

Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479)
Brian J. St. John (SBN 304112)
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203
Glendale, CA 91203
Tel: (818) 265-1020 | Fax: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)
Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)
Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)
BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
2255 Calle Clara
La Jolla, CA 92037
Tel: (858) 551-1223 / Fax: (858) 551-1232

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

ERIN JONES and MATTHEW SHACHNO,
individuals, and on behalf of other members
of the general public similarly situated;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC., as
unknown business entity; and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2021-01183306-CU-OE-CXC

Honorable Melissa McCormick
Department CX105

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF BRIAN J. ST. JOHN
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
SETTLEMENT AND AWARD OF
ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND
SERVICE AWARDS**

Date: November 6, 2025
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Department: CX105

Complaint Filed: February 8, 2021
FAC Filed: January 18, 2024
Trial Date: None Set

DECLARATION OF BRIAN J. ST. JOHN

I, Brian J. St. John, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am a member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, attorneys of record for Plaintiffs Erin Jones and Matthew Shachno (together, “Plaintiffs”) in the above-captioned action. The facts set forth in this declaration are within my personal knowledge or based on information and belief, and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

2. On June 4, 2025, in Department CX104 of the above-entitled court (“Court”), the Honorable Melissa McCormick preliminarily approved the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and amendments thereto (collectively referred to as “Settlement,” “Agreement,” or “Settlement Agreement”) entered into between Plaintiffs and Defendant Marriott International, Inc. (“Defendant”) (together, Plaintiffs and Defendant are referred to as the “Parties”). The Court conditionally certified the Class for settlement purposes, preliminarily appointed Plaintiffs to represent the Class (“Class Representatives”), and preliminarily appointed and designated Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, and Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP (together, “Class Counsel”). The Court approved and ordered the mailing of the Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (“Notice”), adopted the notice, opt-out, and objection procedures and ordered their implementation. The Court appointed ILYM Group, Inc. (“ILYM” or “Settlement Administrator”) to serve as the third-party settlement administrator and handle the notice and settlement administration process.

WORK PERFORMED BY CLASS COUNSEL

3. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has been actively engaged in the litigation of this matter since the inception of the above-captioned action, which was commenced by Plaintiff Erin Jones (“Plaintiff Jones”) on February 8, 2021, and the representative PAGA Action entitled *Erin Jones v. JW Marriott Anaheim Resort; Marriott International, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01220455-CU-OE-CXC (“*Jones II*”), which was commenced by Plaintiff Jones on September 10, 2021, and throughout their pendency. Lawyer for Justice, PC joined

forces with other plaintiff's counsel Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP, who were pursuing the representative PAGA Action entitled *Matthew Shachno, et al. v. Marriott International, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2020-00024021-CU-OE-CTL ("*Shachno I*"), which was commenced by Plaintiff Matthew Shachno ("Plaintiff Shachno") on July 7, 2020 and the putative class action entitled *Matthew Shachno, et al. v. Marriott International, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2022-00019579, which was commenced by Plaintiff Shachno on May 23, 2022, and later removed by Defendant to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 3:22-cv-01215 ("*Shachno II*"). On January 12, 2024, the Parties filed a joint stipulation seeking leave to file an amended complaint in the above-captioned action to add Plaintiff Shachno as a plaintiff and class representative. The above-captioned action, the *Jones II* Action, the *Shachno I* Action, and the *Shachno II* Action are being resolved by way of the settlement for which approval is being sought in the above-captioned action. We have worked cooperatively with Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP to strategize and prosecute the cases, and our work with them has been a coordinated and combined effort. Ultimately, Class Counsel's efforts and the efforts of Plaintiffs have been successful in reaching the Settlement.

4. Before initiating the above-captioned action and the *Jones II* Action, Lawyers for Justice, PC conducted extensive investigation and research into the facts and circumstances underlying the pertinent factual and legal issues and applicable law. This required thorough discussions and interviews between attorneys at our firm and Plaintiff Jones, and research into the various legal issues involved in the case, namely, the current state of the law as it applied to class certification, representative PAGA claims, off-the-clock theory, meal and rest periods, wage-and-hour enforcement, Plaintiff Jone's claims and damages, and Defendant's defenses. After conducting initial investigation, our firm determined that Plaintiff Jone's claims were well-suited for class action and representative treatment owing to what appeared to be a common course of conduct affecting a similarly situated group individuals who are or have been employed by Defendant as hourly, nonexempt employees in California, who were not properly compensated for, *inter alia*, all hours worked, non-compliant meal and rest periods, and

unreimbursed business expenses.

5. Collectively, Class Counsel investigated the veracity, strength, and scope of the claims, and worked on preparing the cases for class certification and trial, prior to reaching the Settlement. This matter has involved extensive and ongoing investigations, research into legal and factual issues, and formal and informal discovery. Class Counsel obtained information, documents, and data in the course of litigation and in connection with mediation and settlement negotiations. Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed a volume of information, documents, and data obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and other sources, researched applicable law, and undertook analyses and calculations of the value of claims, damages, and penalties exposure. Class Counsel also met and conferred with Defendant's counsel on numerous occasions, e.g., to discuss issues relating to the pleadings, case management, discovery and production of information, documents, and data in the course of litigation and mediation and settlement negotiations, and mediation and settlement negotiations. Other work that Class Counsel has performed includes, and is not limited to, case strategy and analysis; drafting, reviewing, and revising the pleadings and motion-related papers; claims assessment and evaluation; and preparing for and attending mediation and settlement negotiations.

6. As outlined herein, the parties have conducted significant investigations and exchange, review, and analysis of a volume of information, documents, and data obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and other sources during the course of litigating the cases and in connection with mediation and settlement negotiations. This information, documents, and data provided a critical understanding of the nature of the work performed by putative class members and aggrieved employees, as well as Defendant's operations and employment policies, practices, and procedures, and were used in analyzing liability, damages, and penalties valuation issues in connection with all phases of the litigation, and ultimately, in connection with the mediation and settlement negotiation process. Accordingly, sufficient investigation and review of information has taken place in order for the parties to be sufficiently informed of the nature and extent of the claims, and to enable all parties to fully evaluate the Settlement for its fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness.

7. After conducting significant investigation of the facts and law during the prosecution of the case, counsel for the parties engaged in mediation and extensive settlement negotiations to try to resolve the case. These efforts included participating in mediation conducted by Jeffrey Krivis, Esq., a well-regarded mediator who is experienced in mediating complex labor and employment matter. During all settlement discussions, the parties conducted their negotiations at arm's length in an adversarial position. In the course of mediation and settlement negotiations, the parties discussed and considered all aspects of the case, including the risks and delays of further litigation, the risks to the parties of proceeding with class certification, and/or trial, the law relating to class certification, off-the-clock theory, meal and rest periods, PAGA representative claims, pre-emption, exemption, and wage-and-hour enforcement, as well as the evidence produced and analyzed, and the possibility of appeals, among other things. Arriving at a settlement that was acceptable to the parties was not easy. After conducting extensive investigations and settlement negotiations, and with the aid of the mediator's evaluation, the parties have agreed to resolve the cases given the legal issues relating to Plaintiffs' principal claims, as well as the costs and risks to both sides that would attend further litigation, and the real possibility of no recovery after years of litigation.

8. By way of the accompanying Motion for Final Approval, Class Counsel seeks attorneys' fees in the amount of \$822,510.00, which is one third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$2,470,000.00. Pursuant to the contingency fee agreements entered into by Plaintiff and Class Counsel, Plaintiffs have agreed to a contingency fee of at least one-third (1/3) of the recovery.

9. The attorneys' fees sought are commensurate with: (1) the risk that Class Counsel took in commencing the action; (2) the time, effort, and expense that Class Counsel dedicated to the action; (3) the skill and determination that Class Counsel has shown; (4) the results that Class Counsel has achieved throughout the litigation; (5) the value of the settlement that Class Counsel has achieved in the action; and (6) the other cases that Class Counsel has turned down in order to devote their time and efforts to this matter.

10. While not necessarily required to be demonstrated because a percentage fee is

proper for this settlement, it should be noted that Class Counsel has performed many hours of work in connection with prosecuting this action such that the requested attorneys' fees award is also justified under a lodestar analysis. Attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC have spent a total of **432.20 hours** performing tasks to obtain a recovery on behalf of Plaintiffs, Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California. Attached hereto as "**EXHIBIT A**" is an Attorney Task and Time Chart that sets forth in detail the nature of the legal services provided by attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC and the time incurred in performing those services. These hours include work done by several attorneys at the firm. Also, separate from the hours references herein and in the chart, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC had litigation support personnel actively engaged in assisting with the prosecution of the case.

11. The attorneys identified below have performed work in this matter.

LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC – Attorney Hours, Rates, and Lodestar						
Attorney	Title	CA Bar No.	Admit Year	Rate	Total Hours	Lodestar
Edwin Aiwazian	Managing Attorney & Shareholder	232943	2004	\$1,495	50.20	\$75,049.00
Arby Aiwazian	Shareholder	269827	2010	\$1,295	40.0	\$51,800.00
Joanna Ghosh	Managing Attorney	272479 (CA); 5188149 (NY)	2010 (CA); 2013 (NY)	\$1,295	5.90	\$7,640.50
Elizabeth M. R-H Parker-Fawley	Senior Attorney	301592	2014	\$850	11.10	\$9,435.00
Brian J. St. John	Senior Attorney	304112	2015	\$850	57.10	\$48,535.00
Tara Zabehi	Senior Attorney	314706	2017	\$850	34.50	\$29,325.00
Hagit Goltzer	Senior Attorney	324276	2018	\$850	105.90	\$90,015.00
Travis J. Maher	Senior Attorney	327206	2019	\$725	12.50	\$9,062.50
Helene Mayer	Attorney	332975	2021	\$575	0.50	\$287.50
Melissa A. LeBlanc-Mansell	Semior Attorney (formerly)	283080	2012	\$725	41.60	\$30,160.00
Jennifer Maguire	Semior Attorney (formerly)	288875	2013	\$725	71.50	\$51,837.50
Jordan McKee	Attorney (formerly)	342878	2022	\$575	1.40	\$805.00
Total:					432.20	\$403,952.00

12. Each of the attorneys identified above performed their work in this matter exclusively on a contingency basis. Plaintiffs have not been billed for, and have not paid for, any of the work performed in the instant action to date by attorneys at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC (i.e., Plaintiffs have not been billed for or paid the hourly rates of the various attorneys who performed work in the instant action). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC will receive compensation for the work performed by attorneys at the firm thus far, if attorneys' fees are awarded by the Court as a part of the Settlement.

13. The billing rates for each of the attorneys listed above, who worked on this matter, are reasonable and commensurate with the individual backgrounds, training, and experience of the attorneys, in litigating complex wage-and-hour actions, and in particular, employment class actions and representative actions. These rates are the reasonable and usual hourly rates for the referenced attorneys' services in wage and hour class action cases handled by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, and are commensurate with rates charged in the community by other plaintiffs-side and defense-side attorneys in this practice area. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC almost exclusively handles the prosecution of plaintiffs' side cases. To the best of undersign counsel's knowledge, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has not billed nor required any of its clients, who are usually workers who do not have the financial means to pay a retainer or otherwise fund the prosecution or litigation of their wage-and-hour cases against their current or former employers, to pay the firm for the legal work performed by attorneys at the firm (and thus, has not billed any clients using any hourly rates).

**EXPERIENCE AND ADEQUACY OF
LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC**

14. For over a decade, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions, involving wage-and-hour claims, race discrimination, unfair business practices, or consumer fraud. Currently, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC is attorney of record in dozens of employment-related putative class actions and/or representative actions in both state and federal courts in the State of California. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC is comprised of attorneys who focus on litigating complex wage-and-hour class and

Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”) representative actions. Lawyers *for* Justice has successfully litigated cases involving executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, sometimes in association with other law firms, has recovered millions of dollars on behalf of thousands of individuals in California.

15. Edwin Aiwarzian is a Managing Member and Shareholder of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Pepperdine University in April of 1999 and earned a Juris Doctor degree from Pepperdine University School of Law in May of 2004. He has extensive formal training in dispute resolution and negotiation from the Straus Institute for Dispute Resolution as part of its Masters in Dispute Resolution degree program. In addition, he has previously served as a pro bono mediator for the Los Angeles County Superior Court. In October of 2000, he obtained a Litigation Paralegal Certificate from the UCLA Extension Program. During the summer of 2000, he studied Legal Writing at Harvard University. From approximately September 2002 to approximately December 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. From approximately June 2002 to approximately August 2002, he served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate District. In December of 2004, he obtained a license to practice law from the California State Bar. Since in or around October of 2008, through his work at Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, he has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions. While employed by Lawyers *for* Justice PC, he has argued over one hundred (100) motions, taken or defended over one hundred fifty (150) depositions, prepared dozens of expert witnesses for deposition or trial, and attended over one hundred seventy-five (175) mediations. Together with other attorneys at the firm, and in many cases in conjunction with co-counsel, he has successfully litigated cases involving the executive, administrative, and other overtime exemptions to the State of California and federal overtime compensation requirements. Under his supervision, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has successfully obtained class certification by contested motion practice in approximately sixteen (16) cases in the last decade and litigated

1 over 1,000 class action or representative action cases.

2 16. Arby Aiwazian is a Member and Shareholder of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. He
3 received his Bachelor of Arts degree from University of California, Los Angeles and graduated
4 magna cum laude. He earned a Juris Doctor degree, cum laude, from Southwestern Law School.
5 From approximately May 2007 to approximately July 2007, he served as a Judicial Extern to
6 the Honorable Earl Johnson, Jr. of the California Court of Appeal for the Second Appellate
7 District. From approximately August 2008 to approximately December 2008, he served as a
8 Judicial Extern to the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw of the United State Court of Appeals
9 for the Ninth Circuit. He was admitted to practice law in California in 2010. He is admitted to
10 practice before all courts of the State of California and all United States District Courts in the
11 State of California. He has worked on many wage-and-hour class action and representative
12 action cases, taking the lead in taking and defending depositions, arguing motions, and attending
13 mediations. He has played an integral role in preparing matters for class certification, including
14 and not limited to, successful certification of a class in *Upson v. Sur La Table* (Los Angeles
15 County Superior Court Case No. BC424012), *Montazemi v. Regus Management* (Los Angeles
16 County Superior Court Case No. BC478769), and *Abdulhaqq v. Urban Outfitters* (Alameda
17 County Superior Court Case No. RG13680477). Under his supervision, dozens of class action
18 or representative cases have resulted in settlements that have been granted court approval. He
19 has handled over 150 mediations and worked on over two hundred fifty (250) class action or
20 representative action cases which have resulted in settlement.

21 17. Joanna Ghosh is a Managing Member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC. She received a
22 Bachelor of Arts degree from California State University, Los Angeles in 2006, a Master of
23 Science degree from the London School of Economics in 2007, and a Juris Doctor degree from
24 Georgetown University Law Center in 2010. She is admitted to practice in California (since
25 2010) and in New York (since 2013) and is admitted to practice in all U.S. District Courts in
26 California, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, and the U.S.
27 Supreme Court. She has taken and defended dozens of depositions and successfully handled
28 motion practice in class action cases regarding discovery (including and not limited to, regarding

class contact information), class certification (both contested class certification and stipulated class certification), arbitration agreements, coordination, and intervention. She has successfully handled briefing and oral argument on appeal and obtained notable decisions regarding the Private Attorneys General Act and employer efforts to compel arbitration, e.g., *Betancourt v. Prudential Overall Supply* (Cal. Ct. App., Mar. 7, 2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 439, cert. denied (Cal., May 24, 2017), cert. denied (U.S., Dec. 11, 2017) and *ZB, N.A. v. Superior Court* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 175. She has significant experience with class actions, including and not limited to working on cases to obtain class certification through contested motion practice and working on cases in the post-certification stage (e.g., post-certification discovery, appeal, statistical sampling and pilot study, and trial preparation in conjunction with co-counsel in a case involving a certified class consisting of approximately 2,600 individuals). She also has extensive experience with class action and/or representative action settlements, and has handled this process in over five-hundred (500) cases. Under Edwin Aiwasian, Arby Aiwasian, and her supervision, Lawyers for Justice, PC has handled the class certification and court approval process for hundreds of class action and/or representative action matters that have successfully resolved. She is a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association and, on several occasions, has been a speaker regarding topics in wage and hour law at the organization's continuing legal education events.

18. I am a member of Lawyers for Justice, PC. I received my Bachelor's degree from the University of California, San Diego in 2010 and earned my Juris Doctor degree from the University of California, Berkeley, School of Law in 2013. I was admitted to practice law in California in 2015. I am admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and all federal district courts in the State of California. I have worked on many wage and hour class action and PAGA representative matters, and my work has included, *inter alia*, researching and drafting pleadings, administrative notice exhaustion, drafting, negotiating, and finalizing stipulations and settlement agreements, engaging in motion practice, claims evaluation and analysis, and making court appearances. Prior to working at Lawyers for Justice, PC, I spent several years working for a boutique employment and labor law firm representing employers,

1 and, in 2019 and 2020, I was employed as general counsel for a DMHC licenses discount dental
2 plan.

3 **EXAMPLES OF RESULTS IN WAGE-AND-HOUR CLASS ACTION AND**
4 **REPRESENTATIVE ACTION CASES**

5 19. What follows are a few examples of the type of results Lawyers *for* Justice, PC
6 (“LFJ”) has achieved on behalf of its clients:

7 a) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
8 wage-and-hour class action against a major property management company involving
9 allegations of misclassification of various “manager” positions. On September 20, 2010, the
10 court granted final approval of the class action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior
11 Court Case Number is BC400414.

12 b) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
13 wage-and-hour class action against a national retailer of household items involving allegations
14 of misclassification of the “Assistant Store Manager” position. On October 28, 2010, the court
15 granted final approval of the class action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court
16 Case Number is BC413498.

17 c) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
18 wage-and-hour class action against a national property management company involving
19 allegations of misclassification of the “Property Manager” position. On May 23, 2012, the court
20 granted final approval of the class action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court
21 Case Number is BC430918.

22 d) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
23 wage-and-hour class action against a national retailer involving allegations of misclassification
24 of the “Store Manager” position. On June 10, 2011, the court granted plaintiffs’ motion for class
25 certification. On August 26, 2013, the court granted final approval of the class action settlement.
26 The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC424012.

27 e) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a
28 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a bank, involving allegations of

1 misclassification of the “Assistant Branch Manager” position. On August 27, 2013, the court
2 granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Kern County
3 Superior Court Case Number is S-1500-CV-273194-LHB.

4 f) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a
5 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a national wholesale distributor
6 of plumbing and builder supplies, involving allegations of misclassification of multiple salaried
7 “manager” positions. On May 22, 2014, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA
8 representative action settlement. The Sacramento County Superior Court Case Number is 34-
9 2012-00136285.

10 g) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a
11 wage-and-hour class action against a multinational corporation that provides global workplace
12 solutions, involving allegations of misclassification of the “Operations Manager” position. On
13 September 16, 2014, the court granted plaintiff’s motion for class certification. The Los Angeles
14 County Superior Court Case Number is BC478769.

15 h) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a
16 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a national retailer of household
17 items, on behalf of hourly-paid or non-exempt employees. On May 27, 2015, the court granted
18 final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The San Francisco
19 County Superior Court Case Number is CGC-13-532344.

20 i) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a
21 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action involving allegations of misclassification
22 of the salaried residential “Property Manager” position. On September 17, 2015, the court
23 granted plaintiff’s motion for class certification. On October 20, 2017, the court granted final
24 approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County
25 Superior Court Case Number is BC474784.

26 j) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
27 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a national retailer of upscale
28 hardware and home furnishings, on behalf of non-exempt employees. On April 28, 2016, the

1 court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los
2 Angeles County Superior Court Case Numbers are BC516795 and JCCP4794, and the Judicial
3 Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4794.

4 k) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
5 wage-and-hour class action against a national retailer of apparel and fashion accessories, on
6 behalf of non-exempt employees. On August 5, 2016, the court granted final approval of the
7 class action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC488069.

8 l) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
9 wage-and-hour class action against a national retailer of apparel, accessories, and home
10 products, involving allegations of misclassification of the "Department Manager" position. On
11 August 12, 2016, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for class certification in part and
12 certified a class. On August 6, 2019, the court granted final approval of the class action
13 settlement. The Alameda County Superior Court Case Number is RG13680477.

14 m) LFJ represented the plaintiff in a PAGA representative action against a
15 real estate and property management company, on behalf of non-exempt employees. On
16 November 4, 2016, the court granted approval of the PAGA representative action settlement.
17 The Orange County Superior Court Case Number is 30-2015-00775439-CU-OE-CXC.

18 n) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
19 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a full-service bank, on behalf of
20 non-exempt employees. On November 18, 2016, the court granted final approval of the class
21 and PAGA representative action settlement. The San Francisco County Superior Court Case
22 Number is CJC-13-004839 and the Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4839.

23 o) LFJ represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA
24 representative action against a foodservice distributor, on behalf of non-exempt employees. On
25 January 26, 2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action
26 settlement. The San Bernardino County Superior Court Case Number is CIVDS1507260.

27 p) LFJ, on behalf of the plaintiff and respondent in a PAGA representative
28 action, successfully opposed in the trial court, and briefed and argued an appeal with respect to

1 the employer's motion to compel arbitration, which resulted in a published opinion by the
2 California Court of Appeal in favor of employees. *Roberto Betancourt v. Prudential Overall*
3 *Supply* (Cal. App. 4th Dist., Mar. 7, 2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 439, review denied, cert. denied (U.S.
4 Supreme Court Docket No. 17-254). The Riverside County Superior Court Case Numbers are
5 RIC1503952 and RICJCCP5046, and the Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is
6 5046.

7 q) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
8 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a consumer packaging company,
9 on behalf of non-exempt employees. On March 10, 2017, the court granted final approval of the
10 class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
11 Number is BC590429.

12 r) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
13 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a manufacturer of food service
14 industry supplies on behalf of non-exempt employees. On April 14, 2017, the court granted final
15 approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Orange County Superior
16 Court Case Number is 30-2015-00810013-CU-OE-CXC.

17 s) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
18 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a lumber and hardware company
19 on behalf of non-exempt employees. On April 26, 2017, the court granted final approval of the
20 class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Orange County Superior Court Case
21 Number is 30-2014-00747750-CU-OE-CXC.

22 t) LFJ represented the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA
23 representative action against a property management company, on behalf of non-exempt
24 employees. On June 14, 2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA
25 representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is
26 BC586234.

27 u) LFJ represented the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA
28 representative action against a food company on behalf of non-exempt employees. On June 30,

2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Sacramento County Superior Court Case Number is 34-2015-00175871.

v) LFJ represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a chocolate company on behalf of non-exempt employees. On July 19, 2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Alameda County Superior Court Case Number is RG15764300.

w) LFJ represented the plaintiff in a PAGA representative action, against the parent company of several restaurants, on behalf of hourly-paid, non-exempt employees. On October 18, 2017, the court granted approval of the PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC569664.

x) LFJ represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a manufacturer of plastic containers on behalf of non-exempt employees. On October 31, 2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC577233.

y) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a bank on behalf of non-exempt employees. On December 11, 2017, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC569646.

z) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a property management company on behalf of hourly-paid and non-exempt employees. On January 4, 2018, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is JCCP4819 and the Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4819.

aa) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a global provider of flexible office

space solutions. On February 15, 2018, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC498401.

bb) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represents the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class action against a container manufacturer, on behalf of non-exempt employees. On October 15, 2018, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for class certification. On March 20, 2023, the court granted final approval of the class action settlement. The Tulare County Superior Court Case Number is VCU264528.

cc) LFJ represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a behavioral health service provider on behalf of non-exempt employees. On November 13, 2018, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Alameda County Superior Court Case Number is RG16811450.

dd) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a PAGA representative action against a global provider of products and services to the energy industry, on behalf of hourly-paid and non-exempt employees. On November 19, 2018, the court granted approval of the PAGA representative action settlement. The Kern County Superior Court Case Number is S-1500-CV-280215-SDC.

ee) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represents the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class action against a parking company on behalf of non-exempt employees. On September 3, 2019, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified a class. On November 7, 2024, the court granted final approval of the class action settlement. The Santa Clara County Superior Court Case Number is 16CV292208 and the Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4886.

ff) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represents the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a bank on behalf of non-exempt employees. On September 27, 2019, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for class

certification in part and certified a class. The Alameda County Superior Court Case Number is RG15757606 and the Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4921.

gg) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a national retailer of apparel and fashion accessories, on behalf of non-exempt employees. On October 9, 2019, the court granted the plaintiffs' motion for class certification in part and certified a class. On May 14, 2021, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The Sacramento County Superior Court Case Number is 34-2015-00175330-CU-OE-GDS.

hh) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a medical equipment supplier on behalf of non-exempt employees. On February 13, 2020, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified a class. On November 2, 2023, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The San Bernardino County Superior Court Case Number is CIVDS1505744.

ii) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, on behalf of the plaintiff and respondent in a PAGA representative action, successfully opposed in the trial court, and briefed and argued an appeal with respect to the employer's motion to compel arbitration, resulting in a notable decision from the California Supreme Court clarifying the law regarding PAGA claims, *ZB, N.A. v. Superior Court* (2019) 8 Cal.5th 175. On February 21, 2020, the court granted approval of the PAGA representative action settlement. The San Diego County Superior Court Case Number is 37-2016-00005578-CU-OE-CTL.

jj) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represents the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a large national drug testing laboratory on behalf of non-exempt employees. On February 21, 2020, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified a class. On October 28, 2022, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA representative action settlement. The San Diego County Superior Court Case Number is 37-2018-00019611-CU-OE-CTL.

///

1 kk) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represents the plaintiffs in a
2 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a national retailer of sportswear,
3 footwear, and camping equipment on behalf of non-exempt employees. On March 16, 2020,
4 the court granted in part the plaintiff's motion for class certification and certified a class. The
5 Riverside County Superior Court Case Numbers are RIC1507504 and RICJCCP4930, and the
6 Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Number is 4930.

7 ll) LFJ, in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
8 wage-and-hour class and PAGA representative action against a plastic packaging company on
9 behalf of non-exempt employees. On June 8, 2020, the court granted in part the plaintiffs'
10 motion for class certification and certified a class. On November 8, 2021, the court granted
11 approval of the partial settlement of the action. On April 22, 2024, the court granted approval
12 of the PAGA settlement and entered an order dismissing the action. The San Bernardino County
13 Superior Court Case Number is CIVDS1507361.

14 mm) LFJ in association with co-counsel therein, represented the plaintiffs in a
15 wage-and-hour class action against manufacturer and supplier of power products and services
16 on behalf of non-exempt employees. On July 31, 2020, the court granted in part the plaintiffs'
17 motion for class certification and certified a class. On August 27, 2021, the court granted final
18 approval of the class action settlement. The San Diego County Superior Court Case Number is
19 37-2015-00025968-CU-OE-CTL.

20 nn) LFJ represented the plaintiff in a wage-and-hour class action against a
21 nutritional products manufacturer on behalf of non-exempt production line employees. On
22 December 13, 2021, the court granted the plaintiff's motion for class certification in part and
23 certified a class. On January 16, 2024, the court granted final approval of the class and PAGA
24 representative action settlement. The Solano County Superior Court Case Number is
25 FCS051001.

26 oo) LFJ represents the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class action against a
27 manufacturer and distributor of commercial dish and glass cleaning machines and supplies for
28 the restaurant and hospitality industries, on behalf of hourly-paid or non-exempt employees. On

July 13, 2023, the court granted the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification and certified a class. On June 2, 2025, the court granted final approval of the class action settlement and entered an order dismissing the action. The Los Angeles County Superior Court Case Number is BC707670.

pp) LFJ represents the plaintiffs in a wage-and-hour class action against a chain of restaurants on behalf of non-exempt employees. On July 22, 2024, the court granted in part the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification and certified a class. The San Francisco Superior Court Case Number is CGC-20-582809.

LITIGATION COSTS AND EXPENSES INCURRED BY

LAWYERS *FOR* JUSTICE, PC

20. To date, Lawyers *for* Justice, PC has borne the risks and costs of litigation of the action and will not receive any compensation until recovery is obtained in this matter. Lawyers *for* Justice, PC seeks reimbursement of **\$20,140.74** in litigation costs and expenses incurred in this matter, as reflected in “**EXHIBIT B**” attached hereto. These expenses were reasonable and necessary in the prosecution of the matter and to obtain the Settlement.

SERVICE PAYMENTS TO PLAINTIFFS

21. The Settlement provides for Class Representative Service Payments in an amount not to exceed Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) each to Plaintiffs. The contemplated service payments are fair and appropriate in light of the time and effort that Plaintiffs expended to pursue the case. Plaintiffs were available whenever Class Counsel needed them, actively tried to obtain and provide information, and spent a substantial amount of time and effort providing the facts and evidence necessary to facilitate the pursuit of the class and PAGA claims. Accordingly, it is appropriate and just for Plaintiffs to each receive reasonable enhancement awards for their services in the cases, in addition to their individual settlement payments under the Settlement.

///

///

///

///

22. I submit that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interests of Plaintiff, Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 10th day of October 2025, at Glendale, California.



Brian J. St. John

EXHIBIT A

ERIN JONES ET AL. V. JW MARRIOTT ANAHEIM RESORT ET AL.,
Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01183306-CU-OE-CXC (“Class Action”)
Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01220455-CU-OE-CXC (“PAGA Action”)
United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 8:21-CV-00703-DOC-ADS (“Federal Action”)

MARRIOTT WAGE AND HOUR CASES
Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5268 (“Coordinated Action”)

ATTORNEY TASK AND TIME CHART

TASK	LAWYERS <i>for</i> JUSTICE, PC
Investigation and Research / Due Diligence	
Meet and Communicate with Erin Jones (“Plaintiff Jones”) during the Case	25.50
Investigate, Communicate with, and/or Interview Putative Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and/or Percipient Witnesses	12.50
Review and Analyze Filings in <i>Matthew Shachno v. Marriott International, Inc.</i> , San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00024021-CU-OE-CTL, <i>Matthew Shachno v. Marriott International, Inc.</i> , San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2022-00019579- CU-OE-CTL, and <i>Matthew Shachno v. Marriott International, Inc.</i> , United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:22-cv-01215-TWR-JLB	3.50
Pleadings and Court Filings	
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Jones’ Claims, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding All Claims Involved, and Draft Plaintiff Jones’ Class Action Complaint for Damages (filed on February 8, 2021) (Class Action)	7.50

Review Court's Minute Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference (filed on April 14, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order (filed on April 16, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Assignment to United States Judges and Notice to Parties of Court-Directed ADR Program (filed on April 19, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.10
Review Court's Initial Standing Order Following Assignment of Civil Case to Judge Carter (filed on April 21, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.20
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Initial Complaint by Not More Than 30 Days (L.R. 8-3) (filed on April 22, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Second Joint Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Initial Complaint By Not More Than 30 Days (L.R. 8-3) (filed on April 29, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.90
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Jones' Claims, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding All Claims Involved, and Draft Plaintiff Jones' First Amended Class Action Complaint for Damages (filed on May 13, 2021) (Federal Action)	2.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation to Continue and Re-Notice Hearing Date on Motion to Remand by Seven Days; and Order (submitted on May 21, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.50
Review Court's Order Granting Stipulation to Continue Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Remand and Order Setting Scheduling Conference (filed on May 21, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.20
Review and Analyze Defendant Marriott International, Inc.'s ("Defendant") Answer to First Amended Class Action Complaint and Research Affirmative Defenses in Defendant's Answer (served on May 27, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.30
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation to Continue Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Deadline; Order Thereon (submitted on June 14, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.20

Review Defendant's Notice of Appearance or Withdrawal of Counsel (served on June 16, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order to Continue Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Deadline (filed on June 16, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Order of Remand (filed on July 1, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Notice and Verified Application of Paul G. Sherman to Appear as Counsel <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ; Order Granting Verified Application of Paul G. Sherman As Counsel <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (served on July 15, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Case Management Conference and Status Conference Statement (filed on July 21, 2021) (Class Action)	1.30
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference (filed on July 28, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Notice of Minute Order Re: Status Conference (served on August 5, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Notice of Errata Regarding Proof of Service to Notice and Verified Application of Paul G. Sherman to Appear as Counsel <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (served on August 13, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Informal Discovery and Setting an Informal Discovery Conference (filed on September 1, 2021) (Class Action)	0.20
Investigate the Merits of Plaintiff Jones' PAGA Claim, Conduct Legal Research and Analysis Regarding the PAGA Claim, and Draft Plaintiff Jones' Complaint for Enforcement Under the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code § 2698, Et Seq. (filed on September 10, 2021) (PAGA Action)	7.50
Review Court's Minute Order Re: <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Application (published on September 20, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Informal Discovery Conference Brief (filed on September 20, 2021) (Class Action)	2.50
Review Defendant's Statement Regarding Informal Discovery Conference (served on September 20, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10

Review Defendants' Notice and Supplemental Verified Application of Paul G. Sherman to Appear as Counsel <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (served on September 22, 2021) (Class Action)	0.20
Review Defendant's Notice of Ruling Granting Supplemental Verified Application of Paul G. Sherman as Counsel <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> (served on October 1, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Application of Paul G. Sherman (filed on October 21, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Defendant's Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel (served on November 3, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Status Conference Statement (filed on November 19, 2021) (Class Action)	1.50
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference (filed on November 29, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Complex Determination (filed on December 17, 2021) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Request for Dismissal of Defendant JW Marriot Anaheim Resort, Declaration of Edwin Aiwarzian in Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 1, 2022) (Class Action)	1.60
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Status Conference; [Proposed] Order (submitted on February 8, 2022) (PAGA Action)	1.20
Review Court's Order to Continue Status Conference (filed on February 15, 2022) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Status Conference; Order (submitted on February 22, 2022) (Class Action)	1.10
Review Court's Order to Continue Status Conference (filed on February 23, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation and Order Granting Information Provided Under Cover of Mediation Privilege and Discovery Stay (submitted on March 10, 2022) (Class Action)	1.60
Review Court's Order of Dismissal of Defendant JW Marriott Anaheim Resort (filed on March 11, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10

Review Court's Order Granting Information Provided Under Cover of Mediation Privilege and Discovery Stay (filed on March 14, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Request for Dismissal of Defendant JW Marriott Anaheim Resort, Declaration of Tara Zabehe In Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Order (filed on May 10, 2022) (PAGA Action)	1.20
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review and/or Revise Stipulation and Order Granting Information Provided Under Cover of Mediation Privilege and Discovery Stay (submitted on June 3, 2022) (PAGA Action)	0.90
Review Court's Order Granting Information Provided Under Cover of Mediation Privilege and Discovery Stay (filed on June 7, 2022) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation and Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (submitted on August 25, 2022) (Class Action)	1.20
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference (filed on August 29, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Granting Joint Stipulation and Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on August 30, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Re: Dismissal (filed on August 30, 2022) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay; [Proposed] Order (submitted on September 13, 2022) (PAGA Action)	1.30
Review Court's Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on September 14, 2022) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on October 5, 2022) (Class Action & PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Defendant's Peremptory Challenge Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 (served on October 25, 2022) (Class Action & PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation and Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on October 26, 2022) (Class Action)	1.10

Review Court's Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on October 27, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Reassignment (filed on October 28, 2022) (Class Action & PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Case Reassignment (filed on November 15, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Setting Status Conference (filed on December 9, 2022) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Complex Determination (filed on January 12, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation to Continue Case Management Conference; [Proposed] Order (submitted on January 27, 2023) (PAGA Action)	1.30
Review Court's Order to Continue Case Management Conference (filed on January 30, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Order Appointing Judge James A. Mangione as Coordination Motion Judge (filed on February 8, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Hearing (filed on February 17, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Notice of Order Appointing Judge James A. Mangione as Coordination Motion Judge (filed on February 24, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (submitted on March 3, 2023) (Class Action)	1.10
Review the Court's Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on March 3, 2023) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (submitted on April 20, 2023) (Class Action)	1.10

Review the Court's Order to Continue Status Conference and Discovery Stay (filed on April 24, 2023) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Case Management Conference Statement (filed on June 15, 2023) (PAGA Action)	1.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Case Management Conference (filed on June 22, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Case Management Conference Statement (filed on July 7, 2023) (Class Action)	1.10
Review the Court's Minute Order Continuing Status Conference (filed on July 11, 2023) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Notice of Case Reassignment (filed on September 5, 2023) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Status Conference Statement (filed on November 9, 2023) (PAGA Action)	1.30
Draft Declaration of Hagit Goltzer Regarding Order to Show Cause (filed on November 9, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.70
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Status Conference Statement (filed on November 15, 2023) (Class Action)	1.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference and Order to Show Cause Re: Monetary Sanctions (filed on November 16, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference (filed on November 17, 2023) (Class & PAGA Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notice of Posting Payment of Sanctions (filed on December 12, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10

Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiff Jones and Plaintiff Matthew Shachno's ("Plaintiff Shachno") (together, "Plaintiffs") First Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint for Damages and Penalties (filed on January 18, 2024), and Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel and Plaintiff Shachno's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation for Leave for Plaintiff to File First Amended Class Action Complaint; [Proposed] Order (submitted on January 12, 2024) (Class Action)	1.50
Review Court's Order Granting Plaintiff Jones Leave to File First Amended Class Action Complaint (filed on January 16, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiffs' Second Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint for Damages and Penalties (filed on February 7, 2024), and Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel and Plaintiff Shachno's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation for Leave for Plaintiffs to File Second Amended Class Action Complaint; [Proposed] Order Theron (submitted on February 5, 2024) (Class Action)	1.20
Review Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs Leave to File Second Amended Class Action Complaint (filed on February 7, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendants' Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Stipulation and Order for Dismissal of PAGA Claims (filed on submitted on February 16, 2024) (PAGA Action)	1.60
Review Court's Order for Dismissal of PAGA Claims (filed on February 20, 2024) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Notice of Related Case (served on February 20, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel and Plaintiff Shachno's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Joint Status Conference Statement (filed on February 21, 2024) (Class Action)	1.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Status Conference (filed on February 22, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notice of Change of Address or Other Contact Information (filed on February 26, 2025) (Class Action)	0.10
Appearances	
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference (July 28, 2021) (Class Action)	1.50
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Informal Discovery Conference (September 27, 2021) (Class Action)	1.80

Prepare for and Remotely Attend Petition for Coordination (March 17, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Status Conference (June 22, 2023) (PAGA Action)	1.10
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Case Management Conference and Hearing on Order to Show Case Regarding Sanctions (November 16, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.30
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Status Conference (November 17, 2023) (Class Action & PAGA Action)	0.50
Prepare for and Remotely Attend Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (August 22, 2024) (Class Action)	0.90
Discovery and Deposition	
Draft Letter to Defendant Re: Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Jones (served on September 24, 2020)	1.90
Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendant in Response to the Request for Personnel File, Pay Stubs, and Time Records for Plaintiff Jones	2.50
Review Defendant's Requests for Production of Documents (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Set One), Form Interrogatories – Employment Law, and Form Interrogatories – General to Plaintiff Jones (served on July 19, 2021) (Class Action)	1.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Special Interrogatories (Set One) and Special Interrogatories (Set Two) to Defendant (served on July 21, 2021) (Class Action)	2.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Set Two), and Requests for Production of Documents (Set One) to Defendant JW Marriott Anaheim Resort (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	4.50
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Form Interrogatories – General (Set One) and Requests for Production of Documents (Set One) to Defendant (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	2.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notices of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant JW Marriott Anaheim Resort and Requests for Production of Documents (noticed for September 21, 2021; Organizational Structure) (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	1.30

Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notices of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant JW Marriott Anaheim Resort and Requests for Production of Documents (noticed for September 22, 2021; Wage and Hour Practices) (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	1.50
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notices of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant and Requests for Production of Documents (noticed for September 23, 2021; Organizational Structure) (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	1.20
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notices of Deposition of Person Most Knowledgeable at Defendant and Requests for Production of Documents (noticed for September 24, 2021; Wage and Hour Practices) (served on July 27, 2021) (Class Action)	1.40
Review Defendant's Notice of Deposition of Plaintiff Jones (served on August 13, 2021) (Class Action)	0.20
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Responses to Defendant's Requests for Production of Documents (Set One), Form Interrogatories – Employment Law (Set One), Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), and Special Interrogatories (Set One) (served on September 1, 2021) (Class Action)	7.50
Review Defendant's Responses to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories – General (Set One), Special Interrogatories (Set One and Set Two), and Requests for Production of Documents (Set One) (served on September 16, 2021) (Class Action)	5.50
Review and Analyze Documents Produced by Defendant (served on September 16, 2021) (Class Action)	4.10
Review Defendant's Amended Notice of Deposition of Plaintiff Jones (served on October 20, 2021) (Class Action)	0.20
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Objections to Defendant Marriott International, Inc.'s Amended Notice of Deposition (served on October 26, 2021) (Class Action)	1.50
Review Defendant's Second Amended Notice of Deposition of Plaintiff Jones (served on November 3, 2021) (Class Action)	0.20
Letters and Correspondence	
Draft Notice to California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Re: Claim of Plaintiff under the Private Attorneys General Act (served on July 7, 2021)	4.50

Meet with, Draft Correspondence to, and/or Respond to Correspondence from, Defendant's Counsel	22.50
Meet with, Draft Correspondence to, and/or Respond to Correspondence from, Plaintiff Shachno's Counsel	11.60
Mediation/Settlement	
Review and Analyze Information, Data, and Documents Obtained from Plaintiff Jones, Defendant, and Other Sources Prior to August 11, 2022 Mediation	63.50
Prepare for Mediation, Including Researching Settlement and Merits-Related Issues, Drafting the Mediation Brief and Compiling Exhibits in Support of Mediation Brief, and Performing Damages and Penalties Analyses and Calculations (submitted on August 11, 2022)	31.30
Attend Mediation Session (August 18, 2022; Zoom Video Conference)	15.30
Review and Analyze Information, Data, and Documents Obtained from Plaintiffs, Defendant, and Other Sources Prior to June 8, 2023 Mediation	26.50
Prepare for June 8, 2023 Mediation, Including Researching Settlement and Merits-Related Issues, Performing Damages and Penalties Analyses and Calculations and Claims Evaluation, and Reviewing Plaintiff Shachno's Mediation Brief (submitted on June 2, 2023)	13.20
Attend Mediation Session (June 8, 2023; Zoom Video Conference)	15.10
Review and Analyze Mediator's Proposal (June 15, 2023)	0.50
Engage in Additional Settlement Discussions	1.70
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Confidential Settlement Agreement and General Release (executed on January 4, 2024)	2.50
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (Executed on January 4, 2024)	4.40
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (executed on August 9, 2024)	0.90

Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Second Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (executed on December 6, 2024)	0.50
Draft, Review, Revise, Negotiate, and Finalize Third Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement (executed on May 9, 2025)	0.50
Coordinate Settlement Administration with Settlement Administrator, Ongoing Monitoring of Settlement Administration and Review of Weekly Reports, and Respond to Class and Other Related Inquiries	3.10
Law and Motion	
Review Defendant's Notice of Removal of Action Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1441 and 1446, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Declaration of J. Scott Carr in Support Thereof, Declaration of Andrew Wright in Support Thereof, Declaration of Tiffany Schafer in Support Thereof, Notice of Interested Parties Pursuant to L.R. 7.1-1, and Civil Cover Sheet (filed on April 15, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.50
Review Defendant's Notice of Removal of Action to Federal Court (served on April 15, 2021) (Class Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notice of Motion and Motion to Remand Pursuant to 28.U.S.C. § 1447; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Jennifer Maguire in Support Thereof; and [Proposed] Order Thereon (filed on May 17, 2021) (Federal Action)	19.50
Review Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff Jones' Motion to Remand Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, Supplemental Declaration of Tiffany Schafer in Support Thereof, and Supplemental Declaration of J. Scott Carr in Support Thereof (served on May 28, 2021) (Federal Action)	1.90
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Reply in Support of Motion to Remand Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447 (filed on June 7, 2021) (Federal Action)	7.50
Review Court's Order to Remand and Minute Order Re: Order Remanding Case to State Court (filed on June 17, 2021) (Federal Action)	0.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Motion for Peremptory Challenge Pursuant to CCP § 170.6 and Declaration of Edwin Aiwarzian in Support Thereof (filed on November 2, 2022) (Class Action)	0.90

Review Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Notice of Submission of Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (filed on January 20, 2023) (PAGA Action)	1.50
Review Defendant Marriot International, Inc.'s Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Declaration of Kristapor Vartanian in Support Thereof (served on March 6, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	1.10
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Partial Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (filed on March 6, 2023) (PAGA & Coordinated Action)	2.50
Draft Plaintiff Jones' Notice of Submission of Plaintiff Erin Jones' Partial Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (filed on March 6, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.20
Draft Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiff Erin Jones' Partial Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (filed on March 7, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Defendants' Notice of Submission of Defendant Marriott International, Inc.'s Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (served on March 13, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10
Review Plaintiff Shachno's Reply to Petition for Coordination and Declaration of Piya Mukherjee in Support Thereof (filed on March 10, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	0.50
Review Defendants' Notice of Errata Re: Notice of Submission of Defendant's Opposition to Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (served on March 13, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Hearing on Petition (filed on March 17, 2023) (Coordinated Action)	0.10
Review Defendant's Notice of Ruling on Petitioner Matthew Shachno's Petition for Coordination and Request to Stay Cases (served on April 3, 2023) (PAGA Action)	0.10

Review Declaration of Matthew Shachno, Declaration of Lisa Mullins, and Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, Declaration of Erin Jones in Support Thereof, and Declaration of Brian J. St. John In Support Thereof(filed on January 12, 2024) (Class Action)	10.50
Review Amended Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Amended Declaration of Brian J. St. John in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement and Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on January 17, 2024) (Class Action)	1.10
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (published on April 11, 2024) (Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order Re Motion for Approval of Class Settlement (filed on April 11, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug in Support Thereof (filed on August 9, 2024) (Class Action)	0.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise' Proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (submitted on August 20, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (published on August 21, 2024) (Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on August 22, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Second Supplemental Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement (filed on December 6, 2024) (Class Action)	0.50
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' [Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on December 10, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10

Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (published on December 18, 2024) (Class Action)	0.20
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on December 19, 2024) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement, Declaration of Host Hotels & Resorts, LP's Representative in Support Thereof, and Declaration of Gardenwalk Hotel I, LLC Representative in Support Thereof (filed on May 9, 2025) (Class Action)	1.10
Draft, Review, and/or Revise Proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (submitted on May 12, 2025) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Tentative Ruling Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (published on May 21, 2025) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Court's Minute Order Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on May 22, 2025) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Fourth Supplemental Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval for Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Order Thereon (filed on June 3, 2025) (Class Action)	0.50
Review Court's Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement (filed on June 4, 2025) (Class Action)	0.10
Review Declaration of Cassandra Polites of ILYM Group, Inc. Administration Fees, and Meet and Confer with Defendant's Counsel and Plaintiff Shachno's Counsel, and Draft, Review, and/or Revise Stipulation Regarding Administration Expenses Payment; [Proposed] Order Thereon and (submitted on August 7, 2025) (Class Action)	1.50

Review Declaration of Settlement Administrator, Declaration of Matthew Shachno, and Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug, and Research, Draft, Review, and/or Revise Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards, Declaration of Brian J. St. John in Support Thereof, and [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class and PAGA Settlement and Judgment (filed on October 13, 2025) (Class Action)	12.40
Total Hours:	432.20

EXHIBIT B

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jones vs. JW Marriott Anaheim Resort, et al.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
9/24/2020	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$6.95
2/9/2021	One Legal	Attorney Service	\$61.61
2/9/2021	Orange County Superior Court	Complex Filing Fee	\$1,000.00
2/9/2021	Orange County Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	\$435.00
3/9/2021	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$21.48
3/16/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$110.00
3/17/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$110.00
5/18/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$165.00
6/8/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$165.00
7/21/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$11.00
7/22/2021	Courtcall, LLC	Attorney Service	\$94.00
7/26/2021	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$14.64
8/17/2021	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$25.99
9/8/2021	Courtcall, LLC	Attorney Service	\$94.00
9/20/2021	One Legal	Attorney Service	\$12.35
10/4/2021	Marriot Ownership Resorts, Inc.	Records Fee	\$59.80
10/12/2021	ProLegal	Attorney Service	\$244.00
11/11/2021	ProLegal	Attorney Service	\$54.00
12/28/2021	First Mediation Corporation	Mediation Fee	\$9,000.00
1/11/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
2/1/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
2/1/2022	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$23.90
2/22/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
2/23/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$115.95
2/23/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/24/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
8/25/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$42.00
10/26/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
10/31/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
11/2/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$30.70
11/2/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$40.00
11/21/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
2/1/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$15.00
3/3/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$15.35
3/3/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
3/6/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
4/18/2023	First Mediation Corporation	Second Mediation Fee	\$5,000.00
4/20/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jones vs. JW Marriott Anaheim Resort, et al.

5/1/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.39
5/1/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
5/8/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
6/8/2023	San Diego Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$20.00
6/15/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
7/7/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
8/10/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
11/15/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
12/1/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$15.00
1/2/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$16.27
1/3/2024	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$16.27
1/8/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
1/10/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.39
1/10/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
1/12/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
1/17/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.39
1/17/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
1/18/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
1/19/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
2/3/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
2/28/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
6/20/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$45.00
9/3/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
12/24/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
2/27/2025	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$15.20
6/3/2025	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
9/30/2025	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$75.70
Total:			<u>\$17,550.83</u>

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jones vs. JW Marriott Anaheim, et al. (PAGA)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
7/7/2021	U.S. Postmaster	Postage	\$22.50
7/7/2021	Labor & Workforce Development Agency	PAGA Filing Fee	\$75.00
9/14/2021	One Legal	Attorney Service	\$57.00
9/14/2021	Orange County Superior Court	Complex Filing Fee	\$1,000.00
9/14/2021	Orange County Superior Court	Complaint Filing Fee	\$435.00
10/12/2021	ProLegal	Attorney Service	\$220.00
11/4/2021	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
11/11/2021	ProLegal	Attorney Service	\$88.29
1/21/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
1/26/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
1/27/2022	General Logistics Systems US, Inc.	Courier Service	\$17.25
2/8/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
2/22/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$0.95
2/22/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/24/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
5/10/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$13.25
6/13/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
8/24/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
8/30/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.35
8/30/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
9/13/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
9/15/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.35
9/15/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
9/20/2022	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
11/7/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
1/27/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
1/31/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.35
1/31/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
2/15/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
3/6/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.00
3/7/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$42.75
3/15/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.75
4/13/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
8/10/2023	Orange County Superior Court	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
11/9/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20

LAWYERS *for* JUSTICE, PC CASE COST DETAIL
Jones vs. JW Marriott Anaheim, et al. (PAGA)

12/20/2023	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$281.58
2/16/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$14.20
2/20/2024	Legal Document Server, Inc.	Attorney Service	\$1.39
2/20/2024	Orange County Superior Court	Stipulation & Order Fee	\$20.00
5/7/2024	County Of Orange	Document Download Fee	\$7.50
		Total:	<u>\$2,589.91</u>