

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (SBN 248676)
Sydney Castillo-Johnson (SBN 343881)
Perssia Razma (SBN 351398)
John L. Nitti (SBN 330752)
Carolina Faccin (SBN 340855)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com
prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com
jnitti@jcl-lawfirm.com
cfaccin@jcl-lawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Lan Ho (Additional Counsel listed on next page)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO-CENTRAL DIVISION

LAN HO, and MARLENE BENITEZ,
individuals, on behalf of themselves, and
on behalf of all persons similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MHF MV MANAGER VI LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company;
MHF MV OPERATING VI LLC dba
COURTYARD SAN DIEGO MISSION
VALLEY/HOTEL CIRCLE, a Delaware
limited liability company; MHF MV VI
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
company; and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2024-00023005-CU-OE-
CTL

**DECLARATION OF JOEL D.
LARABEE ISO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL
OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

Judge: Hon. Euketa Oliver
Dept.: C-75
Hearing Date: June 26, 2026
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

Complaint Filed: May 17, 2024
Trial Date: NA
Discovery Cutoff: NA

Joel D. Larabee
Larabee Law Firm
9655 Granite Ridge Dr., Ste. 200
San Diego, California 92123
Telephone: (619) 376-1777

1 ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (SBN 277924)
2 Jackland K. Hom (SBN 327243)
3 Jaclyn Joyce (SBN 285124)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
4 San Diego, CA 92121
5 Telephone: (619) 255-9047
shani@zakaylaw.com
6 jackland@zakaylaw.com
7 jaclyn@zakaylaw.com
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Lan Ho
9
10 Thomas D. Rutledge, Esq. (SBN 200497)
Attorney-at-Law
11 16956 Via de Santa Fe, Suite 1847
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091-4606
12 Telephone: (619) 886-7224
thomasrutledgelaw@gmail.com
13
14 Joel Larabee, Esq. (SBN 243117)
Larabee Law Firm
15 9655 Granite Ridge Dr., #200
16 San Diego, CA 92123
17 Telephone: (619) 376-1777
joel@larabeelaw.com
18
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Marlene Benitez
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I, JOEL D. LARABEE, declare and state as follows:

1. I am the counsel of record for the named Plaintiff Marlene Benitez, the certified class, the State of California, and aggrieved employees pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA). As such, I am fully familiar with the facts, pleadings, and history of this matter. The following facts are within my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could testify competently to the matters stated herein.

2. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Court Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Request for Costs and Fees.

My Experience Handling Class and Representative Actions.

3. I am a founding attorney and principal of the Larabee Law Firm, where my practice focuses primarily on the representation of employees in employment-related matters, including wage-and-hour violations, unpaid wages, meal and rest period violations, retaliation, wrongful termination, discrimination, and other workplace rights violations under California law. The Larabee Law Firm consists of me, and various support staff.

4. I have had the privilege of practicing law for almost 20 years.

5. My practice has always focused on employment law litigation, representing employees against employers.

6. In 1997, I earned a Bachelors in Business Administration at Point Loma Nazarene University in San Diego, CA.

7. In 2006, I earned a J.D. from California Western School of Law in San Diego, CA and have been a member of the California Bar Association since.

8. The vast majority of my employee-plaintiffs' cases, including this case, are accepted on a nothing down contingency fee basis where I also advance costs.

9. Over the course of my career, I have successfully represented hundreds, if not thousands, of employees in individual employment cases involving violations of

1 the California Labor Code, the Fair Employment and Housing Act, and related
2 statutory and common-law protections for employees.

3 10. I also have experience litigating representative and complex employment
4 actions, including matters brought under the California Private Attorneys General Act
5 (“PAGA”), and am familiar with the procedural, substantive, and strategic
6 considerations unique to class actions and PAGA representative actions.

7 **Relevant Facts in Support of Final Approval.**

8 11. The parties engaged in settlement discussions and attended, in one form
9 or another, private mediation during which the parties reached an arms-length
10 settlement.

11 12. Before mediation, Defendants voluntarily exchanged documents and data
12 to facilitate settlement discussions.

13 13. On February 27, 2026, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-
14 wide settlement. ROA #50.

15 14. For the reasons explained in our moving papers, I believe the settlement
16 achieved in this case is fair, reasonable, and adequate when considering the strengths
17 and weaknesses of the foregoing case, the Defendants’ defenses, and the delays and
18 risks associated with continued litigation, including trial and potential appeals in the
19 event this case was not settled.

20 **My Proposed Fees and Costs.**

21 15. My hourly rate is \$950 in employment class and representative action
22 litigation.

23 16. Attorneys’ fees rates in California generally range from approximately
24 \$750 per hour at the low end of the scale to approximately \$1,500 per hour at the high
25 end of the scale for an attorney of my skill experience.

26 17. The regular hour rate I have charged for my services in this litigation is
27 reasonable and justified on my knowledge and years of experience.

28 18. I have attached detailed contemporaneous billing records for the time I

1 worked on this case as **Exhibit 1**, which details are incorporated by reference as
2 though set forth herein.

3 19. My fees are consistent with noted sources, such as the 2025-2026 *Laffey*
4 Matrix, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 2**.

5 20. My hourly rate under the lodestar method using the *Laffey* Matrix
6 demonstrates the reasonableness of the fee request.

7 21. As of May 27, 2026, my office has incurred lodestar totaling to \$27,170
8 in this matter.

9 22. The *Laffey* Matrix originated in *Laffey v. Northwest Airlines*, 572 F.
10 Supp. 354 (D.D.C. 1983), reversed, 746 F.2d 4 (D.C. Cir. 1984), overruled, *Save Our*
11 *Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*, 857 F.2d 1516, 1525 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (*en banc*).

12 23. Counsel in the *Laffey* case compiled evidence from firms showing the
13 market rates in the District of Columbia for complex federal litigation to show the
14 court prevailing market rates.

15 24. That evidence was analyzed and a matrix of rates for attorneys at various
16 experience levels was created, later becoming known as the *Laffey* Matrix.

17 25. Attorneys in cases where they must establish the prevailing market rates
18 in their jurisdiction for fee award requests, including California, often cite to the
19 *Laffey* Matrix because the *Laffey* Matrix is a useful tool easily accessible to
20 practitioners and is widely regarded by many courts in the U.S. as a source for courts
21 to gauge the prevailing rates for attorneys in the local community.

22 www.en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laffey_Matrix.

23 26. The *Laffey* Matrix is updated annually by an independent group to stay
24 consistent with inflation or recent trends in the market.

25 27. The current version of the *Laffey* Matrix is located at
26 www.laffeymatrix.com.

27 28. Many California courts consider the *Laffey* Matrix “a widely recognized
28 compilation of attorney and paralegal rates based on various levels of experience.”

1 *Theme Promotions v News American Marketing FSI*, 731 F. Supp. 2d 937, 948 (N.D.
2 Cal. 2010) (using the Laffey Matrix to determine reasonable attorneys' fees rates);
3 *Ramirez v. Escondido Unified School District*, 2014 WL 12675859, at *2 (S.D. Cal.,
4 Apr. 17, 2014, No. 11CV1823 DMS (BGS) (“When used in a given case, the *Laffey*
5 Matrix is adjusted to the federal locality pay differentials for the relevant community
6 for the relevant year.”).

7 29. While a trial court is not “required to follow the Laffey Matrix” in setting
8 reasonable hourly rates, neither is it an abuse of discretion to do so. *Syers Properties*
9 *III, Inc. v. Rankin*, 226 Cal. App. 4th 691, 702 (2014); *see also Nemecek & Cole v.*
10 *Horn*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 641, 650-651 (2012).

11 30. Some California courts have adjusted the *Laffey* Matrix upwards based
12 upon the higher costs of living in California. *In Re HPL Technologies, Inc. Securities*
13 *Litigation*, 366 F. Supp. 2d 912, 921 (N. Dist. Cal. 2005); *see also Garnes v.*
14 *Barnhardt*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5938 (N. Dist. Cal. 2006).

15 **Summary of Lodestar.**

16 31. The nature of work performed by me and my office is documented in this
17 declaration.

18 32. The time records reflect billing practices on a minimum of one tenth of
19 the hour.

20 33. This time is summarized as follows:

Name of Attorney	YEAR OF BAR ADMISSION	RATE	HOURS	LODESTAR
Joel D. Larabee, Esq.	2006	\$950	28.6	\$27,170

24 34. Throughout the case, I made substantial efforts to allocate work to my
25 staff and avoid duplication of work where possible.

26 35. My lodestar excludes time spent by my paralegals and other staff
27 members.

28 36. I have incurred the following expenses in connection with this litigation:

Westlaw charges: \$89.49
Electronic Filing fees: \$122.10
Complaint filing fee: \$513.68
Total: \$725.27

37. True and correct copies of most of the invoices/receipts for these expenses are attached hereto and marked as **Exhibit 3**.

38. The expenses incurred are ordinary and necessary and the Settlement Agreement provides that we may recover actual costs.

Conclusion.

39. For all the foregoing reasons, I recommend this Court to grant final approval of the Class and PAGA settlement and sign the [Proposed] Order filed herewith.

Except as to those matters made upon information and belief, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this day of May 27, 2026, in the County of San Diego, California.

/s/Joel D. Larabee
Declarant

Exhibit 1

Timesheet for Attorney Joel D. Larabee

Lan Ho, et al. v. MHF MV Manager VI LLC et al.
SDSC Case No.: 37-2024-00023005-CU-OE-CTL

<u>Date</u>	<u>Time (hrs.)</u>	<u>Description of Work</u>
5/17/2024	0.2	Drafted and transmitted EE file requests to relevant agents.
7/14/2024	0.5	Reviewed draft complaint and analyzed allegations and claims.
8/8/2024	0.3	Telephone conference with co-counsel regarding venue issues.
8/15/2024	0.2	Filed proofs of service for all three defendants.
8/16/2024	0.5	Telephone conference with co-counsel regarding venue change and personnel file issues.
8/21/2024	0.3	Telephone conference with opposing counsel regarding venue issues.
9/9/2024	0.4	Telephone conference with Spanish-speaking administrative staff regarding client-related issues.
10/16/2024	0.1	Telephone conference with co-counsel regarding case management conference.
11/22/2025	0.2	Updated case management conference dates and notes to file.
12/19/2024	0.3	Reviewed draft second amended complaint.
1/22/2025	2.4	Reviewed defendants' discovery responses and analyzed substantive responses and deficiencies.
1/22/2025	0.5	Reviewed second amended complaint and prepared notes regarding defenses.
1/28/2025	1.6	Drafted meet and confer correspondence regarding defendants' failure to respond to discovery.
2/6/2025	1.0	Drafted and transmitted comprehensive case update letter to client.
2/11/2025	0.4	Drafted and transmitted follow-up meet and confer correspondence to opposing counsel.
2/12/2025	2.0	Received and reviewed motion to compel arbitration notice and supporting documents; calendared applicable deadlines.
2/13/2025	0.3	Updated client regarding motion to compel arbitration.
2/20/2025	0.3	Telephone conference with Spanish-speaking assistant regarding client update on arbitration issues.
3/7/2025	0.3	Telephone conference with co-counsel regarding motion to compel arbitration.
3/10/2025	0.8	Drafted and transmitted questions to client regarding motion to compel arbitration.
3/22/2025	5.3	Drafted opposition to motion to compel arbitration.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Time (hrs.)</u>	<u>Description of Work</u>
3/25/2025	0.5	Sent draft opposition to co-counsel for review and feedback regarding motion to compel arbitration.
4/2/2025	1.8	Finalized opposition to motion to compel arbitration.
4/16/2025	1.2	Prepared evidentiary objections and proposed order related to motion to compel arbitration.
4/21/2025	0.4	Prepared case management conference statement.
4/25/2025	0.3	Telephone conference with co-counsel regarding opposition to motion to compel arbitration.
5/1/2025	0.5	Corrected references in points and authorities supporting opposition papers.
5/1/2025	1.4	Drafted client declaration in support of opposition to motion to compel arbitration.
5/5/2025	0.5	Filed and served opposition papers and supporting declarations regarding motion to compel arbitration.
5/9/2025	0.8	Reviewed defendants' reply in support of motion to compel arbitration.
5/15/2025	0.4	Reviewed second amended complaint and analyzed assignment-related issues.
5/27/2025	0.2	Provided client with status update regarding matter.
6/17/2025	0.2	Received and reviewed status conference notice; calendared dates and updated file.
8/19/2025	0.4	Telephone conference with client and interpreter regarding status of matter.
1/28/2026	0.5	Telephone conference with client regarding potential settlement.
1/30/2026	1.2	Drafted declaration and signed settlement authorization request to counsel.
2/26/2026	0.8	Reviewed tentative ruling, prepared notes to file, and updated client regarding ruling.

Total Hours: 28.6

Exhibit 2

LAFFEY MATRIX

[History](#)
[Case Law](#)
[See the Matrix](#)
[Contact us](#)
[Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/25- 5/31/26	1.075336	\$277	\$508	\$625	\$902	\$1,019	\$1,227
6/01/24- 5/31/25	1.080182	\$258	\$473	\$581	\$839	\$948	\$1,141
6/01/23- 5/31/24	1.059295	\$239	\$437	\$538	\$777	\$878	\$1,057
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406

6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., *DL v. District of Columbia*, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $\frac{1}{2}$ Years Out of Law School $\frac{1}{2}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

Exhibit 3



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE.

LINDA VISTA
2150 COMSTOCK ST
SAN DIEGO, CA 92111-9998
(800)275-8777

05/17/2024

02:20 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env

San Diego, CA 92108

Flat Rate

Expected Delivery Date

Sat 05/18/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9081 65

Insurance

\$0.00

Up to \$100.00 included

Total

\$9.85

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env

Sacramento, CA 95833

Flat Rate

Expected Delivery Date

Mon 05/20/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9081 89

Insurance

\$0.00

Up to \$100.00 included

Total

\$9.85

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env

Warwick, RI 02886

Flat Rate

Expected Delivery Date

Mon 05/20/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9082 02

Insurance

\$0.00

Up to \$100.00 included

Total

\$9.85

Grand Total: \$29.55

Debit Card Remit \$29.55

Card Name: VISA

Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX9204

Approval #: 071917

Transaction #: 710

Receipt #: 043287

Debit Card Purchase: \$29.55

AID: A0000000980840

Chip

AL: US DEBIT

PIN: Verified

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm> or call 1-800-222-1811

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,




or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 056738-0111

Receipt #: 840-59200077-2-7211413-2

Clerk: 25



LINDA VISTA
2150 COMSTOCK ST
SAN DIEGO, CA 92111-9008
(600) 225-8777

05/15/2024 02:30 PM

Product	Unit	Qty	Price
---------	------	-----	-------

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env
San Diego, CA 92108

Flat Rate
Expected Delivery Date

Sat 05/18/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9081 65

Insurance
Up to \$100.00 included

Total \$9.85

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env
Sacramento, CA 95833

Flat Rate
Expected Delivery Date

Mon 05/20/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9081 65

Insurance
Up to \$100.00 included

Total \$9.85

Priority Mail®	1		\$9.85
----------------	---	--	--------

Flat Rate Env
Warwick, RI 02886

Flat Rate
Expected Delivery Date

Mon 05/20/2024

Tracking #:

9505 5138 1057 4138 9081 65

Insurance
Up to \$100.00 included

Total \$9.85

Grand Total: \$29.55

Debit Card Remit \$29.55

Card Name: VISA

Account #: XXXXXXXXXX9204

Approval #: 071917

Transaction #: 710

Receipt #: 043287

Debit Card Purchase: \$29.55

AID: A00000080840

AT: US DEBIT

PIN: Verified

Chip

Let your tracking number to 28V7 (20292)

to get the latest status. Standard Message

and Data rates may apply. You may also

visit www.usps.com or call

1-800-225-1811

Save this receipt as evidence of

insurance. For information on filing an

insurance claim go to

<https://www.usps.com/claims.htm>

or call 1-800-225-1811

Preview Your Mail

Track Your Packages

Sign up for FREE @

<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.


Refunds for guaranteed services only.

Thank you for your business.

Let us about your experience.

Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/ps>

or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UPM: 058V38-0111

Receipt #: 840-2920007-2-7211413-2



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Bill To

The Larabee Law Firm
Joel Larabee
8910 University Center Lane
Ste. 400
San Diego CA 92122

Invoice

Date	4/24/2025
Customer	0094504
Invoice	16481709
Due Date	5/1/2025
Terms	Weekly
Tax Total	\$0.00
Amount Due	\$20.70

Order Number	25229658
Billing Code	Benitez
Case Number	37-2024-00900160-CU-MC-CTL
Court Transaction Number	19099049
Contact	Anna Perry
Attorney	Joel Larabee
Case Title	Benitez vs MHF MV Manager VI LLC [IMAGED]
Documents	Case Management Statement
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County

STATUTORY DISBURSEMENTS*	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eCheck Fee++	\$0.25
SUBTOTAL	\$3.75

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$16.95
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.67
Convenience Fee Waived	(\$0.67)
SUBTOTAL	\$16.95

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
Disbursements	\$3.75
One Legal Fees	\$16.95
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL BILLED	\$20.70

Payment via Check to Lockbox:
INFOTRACK US, INC
P.O. Box 735453
Dallas, TX 75373-5453

Please include remittance information with check payment. Do not send payments to office address.

Past due balance may be charged a late payment fee and/or a late charge of up to 1.5% per month (18% per annum).



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Bill To

The Larabee Law Firm
Joel Larabee
8910 University Center Lane
Ste. 400
San Diego CA 92122

Invoice

Date	5/5/2025
Customer	0094504
Invoice	16510347
Due Date	5/12/2025
Terms	Weekly
Tax Total	\$0.00
Amount Due	\$20.70

Order Number	25306826
Billing Code	Benitez
Case Number	37-2024-00900160-CU-MC-CTL
Court Transaction Number	19229747
Contact	Anna Perry
Attorney	Joel Larabee
Case Title	Benitez vs MHF MV Manager VI LLC [IMAGED]
Documents	Opposition,Declaration,Declaration,Brief,Proof of Service
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County

STATUTORY DISBURSEMENTS*	AMOUNT
Court Technology Access Fee	\$3.50
eCheck Fee++	\$0.25
SUBTOTAL	\$3.75

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
eFiling Charge	\$16.95
Convenience Fee‡	\$0.67
Convenience Fee Waived	(\$0.67)
SUBTOTAL	\$16.95

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
Disbursements	\$3.75
One Legal Fees	\$16.95
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL BILLED	\$20.70

Payment via Check to Lockbox:
INFOTRACK US, INC
P.O. Box 735453
Dallas, TX 75373-5453

Please include remittance information with check payment. Do not send payments to office address.

Past due balance may be charged a late payment fee and/or a late charge of up to 1.5% per month (18% per annum).



1400 N. McDowell Blvd
Suite 300
Petaluma CA 94954
TIN : 85-4343705

Bill To

The Larabee Law Firm
Joel Larabee
8910 University Center Lane
Ste. 400
San Diego CA 92122

Invoice

Date	5/7/2025
Customer	0094504
Invoice	16516833
Due Date	5/14/2025
Terms	Weekly
Tax Total	\$0.00
Amount Due	\$60.00

Order Number	25306827
Billing Code	Benitez
Case Number	37-2024-00900160-CU-MC-CTL
Court Transaction Number	
Contact	Anna Perry
Attorney	
Case Title	Benitez vs MHF MV Manager VI LLC [IMAGED]
Documents	Opposition,Declaration,Declaration,Brief,Proof of Service
Court	Superior Court of California, San Diego County

ONE LEGAL FEES	AMOUNT
CCD - Standard Courtesy Copy, Zone 1	\$60.00
Convenience Fee†	\$1.95
Convenience Fee Waived	(\$1.95)
SUBTOTAL	\$60.00

FEES SUMMARY	AMOUNT
One Legal Fees	\$60.00
Sales Tax	\$0.00
TOTAL BILLED	\$60.00

Payment via Check to Lockbox:
INFOTRACK US, INC
P.O. Box 735453
Dallas, TX 75373-5453

Please include remittance information with check payment. Do not send payments to office address.

Past due balance may be charged a late payment fee and/or a late charge of up to 1.5% per month (18% per annum).