 2022.

X. HOW TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

This Notice is only a summary of the class action lawsuit and the associated Settlement. For more information, you may inspect the Court files and the Settlement Agreement at the Santa Clara County Superior Court, located at 191 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95113.

You may also contact Class Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, and/or Defendant’s Counsel for more information:

<u>Class Counsel:</u> Shani O. Zakay, Esq. Zakay Law Group, APLC 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. JCL Law Firm, APC 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121	<u>Defendant’s Counsel:</u> Beth Kahn, Esq. Ryan McKim, Esq. CLARK HILL LLP 555 South Flower Street, 24 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 891-9100 Facsimile: (213) 488-1178 bkahn@clarkhill.com rmckim@clarkhill.com
<u>Settlement Administrator:</u> [Name] [Address] [Telephone] [Facsimile] [Email]	

PLEASE DO NOT CALL OR WRITE TO THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

Opt-Out Form

James E. Aguilar, et al. v. T & K, L.P., et al.

Case No. 21cv384674

If you wish to opt out of the Settlement and request to exclude yourself from the Settlement, complete this Opt-Out Form and mail it to [Settlement Administrator], located at [Address] so that it is postmarked no later than [TBD]. For additional information about the Settlement, please review the accompanying Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement & Hearing Date for Court Approval.

Full name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Last four digits of social security number: _____

Signature: _____

EXHIBIT 2

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Sydney Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 5400
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs JAMES E. AGUILAR and
PAMELA CAZARES

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

JAMES E. AGUILAR, an individual; PAMELA
CAZARES, an individual, on behalf of themselves
and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

T & K, L.P., a California Limited Partnership; and
DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE No.: 21CV384674

[Unlimited Jurisdiction]

*Assigned for all purposes to the
Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni, Dept. 1*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Complaint Filed: July 23, 2021

Hearing Date:

Hearing Time:

1 CLARK HILL LLP
Beth A. Kahn, Esq. (SBN: 134158)
2 Ryan C. McKim, Esq. (SBN: 265941)
555 South Flower Street, 24th Floor
3 Los Angeles, CA 90071
4 Telephone: (213) 891-9100
Facsimile: (213) 488-1178
5 BKahn@ClarkHill.com
6 RMckim@ClarkHill.com

7 Attorney for Defendant, T & K, L.P.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 This matter having come before the Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni of the Superior Court of

1 the State of California, in and for the County of Santa Clara, on _____, with
2 Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of the JCL Law Firm, APC and Shani O. Zakay, Esq. of the Zakay
3 Law Group, APLC as counsel for Plaintiffs James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares (collectively
4 “Plaintiffs”), and Ryan C. McKim, Esq. of Clark Hill LLP appearing for Defendant T & K, L.P.
5 (“Defendant”) and the Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all
6 the matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs’
7 Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

9 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Joint Stipulation and Agreement for Class
10 and Representative Action Settlement and Release (“Settlement Agreement” or “Agreement”), a
11 true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit “1”. This is based on the Court’s
12 determination that the Joint Stipulation and Agreement for Class and Representative Action
13 Settlement and Release is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions
14 of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, Rule
15 3.769.

16 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all
17 terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

18 3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Maximum Settlement
19 Amount that Defendant shall pay is Two Million Dollars and Zero Cents (\$2,000,000.00). It
20 appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair,
21 adequate, and reasonable as to all Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of
22 further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that
23 investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to
24 reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at
25 this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks
26 that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the
27 Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length
28 negotiations.

4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of

1 reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The
2 Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and
3 preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement award made available to the Class members are
4 fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation
5 relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

6 5. Plaintiffs seek an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed one third of
7 the Maximum Settlement Amount (i.e., \$666,666.66) and reimbursement of litigation costs and
8 expenses of up to \$25,000.00 (together, "Attorneys' Fees and Expenses"), and Service Award to
9 the Class Representatives, not to exceed \$10,000.00 each. While these awards appear to be within
10 the range of reasonableness, the Court will not approve the Attorneys' Fees and Expenses or
11 Service Award until the Final Approval Hearing.

12 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulated and agreed to
13 certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed
14 admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement
15 purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class:

16 All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and
17 classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the Class Period ("Class"). "Class
18 Period" means the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to and including October 29, 2022.

19 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the
20 requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:
21 (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is
22 impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined
23 community of interest amongst the Class members with respect to the subject matter of the
24 litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Class
25 members; (d) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the
26 Class members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient
27 adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for the
28 Class Representatives in their individual capacity and as the representatives of the Class
members.

1 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiffs as the representatives of the Class.

2 9. The Court provisionally appoints Shani Zakay, Esq. of Zakay Law Group, APLC
3 and Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel for the Class
4 members.

5 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Proposed Class
6 Action Settlement & Hearing Date for Court Approval (“Class Notice”) attached to the
7 Agreement as Exhibit “1”. The Court finds that the notice appears to fully and accurately inform
8 the Class members and Aggrieved Employees of all material elements of the proposed
9 Settlement, including right of any Class members to be excluded from the Class by submitting a
10 written request for exclusion, and of each Class member’s right and opportunity to object to the
11 Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the notice substantially in the manner
12 and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the
13 most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to
14 all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the notices by first class mail,
15 pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

16 11. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc., as Settlement Administrator.
17 Within ten (10) calendar days of this order, Defendant shall provide, to the Settlement
18 Administrator the Class List, including information regarding Class members that Defendant will
19 in good faith compile from its records, including each Class member’s full name; last known
20 address; Social Security Number; and the number of Workweeks for each Class members. Within
21 forty-five (45) calendar days after receiving the Class List from Defendant, the Settlement
22 Administrator shall mail the Class Notice to all identified, potential Class members via first class
23 U.S. Mail using the most current mailing address information available.

24 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion
25 from the Settlement. Any Class members may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded
26 from the Settlement as provided in the Class Notice by following the instructions for requesting
27 exclusion from the Settlement of the Released Class Claims that are set forth in the Class Notice.
28 All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received by the Response Deadline which is
forty-five (45) calendar days after the date the Class Notice is mailed to the Class members or, in

1 the case of a re-mailed Notice, not more than ten (10) calendar days after the original Response
2 Deadline. Every Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion is a “Non-
3 Participating Class Member” and shall not receive an Individual Settlement Payment or have the
4 right to object to the class action components of the Settlement. Because future PAGA claims are
5 subject to claim preclusion upon entry of the Judgment, Non-Participating Class Members who
6 are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release the claims identified in Paragraphs 1.34 and 9.3
7 of the Agreement and are eligible to receive a portion of the PAGA Payment. Class members
8 who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the
9 Agreement and Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out that particular individual,
10 and any attempt to affect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted
11 and will be deemed invalid.

12 13. Any Class members who have not opted out may appear at the final approval of
13 the settlement hearing and may object or express the Class member’s views regarding the
14 Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and
15 relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice.
16 Class members will have forty-five (45) days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails
17 the Class Notice to postmark their written objections to the Settlement Administrator.

18 14. A final settlement approval hearing shall be held before this Court on
19 _____, at ____:____.m. in Department 1 of the Superior Court for the County
20 of Santa Clara, located at 191 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, to determine all necessary
21 matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on
22 the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and
23 should be finally approved by the Court; whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment should
24 be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved
25 as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class; and to finally approve the Attorneys’ Fees and
26 Expenses, Service Award to Plaintiffs, and the Administration Expenses. All papers in support of
27 the motion for final approval and the motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses and Service
28 Award to Plaintiffs, shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen
(16) court days before the hearing.

1 15. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered
2 thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way, and shall
3 not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way,
4 including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant
5 or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the
6 Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement,
7 proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any
8 event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence
9 for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a
10 presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault,
11 wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

12 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms
13 of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to
14 become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated,
15 and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement.
16 In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary
17 Approval Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this
18 paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of
19 the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.

20 17. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class
21 Representatives and all Class members are barred and enjoined from filing, commencing,
22 prosecuting, intervening in, instigating or in any way participating in the commencement or
23 prosecution of any lawsuit, action or administrative, regulatory, arbitration or other proceeding,
24 in any forum, asserting any claims that are, or relate in any way to, the Released Class Claims,
25 unless and until they submit a timely request for exclusion pursuant to the Agreement.

26 18. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class
27 Representatives and all Aggrieved Employees are barred and enjoined from filing, commencing,
28 prosecuting, intervening in, instigating or in any way participating in the commencement or
prosecution of any lawsuit, action or administrative, regulatory, arbitration or other proceeding,

1 in any forum, asserting any claims that are, or relate in any way to, the Released PAGA Claims.

2 19. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final settlement
3 approval hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class
4 members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected
5 with the proposed Settlement.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: _____

The Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni
Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT 3

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Sydney Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 5400
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com
julieann@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs JAMES E. AGUILAR and
PAMELA CAZARES

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

JAMES E. AGUILAR, an individual; PAMELA
CAZARES, an individual, on behalf of themselves
and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

T & K, L.P., a California Limited Partnership; and
DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE No.: 21CV384674

[Unlimited Jurisdiction]

*Assigned for all purposes to the
Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni, Dept. 1*

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER
AND JUDGMENT**

Complaint Filed: July 23, 2021

Hearing Date:

Hearing Time:

1 CLARK HILL LLP
Beth A. Kahn, Esq. (SBN: 134158)
2 Ryan C. McKim, Esq. (SBN: 265941)
555 South Flower Street, 24th Floor
3 Los Angeles, CA 90071
4 Telephone: (213) 891-9100
Facsimile: (213) 488-1178
5 BKahn@ClarkHill.com
6 RMckim@ClarkHill.com

7 Attorney for Defendant, T & K, L.P.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 This matter has come before the Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni in Department 1 of the Superior Court
2 of the State of California, for the County of Santa Clara, on Plaintiffs and Class Representatives
3 James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares' (collectively "Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives") Motion
4 for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Expenses, and Service
5 Award ("Motion for Final Approval"), with JCL LAW FIRM, APC and ZAKAY LAW GROUP,
6 APLC appearing as counsel for Plaintiffs and as Class Counsel on behalf of all others similarly
7 situated and other aggrieved employees, and Clark Hill LLP appearing as counsel for Defendant T &
8 K, L.P. ("Defendant").

9 On _____, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval
10 of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the
11 settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Joint Stipulation and
12 Agreement for Class and Representative Action Settlement and Release ("Stipulation"), which,
13 together with the exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the
14 Action.

15 Having reviewed the Stipulation and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument,
16 and good cause appearing,

17 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- 18 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Stipulation.
- 19 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this
20 proceeding and over all parties to the Action.
- 21 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure
22 section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, et seq. have been satisfied with respect to the Class
23 and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for
24 settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to
25 include: All individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and
26 classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the period commencing July 23, 2017 up to
27 and including October 29, 2022 ("Class" or "Class Members").
- 28 4. The Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Court Approval

1 (“Class Notice”) that was provided to the Class Members, fully and accurately informed the Class
2 Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in, object
3 to or comment thereon, or to seek exclusion from, the Settlement; was the best notice practicable
4 under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied
5 fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other
6 applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the
7 Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

8 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement
9 and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More
10 specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and
11 investigation conducted by CL LAW FIRM, APC and ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC (collectively,
12 “Class Counsel”); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length
13 negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate,
14 and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including
15 evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs’ claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims
16 presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of
17 investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court
18 has further considered the absence of objections to the Settlement and Requests for Exclusion
19 submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be
20 effectuated in accordance with the Stipulation and the following terms and conditions.

21 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final
22 Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard.
23 The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the
24 Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not submit a timely
25 and valid Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator (“Settlement Class Members”) are
26 bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

27 7. The Court finds that payment of Administration Expenses in the amount of
28 \$_____ is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred

1 for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement
2 Administrator shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$_____, in accordance with
3 the Stipulation.

4 8. The Court finds that the Service Award sought is fair and reasonable for the work
5 performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator
6 issue payment in the amount of \$10,000.00 to James E. Aguilar and Pamela Cazares each for their
7 Service Award, according to the terms set forth in the Stipulation.

8 9. The Court finds that the allocation of \$100,000.00 from the Maximum Settlement
9 Amount toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (“PAGA
10 Payment”) is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Settlement Administrator
11 shall distribute the PAGA Payment as follows: the amount of \$75,000.00 to the California Labor and
12 Workforce Development Agency, and the remaining amount of \$25,000.00 to be distributed to
13 Aggrieved Employees, according to the methodology and terms set forth in the Stipulation.

14 10. The Court finds that the request for attorneys’ fees in the amount of \$666,666.66 to
15 Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved justify the award
16 sought. The requested attorneys’ fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are
17 hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount
18 of \$666,666.66 for attorneys’ fees, in accordance with the Stipulation.

19 11. The Court finds that reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of
20 \$_____ to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the
21 Settlement Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$_____ to Class Counsel for
22 reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the Stipulation.

23 12. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which each Settlement Class Members and
24 Plaintiffs, each release, discharge, and agree to hold harmless the Released Parties, and each of them,
25 of and from any and all Released Class Claims, as set forth in the Stipulation. The Court hereby
26 further enters Judgment by which each Aggrieved Employee, the State of California and Plaintiffs,
27 each release, discharge, and agree to hold harmless the Released Parties, and each of them, of and
28 from any and all Released PAGA Claims.

1 13. It is hereby ordered that Defendants fund the Settlement in accordance with the
2 methodology and terms set forth in section 6.4 of the Stipulation.

3 14. It is hereby ordered that the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the settlement
4 funds in accordance with the methodology and terms set forth in the Stipulation.

5 15. It is ordered that funds associated with any and all Individual Settlement Payment
6 checks issued to Settlement Class Members that have not been cashed or deposited within one
7 hundred eighty (180) calendar days from the date on which the checks are issued, shall be transmitted
8 to Child Advocates of Silicon Valley.

9 16. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules
10 of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and
11 enforce the Stipulation and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested
12 challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from
13 or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

14 17. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Class
15 Members by posting a copy of the Final Approval Order and Judgment on the Settlement
16 Administrator's website or equivalent for a period of at least six months after the date of entry of this
17 Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not required.

18 18. The Stipulation and compliance with the Stipulation shall not be construed as an
19 admission, concession, or indication by any Party with respect to fault or liability whatsoever for the
20 claims asserted in the Action.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 Dated: _____

The Honorable Sunil R. Kulkarni
Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT C

ILYM | GROUP, Inc.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION EXPERTS

Case Name: Aguilar v. T&K. L.P.

Thursday, December 22, 2022

Requesting Law Firm:

JCL Law Firm, A.P.C.

Attorney Contact:

Sydney Castillo-Johnson

E-Mail Address:

scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

ILYM Group Contact:

Sean Hartranft

E-Mail Address:

Sean@ilymgroup.com

Contact Number:

949.690.2564

ESTIMATE FOR ADMINISTRATION SOLUTIONS

ASSUMPTIONS

Estimated Class Size	1,814
Estimated Percentage of Undeliverable Mail	10%
ILYM Group Static Website	Yes
Certified Spanish Translation	Yes

Activity

Rate Type

Unit Cost

Volume

Amount

CASE STARTUP

Initial Setup - <i>Import and Formatting of Data*</i>	Hourly	\$150.00	5	\$750.00
Programming of Class Database	Hourly	\$175.00	5	\$875.00

**ILYM assumes that data will be in a standard format. Client will be notified immediately if not in standard format to correct data or ILYM can convert to standard format @ \$150.00 per hour.*

Subtotal \$1,625.00

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Project Manager (Case notification and maintenance)	Hourly	\$120.00	10	\$1,200.00
Staff Hours for Processing Returned Mail	Hourly	\$70.00	2	\$140.00
Staff Hours for Processing Opt-Outs, Disputes & Objections	Hourly	\$70.00	5	\$350.00
Report Processing	Hourly	\$70.00	8	\$560.00
NCOA	Flat Fee	\$175.00	1	\$175.00
Toll-Free Call Center Support	Flat Fee	\$295.00	1	\$295.00
Certified Spanish Translation	Flat Fee	\$1,250.00	1	\$1,250.00
ILYM Group Static Website, Includes Hosting	Flat Fee	\$750.00	1	\$750.00
Weekly Reports	Flat Fee	\$750.00	1	Waived

Subtotal \$4,720.00

ILYM | GROUP, Inc.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION EXPERTS

Activity	Rate Type	Unit Cost	Volume	Amount
----------	-----------	-----------	--------	--------

NOTIFICATION/MAILING				
Fulfillment of Notice, English & Spanish	Per Piece	\$1.50	1,814	\$2,721.00
USPS First Class Postage	Per Piece	\$0.60	1,814	\$1,088.40
Re-Mails (Skip Trace/Undeliverable/Requests)	Per Piece	\$2.00	363	\$725.60
Storage, Photocopies, Deliveries	Flat Fee	\$225.00	1	\$225.00

Subtotal \$4,760.00

DISTRIBUTION (Includes EIN, Bank Acct * /QSF Setup)				
Distribution Setup & Management	Hourly	\$150.00	8	\$1,200.00
Account Reconciliation & Distribution Reporting	Hourly	\$125.00	8	\$1,000.00
Check Stub & Release - (including 1099)	Per Check	\$1.50	1,814	\$2,721.00
Check Mailing Postage	Per Piece	\$0.60	1,814	\$1,088.40
Re-Mails (Skip Trace/Undeliverable/Requests)	Per Piece	\$2.00	363	\$725.60
Preparation of Taxes	Hourly	\$125.00	16	\$2,000.00
Annual Filing of Tax Return	Per Year	\$1,500.00	1	\$1,500.00

**Additional Bank fees may apply*

Subtotal \$10,235.00

CASE CONCLUSION				
Data Manager Final Reporting	Hourly	\$100.00	8	\$800.00
Project Manager Final Reporting	Hourly	\$120.00	8	\$960.00
Declarations	Hourly	\$125.00	4	\$500.00

Subtotal \$2,260.00

Total Case Estimate: \$23,600.00

Terms and Conditions

All services to be provided by ILYM Group, Inc. (hereinafter, "ILYM") to Client shall be subject to the following terms and conditions:

Services: Subject to the terms hereof, ILYM agrees to provide the Client with Administration Services (hereinafter, "services") as specified in the Proposal provided to Client to which these Terms and Conditions are attached. The estimate is in good faith and does not cover any applicable taxes and fees. The estimate does not make provision for any services or class members/size not delineated in the request for proposal or stipulations. Such services do not in any way constitute legal services or advice. ILYM is performing its services as an Independent Contractor and neither it nor its employees shall be deemed to be employees of the Client.

Mailing and Data Conversion: ILYM's database administration assumes the Client will provide complete data that includes all information required to send notifications and complete the administration process. Data must be provided in a complete, consistent, standardized electronic format. ILYM's standard format is Microsoft Excel, however, ILYM may accept other formats at its discretion. Further developments or enhancements to non-standardized data will be billed to Client by ILYM on a time and materials basis, according to ILYM's Standard Rates.

Charges for Services: Charges to the Client for services shall be on a time and materials basis at our prevailing rates, as the same may change from time to time. Any fee estimates set forth in the proposal are estimates only, based on information provided by Client to ILYM. Actual fees charged by ILYM to Client may be greater or less than such estimate, and Client shall be responsible for the payment of all such charges and expenses in accordance with Section 5 hereof. Charges incurred related to resolving post distribution withholdings and related corrective files due to voids and re-issues of payments and related correspondence with state and federal taxing authorities will not be charged to the Client to the extent that funds are received from the taxing authorities offset these charges. ILYM may derive financial benefits from financial institutions in connection with the deposit and investment of settlement funds with such institutions, including without limitation, discounts on eligible banking services and fees, and loans at favorable rates.

Indemnification: Client will indemnify and hold ILYM (and the officers, employees, affiliates and agents harmless against any Losses incurred by ILYM, arising out of, in connection with, or related to (i) any breach of the terms by Client; (ii) the processing and handling of any payment by ILYM in accordance with Client's instructions, including without limitation, the imposition of any stop payment or void payment on any check or the wrongful dishonor of a check by ILYM pursuant to Client's instructions.

Payment of Charges: ILYM reserves the right to request payment of postage charges and 50% of the final administration charges at the start of the case. ILYM bills are due upon receipt unless otherwise negotiated and agreed to with the Client. In the event settlement terms provide that ILYM is to be paid out of the Settlement Fund, ILYM will request that Counsel endeavor to make alternate payment arrangements for ILYM charges that are due at the onset of the case. The entire remaining balance is due and payable at the time the Settlement Account is funded by, or no later than the time of disbursement. Decisions of the court and actions of the parties, including disapproval or withdrawal of a settlement, do not affect the Client's liability to ILYM for payment of services. Services are not provided on a contingency fee basis.

Confidentiality: ILYM maintain reasonable and appropriate security measures and safeguards to protect the security and confidentiality of Client data provided to ILYM by Client in connection herewith. Should ILYM ever be notified of any judicial order or other proceedings in which a third party seeks to obtain access to the confidential data created by or for the Client, ILYM will promptly notify the Client, unless prohibited by applicable law. The Client shall have the option to (1) provide legal representation at the Client's expense to avoid such access or (2) promptly reimburse ILYM for any of its costs, including attorneys' fees, reasonably incurred in avoiding, attempting to avoid or providing such access and not paid by the entity seeking the data. If ILYM is required, pursuant to a court order, to produce documents, disclose data, or otherwise act in contravention of the obligations imposed by this Agreement, or otherwise, with respect to maintaining the confidentiality, proprietary nature and secrecy of the produced documents or disclosed data, ILYM will not be liable for breach of said obligation.

Data Rights: ILYM does not convey nor does the Client obtain any right in the programs, system data, or materials utilized or provided by ILYM in the ordinary course of business in the performance of this Agreement.

Document Retention: Unless directed otherwise in writing by Client, ILYM will destroy undeliverable mail on the effective date of the settlement or the date that the disposition of the case is no longer subject to appeal or review, whichever is later. ILYM will maintain claim forms and other correspondence for one year after final distribution of funds or benefits, or until the date that the disposition of the case is no longer subject to appeal or review, whichever is later.

Limitation of damages: ILYM is not responsible to the Client for any special, consequential or incidental damages incurred by Client. Any liability of ILYM to the Client shall not exceed the total amount billed to the Client for the particular services that give rise to any loss.

Termination: The services to be provided under this Agreement may be terminated, at will by the Client upon at least 30 calendar days' prior written notice to ILYM. The Client's obligation to pay for services or projects in progress at the time of notice of withdrawal shall continue throughout that 30 day period. ILYM may terminate this Agreement (i) with 10 calendar days' prior written notice, if the Client is not current in payment of charges or (ii) in any event, upon at least 3 months' prior written notice to the Client.

Notice: Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally, or sent by registered mail, postage prepaid, or overnight courier service to the responsible officer or principal of ILYM or the Client, as applicable, and shall be deemed given when so delivered personally, or, if mailed, five days after the date of deposit in United States mail, or, if sent by courier, one business day after delivery to such courier service.

Force Majeure: To the extent performance by ILYM of any of its obligations hereunder is substantially prevented by reason of any act of God or by reason of any other matter beyond ILYM's reasonable control, then such performance shall be excused and this Agreement, at ILYM's option, be deemed suspended during the continuation of such condition and for a reasonable time thereafter.

Waiver of Rights: No failure or delay on the part of a party in exercising any right hereunder will operate as a waiver of, or impair, any such right. No single or partial exercise of any such right will preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any other right. No waiver of any such right will be effective unless given in a signed writing.

Jurisdiction: The parties hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the Court of the applicable case for purposes of any suit, action or proceeding to enforce any provision of, or based on any right arising out of, this Agreement. The parties hereto hereby waive any objection to the laying of venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in the Court.

Entire Agreement: These terms and conditions and the proposal embody the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and cancels and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, and agreements related thereto, either written or oral, except to the extent they are expressly incorporated herein. No changes in, additions to, or waivers of, the terms and conditions set forth herein will be binding upon any party, unless approved in writing by such party's authorized representative.

EXHIBIT D

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291

FIRM RESUME

Areas of Practice: Employee Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Civil Litigation, and Unlawful Housing Practices.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
California Bar Number 248676
Founding Partner

Biography:

Mr. Lapuyade is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing aggrieved California employees and the victims of unlawful housing practices throughout the State of California. Mr. Lapuyade's litigation practice focuses on representing California employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, and misclassification, wrongful termination cases and tenants in unlawful housing class actions. Mr. Lapuyade prides himself on providing his clients with aggressive, attentive and result driven representation through the litigation process, trial and arbitration. Since 2007, Mr. Lapuyade successfully recovered in excess of \$100 million in monetary awards for his clients.

Mr. Lapuyade served as co-editor of the Insurance Column for Consumer Attorneys of San Diego from 2011 to 2014. As editor, Mr. Lapuyade was responsible for publishing monthly articles in the Trial Bar News periodical analyzing complex insurance coverage issues. Mr. Lapuyade is a four-time recipient of the Super Lawyers Rising Star by Thomson Reuters. To be eligible for inclusion in Rising Stars, a candidate must be either 40 years old or younger or in practice for 10 years or less. While up to 5 percent of the lawyers in a state are named to Super Lawyers, no more than 2.5 percent are named to Rising Stars.

Bar Admissions:

- California
- U.S. District Court Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court Central District of California
- U.S. District Court Eastern District of California
- U.S. District Court Northern District of California

Professional Associations:

- California Employment Lawyers Association, 2007 to Present
- Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Tort, Trial & Insurance Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- California Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to Present
- California Bar Association, Litigation Section, Member, 2007 to Present

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
 - J.D. - 2006
 - Honors: Deans Merit Scholarship, California Western School of Law 2005 - 2006
- **University of Arizona**
 - B.A. - 2001
 - Major: History

Honors:

- Super Lawyers Rising Star, Thomson Reuters, 2015 - 2018
- Distinguished Advocate Award for Outstanding Oral Advocacy, 2005 - 2006

Sydney Castillo-Johnson, Esq.
California Bar Number 343881
Associate Attorney

Biography:

Ms. Castillo-Johnson is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing California employees throughout the state of California. Ms. Castillo-Johnson's litigation practice focuses on representing current and former employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, and wrongful termination.

Ms. Castillo-Johnson volunteered her time at California Western School of Law first as a student intern and later as a student manager with the Community Law Project which offers legal services to the low-income community of San Diego. She now sits on the Advisory Council with the Community Law Project.

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
 - J.D.- 2022
 - Honors:
 - Dean's Honor List: Spring 2021, Fall 2021
 - Public Service Honor Society Fall 2021
 - 3L Academic Merit Scholarship
 - Dean's Diversity, Service, and Leadership Scholarship
 - Activities: Community Law Project Student Manager
- **Azusa Pacific University**
 - B.A. – 2018
 - Major: Criminal Justice

Monnett De La Torre, Esq.
California Bar Number 272884
Senior Associate

Biography:

Ms. De La Torre is a San Diego and Ventura County based labor and employment attorney representing aggrieved California employees throughout the State of California. Ms. De La Torre's litigation practice focuses on representing California employees in wage and hour class and representative actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, penalties, and wrongful termination cases. Ms. De La Torre has extensive experience handling internal workplace investigations. Ms. De La Torre has handled matters before the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and Department of Labor.

Ms. De La Torre is a two-time recipient of the Super Lawyers Rising Star by Thomas Reuters. To be eligible for inclusion in Rising Stars, a candidate must be either 40 years old or younger or in practice for 10 years or less. While up to five percent of the lawyers in a state are named to Super Lawyers, no more than 2.5 percent are named to Rising Stars.

Bar Admissions:

- California
- U.S. District Court Southern District of California

Professional Associations:

- California Employment Lawyers Association, 2022 to Present
- Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, 2010-2015
- American Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2015 to Present
- California Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2015 to Present
- San Diego La Raza Lawyers Association, 2010-2015
- Ventura County Bar Association, 2015-Present
- Women Lawyers of Ventura County, 2015-Present

Education:

- **New England School of Law**
 - J.D.- 2010

- Honors:
 - Dean's List Consecutive years
- **University of California, San Diego**
 - B.A.- 2006
 - Major: Economics
 - Minor: Latin American Studies

Honors:

- Super Lawyers Rising Star, Thomson Reuters, 2021 and 2022
- Pacific Cost Business Times, "Top 40 under 40" Award
- Daily Transcripts "Top Young Attorney: nominee, consecutive years"

REPORTED CASES

- *Blanchette et al., v. Superior Court (GHA Homes)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521, 2017
- *Chmurny v. State*, 392 Md. 159, 2006

CLASS ACTION & REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- *Martinello v. Century Wilshire, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32613 (March 1, 2022) Hon. Carolyn Kuhl approved a \$550 billing rate and appointed Jean Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.
- *Wilson v. Spreen Inc. (dba Spreen Honda)*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2119857 (April 6, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$300,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Ledesma v. TVJ Sons I, Inc.; Porter and Howard, Inc.* Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 20CV03573 (November 10, 2021) Hon. Jed Beebe approved a \$350,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC represented the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Jacobs v. Rush Media Company, LLC; XLT Management Services, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32350 (May 2, 2022) Hon. Daniel J. Buckley appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and approved a \$330,000 class action settlement for, *inter alia*, independent contractor misclassification.
- *Chavez v. HTL Conrad Domestic, LLC; HTL Conrad Domestic Employer, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2020-00016193-CU-OE-CTL (June 9, 2022) Hon. John S. Meyer approved a \$850,000 PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to compensate overtime at the regular rate of pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Renteria v. Love's Country Stores of California*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS20164481 (June 14, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$2.2 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid overtime and sick pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Lopez v. Adidas America, Inc.*, Ventura Superior Court Case No. 56-2021-005498444-CU-OE-VTA (April 4, 2022) Hon. Mark Borrell approved a \$1.5 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate overtime calculations, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel.
- *Felix v. TVI, Inc., d.b.a. Savers*, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 21CV376407 (February 18, 2022) Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni approved a \$1.8 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Munoz v. AMTCR*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIV-DS2001914 (August 24, 2021) Hon. David Cohn approved a \$1.8 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate itemized wage statements. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Nunes v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-0011011 (October 20, 2021 – Hon. Roger Ross approved a \$1.5 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, miscalculated meal period premiums and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. as Class Counsel.)
- *Pillsbury v. T&T Restaurants CA, Inc.*, Del Norte Superior Court Case No. CVUJ-20-1214 (December 14, 2021 – Hon. Darren McElfresh approved \$550 billing rate and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. as Class Counsel in a wage and hour class action settlement.)
- *Latin v. OneMain General Service Corporation*, Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. CV-20-002498 (October 22, 2021) Hon. Stacy Speiller approved a PAGA action settlement for \$700,000 wage statement violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.

- *Elias Kaser v. Aviation Consultants, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01191204-CU-OE-CXC (September 22, 2021 – Hon. Peter J. Wilson approved \$550 billing rate in a representative California Labor Code Private Attorney General Act settlement).
- *Rodriguez v. RSI Home Products, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2023354 (July 30, 2021 – Hon. David Cohn approved a \$1.45 million class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to comply with piece-rate laws, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel)
- *Jer Vang v. Bridge Property Management*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No RG19047434 (January 8, 2021 – Hon. Winifred Smith approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off the clock work. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Johnson v. Volt Management Corp., Inc. et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV16466 (December 11, 2020 – Hon. Amy Hogue approved a PAGA Settlement negotiated on behalf of the State of California and the aggrieved employees by the JCL Law Firm, APC.)
- *Salazar v. Frontier Auto Sales Inc., dba Frontier Toyota*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV20382 (November 24, 2020 – Hon. John P. Doyle appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods.)
- *Villalobos v. Alterra Group, LLC et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV19448 (November 11, 2020 – Hon. Richard Fruin approved a PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, unreimbursed business expenses. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Stevens et al., v. Bank of England*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00018662-CU-OE-CTL (October 16, 2020 – Hon. John Meyer appointed JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for, *inter alia*, off-the-clock work.)
- *Frazier v. ASA Carlton, Inc.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00036147 (October 9, 2020 Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed the JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for off-the-clock work.)
- *Krake et al., v. Central Valley Diner, Inc.*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. SCV0041645 (September 4, 2020 – Hon. Michael Jones appointed JCL Law Firm as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for meal period violations.)
- *Conner v. Ascendant Marketing, LLC et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00026864-CU-OE-CTL (July 24, 2020 Hon. Joel Wohlfeil granted approval of PAGA Settlement for, among other things, off-the-clock work. JCL Law Firm, APC acted as lead counsel.)
- *Guerrero v. Estancia Operations, LLC.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00028963 (August 25, 2020 – Hon. Katherine Bacal approved PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods. JCL Law Firm, APC, acted as lead counsel.)
- *Moreno v. Dash Lube, et al.*, United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 18cv1922 DMS (AHG) (December 13, 2019 – Granted class certification of Labor Code Section 226 claim and appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.)
- *Perkins et al., v. Tahiti Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2016-00863095 (October 22, 2019 – Hon. Peter Wilson appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for an unlawful housing class action settlement arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1.)
- *Hill v. Stetak Holdings, Ltd.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No., 37-2018-00000747 (January 28, 2020 – Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for unpaid overtime.)
- *Shachno et al., v. KT Hotels, LLC, et al.* San Diego Superior Court Case No Case No. 37-2018-00043601 (May 31, 2019 – Hon. Gregory Pollack appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted preliminary approval for the wage and hour class action settlement involving nearly 900 current and former employees. Final approval scheduled for October 4, 2019)
- *Almanza v. The Express Group, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1722542 (April 19, 2019 – Hon. David Cohn appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods and independent contractor misclassification.)
- *Moschetto v. Pillow Global, Inc.* San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00024031-CU-OE-CTL (April 5, 2019 – Hon. John S. Meyer appointed JCL LAW Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour wage class action settlement involving misclassified house cleaners.)
- *Burke v. Friendship Hotel, LLC*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00022517-CU-OE-CTL (March 10, 2019 – final approval granted on for an unlawful housing class action arising under Civil Code Section 1940.2)
- *Terrado et al., v. Accredited Debt Relief, LLC*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00014181-CU-OE-CTL (February 8, 2019 – Hon. Gregory W. Pollock appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for meal and rest period violations);

- *Macaspac v. San Antonio Regional Hospital*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1512625, (July 27, 2018 – Hon. Thomas S. Garza appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement involving claims for on duty meal and rest periods.);
- *Summerlin et al. v. Maplebear, Inc. dba Instacart*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC603030 (2018 - certified and settled wage and hour class action.)
- *Ortega v. Prime Healthcare*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00011240-CU-OE-CTL (2018 – Approved PAGA only settlement for on duty meal and rest periods)
- *Engstrom v. Bender-Rosenthal, Inc.* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2016-00012373-CU-OE-CTL (2017 - Certified and settled class action for employee misclassification);
- *Crawford v. Outlook Amusements, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC617160 (2017 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action.);
- *Hernandez v. Sunglass Hut Trading, LLC*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1505181 (2016 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action);
- *Burns v. Shalom, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court, Case No., 37-2013-00058356-CU-BT-CTL (2015 - certified and settled class action for unlawful housing practices arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1);