

1 JCL LAW FIRM, APC
2 Jean-Claude Lapuyade (SBN 248676)
3 Sydney Castillo-Johnson (SBN 343881)
4 Perssia Razma (SBN 351398)
5 John L. Nitti (SBN 330752)
6 Carolina Faccin (SBN 340855)
7 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
8 San Diego, CA 92121
9 Telephone: (619) 599-8292
10 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
11 scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com
12 prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com
13 jnitti@jcl-lawfirm.com
14 cfaccin@jcl-lawfirm.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff Lan Ho (Additional Counsel listed on next page)

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO-CENTRAL DIVISION

14 LAN HO, and MARLENE BENITEZ,
15 individuals, on behalf of themselves, and
16 on behalf of all persons similarly
17 situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 MHF MV MANAGER VI LLC, a
21 Delaware limited liability company;
22 MHF MV OPERATING VI LLC dba
23 COURTYARD SAN DIEGO MISSION
24 VALLEY/HOTEL CIRCLE, a Delaware
25 limited liability company; MHF MV VI
26 LLC, a Delaware limited liability
27 company; and DOES 1 through 10,
28 inclusive,

Defendants.

) Case No.: 37-2024-00023005-CU-OE-
) CTL

) **DECLARATION OF THOMAS D.
) RUTLEDGE ISO PLAINTIFFS'
) MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL
) OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION
) SETTLEMENT**

) Judge: Hon. Euketa Oliver
) Dept.: C-75
) Hearing Date: June 26, 2026
) Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

) Complaint Filed: May 17, 2024
) Trial Date: NA
) Discovery Cutoff: NA

Thomas D. Rutledge
Attorney-at-Law
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, California 92091
Telephone: (619) 886-7224

1 ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (SBN 277924)
2 Jackland K. Hom (SBN 327243)
3 Jaclyn Joyce (SBN 285124)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
4 San Diego, CA 92121
5 Telephone: (619) 255-9047
shani@zakaylaw.com
6 jackland@zakaylaw.com
7 jaclyn@zakaylaw.com
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Lan Ho
9
10 Thomas D. Rutledge, Esq. (SBN 200497)
Attorney-at-Law
11 16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091-4606
12 Telephone: (619) 886-7224
thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com
13
14 Joel Larabee, Esq. (SBN 243117)
Larabee Law Firm
15 9655 Granite Ridge Dr., #200
San Diego, CA 92123
16 Telephone: (619) 376-1777
joel@larabeelaw.com
17
18
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Marlene Benitez
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I, THOMAS D. RUTLEDGE, declare and state as follows:

1. I am the counsel of record for the named Plaintiff Marlene Benitez, the certified class, the State of California, and aggrieved employees pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA). As such, I am fully familiar with the facts, pleadings, and history of this matter. The following facts are within my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could testify competently to the matters stated herein.

2. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Court Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Request for Costs and Fees.

My Experience Handling Class and Representative Actions.

3. The Law Office of Thomas D. Rutledge consists of me, one associate, several paralegals, and various support staff during all times relevant to this litigation.

4. I have had the privilege of practicing law for over 30 years.

5. I have served the public my whole adult life.

6. Before practicing law, from about April 1987 to April 1994, beginning at the age of 21, I proudly served in the U.S. Army National Guard in various capacities: first, as a Private First Class in a combat engineer's unit; and in 1990, after receiving my commission from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State Officers' Candidate School, as a field artillery officer, mortar platoon leader, and infantry company executive officer in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (1992-1994) and Massachusetts (1987-1992) Army National Guard.

7. In 1990, I earned a B.A. in History and Political Science from the University of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts.

8. In 1992, I earned a C.S.S. in Management and Administration from Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

9. In 1995, I earned a J. D. from Temple University and became a member of the State Bars of Florida and Massachusetts.

1 10. In 1999, I obtained admission to the California Bar.

2 11. I am a former active member in the San Diego County Bar Association
3 (and several committees therein, such as the Labor and Employment Law Section,
4 Litigation Section, and Family Law Section), a current member of the California
5 Employment Lawyers Association, Consumer Attorneys of San Diego (CASD), and a
6 former member of the National Employment Lawyers Association.

7 12. I am the former Co-Chairman to the San Diego County Bar Association
8 Labor and Employment Law Section.

9 13. I am a former Board of Director for CASD (served 2013-2016).

10 14. I am a former U.S. Navy Judge Advocate General's (JAG) Corps
11 attorney.

12 15. From 1995 to 2002, I served in various capacities on active duty as a
13 prosecutor, defense attorney, and staff judge advocate in the U.S. Navy JAG Corps
14 handling hundreds of criminal and civil litigation matters.

15 16. I served a total of 20 years in the U.S. military retiring from the U.S.
16 Naval Reserve in 2008.

17 17. From 2002 to 2004, I was a litigation associate at Christensen, Miller,
18 Fink, Jacobs, Glaser, Weil & Shapiro LLP in Los Angeles, California, a firm of more
19 than 100 lawyers at that time, working on complex litigation.

20 18. From 2004 to 2009, I was a litigation associate for Branton & Wilson,
21 APC, a firm of more than 15 lawyers at the time, working mostly on employment law
22 matters (defense and plaintiff).

23 19. From 2009 to the present, I have focused my practice exclusively on
24 prosecuting employment law claims on behalf of employee-plaintiffs, with a very
25 small amount of my practice devoted to personal injury, landlord-tenant, and family
26 law matters.

27 20. All my employee-plaintiffs' cases, including this case, are accepted on a
28 nothing down contingency fee basis.

21. I personally have represented thousands of class members and aggrieved employees in over three dozen class and/or representative (Private Attorney General Act) actions prosecuting wage and hour claims, more than 20 of which were certified as class actions. *See, e.g., Julio, et al. v. Express Tires*, S.D. Superior Court Case No.: 37-2010-00097801; *Epton v. ADP TotalSource, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No.: 11cv0856-JM; *Tuter, et al. v. King's Seafood Company, LLC, et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2011-00084756; *Crosby v. CHMB*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2011-0087583-CU-OE-CTL; *Diego v. JMS Properties LLC, et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2013-00056177-CU-OE-CTL; *Mitchell, et al. v. Phone Ware Inc., et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2014-00008449-CU-OE-CTL; *Long, et al. v. Stanley Black & Decker, Inc., et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No.: 14CV1246 JLS BGS; *Villagrana v. 7-Eleven*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2014-00008449-CU-OE-CTL; *Parnell, et al. v. Harte-Hanks Shoppers, Inc., et al.*, Orange Superior Court Case No.: 10-2014-00736236-CU-OE-CXC; *Martz v. T.R.L. Systems, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No.: CIVDS1515312; *Rosentein v. Pratt and Whitney, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No.: 15CV2183 JM/JLB; *Don v. Penn*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2016-00025005; *Ramirez v. Coastal Trans. Serv.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No.: 37-2016-00020248; *Velez v. CoWorx Staffing Services LLC*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No.: CIVDS1803229; *Martz v. HCI Systems Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No.: CIVDS1607545, *Babouchian, et al. v. Soleil Communications Inc., et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case Number: 37-2017-00044756-CU-OE-CTL, and many others.

22. I have attended dozens of lectures presented by legal professionals on the topic of prosecuting class and representative action litigation in the last 25 years, I have tried wage and hour cases in Superior Court, AAA, and JAMS private arbitrations, I have appeared before the Labor Commissioner on scores of wage claims, and I have handled the representation of parties in dozens of mediations before well-known mediators, such as Mark Rudy, Jeff Ross, Mike Dickstein, David

1 Rotman, Robert Kaplan, Judge Herbert B. Hoffman (Ret.), and many others.

2 **Relevant Facts in Support of Final Approval.**

3 23. The parties engaged in settlement discussions and attended, in one form
4 or another, private mediation during which the parties reached an arms-length
5 settlement.

6 24. Before mediation, Defendants voluntarily exchanged documents and data
7 to facilitate settlement discussions.

8 25. On February 27, 2026, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-
9 wide settlement. ROA #50.

10 26. For the reasons explained in our moving papers, I believe the settlement
11 achieved in this case is fair, reasonable, and adequate when considering the strengths
12 and weaknesses of the foregoing case, the Defendants' defenses, and the delays and
13 risks associated with continued litigation, including trial and potential appeals in the
14 event this case was not settled.

15 **My Proposed Fees and Costs.**

16 27. My hourly rate is \$1,227 in employment class and representative action
17 litigation.

18 28. Attorneys' fees rates in California generally range from approximately
19 \$750 per hour at the low end of the scale to approximately \$1,500 per hour at the high
20 end of the scale for an attorney of my skill experience.

21 29. The regular hour rate I have charged for my services in this litigation is
22 reasonable and justified on my knowledge and years of experience.

23 30. I have attached detailed contemporaneous billing records for the time I
24 worked on this case as **Exhibit 1**, which details are incorporated by reference as
25 though set forth herein.

26 31. My fees are consistent with noted sources, such as the 2025-2026 *Laffey*
27 Matrix, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 2**.

28 32. My hourly rate under the lodestar method using the *Laffey* Matrix

1 demonstrates the reasonableness of the fee request.

2 33. As of May 27, 2026, my office has incurred lodestar totaling to \$32,454
3 in this matter.

4 34. The *Laffey* Matrix originated in *Laffey v. Northwest Airlines*, 572 F.
5 Supp. 354 (D.D.C. 1983), reversed, 746 F.2d 4 (D.C. Cir. 1984), overruled, *Save Our*
6 *Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*, 857 F.2d 1516, 1525 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (*en banc*).

7 35. Counsel in the *Laffey* case compiled evidence from firms showing the
8 market rates in the District of Columbia for complex federal litigation to show the
9 court prevailing market rates.

10 36. That evidence was analyzed and a matrix of rates for attorneys at various
11 experience levels was created, later becoming known as the *Laffey* Matrix.

12 37. Attorneys in cases where they must establish the prevailing market rates
13 in their jurisdiction for fee award requests, including California, often cite to the
14 *Laffey* Matrix because the *Laffey* Matrix is a useful tool easily accessible to
15 practitioners and is widely regarded by many courts in the U.S. as a source for courts
16 to gauge the prevailing rates for attorneys in the local community.
17 www.en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laffey_Matrix.

18 38. The *Laffey* Matrix is updated annually by an independent group to stay
19 consistent with inflation or recent trends in the market.

20 39. The current version of the *Laffey* Matrix is located at
21 www.laffeymatrix.com.

22 40. Many California courts consider the *Laffey* Matrix “a widely recognized
23 compilation of attorney and paralegal rates based on various levels of experience.”
24 *Theme Promotions v News American Marketing FSI*, 731 F. Supp. 2d 937, 948 (N.D.
25 Cal. 2010) (using the *Laffey* Matrix to determine reasonable attorneys' fees rates);
26 *Ramirez v. Escondido Unified School District*, 2014 WL 12675859, at *2 (S.D. Cal.,
27 Apr. 17, 2014, No. 11CV1823 DMS (BGS)) (“When used in a given case, the *Laffey*
28 Matrix is adjusted to the federal locality pay differentials for the relevant community

1 for the relevant year.”).

2 41. While a trial court is not “required to follow the Laffey Matrix” in setting
3 reasonable hourly rates, neither is it an abuse of discretion to do so. *Syers Properties*
4 *III, Inc. v. Rankin*, 226 Cal. App. 4th 691, 702 (2014); *see also Nemecek & Cole v.*
5 *Horn*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 641, 650-651 (2012).

6 42. Some California courts have adjusted the *Laffey* Matrix upwards based
7 upon the higher costs of living in California. *In Re HPL Technologies, Inc. Securities*
8 *Litigation*, 366 F. Supp. 2d 912, 921 (N. Dist. Cal. 2005); *see also Garnes v.*
9 *Barnhardt*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5938 (N. Dist. Cal. 2006).

10 43. According to the *Laffey* Matrix, the prevailing market rate for an attorney
11 with over 30 years of experience, such as myself, is \$1,227 per hour.

12 44. My fees are also supported by precedent. See *Margolin v. Regional*
13 *Planning Comm’n*, 134 Cal. App. 3d 999, 1005 (1982) (previous rates awarded by courts to
14 the attorneys in question are the best evidence of their reasonable rates).

15 45. In San Diego County alone, no fewer than five different courts have
16 awarded my office fees commensurate with the fees requested here adjusted by
17 inflation, including this Honorable Court. *See e.g., Olshansky, et al. v. ATC*
18 *Healthcare Services, LLC*, et al. S.D.S.C. Case No. 37-2018-00065377-CU-OE-CTL
19 (Hon. Gregory W. Pollack) (awarding 1/3 of the settlement=\$914 per hour);
20 *Babouchian, et al. v. Wyndham Vacation Ownership, et al.* SDSC Case Number: 37-
21 2018-00014601-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Richard Strauss); *Hopper, et al. v. Kimball Tirey*
22 *& St. John, et al.* Case No.: 37-2019-00010409-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Kenneth J.
23 Medel); *Babouchian, et al. v. Soleil Communications Inc., et al.* San Diego Superior
24 Court Case Number: 37-2017-00044756-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Richard S. Whitney);
25 *Povarnitson, et al. v. Albireo Energy, LLC, et al.*, S.D.S.C. Case Number: 37-2021-
26 00043575-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Katherine Bacal) (awarding 1/3 of the
27 settlement=\$1,057 per hour).

1 **Summary of Lodestar.**

2 46. The nature of work performed by me and my office is documented in this
3 declaration.

4 47. The time records reflect billing practices on a minimum of one tenth of
5 the hour.

6 48. This time is summarized as follows:

Name of Attorney	YEAR OF BAR ADMISSION	RATE	HOURS	LODESTAR
Thomas D. Rutledge, Esq.	1995	\$1,227	26.45	\$32,454

7
8
9
10 49. Throughout the case, I made substantial efforts to allocate work to my
11 staff and avoid duplication of work where possible.

12 50. My lodestar excludes time spent by my paralegals and other staff
13 members.

14 51. I have incurred the following expenses in connection with this litigation:

EXPENSES	
Service Fees	\$142
Cert. Mail & Postage Estimate	\$100
Subtotal	\$242

15
16
17
18 52. Postage is “estimated” because this does employ digital postage
19 machines, using instead a scale and regular stamps when sending mail.

20 53. True and correct copies of most of the invoices/receipts for these
21 expenses are attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 3**.

22 54. The expenses incurred are ordinary and necessary and the Settlement
23 Agreement provides that we may recover actual costs.

24 **Conclusion.**

25 55. For all the foregoing reasons, I recommend this Court to grant final
26 approval of the Class and PAGA settlement and sign the [Proposed] Order filed
27 herewith.

28 Except as to those matters made upon information and belief, I declare under

Thomas D. Rutledge
Attorney-at-Law
16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, California 92091
Telephone: (619) 886-7224

1 penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true
2 and correct.

3 Executed this day of May 26, 2026, in the County of San Diego, California.

4
5 /s/Thomas D. Rutledge

6 Declarant
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit 1

Timesheet for Thomas D. Rutledge ICO*Lan Ho, et al. v. MHF MV Manager VI LLC et al.*

SDSC Case No.: 37-2024-00023005-CU-OE-CTL

Description	Time	Date
Drafted and filed PAGA Complaint	3	7/23/2024
Filed Complaint	1	7/24/2024
UF; Filed Complaint with LWDA	0.5	7/25/2024
		7/26/2024
		7/27/2024
		7/28/2024
		7/29/2024
		7/30/2024
RF; Drafted and filed FAC	0.5	7/31/2024
RF; UF; Sent Complaint out for service	0.75	8/1/2024
		8/2/2024
		8/3/2024
		8/4/2024
RC; Coms with DC; calendared TC; UF	0.5	8/5/2024
		8/6/2024
		8/7/2024
		8/8/2024
Coms with Esq. in related matter; Coms with DC	0.5	8/8/2024
		8/9/2024
		8/10/2024
		8/11/2024
		8/12/2024
Coms with Jon Claude	0.15	8/13/2024
Coms with JC	0.5	8/14/2024
Coms with DC	0.15	8/15/2024
RC; UF	0.35	8/16/2024
		8/17/2024
		8/18/2024
		8/19/2024
		8/20/2024
Edited Stip; coms with DC	0.5	8/21/2024
		8/22/2024
RD; RF; UF; Coms with DC	0.25	8/23/2024
		8/24/2024
		8/25/2024
		8/26/2024
		8/27/2024
		8/28/2024

UF; Coms with CC	0.25	8/29/2024
		8/30/2024
		8/31/2024
		9/1/2024
		9/2/2024
RD; Coms with CC	0.5	9/3/2024
		9/3/2024
Coms with CC; UF	0.5	9/4/2024
		9/4/2024
		9/5/2024
		9/5/2024
Com with CC	0.15	9/6/2024
		9/7/2024
		9/8/2024
		9/9/2024
		9/10/2024
		9/11/2024
		9/12/2024
		9/13/2024
		9/14/2024
		9/15/2024
		9/16/2024
		9/17/2024
		9/18/2024
		9/19/2024
		9/20/2024
		9/21/2024
		9/22/2024
		9/23/2024
		9/24/2024
		9/25/2024
		9/26/2024
		9/27/2024
		9/28/2024
		9/29/2024
		9/30/2024
		10/1/2024
		10/2/2024
		10/3/2024
		10/4/2024
		10/5/2024
		10/6/2024
		10/7/2024

		10/8/2024
		10/9/2024
		10/10/2024
		10/11/2024
		10/12/2024
		10/13/2024
		10/14/2024
		10/15/2024
RC; Coms with DC; research	0.35	10/16/2024
RF; PC with DC	0.25	10/17/2024
		10/18/2024
		10/19/2024
		10/20/2024
		10/21/2024
RF	0.15	10/22/2024
		10/23/2024
		10/24/2024
		10/25/2024
		10/26/2024
		10/27/2024
		10/28/2024
		10/29/2024
		10/30/2024
		10/31/2024
		11/1/2024
		11/2/2024
		11/3/2024
		11/4/2024
		11/5/2024
		11/6/2024
		11/7/2024
		11/8/2024
		11/9/2024
		11/10/2024
		11/11/2024
		11/12/2024
		11/13/2024
		11/14/2024
		11/15/2024
		11/16/2024
		11/17/2024
		11/18/2024
		11/19/2024

		11/20/2024
		11/20/2024
		11/21/2024
		11/22/2024
		11/23/2024
		11/24/2024
		11/25/2024
		11/26/2024
		11/27/2024
		11/27/2024
		11/28/2024
		11/29/2024
		11/30/2024
		12/1/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/2/2024
		12/3/2024
		12/4/2024
		12/5/2024
		12/5/2024
		12/5/2024
		12/6/2024
		12/7/2024
		12/8/2024
		12/9/2024
		12/9/2024
		12/10/2024
		12/10/2024
		12/11/2024
		12/12/2024
		12/13/2024
		12/14/2024
		12/15/2024
		12/16/2024
		12/17/2024
		12/17/2024
Coms with DC	0.25	12/18/2024
Drafted SAC; Drafted and served discovery	6	12/19/2024
		12/20/2024
		12/21/2024

	12/22/2024
	12/23/2024
	12/23/2024
	12/23/2024
	12/23/2024
	12/24/2024
	12/24/2024
	12/25/2024
	12/26/2024
	12/26/2024
	12/26/2024
	12/26/2024
	12/27/2024
	12/28/2024
	12/29/2024
	12/30/2024
	12/30/2024
	12/31/2024
	12/31/2024
	1/1/2025
	1/2/2025
	1/3/2025
	1/4/2025
	1/5/2025
	1/6/2025
	1/6/2025
	1/6/2025
	1/7/2025
	1/8/2025
	1/9/2025
	1/9/2025
	1/9/2025
	1/10/2025
	1/10/2025
	1/11/2025
	1/12/2025
	1/12/2025
	1/13/2025
	1/14/2025
	1/14/2025
	1/15/2025
	1/15/2025
	1/15/2025

		1/16/2025
		1/16/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/17/2025
		1/18/2025
		1/19/2025
		1/20/2025
		1/21/2025
		1/22/2025
		1/23/2025
		1/24/2025
		1/25/2025
		1/26/2025
		1/27/2025
		1/28/2025
		1/29/2025
		1/30/2025
		1/31/2025
		2/1/2025
		2/2/2025
		2/2/2025
		2/2/2025
		2/2/2025
		2/3/2025
		2/3/2025
		2/4/2025
		2/4/2025
		2/4/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/5/2025
		2/6/2025
		2/6/2025
		2/6/2025
Coms with CC; Calendared deadlines	0.35	2/7/2025
		2/7/2025
		2/7/2025
		2/8/2025

		2/9/2025
		2/9/2025
		2/9/2025
		2/10/2025
		2/10/2025
UF; Calendard deadline; coms with CC	0.5	2/11/2025
		2/12/2025
		2/13/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/14/2025
		2/15/2025
		2/16/2025
		2/17/2025
		2/18/2025
		2/18/2025
		2/19/2025
		2/19/2025
		2/19/2025
		2/19/2025
		2/20/2025
		2/20/2025
		2/21/2025
		2/22/2025
		2/23/2025
		2/24/2025
		2/24/2025
		2/24/2025
		2/24/2025
		2/25/2025
		2/26/2025
		2/26/2025
		2/27/2025
		2/27/2025
		2/27/2025
		2/27/2025
		2/28/2025
		2/28/2025
		2/28/2025
		2/28/2025
		3/1/2025

		3/1/2025
		3/2/2025
		3/2/2025
		3/3/2025
		3/3/2025
		3/4/2025
		3/4/2025
		3/5/2025
		3/6/2025
		3/6/2025
		3/6/2025
		3/6/2025
RC; coms with CC	0.25	3/7/2025
		3/7/2025
		3/7/2025
		3/8/2025
		3/9/2025
		3/10/2025
		3/10/2025
		3/10/2025
		3/10/2025
		3/11/2025
		3/11/2025
		3/11/2025
		3/12/2025
		3/13/2025
		3/14/2025
		3/15/2025
		3/16/2025
		3/17/2025
		3/17/2025
		3/17/2025
		3/18/2025
		3/18/2025
		3/18/2025
		3/19/2025
		3/19/2025
		3/19/2025
		3/19/2025
		3/20/2025
		3/21/2025
		3/21/2025
		3/22/2025

		3/23/2025
		3/24/2025
		3/25/2025
		3/25/2025
		3/26/2025
		3/26/2025
		3/27/2025
		3/28/2025
		3/28/2025
		3/30/2025
RD; Edits to brief; coms with CC	1	3/30/2025
		3/31/2025
		4/1/2025
		4/1/2025
		4/2/2025
		4/3/2025
		4/3/2025
		4/3/2025
		4/4/2025
		4/5/2025
		4/6/2025
		4/6/2025
		4/6/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/7/2025
		4/8/2025
		4/9/2025
		4/10/2025
		4/10/2025
		4/10/2025
		4/10/2025
		4/11/2025
		4/12/2025
		4/13/2025
		4/14/2025
		4/15/2025
		4/15/2025
		4/16/2025
		4/17/2025
		4/17/2025

	4/17/2025
	4/17/2025
	4/18/2025
	4/19/2025
	4/20/2025
	4/21/2025
	4/22/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/23/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/24/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/25/2025
	4/26/2025
	4/26/2025
	4/27/2025
	4/28/2025
	4/29/2025
	4/30/2025
	4/30/2025
	4/30/2025
	5/1/2025
	5/1/2025
	5/1/2025
	5/1/2025
	5/2/2025
	5/2/2025
	5/2/2025
	5/2/2025
	5/3/2025
	5/4/2025
	5/5/2025
	5/5/2025
	5/6/2025

		5/6/2025
		5/6/2025
		5/7/2025
		5/8/2025
		5/9/2025
		5/10/2025
		5/11/2025
		5/12/2025
		5/13/2025
		5/14/2025
		5/15/2025
		5/16/2025
		5/17/2025
		5/18/2025
		5/19/2025
		5/20/2025
		5/21/2025
		5/22/2025
		5/23/2025
		5/24/2025
		5/25/2025
		5/26/2025
RF; Coms with DC	0.25	5/27/2025
		5/28/2025
		5/29/2025
		5/30/2025
		5/31/2025
		6/1/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	6/2/2025
Ex Parte Appearance	0.25	6/3/2025
		6/4/2025
		6/5/2025
		6/6/2025
		6/7/2025
		6/8/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	6/9/2025
		6/10/2025
		6/11/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	6/12/2025
		6/13/2025
		6/14/2025
		6/15/2025
		6/16/2025

		6/17/2025
RF	0.25	6/18/2025
Coms with CC	0.25	6/19/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	6/20/2025
		6/21/2025
		6/22/2025
		6/23/2025
		6/24/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	6/25/2025
		6/26/2025
		6/27/2025
		6/28/2025
RD; Coms with CC	0.35	6/29/2025
		6/30/2025
		7/1/2025
		7/2/2025
Coms with CC	0.15	7/3/2025
		7/4/2025
		7/5/2025
		7/6/2025
		7/7/2025
		7/8/2025
		7/9/2025
		7/10/2025
		7/11/2025
		7/12/2025
		7/13/2025
		7/14/2025
		7/15/2025
		7/16/2025
		7/17/2025
		7/18/2025
		7/19/2025
		7/20/2025
		7/21/2025
		7/22/2025
		7/23/2025
		7/24/2025
		7/25/2025
		7/26/2025
		7/27/2025
		7/28/2025
		7/29/2025

		7/30/2025
		7/31/2025
		8/1/2025
		8/2/2025
		8/3/2025
		8/4/2025
		8/5/2025
		8/6/2025
		8/7/2025
		8/8/2025
		8/9/2025
		8/10/2025
		8/11/2025
		8/12/2025
		8/13/2025
		8/14/2025
		8/15/2025
		8/16/2025
Drafted and filed decl; RD; RC	1	8/17/2025
Refiled Dec.	0.35	8/18/2025
		8/19/2025
RC; Coms with clerk; filed dec.	0.5	8/20/2025
		8/21/2025
		8/22/2025
		8/23/2025
		8/24/2025
		8/25/2025
		8/26/2025
		8/27/2025
		8/28/2025
		8/29/2025
		8/30/2025
		8/31/2025
		9/1/2025
		9/2/2025
		9/3/2025
		9/4/2025
		9/5/2025
		9/6/2025
		9/7/2025
		9/8/2025
		9/9/2025
		9/10/2025

		9/11/2025
Coms with DC	0.15	9/12/2025
		9/13/2025
		9/14/2025
		9/15/2025
RC	0.15	9/16/2025
		9/17/2025
RC	0.15	9/18/2025
		9/19/2025
		9/20/2025
		9/21/2025
		9/22/2025
		9/23/2025
		9/24/2025
		9/25/2025
		9/26/2025
		9/27/2025
		9/28/2025
		9/29/2025
		9/30/2025
		10/1/2025
		10/2/2025
		10/3/2025
		10/4/2025
		10/5/2025
		10/6/2025
		10/7/2025
		10/8/2025
		10/9/2025
		10/10/2025
		10/11/2025
		10/12/2025
		10/13/2025
		10/14/2025
		10/15/2025
		10/16/2025
Travel to Court; Court waittime; calendared hearing	2	10/17/2025
		10/18/2025
		10/19/2025
		10/20/2025
		10/21/2025
Edited SA; coms with CC; calendared deadline	1	10/22/2025
		10/23/2025

		10/24/2025
		10/25/2025
		10/26/2025
		10/27/2025
		10/28/2025
		10/29/2025
		10/30/2025
		10/31/2025
		11/1/2025
		11/2/2025
		11/3/2025
		11/4/2025
		11/5/2025
		11/6/2025
		11/7/2025
		11/8/2025
		11/9/2025
Calendared hearing; RC	0.25	11/10/2025
		11/11/2025
		11/12/2025
		11/13/2025
		11/14/2025
		11/15/2025
		11/16/2025
		11/17/2025
		11/18/2025
		11/19/2025
		11/20/2025
		11/21/2025
		11/22/2025
		11/23/2025
		11/24/2025
		11/25/2025
		11/26/2025
		11/27/2025
		11/28/2025
		11/29/2025
		11/30/2025
		12/1/2025
		12/2/2025
		12/3/2025
		12/4/2025
		12/5/2025

	12/6/2025
	12/7/2025
	12/8/2025
	12/9/2025
	12/10/2025
	12/11/2025
	12/12/2025
	12/13/2025
	12/14/2025
	12/15/2025
	12/16/2025
	12/17/2025
	12/18/2025
	12/19/2025
	12/20/2025
	12/21/2025
	12/22/2025
	12/23/2025
	12/24/2025
	12/25/2025
	12/26/2025
	12/27/2025
	12/28/2025
	12/29/2025
	12/30/2025
	12/31/2025
	1/1/2026
	1/2/2026
	1/3/2026
	1/4/2026
	1/5/2026
	1/6/2026
	1/7/2026
	1/8/2026
	1/9/2026
	1/10/2026
	1/11/2026
	1/12/2026
	1/13/2026
	1/14/2026
	1/15/2026
	1/16/2026
	1/17/2026

		1/18/2026
		1/19/2026
		1/20/2026
		1/21/2026
		1/22/2026
		1/23/2026
		1/24/2026
		1/25/2026
		1/26/2026
		1/27/2026
		1/28/2026
Drafted dec ISO MFPA; edited MPA	2	1/29/2026
Motion for PA prep.	1	1/30/2026
		1/31/2026
		2/1/2026
		2/2/2026
		2/3/2026
		2/4/2026
		2/5/2026
		2/6/2026
		2/7/2026
		2/8/2026
		2/9/2026
		2/10/2026
		2/11/2026
		2/12/2026
		2/13/2026
		2/14/2026
		2/15/2026
		2/16/2026
		2/17/2026
		2/18/2026
		2/19/2026
		2/20/2026
		2/21/2026
		2/22/2026
		2/23/2026
		2/24/2026
		2/25/2026
		2/26/2026
		2/27/2026
		2/28/2026
		3/1/2026

	3/2/2026
	3/3/2026
	3/4/2026
	3/5/2026
	3/6/2026
	3/7/2026
	3/8/2026
	3/9/2026
	3/10/2026
	3/11/2026
	3/12/2026
	3/13/2026
	3/14/2026
	3/15/2026
	3/16/2026
	3/17/2026
	3/18/2026
	3/19/2026
	3/20/2026
	3/21/2026
	3/22/2026
	3/23/2026
	3/24/2026
	3/25/2026
	3/26/2026
	3/27/2026
	3/28/2026
	3/29/2026
	3/30/2026
	3/31/2026
	4/1/2026
	4/2/2026
	4/3/2026
	4/4/2026
	4/5/2026
	4/6/2026
	4/7/2026
	4/8/2026
	4/9/2026
	4/10/2026
	4/11/2026
	4/12/2026
	4/13/2026

		4/14/2026
		4/15/2026
		4/16/2026
		4/17/2026
		4/18/2026
		4/19/2026
		4/20/2026
		4/21/2026
		4/22/2026
		4/23/2026
		4/24/2026
		4/25/2026
		4/26/2026
		4/27/2026
		4/28/2026
		4/29/2026
		4/30/2026
		5/1/2026
		5/2/2026
		5/3/2026
		5/4/2026
		5/5/2026
		5/6/2026
		5/7/2026
		5/8/2026
		5/9/2026
		5/10/2026
		5/11/2026
		5/12/2026
		5/13/2026
		5/14/2026
		5/15/2026
		5/16/2026
		5/17/2026
		5/18/2026
drafted dec ISO MFFA	1	5/19/2026
		5/20/2026
		5/21/2026
		5/22/2026
		5/23/2026
		5/24/2026
		5/25/2026
		5/26/2026

		5/27/2026
TOTAL	26.45	

Exhibit 2

LAFFEY MATRIX

[History](#)
[Case Law](#)
[See the Matrix](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/25- 5/31/26	1.075336	\$277	\$508	\$625	\$902	\$1,019	\$1,227
6/01/24- 5/31/25	1.080182	\$258	\$473	\$581	\$839	\$948	\$1,141
6/01/23- 5/31/24	1.059295	\$239	\$437	\$538	\$777	\$878	\$1,057
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406

6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., *DL v. District of Columbia*, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $\frac{1}{2}$ Years Out of Law School $\frac{1}{2}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

Exhibit 3

California Civil Process

5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

INVOICE: 11532755

Issued: Aug 1, 2024
Sent to: Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)

Thomas D. Rutledge

Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)
16956 Via De Santa Fe Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

PAY TO:

California Civil Process
5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

PAID

Case: 24CV080932	Plaintiff / Petitioner: Marlene Benitez; et al.
Job: 11532755 (Benitez)	Defendant / Respondent: MHF MV Manager VI LLC; et al.

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
Credit Card Fee	Five percent of total invoice. If paying by check, you can wave this fee.	\$0.05	45	\$2.25
RA - Discounted	Discounted service for CSC, CT Corporation, and other agents at the same location.	\$45.00	1	\$45.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Aug 1, 2024	thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com Paid Online Payment ID: ch_3Pj6q4BsonywdDJH0N13Xm4S	(\$47.25)

Thank you for your business. Please make checks payable to "California Civil Process."
Late fees of \$15 will be applied to invoices after 30 days. If your invoice includes a fee
advance greater than \$20, the invoice will need to be paid prior to service being
completed.

Total: \$47.25
Amount Paid: (\$47.25)
Balance Due: \$0.00

Please leave us a review at <http://reviews.calcivpro.com>

California Civil Process • 5425 Palm Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95841

Call: 916-373-9065 • Email: service@calcivpro.com • Visit: <http://www.calcivpro.com>

California Civil Process

5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

INVOICE: 11532709

Issued: Aug 1, 2024
Sent to: Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)

Thomas D. Rutledge

Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)
16956 Via De Santa Fe Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

PAY TO:

California Civil Process
5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

PAID

Case: 24CV080932	Plaintiff / Petitioner: Marlene Benitez; et al.
Job: 11532709 (Benitez)	Defendant / Respondent: MHF MV Manager VI LLC; et al.

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
Credit Card Fee	Five percent of total invoice. If paying by check, you can wave this fee.	\$0.05	45	\$2.25
RA - Discounted	Discounted service for CSC, CT Corporation, and other agents at the same location.	\$45.00	1	\$45.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Aug 1, 2024	thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com Paid Online Payment ID: ch_3Pj6lsBsonywdDJH0arHJL57	(\$47.25)

Thank you for your business. Please make checks payable to "California Civil Process."
Late fees of \$15 will be applied to invoices after 30 days. If your invoice includes a fee
advance greater than \$20, the invoice will need to be paid prior to service being
completed.

Total: \$47.25
Amount Paid: (\$47.25)
Balance Due: \$0.00

Please leave us a review at <http://reviews.calcivpro.com>

California Civil Process • 5425 Palm Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95841

Call: 916-373-9065 • Email: service@calcivpro.com • Visit: <http://www.calcivpro.com>

California Civil Process

5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

INVOICE: 11532777

Issued: Aug 1, 2024
Sent to: Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)

Thomas D. Rutledge

Thomas D. Rutledge (SBN 200497)
16956 Via De Santa Fe Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

PAY TO:

California Civil Process
5425 Palm Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95841

PAID

Case: 24CV080932	Plaintiff / Petitioner: Marlene Benitez; et al.
Job: 11532777 (Benitez)	Defendant / Respondent: MHF MV Manager VI LLC; et al.

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
Credit Card Fee	Five percent of total invoice. If paying by check, you can wave this fee.	\$0.05	45	\$2.25
RA - Discounted	Discounted service for CSC, CT Corporation, and other agents at the same location.	\$45.00	1	\$45.00

Payment	Description	Amount Paid
Aug 1, 2024	thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com Paid Online Payment ID: ch_3Pj6nbBsonywdDJH1eEPCdl6	(\$47.25)

Thank you for your business. Please make checks payable to "California Civil Process."
Late fees of \$15 will be applied to invoices after 30 days. If your invoice includes a fee
advance greater than \$20, the invoice will need to be paid prior to service being
completed.

Total: \$47.25
Amount Paid: (\$47.25)
Balance Due: \$0.00

Please leave us a review at <http://reviews.calcivpro.com>

California Civil Process • 5425 Palm Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95841

Call: 916-373-9065 • Email: service@calcivpro.com • Visit: <http://www.calcivpro.com>