JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
Perssia P. Razma (State Bar #351398)
prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
shani@zakaylaw.com
Eden Zakay (State Bar #339536)
eden@zakaylaw.com
Rachel Newman (State Bar #350826)
rachel@zakaylaw.com
Jaclyn M. Joyce (State Bar #285124)
jaclyn@zakaylaw.com
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047

Attorneys for PLAINTIFF

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

JANENE J. CARACAUS, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL **DECLARATION OF JEAN-CLAUDE LAPUYADE, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT** Date: August 15, 2025 Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione Dept.: C-75

I, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq., declare as follows:

1. I am over eighteen years of age and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the following facts from my own personal knowledge. I am an attorney-at-law and the owner of the law firm of JCL LAW FIRM, APC (hereinafter "Class Counsel"). I am counsel of record for Plaintiff JANENE J. CARACAUS, (hereafter "Plaintiff") in this wage and hour class and representative action filed against Defendant DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC. (hereinafter "Defendant").

2. This declaration is being submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Class Counsel Award and Service Award.

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"** is a true and correct copy of the fully executed Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action and Release of Claims (hereinafter "Agreement"). Attached as Exhibit A to the Agreement is a true and correct copy of the court-approved Class Notice.

4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "2"** is a true and correct copy of the conformed February 18, 2025, Preliminary Approval Order.

5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "3"** is a true and correct copy of the October 9, 2024, proof of filing the proposed Settlement with the LWDA.

6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "4"** is true and correct copy of the July 22, 2025, proof of filing this motion seeking final approval of this Settlement with the LWDA.

7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "5"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm, APC's "Transaction Summary" detailing the JCL Law Firm, APC's costs actually incurred litigation expenses for this matter as of July 16, 2025. As shown in detail in the attached transaction summary, the JCL Law Firm, APC, incurred a total of $5,757.71 in litigation costs prosecuting this action. These costs are exclusive of the costs incurred by co-counsel Zakay Law Group, APLC.

8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "6"** is a true and correct copy of the JCL Law Firm, APC's "Firm Resume" detailing the credentials of Class Counsel and a summary of cases on which counsel has acted as class and representative action counsel.

I. INTRODUCTION

9. An objective evaluation of the proposed settlement of $265,000.00 ("Gross Settlement Amount") confirms that the relief negotiated on behalf of the Class is fair, reasonable, and valuable.

The Settlement is a product of informed, adversarial, and non-collusive negotiations, between experienced counsel, guided by the wisdom and experience of the Hon. Steven Denton (Ret.), an experienced jurist and mediator. After deducting any Court-approved Class Counsel Award, Service Award, Settlement Administration Costs, and payments to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to the Settlement Class Members based on the number of Workweeks they worked while employed by Defendants during the Class Period. ***The Settlement Class greeted news of the Settlement with overwhelming approval as 98% of the Settlement Class Members chose to participate in the Settlement.*** There are four opt-outs and zero objections to the Settlement. The high approval by the Settlement Class evidences the clear fairness and reasonableness of the Settlement.

10. The relief offered by the Settlement is immediate and is particularly impressive when viewed against the difficulties encountered by plaintiffs pursuing similar wage and hour cases (*see infra*), and Defendant's financial difficulties in this case. As discussed below, the proposed Settlement satisfies all criteria for final settlement approval under California law and falls well within the range of reasonableness.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE LITIGATION

11. Defendant offers home health care, hospice, and in-home services throughout the state of California, including San Diego County, where Plaintiff worked. Plaintiff alleges that she was denied legally compliant meal and rest periods, and minimum wages and overtime compensation during her employment. Throughout her employment, Plaintiff was subject to Defendant's written policies and procedures.

12. Generally, Plaintiff claims that Defendant failed to provide compliant meal and rest periods, failed to pay for all time worked, failed to pay overtime and meal period premiums, failed to furnish accurate itemized wage statements, failed to reimburse for mandatory business expenses, and failed to pay wages when due. Defendant denies liability for each of Plaintiff's claims. Further, Defendant asserts that they fully complied with all applicable employment laws and raised several other significant defenses to Plaintiff's claims, including but not limited to, facially compliant meal and rest

period policies, compliant wage statements, compliant reimbursement practices, and timely payment of all wages due.

13. On March 25, 2022, Plaintiff served Defendant and the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") with a Notice of Violations ("PAGA Notice"). Plaintiff's PAGA Notice set forth the facts and theories supporting Defendant's alleged violations of various provisions of the California Labor Code and applicable Industrial Welfare Commissions ("IWC") Wage Order and of her intent to pursue claims under California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Lab. Code Sections 2698 et seq. ("PAGA").

14. On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff filed a class action complaint in the San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL ("Class Action"). The Class Action alleged nine causes of action against Defendant for: (1) Unfair Competition in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et. seq.; (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 1194, 1197, & 1197.1; (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, et seq.; (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the Applicable IWC Wage Order; (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 & 512 and the Applicable IWC Wage Order; (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements in violation of Cal. Lab Code §226; (7) Failure to Pay Wages When Due in violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201, 202, & 203; (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 2802; and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act [Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq].

15. Plaintiff propounded written discovery in September of 2022. After the initial round of discovery, the Parties agreed to mediate the Action. The Parties also agreed to engage in informal discovery to prepare for mediation. Such discovery included production of documents and data points subject to the mediation privilege, including but not limited to, the Class Members' time and payroll data and certain payroll data points. As discussed *infra*, Plaintiff's counsel retained the forensic consultants at Berger Consulting Group ("BCG") to analyze Defendant's time and payroll data.

16. On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in a full day mediation with respected jurist and mediator, the Hon. Judge Steven Denton (Ret.). The mediation concluded without a settlement,

due in large part to Defendant's representation that their financial condition constrained their ability to fund a class-wide settlement. Plaintiff then retained Kenneth L. Creal, CPA, an experienced financial accounting and forensics expert, to independently assess Defendant's financial condition. Mr. Creal conducted a thorough review of Defendant's financial records and based on that analysis, rendered an opinion to Plaintiff as to Defendant's ability to fund a settlement. Using the opinion of Mr. Creal, the Parties continued settlement negotiations until an agreement was reached, which was subsequently memorialized in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding. Thereafter, the Parties drafted and negotiated a long-form settlement agreement, which is the subject of the instant motion.

17. As explained in further detail below, the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and should be granted final approval. Given the complexities of this case, the defenses asserted, the uncertainty of class certification, and Defendant's financial condition, along with the uncertainties of proof at trial and appeal, the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be granted final approval.

V. THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE

A. Class Counsel Conducted a Thorough Investigation.

18. As detailed in the motion for preliminary approval, Class Counsel thoroughly investigated the proposed Class Members' claims, applicable law, and potential defenses. Specifically, Class Counsel assessed the value of the class claims using data and documents provided by Defendant through informal discovery. Class Counsel obtained time, payroll, and policy records for the Class Members, aggregate workweek and pay period data, and copies of applicable handbooks containing, *inter alia*, compensation, timekeeping, and meal and rest period policies. Class Counsel retained BCG to analyze Defendant's time and payroll data to formulate damage models estimating Defendant's liability exposure. Class Counsel also retained a CPA to analyze Defendant's financial capacity to fund a class-wide settlement. Class Counsel's investigation, research, experience, and damage model informed and guided the mediated negotiations that resulted in this settlement.

/ / /

B. The Parties Settled at Mediation.

19. The Settlement is the product of a mediation session with a respected mediator and subsequent negotiations over the terms of the Settlement. The negotiations were adversarial, conducted at arms-length and tempered by the efforts of both sides to serve the interests of their clients.

C. Class Counsel is Experienced in Similar Litigation.

20. Plaintiff is represented by competent and experienced counsel to pursue her claims. I established the JCL Law Firm, APC ("Firm"), in 2010. The Firm is a boutique plaintiff firm representing aggrieved employees and consumers throughout California in wage and hour class actions and complex multi-party product liability matters. The Firm currently consists of myself, Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. (Partner/Owner), Sydney Castillo-Johnson, Esq. (Associate Attorney), Perssia Razma (Associate Attorney), John L. Nitti (Associate Attorney), Tesla Stone (Senior Paralegal), Jennifer Heming (Paralegal), and Andrew Ward (Law Clerk).

21. I am qualified to represent the Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees. I have extensive experience with wage and hour class and representative actions litigation like this matter, and employment matters in general. Additionally, I have extensive complex mass tort litigation experience and complex construction product liability experience. I can, will and have adequately represented the interests of the Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees throughout this action.

22. I have represented consumers and employees in California class actions, and complex multi-party product liability actions exclusively for more than 17 years. I have been in practice as a plaintiffs' civil litigation attorney since April 2007.

23. I have significant class counsel and collective action counsel experience. My credentials are reflected in the JCL Law Firm, APC, Firm Resume, which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "6"**.

24. Since 2010, I have successfully tried two (2) cases to conclusion, each resulting in a favorable verdict for the firm's clients.

25. Finally, I have published appellate experience in *William Blanchette et al., v. The Superior Court of Imperial County, (GHA Enterprises)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521, *Duran v. EmployBridge Holding Co. LLC* (2023) 92 Cal.App.5th 59.

26. Consequently, I have been named to the prestigious Thomson Reuters, Super Lawyers, Rising Star in 2015, 2016, 2017 and, again, in 2018. According to Thomson Reuters, the Super Lawyers, Rising Star list recognizes the top 2.5% of eligible attorneys. (see https://www.superlawyers.com/about/selection_process.html.)

E. The Risk, Expense, Complexity and Duration of Further Litigation Favor Settlement.

27. Although Plaintiff and Class Counsel believe that this case has merit, they recognized the potential risks both sides would face if litigation of this action continued.

28. With respect to the most immediate challenge, i.e., class certification, the risks attendant to the certification of similar wage and hour claims are demonstrated by *Magadia v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.* (9th Cir. 2021) 999 F.3d 668. There, the Ninth Circuit, vacated reversed and remanded a $110 million judgement against an employer for, *inter alia*, inaccurately paying overtime, and directed the trial court to enter judgement in favor of the employer. Consequently, the uncertainty of obtaining certification through a contested motion is a significant risk factor favoring settlement.

29. Although Plaintiff is confident about the strength of her claims, Plaintiff nevertheless recognizes that Defendant has factual and legal defenses that, if successful, would potentially defeat or impair the value of the lawsuit. Legally, the fast-changing landscape of wage and hour litigation can drastically affect the value of Plaintiff's claims and, in fact, already has.

30. Plaintiff and Class Counsel recognize the expense and length of a trial against Defendant through possible appeals which could take another three years. In arriving at their informed belief that the present settlement is fair and adequate, Class Counsel accounted for the uncertain outcome and risk inherent in complex actions such as this one. Class Counsel are also mindful of and recognize the inherent problems of proof under, and alleged defenses to, the claims asserted in the litigation. Moreover, post-trial motions and appeals would have been inevitable. Costs would have mounted, and recovery would have been delayed if not denied, thereby reducing the benefits of an ultimate victory. Plaintiff and Class Counsel believe that the Settlement confers substantial monetary and non-monetary benefits upon the Class. Based upon their evaluation, Class Representative and Class Counsel have

determined that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is in the best interest of the Class, is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be granted final approval.

F. The Gross Settlement Amount is Fair and Reasonable.

31. The Gross Settlement Amount of $265,000.00 in this case is fair and well within the range of recognized reasonableness. As detailed at the time of preliminary approval, the Gross Settlement Amount is fair and reasonable considering the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, Defendant's financial condition, and the various potential impediments to recovery. Class Counsel developed varied economic damages models measuring Defendant's liability exposure while accounting for the uncertainties of continued litigation. The various models were informed by Class Counsel's investigation, including the analysis of a significant sample of time and payroll data. As detailed in greater depth during the preliminary approval process, Class Counsel projected a range of Defendant's liability exposure for the claims asserted on behalf of the Settlement Class. The Gross Settlement Amount represents between approximately 12.65% of Defendant's maximum class-wide liability and approximately 50.31% of Defendant's *class-wide* liability exposure as estimated by Class Counsel at the time of mediation.

32. Clearly the goal of this litigation to obtain redress for the class has been met by this Settlement. Given the amount of the Settlement, the risks of litigation and the arm's length negotiations between experienced counsel, the settlement is entitled to final approval.

VI. THE PENALTIES UNDER THE PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL ACT OF 2004 ARE REASONABLE

33. Here, Plaintiff and Defendant negotiated a good faith PAGA Payment in the amount of $10,000.00 which is 3.8% of the Gross Settlement Amount. This amount falls well within the range of approved PAGA Payment. Seventy-Five percent (75%) of the PAGA Payment ($7,500.00) will be paid to the LWDA ("LWDA Payment") with the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the PAGA Payment ($2,500.00) to be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees, which is within the range of PAGA Payment approved by courts and should be approved.

34. Further, on October 9, 2024, Class Counsel gave the LWDA notice of this Settlement and proposed allocation by filing a copy of the Settlement and the Motion for Preliminary Approval with

the LWDA. On July 22, 2025, Plaintiff gave the LWDA notice of this motion seeking final approval of this settlement.

VII. CLASS COUNSEL'S REQUEST FOR FEES AND COSTS

A. LODESTAR

35. As of July 16, 2025, the JCL Law Firm, APC incurred a total lodestar of $58,420.00 for 74.6 hours worked by attorneys and staff successfully litigating this matter. I have reviewed my firm's lodestar in this matter and believe the charges are reasonable and were reasonably necessary to the conduct of the case.

36. Additionally, the attorneys and staff at co-counsel the Zakay Law Group, APLC, worked a total of 76.9 hours successfully prosecuting this action for a lodestar of $51,965.00. See Declaration of Shani Zakay, Esq. filed concurrently herewith.

37. Collectively, Class Counsel spent a total of 151.5 hours successfully litigating this matter for a total combined lodestar of $110,385.00 and is summarized by firm below:

FIRM	Hours	Total
JCL Law Firm, APC.	74.6	$58,420.00
Zakay Law Group, APLC	76.9	$51,965.00
Total	151.5	$110,385.00

38. The attorneys and staff at the JCL Law Firm, APC, have been providing extensive class action services throughout state and federal courts in California for more than seventeen years. Since its founding, the JCL Law Firm, APC, has recovered more than $100 million dollars for its clients.

39. Further, Class Counsel will be performing additional work, including finalizing the motion for final approval, attending the hearing on final approval, finalizing the orders and judgment, drafting a joint motion to dismiss the related federal court action, and monitoring completion of the settlement process over the course of several years.

40. As part of the settlement, the parties agreed to award Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Expenses is an amount of up to **$113,333.33**, comprised of $88,333.33 for attorneys' fees (one-

third of the Gross Settlement Amount) and up to $25,000.00 for reimbursement of actually incurred litigation expenses. The negotiated Attorneys' Fees, which equals one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, is fair compensation and, respectfully, should be approved. Class Counsel undertook complex, risky, and time-consuming wage and hour litigation on a contingent basis. Further, the negotiated Attorneys' Fees are within the historically recognized range attorneys' fees awarded in common fund class action settlements – between 25% and 50% – and is supported by Class Counsel's lodestar cross-check with a negative multiplier of 0.80. That is below the 2.13 multiplier approved by the Supreme Court in *Laffitte v. Robert Half International, Inc.* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480, with additional work to be performed, and well below the recognized multiplier range of between 2 and 4. Thus, the reasonableness of the requested Attorneys' Fees is confirmed by reference to the lodestar with a negative multiplier with significant additional work still to be performed.

B. THE REQUESTED CLASS COUNSEL AWARD IS FAIR AND REASONABLE

41. The Agreement provides for payment of Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees from the common fund. Accordingly, it is well within the Court's discretion to award fees to Class Counsel under the common fund doctrine. Class Counsel requests attorneys' fees in the amount of $88,333.33 representing one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount. Class Counsel's request is consistent with many fee awards in similar wage and hour class actions. *Rodriguez v. RSI*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2023354 (Hon. David Cohn approved Class Counsel's 33.3% attorneys' fee request in a $1,450,000 common fund wage and hour class action settlement, which equated to Class Counsel's lodestar plus a 1.87 multiplier); *Salazar v. Frontier Auto Sales Inc., dba Frontier Toyota*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV20382 (Hon. John P. Doyle approved Class Counsel's 33.3% attorneys' fee request plus $15,000 in litigation costs in a $242,500 class action settlement); *Hill v. Stemak Holdings, Ltd.,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00000747 (Hon. Kenneth Medel approved Class Counsel's 33.3% attorneys' fee request plus $17,500 in actually incurred litigation costs in a $386,500 common fund wage and hour class action settlement.); *Shachno et al., v. KT Hotels, LLC, et al,* San Diego Superior Case No. 37-2018-0043601-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Gregory W. Pollack approved Class Counsel's 33.3% attorney fee request plus actually incurred litigation costs exceeding $20,000 in a $500,000 common fund wage and hour class action settlement.); *Moschetto v. Pillow*

Global, Inc., San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00024031-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. John S. Meyer, approved Class Counsel's 33.3% attorney fee request plus actually incurred litigation costs exceeding $15,000 in a $250,000 common fund wage and hour class action settlement.); *Terrado et al., v. Accredited Debt Relief, LLC,* San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00014181-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Gregory W. Pollack, awarded Class Counsel 33.3% fee request and $16,000 in litigation costs in a $2 million common fund settlement, which equated to Class Counsel's lodestar plus at 1.62 multiplier.); *Macaspac v. San Antonio Regional Hospital*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1512625, (Hon. Thomas Garza awarded Class Counsel 33.3% fee request and more than $17,000 in litigation costs on a $1.75 million common fund wage and hour settlement, which equated to Class Counsel's lodestar plus a 1.67 multiplier.); *Hernandez v. Sunglass Hut Trading, LLC,* San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1505181 (Hon. Bryan F. Foster awarded Class Counsel 33.3% fee request and $22,632.93 in litigation costs and expenses on a $1.8 million settlement, which equated at Class Counsel's lodestar plus a 1.89 multiplier.); *Engstrom v. Bender-Rosenthal*, Inc. San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2016-00012373-CU-OE-CTL (Hon. Joel Wohfeil approved Class Counsel's 33.3% on a $360,000 common fund wage and hour class action settlement, which equated to Class Counsel's lodestar plus a 1.2 multiplier.). Moreover, the requested attorneys' fee award is in the middle of historically recognized range of attorneys' fees awarded in common fund settlements.

42. Because the Settlement in this case is a pure common fund case, and the percentage of the fund is the comparable marketplace for fee awards in common fund cases, *Laffitte* supports an award based upon the percentage of the common fund. Moreover, Class Counsel's lodestar supports the requested attorneys' fees with a multiplier well within the recognized range reasonableness, and in fact, below what the California Supreme Court approved in *Laffitte*.

C. <u>Class Counsel's Costs</u>

43. All of Class Counsel's out-of-pocket expenses are the types of expenses normally charged to paying clients including the following: filing fees; copying; postage; factual; mediation fees; and expert costs, which were all incurred during, and were necessary for the successful prosecution of, the litigation and, therefore, should be reimbursed.

/ / /

D. Plaintiff's Service Award Is Reasonable and Should be Approved

44. The amount of the requested Service Award to Plaintiff for $10,000 is reasonable by reference to the amounts that California courts (both federal and state) have repeatedly awarded in similar class action settlements. *See Baker v. LA. Fitness Int'l, LLC,* No. BC438654 (L.A. County Super. Ct. Dec. 12, 2012) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payments to three of the named plaintiffs); *Blue v. Codwell Banker Residential Brokerage Co.,* No. BC417335 (L.A. County Super. Ct Mar. 21, 2011) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Buckmire v. Jo-Ann Stores, Inc.,* No. BC394795 (L.A. County Super. Ct. June 11, 2010) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payments to the named plaintiff); *Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc.,* No. BC429042 (LA. County Super. Ct. Oct. 3, 2013) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Ethridge v. Universal Health Services, Inc.,* No. BC391958 (L.A. County Super. Ct. May 27, 2011) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Hickson v. South Coast Auto Insurance Marketing, Inc.,* No. BC390395 (L.A. County Super. Ct. Mar. 27, 2012) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Hill v. Sunglass Hut Int'l, Inc,* No. BC422934 (LA. County Super. Ct. July 2, 2012) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payments to the named plaintiffs); *Kabamba v. Victoria's Secret Stores. LLC,* No. BC368528 (L.A. County Super. Ct. Aug. 19, 2011) (awarding enhancement payments of $ 10,000 to the named plaintiffs along with additional compensation for their general release of claims); *Magee v. American Residential Services, LLC,* No. BC423798 (LA. County Super. Ct Apr. 21, 2011) (awarding $15,000 enhancement payment); *Mares v. BFS Retail & Commercial Operations, LLC,* No. BC375967 (L.A. County Super. Ct. June 24, 2010) (awarding $15,000 enhancement payments to the named plaintiffs); *Nevarez v. Trader Joe's Co.,* No. BC373910 (LA. County Super. Ct. Jan. 29, 2010) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Ordaz v. Rose Hills Mortuary, LP.,* No. BC386500 (LA. County Super. Ct. Mar. 19, 2010) (awarding 10,000 enhancement payments to the named plaintiffs); *Sheldon v. AHMC Monterey Park Hospital LP,* No. BC440282 (L.A. County Super. Ct. Feb. 22, 2013) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Silva v. Catholic Moray Services, Inc.,* No. BC408054 (L.A. County Super. Ct. Feb. 8, 2011) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payments to the named plaintiffs); *Weisbarth v. Banc West Investment Services, Inc,* No. BC422202 (L.A. County Super. Ct. May 24, 2013) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Zamora v. Balboa Life & Casualty LLC,* No. BC360026 (LA. County Super. Ct. Mar. 7, 2013) (awarding $25,000

enhancement payments to the named plaintiffs); *Acheson v. Express, LLC, No.* 109CV135335 (Santa Clara County Super. Ct. Sep. 13, 2011) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Aguiar v. Cinguar Wireless. LLC,* No. CV 06-8197 DDP (AJWx) (C.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2011) (awarding $14,767 enhancement payment); *Bejarano v. Amerisave Mortgage Corp.,* No. EDCV 08-00599 SGL (Opx) (C.D. Cal. June 22, 2010) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Carbajal v. Sally Beauty Supply LLC,* No. CIVVS 1004307 (San Bernardino Super. Ct. Aug. 6, 2012) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payments to the named Plaintiffs); *Contreras v. Serco Inc.,* No. 10-cv-04526-CAS-JEMx (CD. Cal. Sep. 10, 2012) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Guerrero v. R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co.,* No. RIC 10005196 (Riverside Super. Ct July 16, 2013) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Kisliuk v. ADT Security Services, Inc.,* No. CV08-0324 DSF (RZx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2011) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); *Morales v. BCBG Maxaria Int'l Holdings, Inc.,* No. JCCP 4582 (Orange County Super. Ct Jan. 24, 2013) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment); and *Barrett v. Doyon Security Services, LLC,* Nos. BS900199, BS900517 (San Bernardino County Super. Ct. Apr. 23, 2010) (awarding $10,000 enhancement payment).

45. The Class Representative performed her duties to the Settlement Class admirably. Plaintiff's work is summarized in her declaration filed concurrently herewith. Plaintiff assumed the serious risk of liability for Defendant's costs and potentially fees if the case was unsuccessful. Nevertheless, Plaintiff knowingly and voluntarily undertook the risk to vindicate the rights of the Settlement Class.

46. Further, after administering notice of this settlement, not one of the 231 Class Members objected to the requested Service Award. Again, the unanimous consent favors the Court's approval of the requested Service Award.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22nd day of July 2025, at San Diego, California.

JCL LAW FIRM, APC

By: _____
Jean-Claude Lapuyade
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Monnett De La Torre (State Bar #272884)
Andrea A. Amaya Silva (State Bar #348080)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
mdelatorre@jcl-lawfirm.com
aamaya@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
JANENE CARACAUS

[*Additional Parties on Next Page]*

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

JANENE CARACAUS, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL

[Action Filed May 31, 2022]

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

AKERMAN LLP
MISHELL PARRENO TAYLOR (SBN 256850)
mishell.taylor@akerman.com
MOJAN ANARI (SBN 298865)
mojan.anari@akerman.com
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 6400
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 688-9500
Facsimile: (213) 627-6342

Attorneys for Defendant
DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE, INC.

This Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action and Release of Claims is entered into by Plaintiff JANENE J. CARACAUS ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class, and in her individual and representative capacity on behalf of the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees, and Defendant DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC., a California corporation ("Defendant"):

I. DEFINITIONS

A. "Action" shall mean the putative class action lawsuit designated *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL, filed May 31, 2022.

B. "Administration Costs" shall mean the amount paid to the Settlement Administrator from the Gross Settlement Amount for administering the Settlement pursuant to this Agreement currently estimated not to exceed Five Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00).

C. "Aggrieved Employees" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the period of March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024, (the "PAGA Period").

D. "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement" means this Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action and Release of Claims.

E. "Attorneys' Expenses" means the award of expenses that the Court authorizes to be paid to Class Counsel for the expenses they have incurred of up to Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($25,000.00).

F. "Attorneys' Fees" means the award of fees that the Court authorizes to be paid to Class Counsel for the services they have rendered to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in the Action, currently not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount currently estimated to be Eighty Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($88,333.33) out of Two Hundred Sixty Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00). Attorneys' fees will be divided equally between Class Counsel as follows (50% to JCL Law Firm, APC, and 50% to Zakay Law Group, APLC).

G. "Class Counsel" shall mean Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of JCL Law Firm, APC and Shani Zakay of Zakay Law Group, APLC.

H. "Class Data" means information regarding Class Members currently in Defendant's possession. It shall be formatted as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and shall include: each Class Member's last known full name; last known mailing address; Social Security Number; start dates and end dates of employment and Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.

I. "Class Period" means the period between May 31, 2018, through August 1, 2024.

J. "Class Representative" shall mean Janene J. Caracaus.

K. "Court" means the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego currently presiding over the Action.

L. "Defendant" shall mean Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., a California corporation.

M. "Effective Date" means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b) the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences: (a) if no participating Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters Judgment; (b) if one or more participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the day after the deadline for filing a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal from the Judgment is filed, the day after the appellate court affirms the Judgment and issues a remittitur.

N. "Final Approval" means the Court's order granting final approval of the Settlement.

O. "Final Approval Hearing" means the Court's hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement.

P. "Funding Date" shall mean the date the Gross Settlement Amount is fully funded by Defendant in accord with the terms of this Agreement.

Q. "Gross Settlement Amount" means Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00) for payment of all claims, including payment of Settlement Administration Expenses; Attorneys' Fees; Attorneys' Expenses; Service Award(s), the PAGA Payment and all Class Member Settlement Payments. The Gross

Settlement Amount shall be all-in with no reversion to Defendant. The employer's share of payroll taxes shall not be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount and shall remain the sole responsibility of Defendant. Under no condition will Defendant be required to pay more than the Gross Settlement Amount, except as provided in Section III(A)(2) below. Any amounts not approved by the Court shall be added to the Net Settlement Amount to be distributed to Settlement Class Members and shall not be grounds to object to or terminate the Settlement.

R. "Individual Settlement Payments" means the amount payable from the Net Settlement Amount to each Settlement Class Member and excludes any amounts distributed to Aggrieved Employees pursuant to PAGA.

S. "Judgment" means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.

T. "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" means the Gross Settlement Amount, less Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, the Service Award, PAGA Payment, and Administration Costs.

U. "Notice Packet" means the Class Notice to be provided to the Class Members by the Settlement Administrator in the form set forth as **Exhibit A** to this Agreement (other than formatting changes to facilitate printing by the Settlement Administrator).

V. "Operative Complaint" shall mean the Conformed Complaint filed in San Diego County Superior Court by Plaintiff on May 31, 2022.

W. "PAGA" means the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

X. "PAGA Payment Ratio" means the respective Pay Periods during the PAGA Period for each Aggrieved Employee divided by the total of the Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.

Y. "PAGA Pay Periods" for purposes of calculating the distribution of the Aggrieved Employee Payment, as defined herein, means the number of pay periods of employment during the PAGA Period that each Aggrieved Employee worked in California.

Z. "PAGA Period" means the period beginning between March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024.

AA. "PAGA Payment" shall mean Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) to be allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount, with Twenty-Five Percent (25%) of the payment going to the Aggrieved Employees ("Aggrieved Employee Payment") and Seventy-Five Percent (75%) of the payment going to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA Payment"). The amount of the PAGA Penalties is subject to Court approval pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699(l). Any reallocation of the Gross Settlement Amount to increase the PAGA Penalties will not constitute grounds by either party to void this Agreement, so long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains the same.

BB. "Parties" means Plaintiff and Defendant, collectively, and "Party" shall mean either Plaintiff or Defendant.

CC. "Payment Ratio" means the respective Workweeks for each Class Member divided by the total Workweeks for all Class Members.

DD. "Plaintiff" shall mean Janene J. Caracaus.

EE. "Preliminary Approval" means the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.

FF. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Class and PAGA Settlement.

GG. "QSF" means the Qualified Settlement Fund established, designated, and maintained by the Settlement Administrator to fund the Gross Settlement Amount.

HH. "Released Class Claims" means all claims alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged, in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL Action, including (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to

Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq*.); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*), which occurred during the Class Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, worker's compensation, wrongful termination and class claims outside of the Class Period.

II. "Released PAGA Claims" means all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL Action and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA, including (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq*.); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq*.); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*), which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, wrongful termination, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

JJ. "Released Parties" shall mean Defendant and each of its former and present parent entities, subsidiaries, "Affiliates", divisions, predecessors, insurers, successors and assigns and their current and former employees, directors, officers, shareholders, owners, members, agents, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns,

subsidiaries. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Affiliates" means all persons and entities directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with each Defendant where control may be by management authority, equity interest or otherwise. The term "Affiliates" as defined herein, also specifically includes predecessors of any of the foregoing persons and entities.

KK. "Opt Out / Objection Period" means the forty-five (45) days from the date the notice is first mailed to Class members in which they can object to or exclude themselves from the settlement.

LL. "Service Award" means an award in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) or in an amount that the Court authorizes to be paid to Plaintiff, in addition to her Individual Settlement Payment and her Individual Aggrieved Employee Payment, in recognition of her efforts and risks in assisting with the prosecution of the Action. Plaintiff assumes full responsibility and liability for any and all taxes owed on her Individual Service Award. In exchange for his service award, Plaintiff will enter into a complete Section 1542 release and waiver of all claims known and unknown, suspected or unsuspected as part of the long-form class and PAGA settlement agreement.

MM. "Settlement" means the disposition of the Action pursuant to this Agreement and the Judgment.

NN. "Settlement Administrator" means APEX Class Action LLC, 18 Technology Drive, Ste. 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel: 1-800-355-0700; Fax: 1-949-878-3536. The Settlement Administrator establishes, designates, and maintains, a QSF under Internal Revenue Code section 468B and Treasury Regulation section 1.468B-1, into which the amount of the Gross Settlement Amount is deposited for the purpose of resolving the claims of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain the funds until distribution in an account(s) segregated from the assets of Defendant and any person related to Defendant. ***All accrued interest shall be paid and distributed to the Settlement Class Members as part of their respective Individual Settlement Payment.***

OO. "Settlement Class Members" or "Settlement Class" means all Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid request for exclusion as provided in this Agreement.

PP. "Workweek" means any week during which a Class Member worked for Defendant at least one day, during the Class Period in California.

II. RECITALS

A. On March 25, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed a Notice of Violations with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) and served the same on Defendant.

B. On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed the original Complaint, alleging claims for: (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq.*); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*).

C. The Class Representative believes she has claims based on alleged violations of the California Labor Code, and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and that class certification is appropriate because the prerequisites for class certification can be satisfied in the Action, and this action is manageable as a PAGA representative action.

D. Defendant denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the Class Representatives are owed, and further contends that, for any purpose other than settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment.

Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times it complied with the California Labor Code and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders.

E. The Class Representative is represented by Class Counsel. Class Counsel investigated the facts relevant to the Action, including conducting an independent investigation as to the allegations, reviewing documents and information exchanged through informal discovery, and reviewing documents and information provided by Defendant pursuant to informal requests for information to prepare for mediation. Defendant produced for the purpose of settlement negotiations certain employment data concerning the Settlement Class, which Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed with the assistance of an expert. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion that the Settlement with Defendant is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class considering all known facts and circumstances, including the risks of significant delay, defenses asserted by Defendant, uncertainties regarding class certification, and numerous potential appellate issues. Although it denies any liability, Defendant agrees to this Settlement solely to avoid the inconveniences and cost of further litigation. The Parties and their counsel have agreed to settle the claims on the terms set forth in this Agreement.

F. On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in mediation presided over by the Honorable Steven R. Denton (Ret.), an experienced mediator of wage and hour class and PAGA actions. The mediation did not conclude with a settlement, however, the Parties continued settlement negotiations until an agreement was reached which was subsequently memorialized in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding.

G. This Agreement replaces and supersedes the Memorandum of Understanding and any other agreements, understandings, or representations between the Parties. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or will be construed as an admission by Defendant that the claims in the Action of Plaintiff or the Class Members have merit or that Defendant bears any liability to Plaintiff or the Class Members on those claims

or any other claims, or as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendant's defenses in the Action have merit.

H. The Parties believe that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate. The Settlement was arrived at through arm's-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors. The Parties recognize the uncertainty, risk, expense and delay attendant to continuing the Action through trial and any appeal. Accordingly, the Parties desire to settle, compromise and discharge all disputes and claims arising from or relating to the Action fully, finally, and forever.

I. The Parties agree to certification of the Class for purposes of this Settlement only. If for any reason the Court does not grant Preliminary Approval, Final Approval or enter Judgment, such that the Settlement does not become effective, Defendant reserves the right to contest certification of any class for any reason and Defendant reserves all available defenses to the claims in the Action.

Based on these Recitals that are a part of this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:

III. TERMS OF AGREEMENT

A. Settlement Consideration and Settlement Payments by Defendant.

1. Settlement Consideration. In full and complete settlement of the Action, and in exchange for the releases set forth below, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount of Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00). The Parties agree that this is a non-reversionary Settlement and that no portion of the Gross Settlement Amount shall revert to Defendants. Other than Defendant's share of employer payroll taxes and as provided in Section III(A)2 below, Defendant shall not be required to pay more than the Gross Settlement Amount.

2. Class Data. Defendant represented that as of February 16, 2024, the Settlement Class was comprised of 113 Class Members who worked approximately 6,547 Workweeks ("Workweeks") during the Class Period. The Parties acknowledge and agree that this data (and other information provided by Defendant for purposes of mediation) was relied on to extrapolate

and reach an arms-length negotiation of Plaintiff's claims in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* Action through the Class Period. Defendant will provide a declaration under penalty of perjury confirming the number of applicable Class Members and workweeks they worked during the applicable Class Period one week prior to Plaintiff's deadline to file their motion for preliminary approval of the settlement. Should the number of Workweeks increase by more than 10% of what was represented during the Class Period, the Gross Settlement Amount will increase proportionally for the number of Workweeks over 110% of 6,547, for example, if the total workweeks in the Class Period are 115% of 6,547, the Gross Settlement Amount shall increase by 5%. If the total number of workweeks in the Class Period exceeds 20%, the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether Defendant shall either pay the pro rata increase or adjust the closing data of the Class Period to an earlier date that does not trigger the pro rata increase.

3. Workweek Calculation: The Settlement Administrator will calculate the number of Workweeks worked by Class Members during the Class Period and the amount to be paid to Class Members per Workweek based on information to be provided by Defendant. The Workweeks will be calculated based on Defendant's Workweek data and will be presumed to be correct unless a particular Class Member timely proves otherwise to the Settlement Administrator by credible written evidence. All Workweek disputes will be resolved and decided by the Settlement Administrator, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on all Workweek disputes will be final and non-appealable.

4. Payments. Defendant will pay to the Settlement Administrator the Gross Settlement Amount, including payment for all claims, payment of Settlement Administration Expenses, Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses, Service Award, and PAGA Payment in 18 equal monthly installments (each payment

of $14,722.22) with the initial payment due on Effective Date and every payment thereafter will be due on the 1st of each month.

5. Defendant's Share of Payroll Taxes. Defendant's share of employer side payroll taxes is in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount and shall be paid together with the Gross Settlement Amount on the Funding Date.

B. Release by Settlement Class Members. Effective as of the Funding Date, in exchange for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, release and discharge the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims for the Class Period.

C. Release by the Aggrieved Employees. Effective as of the Funding Date, in exchange for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, the Plaintiff, the LWDA and the State of California release and discharge the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims for the PAGA Period. As a result of this release, the Aggrieved Employees on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, shall be precluded from bringing claims against Defendant for the Released PAGA Claims.

D. General Release by Plaintiff. In addition to the Settlement Class Members' Released Claims and the Released PAGA Claims, effective as of the Funding Date, for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, including without limitation the Service Award, Plaintiff on behalf of herself and her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, waive, release, acquit and forever discharge the Released Parties from any and all claims, whether known or unknown, which exist or may exist on any of the Plaintiff's behalf as of the date of this Agreement, including without limitation any claims relating to or arising from any aspect of her respective employment, or termination of employment with Defendant, any and all tort claims, contract claims, wage claims, wrongful termination claims, disability claims, benefit claims, public policy claims, retaliation

claims, statutory claims, personal injury claims, emotional distress claims, invasion of privacy claims, defamation claims, fraud claims, quantum meruit claims, and any and all claims arising under any federal, state or other governmental statute, law, regulation or ordinance, including, without limitation claims for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, the California Labor Code, the Wage Orders of California's Industrial Welfare Commission, other state wage and hour laws, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), the Older Workers Benefits Protection Act ("OWBPA"), The Older Worker's Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN"), 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.,* the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"), the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act ("PWFA"), the the Federal and California Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Family Rights Act, the Family Medical Leave Act as amended ("FMLA"), California's Whistleblower Protection Act, the Reconstruction Era Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 USC §§ 1981-86, as amended, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") (other than claims with regard to vested benefits), Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA"), the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA"), the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act ("GINA"), the Families First Coronavirus Relief Act ("FFCRA"), the Immigration Reform and Control Act, the Corporate and Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002, the Private Attorneys General Act, the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq., ., California Unruh Civil Rights Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 51 *et seq*., Statutory Provisions Regarding the Confidentiality of AIDS Information – Cal. Health & Safety Code § 120775 *et seq*., California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 56 *et seq*., California Parental Leave Law – Cal. Lab. Code § 230.7 *et seq*., California Military Personnel Bias Law – Cal. Mil. & Vet. Code § 394, The California Occupational

Safety and Health Act, as amended, and any applicable regulations thereunder, the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 1785 *et seq*., California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 1786 *et seq*., the California Consumer Privacy Act, the California Privacy Rights Act, those provisions of the California Labor Code that lawfully may be released, and any and all claims arising under any federal, state, local, or other governmental statute, common law, regulation or ordinance: any and all waivable claims for unpaid wages under any other state or local law; any and all waivable rights under the California Constitution; any and all claims for damages of any kind whatsoever, including without limitation compensatory, punitive, treble, liquidated and/or consequential damages; any and all claims under any contract, whether express or implied, conversion, promissory estoppel; any and all claims for unintentional or intentional torts, invasion of privacy, defamation, slander, libel, assault, battery, false imprisonment, emotional distress and pain and suffering; any and all claims for violation of any statutory or administrative rules, regulations, ordinances or codes; and any and all claims for attorneys' fees, paralegals' fees, costs, disbursements, wages, leave, bonuses, benefits, vacation and/or the like. Plaintiff also waives and relinquishes any and all claims, rights or benefits that she may have under California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of section 1542, and to implement a full and complete release and discharge of the Released Parties, Plaintiff expressly acknowledges this Settlement Agreement is intended to include in its effect, without limitation, all claims Plaintiff does not know or suspect to exist in Plaintiff's favor at

the time of signing this Settlement Agreement, and that this Settlement Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims. Plaintiff warrants that Plaintiff has read this Settlement Agreement, including this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542, and that Plaintiff has consulted with or had the opportunity to consult with counsel of Plaintiff's choosing about this Settlement Agreement and specifically about the waiver of section 1542, and that Plaintiff understands this Settlement Agreement and the section 1542 waiver, and so Plaintiff freely and knowingly enters into this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff further acknowledges that Plaintiff later may discover facts different from or in addition to those Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true regarding the matters released or described in this Settlement Agreement, and even so Plaintiff agrees that the releases and agreements contained in this Settlement Agreement shall remain effective in all respects notwithstanding any later discovery of any different or additional facts. Plaintiff expressly assumes any and all risk of any mistake in connection with the true facts involved in the matters, disputes, or controversies released or described in this Settlement Agreement or with regard to any facts now unknown to Plaintiff relating thereto.

E. Conditions Precedent: This Settlement will become final and effective only upon the occurrence of all of the following events:

1. The Court enters the Preliminary Approval Order;
2. The Court enters an Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement and a Judgment;
3. If an objector appears at the Final Approval Hearing, the time for appeal of the Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement expires; or, if an appeal is timely filed, there is a final resolution of any appeal from the Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; and
4. Defendant fully funds the Gross Settlement Amount.

F. Nullification of Settlement Agreement. If this Settlement Agreement is not preliminarily or finally approved by the Court and/or the LWDA, or if the appellate

court fails to approve the Settlement, or if the Settlement Agreement is otherwise terminated, fails to become effective, or is reversed, withdrawn or modified by the Court or an appellate court, or in any way prevents or prohibits Defendant from obtaining a complete resolution of the Released Class Claims, the PAGA Released Claims and/or the claims released by Plaintiff, or if Defendant fails to fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount:

1. This Settlement Agreement shall be void *ab initio* and of no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or procedural, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions prior to entering into the Settlement Agreement, and no party shall be bound by any of the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including Defendant's obligation to make payments to the Settlement Class Members, the Settlement Administrator, the LWDA, Plaintiff or Class Counsel;
2. The conditional class certifications (obtained for any purpose) shall be void *ab initio* and of no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or procedural;
3. None of the Parties to this Settlement will be deemed to have waived any claims, objections, defenses or arguments in the Action, including with respect to the issue of class certification;
4. Defendant shall bear the sole responsibility for any reasonable cost to issue or reissue any curative notice to the Settlement Class Members and all reasonable Settlement Administration Costs incurred to the date of nullification.

G. Tax Liability. The Parties make no representations as to the tax treatment or legal effect of the payments called for, and Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not relying on any statement or representation by the Parties in this regard. Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees understand and agree that they will be responsible for the payment of any taxes and penalties assessed on the Individual Settlement Payments

and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment described and will be solely responsible for any penalties or other obligations resulting from their personal tax reporting of Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

H. Circular 230 Disclaimer. Each Party to this Agreement (for purposes of this section, the "acknowledging party" and each Party to this Agreement other than the acknowledging party, an "other party") acknowledges and agrees that: (1) no provision of this Agreement, and no written communication or disclosure between or among the Parties or their attorneys and other advisers, is or was intended to be, nor shall any such communication or disclosure constitute or be construed or be relied upon as, tax advice within the meaning of United States Treasury Department circular 230 (31 CFR part 10, as amended); (2) the acknowledging party (a) has relied exclusively upon his, her or its own, independent legal and tax counsel for advice (including tax advice) in connection with this Agreement, (b) has not entered into this Agreement based upon the recommendation of any other Party or any attorney or advisor to any other Party, and (c) is not entitled to rely upon any communication or disclosure by any attorney or adviser to any other party to avoid any tax penalty that may be imposed on the acknowledging party, and (3) no attorney or adviser to any other Party has imposed any limitation that protects the confidentiality of any such attorney's or adviser's tax strategies (regardless of whether such limitation is legally binding) upon disclosure by the acknowledging party of the tax treatment or tax structure of any transaction, including any transaction contemplated by this Agreement.

I. Preliminary Approval Motion. Class Counsel shall draft and file the motion for preliminary approval within ninety (90) days or within the statutory timeframe as determined by the Court's setting of the preliminary approval hearing, which shall include this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff will provide Defendant with a draft of the Motion at least 3 business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion.

J. Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for: establishing and administering the QSF; calculating, processing and mailing payments to the Class Representative, Class Counsel, LWDA and Class Members; printing and mailing the Notice Packets to the Class Members as directed by the Court; receiving and reporting the objections and requests for exclusion; calculating, deducting and remitting all legally required taxes from Individual Settlement Payments and distributing tax forms for the Wage Portion, the Penalties Portion and the Interest Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment; processing and mailing tax payments to the appropriate state and federal taxing authorities; providing declaration(s) as necessary in support of preliminary and/or final approval of this Settlement; and other tasks as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the Settlement Administrator to perform. The Settlement Administrator shall keep the Parties timely apprised of the performance of all Settlement Administrator responsibilities by among other things, sending a weekly status report to the Parties' counsel stating the date of the mailing, the of number of Elections Not to Participate in Settlement it receives (including the numbers of valid and deficient), and number of objections received. The Settlement Administrator shall provide Defendant through their counsel of record, a written verification of its operative procedures for protecting the security of Class Data, the amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance and the name(s) of the insurance companies providing the foregoing insurance coverage.

K. Notice Procedure.

1. Class Data. No later than fifteen (15) business days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Data for purposes of preparing and mailing Notice Packets to the Class Members.

2. Notice Packets.

a) The Notice Packet shall contain the Notice of Class Action Settlement in a form substantially similar to the form attached as **Exhibit A**. The Notice of Class Action Settlement shall inform Class Members and Aggrieved Employees that they need not do anything in order to receive an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment and to keep the Settlement Administrator apprised of their current mailing address, to which the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employment Payment will be mailed following the Funding Date. The Notice of Class Action Settlement shall set forth the release to be given by all members of the Class who do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class and/or Aggrieved Employees in exchange for an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employment Payment, the number of Workweeks worked by each Class Member during the Class Period and PAGA Period, if any, and the estimated amount of their Individual Settlement Payment if they do not request to be excluded from the Settlement and each Aggrieved Employees' share of the Aggrieved Employment Payment, if any. The Settlement Administrator shall use the Class Data to determine Class Members' Workweeks and PAGA Workweeks. The Notice will also advise the Aggrieved Employees that they will release the Released PAGA Claims and will receive their share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment regardless of whether they request to be excluded from the Settlement.

b) The Notice Packet's mailing envelope shall include the following language: "IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENT - YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE IN A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; A PROMPT REPLY TO CORRECT YOUR

ADDRESS IS REQUIRED AS EXPLAINED IN THE ENCLOSED NOTICE."

3. Notice by First Class U.S. Mail. Upon receipt of the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator will perform a search based on the National Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable address changes. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after preliminary approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall mail copies of the Notice Packet to all Class Members via regular First-Class U.S. Mail. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member. The address identified by the Settlement Administrator as the current mailing address shall be presumed to be the best mailing address for each Class Member.

4. Undeliverable Notices. Any Notice Packets returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered on or before the Response Deadline shall be re-mailed to any forwarding address provided. If no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a correct address by lawful use of skip-tracing, or other search using the name, address and/or Social Security number of the Class Member involved, and shall then perform a re-mailing, if another mailing address is identified by the Settlement Administrator. In addition, if any Notice Packets, which are addressed to Class Members who are currently employed by Defendant, are returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered and no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall notify Defendant. Defendant will request that the currently employed Class Member provide a corrected address and transmit to the Settlement Administrator any corrected address provided by the Class Member. Class Members who received a re-mailed Notice Packet shall have their Response Deadline extended fifteen (15) days from the original Response Deadline.

5. Disputes Regarding Individual Settlement Payments. Class Members will have the opportunity, should they disagree with Defendant's records regarding the start and end dates of employment to provide documentation and/or an explanation to show contrary dates. If there is a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will consult with the Parties to determine whether an adjustment is warranted. The Settlement Administrator shall determine the eligibility for, and the amounts of, any Individual Settlement Payments under the terms of this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator's determination of the eligibility for and amount of any Individual Settlement Payment shall be binding upon the Class Member and the Parties.

6. Disputes Regarding Administration of Settlement. Any disputes not resolved by the Settlement Administrator concerning the administration of the Settlement will be resolved by the Court under the laws of the State of California. Before any such involvement of the Court, counsel for the Parties will confer in good faith to resolve the disputes without the necessity of involving the Court.

7. Exclusions. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement must submit a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline. The written request for exclusion must state that the Class Member wishes to exclude himself or herself from the Settlement and (1) must contain the name, address, and the last four digits of the Social Security number of the person requesting exclusion; (2) must be signed by the Class Member; (3) must be postmarked or fax stamped by the Response Deadline and returned to the Settlement Administrator at the specified address or fax telephone number; and (4) contain a typewritten or handwritten notice stating in substance that he or she wishes to be excluded from the settlement of the class action lawsuit entitled *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, currently pending in Superior Court of San Diego,

Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL. The request for exclusion will not be valid if it is not timely submitted, if it is not signed by the Class Member, or if it does not contain the name and address and last four digits of the Social Security number of the Class Member. The date of the postmark on the mailing envelope or fax stamp on the request for exclusion shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether the request for exclusion was timely submitted. Any Class Member who submits a timely request for exclusion shall be excluded from the Settlement Class, will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment and will not be otherwise bound by the terms of the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. However, any Class Member that submits a timely request for exclusion that is also a member of the Aggrieved Employees will still receive his/her pro rata share of the PAGA Settlement, as specified below, and in consideration, will be bound by the Release by the Aggrieved Employees as set forth herein. Settlement Class Members who fail to submit a valid and timely request for exclusion on or before the Response Deadline shall be bound by all terms of the Settlement and any final judgment entered in this Action if the Settlement is approved by the Court. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a final list of the Class Members who have timely submitted timely requests for exclusion. At no time shall any of the Parties or their counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage members of the Class to submit requests for exclusion from the Settlement.

8. Objections. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement of objection ("Notice of Objection") by the Response Deadline. The postmark date of mailing shall be deemed the exclusive means for determining that a Notice of Objection was served timely. The Notice of Objection, if in writing, must be

signed by the Settlement Class Member and state: (1) the case name and number; (2) the name of the Settlement Class Member; (3) the address of the Settlement Class Member; (4) the last four digits of the Settlement Class Member's Social Security number; (5) the basis for the objection; and (6) if the Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing. Class Members who fail to make objections in writing in the manner specified above may still make their objections orally at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing with the Court's permission. Settlement Class Members will have a right to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to have their objections heard by the Court regardless of whether they submitted a written objection. At no time shall any of the Parties or their counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage Class Members to file or serve written objections to the Settlement or appeal from the Order and Final Judgment. Class Members who submit a written request for exclusion may not object to the Settlement. Class Members may not object to the PAGA Penalties.

L. Funding and Allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant is required to pay the Gross Settlement Amount plus any employer's share of payroll taxes as mandated by law within the time specified hereinabove on the Funding Date.

1. Calculation of Individual Settlement Payments. Individual Settlement Payments shall be paid from the Net Settlement Amount and shall be paid pursuant to the formulas set forth herein. Using the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall add up the total number of Workweeks for all Class Members. The respective Workweeks for each Class Member will be divided by the total Workweeks for all Class Members, resulting in the Payment Ratio for each Class Member. Each Class Member's Payment Ratio will then be multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount to calculate each Class Member's estimated Individual Settlement Payments. Each Individual Settlement Payment will be reduced by any legally mandated employee tax withholdings

(e.g., employee payroll taxes, etc.). Individual Settlement Payments for Class Members who submit valid and timely requests for exclusion will be redistributed to Settlement Class Members who do not submit valid and timely requests for exclusion on a pro rata basis based on their respective Class Payment Ratios.

2. Calculation of Individual Payments to the Aggrieved Employees. Using the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall add up the total number of PAGA Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period. The respective PAGA Pay Periods for each Aggrieved Employees will be divided by the total PAGA Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees, resulting in the "PAGA Payment Ratio" for each Aggrieved Employee. Each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Payment Ratio will then be multiplied by the Aggrieved Employee Payment to calculate each Aggrieved Employee's estimated share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

3. Allocation of Individual Settlement Payments. For tax purposes, Individual Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as Twenty-Five Percent (25%) wages ("Wage Portion") and Seventy-Five Percent (75%) penalties and pre-judgment interest ("Penalties and Interest Portion"). The Wage Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments shall be reported on IRS Form W-2 and the Penalties and Interest Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments shall be reported on IRS Form 1099 issued by the Settlement Agreement.

4. Allocation of Aggrieved Employee Payments. For tax purposes, Aggrieved Employee Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as 100% penalties and shall be reported on IRS Form 1099.

5. No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and individual shares of the PAGA Penalties made to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which

any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

6. All monies received by Settlement Class Members under the Settlement which are attributable to wages shall constitute income to such Settlement Class Members solely in the year in which such monies actually are received by the Settlement Class Members. It is the intent of the Parties that Individual Settlement Payments and individual shares of the PAGA Penalties provided for in this Settlement agreement are the sole payments to be made by Defendant to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees in connection with this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of Plaintiff's Service Award, and that the Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not entitled to any new or additional compensation or benefits as a result of having received the Individual Settlement Payments and/or their shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

7. Mailing. Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments shall be mailed by regular First-Class U.S. Mail to Settlement Class Members' and/or Aggrieved Employees' last known mailing address no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date.

8. Expiration. Any checks issued to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of their issuance. If a Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee does not cash his or her settlement check within Ninety (90) days, the Settlement Administrator will send a letter to such persons, advising that the check will expire after the 180th day, and invite the Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee to request reissuance

in the event the check was destroyed, lost or misplaced. In the event an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual share of the PAGA Penalties check has not been cashed within one hundred and eighty (180) days, all funds represented by such uncashed checks, plus any interest accrued thereon, shall be paid to either a Cy Pres, in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure section 384, or the State Controller, as agreed by the Parties as part of the long-form settlement agreement and/or as required by the specific judge handling the pending matter.

9. Service Award. In addition to the Individual Settlement Payment as Settlement Class Member and her individual share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus will apply to the Court for an award of not more than Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00), as the Service Award. Defendant will not oppose a Service Award to Plaintiff in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00). The Settlement Administrator shall pay the Service Award, either in the amount stated herein if approved by the Court or some other amount as approved by the Court, to Plaintiff from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date. Any portion of the requested Service Award that is not awarded to the Class Representative shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 — MISC to Plaintiff for her Service Award. Plaintiff shall be solely and legally responsible to pay any and all applicable taxes on her Service Award and shall hold harmless the Released Parties from any claim or liability for taxes, penalties, or interest arising as a result of the Service Award. Approval of this Settlement shall not be conditioned on Court approval of the requested amount of the Service Award. If the Court reduces or does not approve the requested Service Award, Plaintiff shall not have the right to revoke the Settlement, and it will remain binding.

10. Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses. Defendant understands Class Counsel will file a motion for Attorneys' Fees not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount currently estimated to be Eighty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($88,333.33) ***and*** Attorneys' Expenses supported by declaration not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars ($25,000.00.) Any awarded Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Any portion of the requested Attorneys' Fees and/or Attorneys' Expenses that are not awarded to Class Counsel shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall allocate and pay the Attorneys' Fees to Class Counsel from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date. Class Counsel shall be solely and legally responsible to pay all applicable taxes on the payment made pursuant to this paragraph. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 — MISC to Class Counsel for the payments made pursuant to this paragraph. In the event that the Court reduces or does not approve the requested Attorneys' Fees, Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall not have the right to revoke the Settlement, or to appeal such order, and the Settlement will remain binding. Released Parties shall have no liability to Class Counsel or any other Plaintiff's counsel arising from any claim to any portion of any Attorney's Fees and/or Attorney's Expenses. Class Counsel will hold Defendant harmless, and protect and indemnify Defendant, from any dispute or controversy regarding division or sharing of these payments.

11. PAGA Penalties. Ten-Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) shall be allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount for settlement of claims for civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties"). The Settlement Administrator shall pay seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Penalties, which will be Seven Thousand Five Hundred

Dollars and Zero Cents ($7,500.00), to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date (hereinafter "LWDA Payment"). Twenty-Five percent (25%) of the PAGA Penalties, which will be Two-Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($2,500.00), will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees as described in this Agreement (hereinafter "Aggrieved Employee Payment"). For purposes of distributing the PAGA Penalties to the Aggrieved Employees, each Aggrieved Employee shall receive their pro-rata share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment using the PAGA Payment Ratio as defined above.

12. Administration Costs. The Settlement Administrator shall be paid for the costs of administration of the Settlement from the Gross Settlement Amount. The estimate of the Administration Costs is Five-Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00). The Settlement Administrator shall be paid the Administration Costs no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date.

M. Final Approval Motion. Class Counsel and Plaintiff shall use best efforts to file with the Court a Motion for Order Granting Final Approval and Entering Judgment, within twenty-eight (28) days following the expiration of the Response Deadline, which motion shall request final approval of the Settlement and a determination of the amounts payable for the Service Award, the Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, the PAGA Penalties, and the Administration Costs. Plaintiff will provide Defendant with a draft of the Motion at least three (3) business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion.

1. Declaration by Settlement Administrator. No later than seven (7) days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall submit a declaration in support of Plaintiff's motion for final approval of this Settlement detailing the number of Notice Packets mailed and re-mailed to Class Members, the number of undeliverable Notice Packets, the number of

timely requests for exclusion, the number of objections received, the amount of the average Individual Settlement Payment and highest Individual Settlement Payment, the Administration Costs, and any other information as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the Settlement Administrator to provide.

2. Final Approval Order and Judgment. Class Counsel shall present an Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement to the Court for its approval, and Judgment thereon, at the time Class Counsel files the Motion for Final Approval.

N. Review of Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval. Class Counsel will provide an opportunity for Counsel for Defendant to review the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval, including the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and Judgment before filing with the Court. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to effect the Court's approval of the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval of the Settlement, and entry of Judgment.

O. Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to implement the Settlement.

P. Interim Stay of Proceedings. The Parties agree to stay all proceedings in the Action, except such proceedings necessary to implement and complete the Settlement, pending the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to be conducted by the Court.

Q. Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by counsel for all Parties or their successors-in-interest.

R. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and any attached Exhibit constitutes the entire Agreement among these Parties, and no oral or written representations, warranties or inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement or its Exhibit other than the representations, warranties and covenants contained and memorialized in this Agreement and its Exhibit.

S. Authorization to Enter into Settlement Agreement. Counsel for all Parties warrant and represent they are expressly authorized by the Parties whom they represent to negotiate this Agreement and to take all appropriate Action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The persons signing this Agreement on behalf of Defendant represents and warrants that he/she is authorized to sign this Agreement on behalf of Defendant. Plaintiff represents and warrant that they are authorized to sign this Agreement and that they have not assigned any claim, or part of a claim, covered by this Settlement to a third-party.

T. No Public Comment: The Parties and their counsel agree not to issue any press releases, initiate any contact with the press, respond to any press inquiry or make any public communication about the fact, amount or terms of the settlement, except however that this does not prohibit disclosures required by law and/or within Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel and expert, Defendant and Defendant's counsel and expert, and those within Defendant's organization or financial advisors/accountants with a need to know in order to approve or execute the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

U. Binding on Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors or assigns of the Parties, as previously defined.

V. California Law Governs. All terms of this Agreement and the Exhibit and any disputes shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California.

W. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronic signature, or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

X. This Settlement Is Fair, Adequate and Reasonable. The Parties believe this Settlement is a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of this Action and have arrived at this Settlement after extensive arms-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors, present and potential.

Y. Continuing Jurisdiction. The Parties agree that the San Diego County Superior Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this case under CCP Section 664.6 to ensure the continuing implementation of the provisions of this Settlement and that the time within which to bring this Action to trial under CCP Section 583.310 shall be extended from the date of the signing of the Memorandum of Understanding by all Parties until the entry of the final approval order and judgment or if not entered, the date the Memorandum of Understanding between the Parties shall no longer be of any force or effect.

Z. Court Filings. The Parties agree not to object to any Court filings consistent with this Agreement.

AA. Disputes. Any dispute between the Parties as to the remaining terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be presented to the mediator for resolution and the mediator's decision on all such disputes will be final and non-appealable.

BB. Invalidity of Any Provision. Before declaring any provision of this Agreement invalid, the Court shall first attempt to construe the provisions valid to the fullest extent possible consistent with applicable precedents so as to define all provisions of this Agreement valid and enforceable.

CC. Waiver of Certain Appeals. The Parties agree to waive appeals and to stipulate to class certification for purposes of this settlement only.

DD. No Admissions by the Parties. Plaintiff has claimed and continues to claim that the Released Claims have merit and give rise to liability on the part of Defendant. Defendant claims that the Released Claims have no merit and do not give rise to liability. This Agreement is a compromise of disputed claims. Nothing contained in this Agreement and no documents referred to and no action taken to carry out this Agreement may be construed or used as an admission by or against Defendant or Plaintiff or Class Counsel as to the merits or lack thereof of the claims asserted. Other than as may be specifically set forth herein, each Party shall be responsible for and shall bear its/his own attorney's fees and costs.

Docusign Envelope ID: F3E0D67B-D16D-4979-9887-3B572B3EB4F4

EE. Use and Return of Class Data. Information provided to Class Counsel pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152, and all copies and summaries of the Class Data provided to Class Counsel by Defendant in connection with mediation, or in connection with this Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than fifteen (15) days after the date when the Court discharges the Administrator's obligation to provide a declaration confirming the final pay out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff, through Class Counsel and Class Counsel shall destroy, all paper and electronic versions of Class Data received from Defendant.

IT IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY PLAINTIFF:

DATED: 09/20/2024

Janene Caracaus (Sep 20, 2024 08:55 MDT)

Janene Caracaus

IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY DEFENDANT:

DATED: 10/4/2024

Signed by:
Ziad Kassab
6BF1ABE8A4C44A2...

DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE, INC., a California Corporation

Ziad Kassab
Printed Name

CEO
Title

IT IS SO AGREED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL:

DATED: 09/20/2024

JCL LAW FIRM, A.P.C.

By: _____

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members

Docusign Envelope ID: F3E0D67B-D16D-4979-9887-3B572B3EB4F4

DATED: 09/20/2024

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

By: _____

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members

DATED: 10/04/2024

AKERMAN, LLP

By: _____

Mishell Parreno Taylor, Esq.
Mojan Anari, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING DATE

(Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court Case* No. *37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL)

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED WHETHER YOU ACT OR DO NOT ACT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:	
Do Nothing and Receive a Payment	To receive a cash payment from the Settlement, you do **not** have to do anything. **Your estimated Individual Settlement Payment is: $<<___>>. See the explanation below.** After final approval by the Court, the payment will be mailed to you at the same address as this notice. If your address has changed, please notify the Settlement Administrator as explained below. In exchange for the settlement payment, you will release claims against the Defendant as detailed below.
Exclude Yourself	If you wish to exclude yourself from the Settlement, you must send a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as provided below. If you request exclusion, you will receive **no money from the Settlement**. Instructions are set forth below.
Object	You may write to the Court about why you believe the settlement should not be approved. Directions are provided below.

1. Why did I get this Notice?

A proposed class action settlement (the "Settlement") of this lawsuit pending in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego (the "Court") has been reached between Plaintiff Janene Caracaus ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant"). The Court has granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. **You may be entitled to receive money from this Settlement.**

You have received this Class Notice because you have been identified as a member of the Class, which is defined as:

> All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant") and performed work in California during the Class Period. The "Class Period" is the period of time running from May 31, 2018, to August 1, 2024.

This Class Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, and your legal rights. It is important that you read this Notice carefully as your rights may be affected by the Settlement.

2. What is this class action lawsuit about?

On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed a Complaint against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, asserting causes of action for: (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 et seq.); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code

§§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 et seq.); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq.).

Defendant expressly denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the Class Representative, Class Member, or Aggrieved Employees are owed, and further contends that, for any purpose other than settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment. Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times it complied with the California Labor Code and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders.

On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation with the Honorable Steve R. Denton (Ret.), a mediator of wage and hour class actions. At the mediation, the Parties were unable to reach a settlement, however, the Parties continued settlement negotiations and ultimately reached the present settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on <<INSERT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE>>. At that time, the Court also preliminarily approved the Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative, and the law firms of JCL Law Firm, APC and Zakay Law Group, APLC to serve as Class Counsel.

3. What are the terms of the Settlement?

Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has agreed to pay an amount of Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00) (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to fund the settlement. The Gross Settlement Amount includes the payment of all Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, Settlement Administration Expenses, the PAGA Payment, and the Class Representative Service Award to the Plaintiff.

After the Judgment becomes Final, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount by depositing the money in 18 equal monthly installment payments with the Settlement Administrator. "Final" means the date the Judgment is no longer subject to appeal, or if an appeal is filed, the date the appeal process is completed, and the Judgment is affirmed.

Amounts to be Paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Settlement provides for certain payments to be made from the Gross Settlement Amount, which will be subject to final Court approval, and which will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount before settlement payments are made to Class Members, as follows:

- Settlement Administration Costs. Payment to the Settlement Administrator, estimated not to exceed Five Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00) for expenses, including expenses of sending this Notice, processing opt-outs, and distributing settlement payments.

- Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Payment to Class Counsel of Attorneys' Fees of no more than 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount, currently estimated to be Eighty Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($83,333.33) for the services they rendered to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in the Action and Attorneys' Costs of not more than Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($25,000.00) for all expenses incurred as documented in Class Counsel's billing records, both subject to Court approval. Class Counsel have been prosecuting the Action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class on a contingency fee basis (that is, without being paid any money to date) and have been paying all litigation costs and expenses.

- Class Representative Service Award. Class Representative Service Award of up to Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) to Plaintiff Janene Caracaus, or such lesser amount as may be approved by

the Court, to compensate her for services on behalf of the Class in initiating and prosecuting the Action, and for the risks she undertook.

- PAGA Payment. A payment of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) relating to Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees' claim under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), of which Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($7,500.00) will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($2,500.00) will be distributed to PAGA Employees as part of the Net PAGA Amount.

- Calculation of Payments to Participating Class Members. After all the above payments of the court-approved Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Class Representative Service Award, the LWDA Payment, and the Settlement Administration Expenses are deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining portion, called the "Net Settlement Amount," shall be distributed to class members who do **not** request exclusion ("Participating Class Members"). The Settlement Share for each Participating Class Member will be split between the Class. Participating Class Members will be paid based on the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period. A "workweek" is defined as any seven (7) consecutive days beginning on Sunday and ending on Saturday, in which a Class member is employed by Defendant during the Class Period in California.

- Calculation of Aggrieved Employees Payments to Aggrieved Employees. The PAGA Payment shall be distributed to Aggrieved Employees irrespective of whether they exclude themselves or opt-out. The PAGA Payment will be divided by the total number of pay periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period, and then taking that number and multiplying it by the number of pay periods worked by each respective Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period. "Aggrieved Employee" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. and performed work in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period means the period between March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a check for your Individual Settlement Payment to the same address as this Class Notice. You do not have to do anything to receive a payment. If your address has changed, you must contact the Settlement Administrator to inform them of your correct address to ensure you receive your payment.

Tax Matters. Twenty five percent (25%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to wages. Taxes are withheld from this amount, and each Settlement Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 for such payment. Seventy five percent (75%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to interest, penalties, and other non-wage payments., and no taxes will be withheld, and each Participating Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. In addition, no taxes will be withheld from the PAGA Payment paid to Aggrieved Employees, and each Aggrieved Employee will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. Neither Class Counsel nor Defendant's counsel intend anything contained in this Settlement to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability. You may wish to consult a tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of the payments received under the Settlement.

No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments made to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

Conditions of Settlement. This Settlement is conditioned upon the Court entering an order granting final approval of the Settlement and entering judgment.

4. What Do I Release Under the Settlement?

Released Class Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding in full of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, Plaintiff and the Participating Class Members shall release all Class Claims alleged or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged, in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL action which occurred during the Class Period and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and class claims outside of the Class Period.

Released PAGA Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, Defendant shall be entitled to a release from the Aggrieved Employees of all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL matter and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, wrongful termination, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

This means that, if you do not timely and formally exclude yourself from the settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant about the legal issues resolved by this Settlement. It also means that all of the Court's orders in this Action will apply to you and legally bind you.

5. How much will my payment be?

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<_____>> Workweeks worked during the Class Period (May 31, 2018, through August 1, 2024.

Based on this information, your estimated Settlement Share is <<_____>>.

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<_____>> pay periods worked during the PAGA Period (March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024).

Based on this information, your estimated PAGA Payment Share is <<_____>>.

If you wish to challenge the information set forth above, then you must submit a written, signed dispute challenging the information along with supporting documents, to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided in this Notice no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the Notice or fifteen (15) days after the re-mailed Notice].

6. How can I get a payment?

To get money from the settlement, you do not have to do anything. A check for your settlement payment will be mailed automatically to the same address as this Notice. If your address is incorrect or has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is: Apex Class Action LLC.

The Court will hold a hearing on _____ to decide whether to finally approve the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement and there are no objections or appeals, payments will be mailed within a few

months after this hearing. If there are objections or appeals, resolving them can take time, perhaps more than a year. Please be patient. After entry of the Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will provide notice of the final judgment to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

7. What if I don't want to be a part of the Settlement?

If you do not wish to participate in the Settlement, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out." **If you opt out, you will receive NO money from the Settlement, and you will not be bound by its terms, except as provided as follows**. Irrespective of whether you exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out," you will be bound by the PAGA Release, you will be deemed to have released the Released PAGA Claims, and you will receive a share of the PAGA Payment.

To opt out, you must submit to the Settlement Administrator, by First Class Mail, a written, signed and dated request for exclusion postmarked no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is Apex Class Action LLC, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel. (800) 355-0700. The request for exclusion must state in substance that the Class Member has read the Class Notice and that he or she wishes to be excluded from the settlement of the class action lawsuit entitled *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, currently pending in Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.. The request for exclusion must contain your name, address, signature and the last four digits of your Social Security Number for verification purposes. The request for exclusion must be signed by you. No other person may opt out for a member of the Class.

Written requests for exclusion that are postmarked after _____, or are incomplete or unsigned will be rejected, and those Class Members will remain bound by the Settlement and the release described above.

8. How do I tell the Court that I would like to challenge the Settlement?

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason, may object to the proposed Settlement. Objections may be in writing and state the Class Member's name, current address, telephone number, and describe why you believe the Settlement is unfair and whether you intend to appear at the final approval hearing. All written objections or other correspondence must also state the name and number of the case, which is ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.*** You may also object without submitting a written objection by appearing at the final approval hearing scheduled as described in Section 9 below.

To object to the Settlement, you cannot opt out. If the Court approves the Settlement, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way as Class Members who do not object. Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided in this Class Notice shall have waived any objection to the Settlement, whether by appeal or otherwise.

Written objections must be delivered or mailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel: (800) 355-0700.

The addresses for the Parties' counsel are as follows:

Class Counsel:
Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
Zakay Law Group, APLC

Counsel for Defendants:
Mishell Parreno Taylor, Esq.
Mojan Anari, Esq.

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: shani@zakaylaw.com

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
JCL Law Firm, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Akerman LLP
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 6400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: 213-688-9500
Fax: 213-627-6342
Email:
mishell.taylor@akerman.com
mojan.anari@akerman.com

9. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at 00:00 AM/PM on _____, at the San Diego County Superior Court, Department XX, located at XXXXXX before Judge XXXX. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The purpose of this hearing is for the Court to determine whether to grant final approval to the Settlement. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. The Court will listen to people who have made a timely written request to speak at the hearing or who appear at the hearing to object. This hearing may be rescheduled by the Court without further notice to you. **You are not required to attend** the Final Approval Hearing, although any Class Member is welcome to attend the hearing.

10. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

You may call the Settlement Administrator at 1-800-355-0700 or write to ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL***, Settlement Administrator, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618 c/o Apex Class Action LLC.

This notice summarizes the proposed settlement. More details are in the Settlement Agreement. You may receive a copy of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Judgment or other Settlement documents by writing to JCL Law firm, APC, 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600, San Diego, CA 92121 or by visiting the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

IMPORTANT:

- You must inform the Settlement Administrator of any change of address to ensure receipt of your settlement payment.

- Settlement checks will be null and void 180 days after issuance if not deposited or cashed. In such event, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all funds from such uncashed checks to the State Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund. If your check is lost or misplaced, you should contact the Settlement Administrator immediately to request a replacement.

EXHIBIT 2

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Perssia Razma (State Bar #351398)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
shani@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff JANENE CARACAUS

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court
FEB 18 2025
By: L. McAlister, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

JANENE CARACAUS, an individual, on behalf of herself, and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DANNY'S HOME HEALTHCARE, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL

~~[PROPOSED]~~ AMENDED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: November 1, 2024
Time: 9:00am

Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
Department: C-75

Trial Date: N/A
Action Filed: May 31, 2022

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

This matter having come before the Honorable James A. Mangione of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, at 9:00 a.m. on November 1, 2024, with the attorneys from the JCL Law Firm, APC and Zakay Law Group, APLC as counsel for Plaintiff JANENE CARACAUS ("Plaintiff"), and counsel from Akerman, LLP, appearing for Defendant DANNY'S HOME HEALTHCARE, INC. The Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all the matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court preliminarily approves the Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action Claims and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"**. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

3. Subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Gross Settlement Amount that Defendant shall pay is $265,000.00. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations.

4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of

reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class Members are fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

5. The Agreement specifies for Attorneys' Fees awarded to Class Counsel in the amount of up-to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount for attorneys' fees, currently estimated to be $88,333.33 ***and*** an award of Attorneys' Expenses up to $25,000.00, and proposed Service Award to the Class Representative, Janene Caracaus, in an amount not to exceed $10,000.00. While these awards appear to be within the range of reasonableness, the Court will not approve the Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses, or the Service Award, until the Final Approval Hearing. Class Counsel and the Class Representative will be required to present evidence supporting these requests, including lodestar, prior to final approval.

6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendant stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this, or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class:

> All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., and performed worked in California during the period between May 31, 2018, to April 5, 2024.

7. The "Aggrieved Employees" are defined as all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant and performed worked in California during the period between March 25, 2021, to April 5, 2024 ("PAGA Period").

8. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class Members is

impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class Members; (d) the Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class Members; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to act as counsel for the Class Representative in her individual capacity and as the representative of the Class Members.

9. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff Janene Caracaus as the representative of the Class Members.

10. The Court provisionally appoints the attorneys of the JCL LAW FIRM, APC, and of ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC, as Class Counsel for the Class Members.

11. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the proposed Revised Notice Packet attached to the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Amend Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Continue Motion for Final Approval as **Exhibit "#2 "**. The Court finds that the Revised Notice Packet appears to fully, and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, including Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written request for exclusion, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Revised Notice Packet substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the most reasonable notice under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Revised Notice Packet by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.

12. The Court hereby appoints Apex Class Action LLC as the Settlement Administrator. No later than 15 business days of this order, Defendant shall provide to the Settlement Administrator the Class Data, including information regarding Class Members that Defendant will in good faith compile from their records, including each Settlement Class Member's full name; last known address; Social

Security Number; start dates and end dates of employment. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the entry of this order, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Revised Notice Packet to all identified, potential Class Members via first class U.S. Mail using the most current mailing address information available.

13. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement of the Released Claims. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement of the Released Claims as provided in the Revised Notice Packet by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Settlement of the Released Claims that are set forth in the Notice. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received by the Response Deadline which is forty-five (45) calendar days after the date the Revised Notice Packet is mailed to the Class Members or, in the case of a re-mailed Notice, not more than fifteen (15) calendar days after the original Response Deadline. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Settlement of the Released Claims will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement, or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement and Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out that particular individual, and any attempt to affect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.

14. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members will have forty-five (45) days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails the Revised Notice Packets to postmark their written objections to the Settlement Administrator.

15. A final approval hearing shall be held before this Court on August 15, 2025 **at** 9:00 **AM/PM** in Department C-75 of the San Diego County Superior Court to determine all necessary

matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether an Order Granting Final Approval should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve the Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses, Service Award, the PAGA Payment, and the Claims Administration Expenses. All papers in support of the motion for final approval and the motion for Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses and Service Award shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the hearing.

16. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

17. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Preliminary Approval Order, shall not be used, or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Settlement Agreement with respect to the effect of the Settlement Agreement if it is not approved.

18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing

and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2.18.25

JAMES A. MANGIONE

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

EXHIBIT 1

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
Monnett De La Torre (State Bar #272884)
Andrea A. Amaya Silva (State Bar #348080)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 599-8292
Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
mdelatorre@jcl-lawfirm.com
aamaya@jcl-lawfirm.com

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC
Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Telephone: (619) 255-9047
Facsimile: (858) 404-9203
shani@zakaylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
JANENE CARACAUS

[Additional Parties on Next Page]

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

JANENE CARACAUS, an individual, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL

[Action Filed May 31, 2022]

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

AKERMAN LLP
MISHELL PARRENO TAYLOR (SBN 256850)
mishell.taylor@akerman.com
MOJAN ANARI (SBN 298865)
mojan.anari@akerman.com
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 6400
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 688-9500
Facsimile: (213) 627-6342

Attorneys for Defendant
DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE, INC.

This Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action and Release of Claims is entered into by Plaintiff JANENE J. CARACAUS ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class, and in her individual and representative capacity on behalf of the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees, and Defendant DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE INC., a California corporation ("Defendant"):

I. DEFINITIONS

A. "Action" shall mean the putative class action lawsuit designated *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL, filed May 31, 2022.

B. "Administration Costs" shall mean the amount paid to the Settlement Administrator from the Gross Settlement Amount for administering the Settlement pursuant to this Agreement currently estimated not to exceed Five Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00).

C. "Aggrieved Employees" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant and performed work in California during the period of March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024, (the "PAGA Period").

D. "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement" means this Stipulation of Settlement of Class and PAGA Action and Release of Claims.

E. "Attorneys' Expenses" means the award of expenses that the Court authorizes to be paid to Class Counsel for the expenses they have incurred of up to Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($25,000.00).

F. "Attorneys' Fees" means the award of fees that the Court authorizes to be paid to Class Counsel for the services they have rendered to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in the Action, currently not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount currently estimated to be Eighty Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($88,333.33) out of Two Hundred Sixty Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00). Attorneys' fees will be divided equally between Class Counsel as follows (50% to JCL Law Firm, APC, and 50% to Zakay Law Group, APLC).

G. "Class Counsel" shall mean Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of JCL Law Firm, APC and Shani Zakay of Zakay Law Group, APLC.

H. "Class Data" means information regarding Class Members currently in Defendant's possession. It shall be formatted as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and shall include: each Class Member's last known full name; last known mailing address; Social Security Number; start dates and end dates of employment and Class Period Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods.

I. "Class Period" means the period between May 31, 2018, through August 1, 2024.

J. "Class Representative" shall mean Janene J. Caracaus.

K. "Court" means the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego currently presiding over the Action.

L. "Defendant" shall mean Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., a California corporation.

M. "Effective Date" means the date by when both of the following have occurred: (a) the Court enters a Judgment on its Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement; and (b) the Judgment is final. The Judgment is final as of the latest of the following occurrences: (a) if no participating Class Member objects to the Settlement, the day the Court enters Judgment; (b) if one or more participating Class Members objects to the Settlement, the day after the deadline for filing a notice of appeal from the Judgment; or if a timely appeal from the Judgment is filed, the day after the appellate court affirms the Judgment and issues a remittitur.

N. "Final Approval" means the Court's order granting final approval of the Settlement.

O. "Final Approval Hearing" means the Court's hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement.

P. "Funding Date" shall mean the date the Gross Settlement Amount is fully funded by Defendant in accord with the terms of this Agreement.

Q. "Gross Settlement Amount" means Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00) for payment of all claims, including payment of Settlement Administration Expenses; Attorneys' Fees; Attorneys' Expenses; Service Award(s), the PAGA Payment and all Class Member Settlement Payments. The Gross

Settlement Amount shall be all-in with no reversion to Defendant. The employer's share of payroll taxes shall not be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount and shall remain the sole responsibility of Defendant. Under no condition will Defendant be required to pay more than the Gross Settlement Amount, except as provided in Section III(A)(2) below. Any amounts not approved by the Court shall be added to the Net Settlement Amount to be distributed to Settlement Class Members and shall not be grounds to object to or terminate the Settlement.

R. "Individual Settlement Payments" means the amount payable from the Net Settlement Amount to each Settlement Class Member and excludes any amounts distributed to Aggrieved Employees pursuant to PAGA.

S. "Judgment" means the judgment entered by the Court based upon the Final Approval.

T. "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" means the Gross Settlement Amount, less Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, the Service Award, PAGA Payment, and Administration Costs.

U. "Notice Packet" means the Class Notice to be provided to the Class Members by the Settlement Administrator in the form set forth as **Exhibit A** to this Agreement (other than formatting changes to facilitate printing by the Settlement Administrator).

V. "Operative Complaint" shall mean the Conformed Complaint filed in San Diego County Superior Court by Plaintiff on May 31, 2022.

W. "PAGA" means the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.*

X. "PAGA Payment Ratio" means the respective Pay Periods during the PAGA Period for each Aggrieved Employee divided by the total of the Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period.

Y. "PAGA Pay Periods" for purposes of calculating the distribution of the Aggrieved Employee Payment, as defined herein, means the number of pay periods of employment during the PAGA Period that each Aggrieved Employee worked in California.

Z. "PAGA Period" means the period beginning between March 25, 2021, to August 1, 2024.

AA. "PAGA Payment" shall mean Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) to be allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount, with Twenty-Five Percent (25%) of the payment going to the Aggrieved Employees ("Aggrieved Employee Payment") and Seventy-Five Percent (75%) of the payment going to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA Payment"). The amount of the PAGA Penalties is subject to Court approval pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699(l). Any reallocation of the Gross Settlement Amount to increase the PAGA Penalties will not constitute grounds by either party to void this Agreement, so long as the Gross Settlement Amount remains the same.

BB. "Parties" means Plaintiff and Defendant, collectively, and "Party" shall mean either Plaintiff or Defendant.

CC. "Payment Ratio" means the respective Workweeks for each Class Member divided by the total Workweeks for all Class Members.

DD. "Plaintiff" shall mean Janene J. Caracaus.

EE. "Preliminary Approval" means the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.

FF. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the proposed Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Class and PAGA Settlement.

GG. "QSF" means the Qualified Settlement Fund established, designated, and maintained by the Settlement Administrator to fund the Gross Settlement Amount.

HH. "Released Class Claims" means all claims alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged, in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL Action, including (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to

Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq.*); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*), which occurred during the Class Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, worker's compensation, wrongful termination and class claims outside of the Class Period.

II. "Released PAGA Claims" means all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL Action and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA, including (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq.*); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*), which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, wrongful termination, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

JJ. "Released Parties" shall mean Defendant and each of its former and present parent entities, subsidiaries, "Affiliates", divisions, predecessors, insurers, successors and assigns and their current and former employees, directors, officers, shareholders, owners, members, agents, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns,

subsidiaries. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Affiliates" means all persons and entities directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with each Defendant where control may be by management authority, equity interest or otherwise. The term "Affiliates" as defined herein, also specifically includes predecessors of any of the foregoing persons and entities.

KK. "Opt Out / Objection Period" means the forty-five (45) days from the date the notice is first mailed to Class members in which they can object to or exclude themselves from the settlement.

LL. "Service Award" means an award in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) or in an amount that the Court authorizes to be paid to Plaintiff, in addition to her Individual Settlement Payment and her Individual Aggrieved Employee Payment, in recognition of her efforts and risks in assisting with the prosecution of the Action. Plaintiff assumes full responsibility and liability for any and all taxes owed on her Individual Service Award. In exchange for his service award, Plaintiff will enter into a complete Section 1542 release and waiver of all claims known and unknown, suspected or unsuspected as part of the long-form class and PAGA settlement agreement.

MM. "Settlement" means the disposition of the Action pursuant to this Agreement and the Judgment.

NN. "Settlement Administrator" means APEX Class Action LLC, 18 Technology Drive, Ste. 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel: 1-800-355-0700; Fax: 1-949-878-3536. The Settlement Administrator establishes, designates, and maintains, a QSF under Internal Revenue Code section 468B and Treasury Regulation section 1.468B-1, into which the amount of the Gross Settlement Amount is deposited for the purpose of resolving the claims of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain the funds until distribution in an account(s) segregated from the assets of Defendant and any person related to Defendant. ***All accrued interest shall be paid and distributed to the Settlement Class Members as part of their respective Individual Settlement Payment.***

OO. "Settlement Class Members" or "Settlement Class" means all Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid request for exclusion as provided in this Agreement.

PP. "Workweek" means any week during which a Class Member worked for Defendant at least one day, during the Class Period in California.

II. RECITALS

A. On March 25, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed a Notice of Violations with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (LWDA) and served the same on Defendant.

B. On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed the original Complaint, alleging claims for: (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 *et seq.*); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 *et seq.*); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) Violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 *et seq.*).

C. The Class Representative believes she has claims based on alleged violations of the California Labor Code, and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and that class certification is appropriate because the prerequisites for class certification can be satisfied in the Action, and this action is manageable as a PAGA representative action.

D. Defendant denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the Class Representatives are owed, and further contends that, for any purpose other than settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment.

Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times it complied with the California Labor Code and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders.

E. The Class Representative is represented by Class Counsel. Class Counsel investigated the facts relevant to the Action, including conducting an independent investigation as to the allegations, reviewing documents and information exchanged through informal discovery, and reviewing documents and information provided by Defendant pursuant to informal requests for information to prepare for mediation. Defendant produced for the purpose of settlement negotiations certain employment data concerning the Settlement Class, which Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed with the assistance of an expert. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion that the Settlement with Defendant is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class considering all known facts and circumstances, including the risks of significant delay, defenses asserted by Defendant, uncertainties regarding class certification, and numerous potential appellate issues. Although it denies any liability, Defendant agrees to this Settlement solely to avoid the inconveniences and cost of further litigation. The Parties and their counsel have agreed to settle the claims on the terms set forth in this Agreement.

F. On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in mediation presided over by the Honorable Steven R. Denton (Ret.), an experienced mediator of wage and hour class and PAGA actions. The mediation did not conclude with a settlement, however, the Parties continued settlement negotiations until an agreement was reached which was subsequently memorialized in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding.

G. This Agreement replaces and supersedes the Memorandum of Understanding and any other agreements, understandings, or representations between the Parties. This Agreement represents a compromise and settlement of highly disputed claims. Nothing in this Agreement is intended or will be construed as an admission by Defendant that the claims in the Action of Plaintiff or the Class Members have merit or that Defendant bears any liability to Plaintiff or the Class Members on those claims

or any other claims, or as an admission by Plaintiff that Defendant's defenses in the Action have merit.

H. The Parties believe that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate. The Settlement was arrived at through arm's-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors. The Parties recognize the uncertainty, risk, expense and delay attendant to continuing the Action through trial and any appeal. Accordingly, the Parties desire to settle, compromise and discharge all disputes and claims arising from or relating to the Action fully, finally, and forever.

I. The Parties agree to certification of the Class for purposes of this Settlement only. If for any reason the Court does not grant Preliminary Approval, Final Approval or enter Judgment, such that the Settlement does not become effective, Defendant reserves the right to contest certification of any class for any reason and Defendant reserves all available defenses to the claims in the Action.

Based on these Recitals that are a part of this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:

III. TERMS OF AGREEMENT

A. Settlement Consideration and Settlement Payments by Defendant.

1. Settlement Consideration. In full and complete settlement of the Action, and in exchange for the releases set forth below, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount of Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00). The Parties agree that this is a non-reversionary Settlement and that no portion of the Gross Settlement Amount shall revert to Defendants. Other than Defendant's share of employer payroll taxes and as provided in Section III(A)2 below, Defendant shall not be required to pay more than the Gross Settlement Amount.

2. Class Data. Defendant represented that as of February 16, 2024, the Settlement Class was comprised of 113 Class Members who worked approximately 6,547 Workweeks ("Workweeks") during the Class Period. The Parties acknowledge and agree that this data (and other information provided by Defendant for purposes of mediation) was relied on to extrapolate

and reach an arms-length negotiation of Plaintiff's claims in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, Action through the Class Period. Defendant will provide a declaration under penalty of perjury confirming the number of applicable Class Members and workweeks they worked during the applicable Class Period one week prior to Plaintiff's deadline to file their motion for preliminary approval of the settlement. Should the number of Workweeks increase by more than 10% of what was represented during the Class Period, the Gross Settlement Amount will increase proportionally for the number of Workweeks over 110% of 6,547, for example, if the total workweeks in the Class Period are 115% of 6,547, the Gross Settlement Amount shall increase by 5%. If the total number of workweeks in the Class Period exceeds 20%, the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether Defendant shall either pay the pro rata increase or adjust the closing data of the Class Period to an earlier date that does not trigger the pro rata increase.

3. Workweek Calculation: The Settlement Administrator will calculate the number of Workweeks worked by Class Members during the Class Period and the amount to be paid to Class Members per Workweek based on information to be provided by Defendant. The Workweeks will be calculated based on Defendant's Workweek data and will be presumed to be correct unless a particular Class Member timely proves otherwise to the Settlement Administrator by credible written evidence. All Workweek disputes will be resolved and decided by the Settlement Administrator, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on all Workweek disputes will be final and non-appealable.

4. Payments. Defendant will pay to the Settlement Administrator the Gross Settlement Amount, including payment for all claims, payment of Settlement Administration Expenses, Attorneys' Fees, Attorneys' Expenses, Service Award, and PAGA Payment in 18 equal monthly installments (each payment

of $14,722.22) with the initial payment due on Effective Date and every payment thereafter will be due on the 1st of each month.

5. Defendant's Share of Payroll Taxes. Defendant's share of employer side payroll taxes is in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount and shall be paid together with the Gross Settlement Amount on the Funding Date.

B. Release by Settlement Class Members. Effective as of the Funding Date, in exchange for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, release and discharge the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims for the Class Period.

C. Release by the Aggrieved Employees. Effective as of the Funding Date, in exchange for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, the Plaintiff, the LWDA and the State of California release and discharge the Released Parties from the Released PAGA Claims for the PAGA Period. As a result of this release, the Aggrieved Employees on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, shall be precluded from bringing claims against Defendant for the Released PAGA Claims.

D. General Release by Plaintiff. In addition to the Settlement Class Members' Released Claims and the Released PAGA Claims, effective as of the Funding Date, for the consideration set forth in this Agreement, including without limitation the Service Award, Plaintiff on behalf of herself and her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors and assigns, waive, release, acquit and forever discharge the Released Parties from any and all claims, whether known or unknown, which exist or may exist on any of the Plaintiff's behalf as of the date of this Agreement, including without limitation any claims relating to or arising from any aspect of her respective employment, or termination of employment with Defendant, any and all tort claims, contract claims, wage claims, wrongful termination claims, disability claims, benefit claims, public policy claims, retaliation

claims, statutory claims, personal injury claims, emotional distress claims, invasion of privacy claims, defamation claims, fraud claims, quantum meruit claims, and any and all claims arising under any federal, state or other governmental statute, law, regulation or ordinance, including, without limitation claims for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, the California Labor Code, the Wage Orders of California's Industrial Welfare Commission, other state wage and hour laws, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), the Older Workers Benefits Protection Act ("OWBPA"), The Older Worker's Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN"), 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.,* the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"), the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act ("PWFA"), the the Federal and California Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Family Rights Act, the Family Medical Leave Act as amended ("FMLA"), California's Whistleblower Protection Act, the Reconstruction Era Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 USC §§ 1981-86, as amended, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") (other than claims with regard to vested benefits), Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA"), the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA"), the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act ("GINA"), the Families First Coronavirus Relief Act ("FFCRA"), the Immigration Reform and Control Act, the Corporate and Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002, the Private Attorneys General Act, the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq., ., California Unruh Civil Rights Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 51 *et seq.*, Statutory Provisions Regarding the Confidentiality of AIDS Information – Cal. Health & Safety Code § 120775 *et seq.*, California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 56 *et seq.*, California Parental Leave Law – Cal. Lab. Code § 230.7 *et seq.*, California Military Personnel Bias Law – Cal. Mil. & Vet. Code § 394, The California Occupational

Safety and Health Act, as amended, and any applicable regulations thereunder, the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 1785 *et seq.*, California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 1786 *et seq.*, the California Consumer Privacy Act, the California Privacy Rights Act, those provisions of the California Labor Code that lawfully may be released, and any and all claims arising under any federal, state, local, or other governmental statute, common law, regulation or ordinance: any and all waivable claims for unpaid wages under any other state or local law; any and all waivable rights under the California Constitution; any and all claims for damages of any kind whatsoever, including without limitation compensatory, punitive, treble, liquidated and/or consequential damages; any and all claims under any contract, whether express or implied, conversion, promissory estoppel; any and all claims for unintentional or intentional torts, invasion of privacy, defamation, slander, libel, assault, battery, false imprisonment, emotional distress and pain and suffering; any and all claims for violation of any statutory or administrative rules, regulations, ordinances or codes; and any and all claims for attorneys' fees, paralegals' fees, costs, disbursements, wages, leave, bonuses, benefits, vacation and/or the like. Plaintiff also waives and relinquishes any and all claims, rights or benefits that she may have under California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of section 1542, and to implement a full and complete release and discharge of the Released Parties, Plaintiff expressly acknowledges this Settlement Agreement is intended to include in its effect, without limitation, all claims Plaintiff does not know or suspect to exist in Plaintiff's favor at

the time of signing this Settlement Agreement, and that this Settlement Agreement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims. Plaintiff warrants that Plaintiff has read this Settlement Agreement, including this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542, and that Plaintiff has consulted with or had the opportunity to consult with counsel of Plaintiff's choosing about this Settlement Agreement and specifically about the waiver of section 1542, and that Plaintiff understands this Settlement Agreement and the section 1542 waiver, and so Plaintiff freely and knowingly enters into this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff further acknowledges that Plaintiff later may discover facts different from or in addition to those Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true regarding the matters released or described in this Settlement Agreement, and even so Plaintiff agrees that the releases and agreements contained in this Settlement Agreement shall remain effective in all respects notwithstanding any later discovery of any different or additional facts. Plaintiff expressly assumes any and all risk of any mistake in connection with the true facts involved in the matters, disputes, or controversies released or described in this Settlement Agreement or with regard to any facts now unknown to Plaintiff relating thereto.

E. Conditions Precedent: This Settlement will become final and effective only upon the occurrence of all of the following events:

1. The Court enters the Preliminary Approval Order;
2. The Court enters an Order Granting Final Approval of the Settlement and a Judgment;
3. If an objector appears at the Final Approval Hearing, the time for appeal of the Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement expires; or, if an appeal is timely filed, there is a final resolution of any appeal from the Judgment and Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; and
4. Defendant fully funds the Gross Settlement Amount.

F. Nullification of Settlement Agreement. If this Settlement Agreement is not preliminarily or finally approved by the Court and/or the LWDA, or if the appellate

court fails to approve the Settlement, or if the Settlement Agreement is otherwise terminated, fails to become effective, or is reversed, withdrawn or modified by the Court or an appellate court, or in any way prevents or prohibits Defendant from obtaining a complete resolution of the Released Class Claims, the PAGA Released Claims and/or the claims released by Plaintiff, or if Defendant fails to fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount:

1. This Settlement Agreement shall be void *ab initio* and of no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or procedural, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions prior to entering into the Settlement Agreement, and no party shall be bound by any of the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including Defendant's obligation to make payments to the Settlement Class Members, the Settlement Administrator, the LWDA, Plaintiff or Class Counsel;
2. The conditional class certifications (obtained for any purpose) shall be void *ab initio* and of no force or effect, and shall not be admissible in any judicial, administrative or arbitral proceeding for any purpose or with respect to any issue, substantive or procedural;
3. None of the Parties to this Settlement will be deemed to have waived any claims, objections, defenses or arguments in the Action, including with respect to the issue of class certification;
4. Defendant shall bear the sole responsibility for any reasonable cost to issue or reissue any curative notice to the Settlement Class Members and all reasonable Settlement Administration Costs incurred to the date of nullification.

G. Tax Liability. The Parties make no representations as to the tax treatment or legal effect of the payments called for, and Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not relying on any statement or representation by the Parties in this regard. Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees understand and agree that they will be responsible for the payment of any taxes and penalties assessed on the Individual Settlement Payments

and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment described and will be solely responsible for any penalties or other obligations resulting from their personal tax reporting of Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

H. Circular 230 Disclaimer. Each Party to this Agreement (for purposes of this section, the "acknowledging party" and each Party to this Agreement other than the acknowledging party, an "other party") acknowledges and agrees that: (1) no provision of this Agreement, and no written communication or disclosure between or among the Parties or their attorneys and other advisers, is or was intended to be, nor shall any such communication or disclosure constitute or be construed or be relied upon as, tax advice within the meaning of United States Treasury Department circular 230 (31 CFR part 10, as amended); (2) the acknowledging party (a) has relied exclusively upon his, her or its own, independent legal and tax counsel for advice (including tax advice) in connection with this Agreement, (b) has not entered into this Agreement based upon the recommendation of any other Party or any attorney or advisor to any other Party, and (c) is not entitled to rely upon any communication or disclosure by any attorney or adviser to any other party to avoid any tax penalty that may be imposed on the acknowledging party, and (3) no attorney or adviser to any other Party has imposed any limitation that protects the confidentiality of any such attorney's or adviser's tax strategies (regardless of whether such limitation is legally binding) upon disclosure by the acknowledging party of the tax treatment or tax structure of any transaction, including any transaction contemplated by this Agreement.

I. Preliminary Approval Motion. Class Counsel shall draft and file the motion for preliminary approval within ninety (90) days or within the statutory timeframe as determined by the Court's setting of the preliminary approval hearing, which shall include this Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff will provide Defendant with a draft of the Motion at least 3 business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion.

J. Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for: establishing and administering the QSF; calculating, processing and mailing payments to the Class Representative, Class Counsel, LWDA and Class Members; printing and mailing the Notice Packets to the Class Members as directed by the Court; receiving and reporting the objections and requests for exclusion; calculating, deducting and remitting all legally required taxes from Individual Settlement Payments and distributing tax forms for the Wage Portion, the Penalties Portion and the Interest Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment; processing and mailing tax payments to the appropriate state and federal taxing authorities; providing declaration(s) as necessary in support of preliminary and/or final approval of this Settlement; and other tasks as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the Settlement Administrator to perform. The Settlement Administrator shall keep the Parties timely apprised of the performance of all Settlement Administrator responsibilities by among other things, sending a weekly status report to the Parties' counsel stating the date of the mailing, the of number of Elections Not to Participate in Settlement it receives (including the numbers of valid and deficient), and number of objections received. The Settlement Administrator shall provide Defendant through their counsel of record, a written verification of its operative procedures for protecting the security of Class Data, the amounts of insurance coverage for any data breach, defalcation of funds or other misfeasance and the name(s) of the insurance companies providing the foregoing insurance coverage.

K. Notice Procedure.

1. Class Data. No later than fifteen (15) business days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class Data for purposes of preparing and mailing Notice Packets to the Class Members.

2. Notice Packets.

a) The Notice Packet shall contain the Notice of Class Action Settlement in a form substantially similar to the form attached as **Exhibit A**. The Notice of Class Action Settlement shall inform Class Members and Aggrieved Employees that they need not do anything in order to receive an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment and to keep the Settlement Administrator apprised of their current mailing address, to which the Individual Settlement Payments and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employment Payment will be mailed following the Funding Date. The Notice of Class Action Settlement shall set forth the release to be given by all members of the Class who do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class and/or Aggrieved Employees in exchange for an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual shares of the Aggrieved Employment Payment, the number of Workweeks worked by each Class Member during the Class Period and PAGA Period, if any, and the estimated amount of their Individual Settlement Payment if they do not request to be excluded from the Settlement and each Aggrieved Employees' share of the Aggrieved Employment Payment, if any. The Settlement Administrator shall use the Class Data to determine Class Members' Workweeks and PAGA Workweeks. The Notice will also advise the Aggrieved Employees that they will release the Released PAGA Claims and will receive their share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment regardless of whether they request to be excluded from the Settlement.

b) The Notice Packet's mailing envelope shall include the following language: "IMPORTANT LEGAL DOCUMENT - YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE IN A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; A PROMPT REPLY TO CORRECT YOUR

ADDRESS IS REQUIRED AS EXPLAINED IN THE ENCLOSED NOTICE."

3. Notice by First Class U.S. Mail. Upon receipt of the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator will perform a search based on the National Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable address changes. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after preliminary approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall mail copies of the Notice Packet to all Class Members via regular First-Class U.S. Mail. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member. The address identified by the Settlement Administrator as the current mailing address shall be presumed to be the best mailing address for each Class Member.

4. Undeliverable Notices. Any Notice Packets returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered on or before the Response Deadline shall be re-mailed to any forwarding address provided. If no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a correct address by lawful use of skip-tracing, or other search using the name, address and/or Social Security number of the Class Member involved, and shall then perform a re-mailing, if another mailing address is identified by the Settlement Administrator. In addition, if any Notice Packets, which are addressed to Class Members who are currently employed by Defendant, are returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered and no forwarding address is provided, the Settlement Administrator shall notify Defendant. Defendant will request that the currently employed Class Member provide a corrected address and transmit to the Settlement Administrator any corrected address provided by the Class Member. Class Members who received a re-mailed Notice Packet shall have their Response Deadline extended fifteen (15) days from the original Response Deadline.

5. Disputes Regarding Individual Settlement Payments. Class Members will have the opportunity, should they disagree with Defendant's records regarding the start and end dates of employment to provide documentation and/or an explanation to show contrary dates. If there is a dispute, the Settlement Administrator will consult with the Parties to determine whether an adjustment is warranted. The Settlement Administrator shall determine the eligibility for, and the amounts of, any Individual Settlement Payments under the terms of this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator's determination of the eligibility for and amount of any Individual Settlement Payment shall be binding upon the Class Member and the Parties.

6. Disputes Regarding Administration of Settlement. Any disputes not resolved by the Settlement Administrator concerning the administration of the Settlement will be resolved by the Court under the laws of the State of California. Before any such involvement of the Court, counsel for the Parties will confer in good faith to resolve the disputes without the necessity of involving the Court.

7. Exclusions. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement must submit a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator by the Response Deadline. The written request for exclusion must state that the Class Member wishes to exclude himself or herself from the Settlement and (1) must contain the name, address, and the last four digits of the Social Security number of the person requesting exclusion; (2) must be signed by the Class Member; (3) must be postmarked or fax stamped by the Response Deadline and returned to the Settlement Administrator at the specified address or fax telephone number; and (4) contain a typewritten or handwritten notice stating in substance that he or she wishes to be excluded from the settlement of the class action lawsuit entitled *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, currently pending in Superior Court of San Diego,

Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL. The request for exclusion will not be valid if it is not timely submitted, if it is not signed by the Class Member, or if it does not contain the name and address and last four digits of the Social Security number of the Class Member. The date of the postmark on the mailing envelope or fax stamp on the request for exclusion shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether the request for exclusion was timely submitted. Any Class Member who submits a timely request for exclusion shall be excluded from the Settlement Class, will not be entitled to an Individual Settlement Payment and will not be otherwise bound by the terms of the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. However, any Class Member that submits a timely request for exclusion that is also a member of the Aggrieved Employees will still receive his/her pro rata share of the PAGA Settlement, as specified below, and in consideration, will be bound by the Release by the Aggrieved Employees as set forth herein. Settlement Class Members who fail to submit a valid and timely request for exclusion on or before the Response Deadline shall be bound by all terms of the Settlement and any final judgment entered in this Action if the Settlement is approved by the Court. No later than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a final list of the Class Members who have timely submitted timely requests for exclusion. At no time shall any of the Parties or their counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage members of the Class to submit requests for exclusion from the Settlement.

8. Objections. The Notice of Class Action Settlement contained in the Notice Packet shall state that Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement may submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement of objection ("Notice of Objection") by the Response Deadline. The postmark date of mailing shall be deemed the exclusive means for determining that a Notice of Objection was served timely. The Notice of Objection, if in writing, must be

signed by the Settlement Class Member and state: (1) the case name and number; (2) the name of the Settlement Class Member; (3) the address of the Settlement Class Member; (4) the last four digits of the Settlement Class Member's Social Security number; (5) the basis for the objection; and (6) if the Settlement Class Member intends to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing. Class Members who fail to make objections in writing in the manner specified above may still make their objections orally at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing with the Court's permission. Settlement Class Members will have a right to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to have their objections heard by the Court regardless of whether they submitted a written objection. At no time shall any of the Parties or their counsel seek to solicit or otherwise encourage Class Members to file or serve written objections to the Settlement or appeal from the Order and Final Judgment. Class Members who submit a written request for exclusion may not object to the Settlement. Class Members may not object to the PAGA Penalties.

L. Funding and Allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant is required to pay the Gross Settlement Amount plus any employer's share of payroll taxes as mandated by law within the time specified hereinabove on the Funding Date.

1. Calculation of Individual Settlement Payments. Individual Settlement Payments shall be paid from the Net Settlement Amount and shall be paid pursuant to the formulas set forth herein. Using the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall add up the total number of Workweeks for all Class Members. The respective Workweeks for each Class Member will be divided by the total Workweeks for all Class Members, resulting in the Payment Ratio for each Class Member. Each Class Member's Payment Ratio will then be multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount to calculate each Class Member's estimated Individual Settlement Payments. Each Individual Settlement Payment will be reduced by any legally mandated employee tax withholdings

(e.g., employee payroll taxes, etc.). Individual Settlement Payments for Class Members who submit valid and timely requests for exclusion will be redistributed to Settlement Class Members who do not submit valid and timely requests for exclusion on a pro rata basis based on their respective Class Payment Ratios.

2. Calculation of Individual Payments to the Aggrieved Employees. Using the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall add up the total number of PAGA Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period. The respective PAGA Pay Periods for each Aggrieved Employees will be divided by the total PAGA Pay Periods for all Aggrieved Employees, resulting in the "PAGA Payment Ratio" for each Aggrieved Employee. Each Aggrieved Employee's PAGA Payment Ratio will then be multiplied by the Aggrieved Employee Payment to calculate each Aggrieved Employee's estimated share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

3. Allocation of Individual Settlement Payments. For tax purposes, Individual Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as Twenty-Five Percent (25%) wages ("Wage Portion") and Seventy-Five Percent (75%) penalties and pre-judgment interest ("Penalties and Interest Portion"). The Wage Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments shall be reported on IRS Form W-2 and the Penalties and Interest Portion of the Individual Settlement Payments shall be reported on IRS Form 1099 issued by the Settlement Agreement.

4. Allocation of Aggrieved Employee Payments. For tax purposes, Aggrieved Employee Settlement Payments shall be allocated and treated as 100% penalties and shall be reported on IRS Form 1099.

5. No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and individual shares of the PAGA Penalties made to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which

any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

6. All monies received by Settlement Class Members under the Settlement which are attributable to wages shall constitute income to such Settlement Class Members solely in the year in which such monies actually are received by the Settlement Class Members. It is the intent of the Parties that Individual Settlement Payments and individual shares of the PAGA Penalties provided for in this Settlement agreement are the sole payments to be made by Defendant to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees in connection with this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of Plaintiff's Service Award, and that the Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees are not entitled to any new or additional compensation or benefits as a result of having received the Individual Settlement Payments and/or their shares of the Aggrieved Employee Payment.

7. Mailing. Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments shall be mailed by regular First-Class U.S. Mail to Settlement Class Members' and/or Aggrieved Employees' last known mailing address no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date.

8. Expiration. Any checks issued to Settlement Class Members and Aggrieved Employees shall remain valid and negotiable for one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of their issuance. If a Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee does not cash his or her settlement check within Ninety (90) days, the Settlement Administrator will send a letter to such persons, advising that the check will expire after the 180th day, and invite the Settlement Class Member and/or Aggrieved Employee to request reissuance

in the event the check was destroyed, lost or misplaced. In the event an Individual Settlement Payment and/or Aggrieved Employees' individual share of the PAGA Penalties check has not been cashed within one hundred and eighty (180) days, all funds represented by such uncashed checks, plus any interest accrued thereon, shall be paid to either a Cy Pres, in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure section 384, or the State Controller, as agreed by the Parties as part of the long-form settlement agreement and/or as required by the specific judge handling the pending matter.

9. Service Award. In addition to the Individual Settlement Payment as Settlement Class Member and her individual share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus will apply to the Court for an award of not more than Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00), as the Service Award. Defendant will not oppose a Service Award to Plaintiff in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00). The Settlement Administrator shall pay the Service Award, either in the amount stated herein if approved by the Court or some other amount as approved by the Court, to Plaintiff from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date. Any portion of the requested Service Award that is not awarded to the Class Representative shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 — MISC to Plaintiff for her Service Award. Plaintiff shall be solely and legally responsible to pay any and all applicable taxes on her Service Award and shall hold harmless the Released Parties from any claim or liability for taxes, penalties, or interest arising as a result of the Service Award. Approval of this Settlement shall not be conditioned on Court approval of the requested amount of the Service Award. If the Court reduces or does not approve the requested Service Award, Plaintiff shall not have the right to revoke the Settlement, and it will remain binding.

10. Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses. Defendant understands Class Counsel will file a motion for Attorneys' Fees not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount currently estimated to be Eighty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($88,333.33) ***and*** Attorneys' Expenses supported by declaration not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars ($25,000.00.) Any awarded Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. Any portion of the requested Attorneys' Fees and/or Attorneys' Expenses that are not awarded to Class Counsel shall be part of the Net Settlement Amount and shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members as provided in this Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall allocate and pay the Attorneys' Fees to Class Counsel from the Gross Settlement Amount no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Funding Date. Class Counsel shall be solely and legally responsible to pay all applicable taxes on the payment made pursuant to this paragraph. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 — MISC to Class Counsel for the payments made pursuant to this paragraph. In the event that the Court reduces or does not approve the requested Attorneys' Fees, Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall not have the right to revoke the Settlement, or to appeal such order, and the Settlement will remain binding. Released Parties shall have no liability to Class Counsel or any other Plaintiff's counsel arising from any claim to any portion of any Attorney's Fees and/or Attorney's Expenses. Class Counsel will hold Defendant harmless, and protect and indemnify Defendant, from any dispute or controversy regarding division or sharing of these payments.

11. PAGA Penalties. Ten-Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) shall be allocated from the Gross Settlement Amount for settlement of claims for civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties"). The Settlement Administrator shall pay seventy-five percent (75%) of the PAGA Penalties, which will be Seven Thousand Five Hundred

Dollars and Zero Cents ($7,500.00), to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date (hereinafter "LWDA Payment"). Twenty-Five percent (25%) of the PAGA Penalties, which will be Two-Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($2,500.00), will be distributed to the Aggrieved Employees as described in this Agreement (hereinafter "Aggrieved Employee Payment"). For purposes of distributing the PAGA Penalties to the Aggrieved Employees, each Aggrieved Employee shall receive their pro-rata share of the Aggrieved Employee Payment using the PAGA Payment Ratio as defined above.

12. Administration Costs. The Settlement Administrator shall be paid for the costs of administration of the Settlement from the Gross Settlement Amount. The estimate of the Administration Costs is Five-Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00). The Settlement Administrator shall be paid the Administration Costs no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Effective Date.

M. Final Approval Motion. Class Counsel and Plaintiff shall use best efforts to file with the Court a Motion for Order Granting Final Approval and Entering Judgment, within twenty-eight (28) days following the expiration of the Response Deadline, which motion shall request final approval of the Settlement and a determination of the amounts payable for the Service Award, the Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Expenses, the PAGA Penalties, and the Administration Costs. Plaintiff will provide Defendant with a draft of the Motion at least three (3) business days prior to the filing of the Motion to give Defendant an opportunity to propose changes or additions to the Motion.

1. Declaration by Settlement Administrator. No later than seven (7) days after the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall submit a declaration in support of Plaintiff's motion for final approval of this Settlement detailing the number of Notice Packets mailed and re-mailed to Class Members, the number of undeliverable Notice Packets, the number of

timely requests for exclusion, the number of objections received, the amount of the average Individual Settlement Payment and highest Individual Settlement Payment, the Administration Costs, and any other information as the Parties mutually agree or the Court orders the Settlement Administrator to provide.

2. Final Approval Order and Judgment. Class Counsel shall present an Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement to the Court for its approval, and Judgment thereon, at the time Class Counsel files the Motion for Final Approval.

N. Review of Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval. Class Counsel will provide an opportunity for Counsel for Defendant to review the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval, including the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and Judgment before filing with the Court. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to effect the Court's approval of the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval of the Settlement, and entry of Judgment.

O. Cooperation. The Parties and their counsel will cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to implement the Settlement.

P. Interim Stay of Proceedings. The Parties agree to stay all proceedings in the Action, except such proceedings necessary to implement and complete the Settlement, pending the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing to be conducted by the Court.

Q. Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by counsel for all Parties or their successors-in-interest.

R. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and any attached Exhibit constitutes the entire Agreement among these Parties, and no oral or written representations, warranties or inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement or its Exhibit other than the representations, warranties and covenants contained and memorialized in this Agreement and its Exhibit.

S. Authorization to Enter into Settlement Agreement. Counsel for all Parties warrant and represent they are expressly authorized by the Parties whom they represent to negotiate this Agreement and to take all appropriate Action required or permitted to be taken by such Parties pursuant to this Agreement to effectuate its terms, and to execute any other documents required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement. The persons signing this Agreement on behalf of Defendant represents and warrants that he/she is authorized to sign this Agreement on behalf of Defendant. Plaintiff represents and warrant that they are authorized to sign this Agreement and that they have not assigned any claim, or part of a claim, covered by this Settlement to a third-party.

T. No Public Comment: The Parties and their counsel agree not to issue any press releases, initiate any contact with the press, respond to any press inquiry or make any public communication about the fact, amount or terms of the settlement, except however that this does not prohibit disclosures required by law and/or within Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel and expert, Defendant and Defendant's counsel and expert, and those within Defendant's organization or financial advisors/accountants with a need to know in order to approve or execute the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

U. Binding on Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors or assigns of the Parties, as previously defined.

V. California Law Governs. All terms of this Agreement and the Exhibit and any disputes shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California.

W. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts by facsimile, electronic signature, or email which for purposes of this Agreement shall be accepted as original. All executed counterparts and each of them will be deemed to be one and the same instrument. Any executed counterpart will be admissible in evidence to prove the existence and contents of this Agreement.

X. This Settlement Is Fair, Adequate and Reasonable. The Parties believe this Settlement is a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of this Action and have arrived at this Settlement after extensive arms-length negotiations, taking into account all relevant factors, present and potential.

Y. Continuing Jurisdiction. The Parties agree that the San Diego County Superior Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this case under CCP Section 664.6 to ensure the continuing implementation of the provisions of this Settlement and that the time within which to bring this Action to trial under CCP Section 583.310 shall be extended from the date of the signing of the Memorandum of Understanding by all Parties until the entry of the final approval order and judgment or if not entered, the date the Memorandum of Understanding between the Parties shall no longer be of any force or effect.

Z. Court Filings. The Parties agree not to object to any Court filings consistent with this Agreement.

AA. Disputes. Any dispute between the Parties as to the remaining terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be presented to the mediator for resolution and the mediator's decision on all such disputes will be final and non-appealable.

BB. Invalidity of Any Provision. Before declaring any provision of this Agreement invalid, the Court shall first attempt to construe the provisions valid to the fullest extent possible consistent with applicable precedents so as to define all provisions of this Agreement valid and enforceable.

CC. Waiver of Certain Appeals. The Parties agree to waive appeals and to stipulate to class certification for purposes of this settlement only.

DD. No Admissions by the Parties. Plaintiff has claimed and continues to claim that the Released Claims have merit and give rise to liability on the part of Defendant. Defendant claims that the Released Claims have no merit and do not give rise to liability. This Agreement is a compromise of disputed claims. Nothing contained in this Agreement and no documents referred to and no action taken to carry out this Agreement may be construed or used as an admission by or against Defendant or Plaintiff or Class Counsel as to the merits or lack thereof of the claims asserted. Other than as may be specifically set forth herein, each Party shall be responsible for and shall bear its/his own attorney's fees and costs.

Docusign Envelope ID: F3E0D67B-D16D-4979-9887-3B572B3EB4F4

EE. Use and Return of Class Data. Information provided to Class Counsel pursuant to California Evidence Code section 1152, and all copies and summaries of the Class Data provided to Class Counsel by Defendant in connection with mediation, or in connection with this Settlement, may be used only with respect to this Settlement, and no other purpose, and may not be used in any way that violates any existing contractual agreement, statute, or rule of court. Not later than fifteen (15) days after the date when the Court discharges the Administrator's obligation to provide a declaration confirming the final pay out of all Settlement funds, Plaintiff, through Class Counsel and Class Counsel shall destroy, all paper and electronic versions of Class Data received from Defendant.

IT IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY PLAINTIFF:

DATED: 09/20/2024

Janene j. Caracaus (Sep 20, 2024 08:55 MDT)

Janene Caracaus

IS SO AGREED, FORM AND CONTENT, BY DEFENDANT:

DATED: 10/4/2024

Signed by:
Ziad Kassab
6BF1ABE8A4C44A2...

DANNY'S HOME HEALTH CARE, INC., a California Corporation

Ziad Kassab

Printed Name

CEO

Title

IT IS SO AGREED AS TO FORM BY COUNSEL:

DATED: 09/20/2024

JCL LAW FIRM, A.P.C.

By: _____

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members

Docusign Envelope ID: F3E0D67B-D16D-4979-9887-3B572B3EB4F4

DATED: 09/20/2024

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

By: _____

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members

DATED: 10/04/2024

AKERMAN, LLP

By: _____

Mishell Parreno Taylor, Esq.
Mojan Anari, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING DATE

(Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court Case* No. *37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL)

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED WHETHER YOU ACT OR DO NOT ACT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:	
Do Nothing and Receive a Payment	To receive a cash payment from the Settlement, you do **not** have to do anything. **Your estimated Individual Settlement Payment is: $<<____>>. See the explanation below.** After final approval by the Court, the payment will be mailed to you at the same address as this notice. If your address has changed, please notify the Settlement Administrator as explained below. In exchange for the settlement payment, you will release claims against the Defendant as detailed below.
Exclude Yourself	If you wish to exclude yourself from the Settlement, you must send a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as provided below. If you request exclusion, you will receive **no money from the Settlement**. Instructions are set forth below.
Object	You may write to the Court about why you believe the settlement should not be approved. Directions are provided below.

1. Why did I get this Notice?

A proposed class action settlement (the "Settlement") of this lawsuit pending in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego (the "Court") has been reached between Plaintiff Janene Caracaus ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant"). The Court has granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. **You may be entitled to receive money from this Settlement.**

You have received this Class Notice because you have been identified as a member of the Class, which is defined as:

> All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant") and performed work in California during the Class Period. The "Class Period" is the period of time running from May 31, 2018, to April 5, 2024.

This Class Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, and your legal rights. It is important that you read this Notice carefully as your rights may be affected by the Settlement.

2. What is this class action lawsuit about?

On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed a Complaint against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, asserting causes of action for: (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 et seq.); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code

§§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 et seq.); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq.).

Defendant expressly denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the Class Representative, Class Member, or Aggrieved Employees are owed, and further contends that, for any purpose other than settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment. Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times it complied with the California Labor Code and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders.

On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation with the Honorable Steve R. Denton (Ret.), a mediator of wage and hour class actions. At the mediation, the Parties were unable to reach a settlement, however, the Parties continued settlement negotiations and ultimately reached the present settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on <<INSERT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE>>. At that time, the Court also preliminarily approved the Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative, and the law firms of JCL Law Firm, APC and Zakay Law Group, APLC to serve as Class Counsel.

3. What are the terms of the Settlement?

Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has agreed to pay an amount of Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00) (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to fund the settlement. The Gross Settlement Amount includes the payment of all Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, Settlement Administration Expenses, the PAGA Payment, and the Class Representative Service Award to the Plaintiff.

After the Judgment becomes Final, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount by depositing the money in 18 equal monthly installment payments with the Settlement Administrator. "Final" means the date the Judgment is no longer subject to appeal, or if an appeal is filed, the date the appeal process is completed, and the Judgment is affirmed.

Amounts to be Paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Settlement provides for certain payments to be made from the Gross Settlement Amount, which will be subject to final Court approval, and which will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount before settlement payments are made to Class Members, as follows:

- Settlement Administration Costs. Payment to the Settlement Administrator, estimated not to exceed Five Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00) for expenses, including expenses of sending this Notice, processing opt-outs, and distributing settlement payments.

- Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Payment to Class Counsel of Attorneys' Fees of no more than 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount, currently estimated to be Eighty Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($83,333.33) for the services they rendered to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in the Action and Attorneys' Costs of not more than Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($25,000.00) for all expenses incurred as documented in Class Counsel's billing records, both subject to Court approval. Class Counsel have been prosecuting the Action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class on a contingency fee basis (that is, without being paid any money to date) and have been paying all litigation costs and expenses.

- Class Representative Service Award. Class Representative Service Award of up to Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) to Plaintiff Janene Caracaus, or such lesser amount as may be approved by

the Court, to compensate her for services on behalf of the Class in initiating and prosecuting the Action, and for the risks she undertook.

- PAGA Payment. A payment of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) relating to Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees' claim under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), of which Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($7,500.00) will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($2,500.00) will be distributed to PAGA Employees as part of the Net PAGA Amount.

- Calculation of Payments to Participating Class Members. After all the above payments of the court-approved Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Class Representative Service Award, the LWDA Payment, and the Settlement Administration Expenses are deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining portion, called the "Net Settlement Amount," shall be distributed to class members who do **not** request exclusion ("Participating Class Members"). The Settlement Share for each Participating Class Member will be split between the Class. Participating Class Members will be paid based on the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period. A "workweek" is defined as any seven (7) consecutive days beginning on Sunday and ending on Saturday, in which a Class member is employed by Defendant during the Class Period in California.

- Calculation of Aggrieved Employees Payments to Aggrieved Employees. The PAGA Payment shall be distributed to Aggrieved Employees irrespective of whether they exclude themselves or opt-out. The PAGA Payment will be divided by the total number of pay periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period, and then taking that number and multiplying it by the number of pay periods worked by each respective Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period. "Aggrieved Employee" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. and performed work in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period means the period between March 25, 2021, to April 5, 2024.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a check for your Individual Settlement Payment to the same address as this Class Notice. You do not have to do anything to receive a payment. If your address has changed, you must contact the Settlement Administrator to inform them of your correct address to ensure you receive your payment.

Tax Matters. Twenty five percent (25%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to wages. Taxes are withheld from this amount, and each Settlement Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 for such payment. Seventy five percent (75%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to interest, penalties, and other non-wage payments., and no taxes will be withheld, and each Participating Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. In addition, no taxes will be withheld from the PAGA Payment paid to Aggrieved Employees, and each Aggrieved Employee will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. Neither Class Counsel nor Defendant's counsel intend anything contained in this Settlement to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability. You may wish to consult a tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of the payments received under the Settlement.

No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments made to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

Conditions of Settlement. This Settlement is conditioned upon the Court entering an order granting final approval of the Settlement and entering judgment.

4. What Do I Release Under the Settlement?

Released Class Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding in full of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, Plaintiff and the Participating Class Members shall release all Class Claims alleged or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged, in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL action which occurred during the Class Period and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and class claims outside of the Class Period.

Released PAGA Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, Defendant shall be entitled to a release from the Aggrieved Employees of all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL matter and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, wrongful termination, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

This means that, if you do not timely and formally exclude yourself from the settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant about the legal issues resolved by this Settlement. It also means that all of the Court's orders in this Action will apply to you and legally bind you.

5. How much will my payment be?

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<_____>> Workweeks worked during the Class Period (May 31, 2018, through April 5, 2024.

Based on this information, your estimated Settlement Share is <<_____>>.

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<_____>> pay periods worked during the PAGA Period (March 25, 2021, to April 5, 2024).

Based on this information, your estimated PAGA Payment Share is <<_____>>.

If you wish to challenge the information set forth above, then you must submit a written, signed dispute challenging the information along with supporting documents, to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided in this Notice no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the Notice or fifteen (15) days after the re-mailed Notice].

6. How can I get a payment?

To get money from the settlement, you do not have to do anything. A check for your settlement payment will be mailed automatically to the same address as this Notice. If your address is incorrect or has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is: Apex Class Action LLC.

The Court will hold a hearing on _____ to decide whether to finally approve the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement and there are no objections or appeals, payments will be mailed within a few

months after this hearing. If there are objections or appeals, resolving them can take time, perhaps more than a year. Please be patient. After entry of the Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will provide notice of the final judgment to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

7. What if I don't want to be a part of the Settlement?

If you do not wish to participate in the Settlement, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out." **If you opt out, you will receive NO money from the Settlement, and you will not be bound by its terms, except as provided as follows.** Irrespective of whether you exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out," you will be bound by the PAGA Release, you will be deemed to have released the Released PAGA Claims, and you will receive a share of the PAGA Payment.

To opt out, you must submit to the Settlement Administrator, by First Class Mail, a written, signed and dated request for exclusion postmarked no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is Apex Class Action LLC, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel. (800) 355-0700. The request for exclusion must state in substance that the Class Member has read the Class Notice and that he or she wishes to be excluded from the settlement of the class action lawsuit entitled *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, currently pending in Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.. The request for exclusion must contain your name, address, signature and the last four digits of your Social Security Number for verification purposes. The request for exclusion must be signed by you. No other person may opt out for a member of the Class.

Written requests for exclusion that are postmarked after _____, or are incomplete or unsigned will be rejected, and those Class Members will remain bound by the Settlement and the release described above.

8. How do I tell the Court that I would like to challenge the Settlement?

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason, may object to the proposed Settlement. Objections may be in writing and state the Class Member's name, current address, telephone number, and describe why you believe the Settlement is unfair and whether you intend to appear at the final approval hearing. All written objections or other correspondence must also state the name and number of the case, which is ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.*** You may also object without submitting a written objection by appearing at the final approval hearing scheduled as described in Section 9 below.

To object to the Settlement, you cannot opt out. If the Court approves the Settlement, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way as Class Members who do not object. Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided in this Class Notice shall have waived any objection to the Settlement, whether by appeal or otherwise.

Written objections must be delivered or mailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel: (800) 355-0700.

The addresses for the Parties' counsel are as follows:

Class Counsel:
Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
Zakay Law Group, APLC

Counsel for Defendants:
Mishell Parreno Taylor, Esq.
Mojan Anari, Esq.

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: shani@zakaylaw.com

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
JCL Law Firm, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Akerman LLP
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 6400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: 213-688-9500
Fax: 213-627-6342
Email:
mishell.taylor@akerman.com
mojan.anari@akerman.com

9. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at 00:00 AM/PM on _____, at the San Diego County Superior Court, Department XX, located at XXXXXX before Judge XXXX. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The purpose of this hearing is for the Court to determine whether to grant final approval to the Settlement. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. The Court will listen to people who have made a timely written request to speak at the hearing or who appear at the hearing to object. This hearing may be rescheduled by the Court without further notice to you. **You are not required to attend** the Final Approval Hearing, although any Class Member is welcome to attend the hearing.

10. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

You may call the Settlement Administrator at 1-800-355-0700 or write to ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL***, Settlement Administrator, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618 c/o Apex Class Action LLC.

This notice summarizes the proposed settlement. More details are in the Settlement Agreement. You may receive a copy of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Judgment or other Settlement documents by writing to JCL Law firm, APC, 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600, San Diego, CA 92121 or by visiting the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

IMPORTANT:

- You must inform the Settlement Administrator of any change of address to ensure receipt of your settlement payment.

- Settlement checks will be null and void 180 days after issuance if not deposited or cashed. In such event, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all funds from such uncashed checks to the State Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund. If your check is lost or misplaced, you should contact the Settlement Administrator immediately to request a replacement.

EXHIBIT 2

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING DATE

(Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court Case* No. *37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL)

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED WHETHER YOU ACT OR DO NOT ACT. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY.

SUMMARY OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT:	
Do Nothing and Receive a Payment	To receive a cash payment from the Settlement, you do **not** have to do anything. **Your estimated Individual Settlement Payment is: $<<___>>. See the explanation below.** After final approval by the Court, the payment will be mailed to you at the same address as this notice. If your address has changed, please notify the Settlement Administrator as explained below. In exchange for the settlement payment, you will release claims against the Defendant as detailed below.
Exclude Yourself	If you wish to exclude yourself from the Settlement, you must send a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator as provided below. If you request exclusion, you will receive **no money from the Settlement**. Instructions are set forth below.
Object	You may write to the Court about why you believe the settlement should not be approved. Directions are provided below.

1. Why did I get this Notice?

A proposed class action settlement (the "Settlement") of this lawsuit pending in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego (the "Court") has been reached between Plaintiff Janene Caracaus ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant"). The Court has granted preliminary approval of the Settlement. **You may be entitled to receive money from this Settlement.**

You have received this Class Notice because you have been identified as a member of the Class, which is defined as:

> All non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. ("Defendant") and performed work in California during the Class Period. The "Class Period" is the period of time running from May 31, 2018, to April 5, 2024.

This Class Notice explains the lawsuit, the Settlement, and your legal rights. It is important that you read this Notice carefully as your rights may be affected by the Settlement.

2. What is this class action lawsuit about?

On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff Janene Caracaus filed a Complaint against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, asserting causes of action for: (1) Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.); (2) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197 and 1197.1); (3) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages (Labor Code §§ 510 et seq.); (4) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods (Labor Code

§§ 226.7, 512 and the applicable Wage Order); (5) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516 and the applicable wage order); (6) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Statements (Labor Code § 226 and 226.2 et seq.); (7) Failure to Provide Wages When Due (Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203); (8) Failure to Reimburse Employees for Required Expenses (Labor Code § 2802); and (9) violation of the Private Attorneys General Act (Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq.).

Defendant expressly denies any liability or wrongdoing of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action, disputes any wages, damages and penalties claimed by the Class Representative, Class Member, or Aggrieved Employees are owed, and further contends that, for any purpose other than settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or representative action treatment. Defendant contends, among other things, that at all times it complied with the California Labor Code and the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders.

On June 26, 2023, the Parties participated in an all-day mediation with the Honorable Steve R. Denton (Ret.), a mediator of wage and hour class actions. At the mediation, the Parties were unable to reach a settlement, however, the Parties continued settlement negotiations and ultimately reached the present settlement. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on <<INSERT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE>>. At that time, the Court also preliminarily approved the Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative, and the law firms of JCL Law Firm, APC and Zakay Law Group, APLC to serve as Class Counsel.

3. What are the terms of the Settlement?

Gross Settlement Amount. Defendant has agreed to pay an amount of Two Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($265,000.00) (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to fund the settlement. The Gross Settlement Amount includes the payment of all Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, Settlement Administration Expenses, the PAGA Payment, and the Class Representative Service Award to the Plaintiff.

After the Judgment becomes Final, Defendant will pay the Gross Settlement Amount by depositing the money in 18 equal monthly installment payments with the Settlement Administrator. "Final" means the date the Judgment is no longer subject to appeal, or if an appeal is filed, the date the appeal process is completed, and the Judgment is affirmed.

Amounts to be Paid from the Gross Settlement Amount. The Settlement provides for certain payments to be made from the Gross Settlement Amount, which will be subject to final Court approval, and which will be deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount before settlement payments are made to Class Members, as follows:

- Settlement Administration Costs. Payment to the Settlement Administrator, estimated not to exceed Five Thousand Four Hundred and Ninety Dollars and Zero Cents ($5,490.00) for expenses, including expenses of sending this Notice, processing opt-outs, and distributing settlement payments.

- Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Payment to Class Counsel of Attorneys' Fees of no more than 1/3 of the Gross Settlement Amount, currently estimated to be Eighty Three Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Three Dollars and Thirty Three Cents ($83,333.33) for the services they rendered to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class in the Action and Attorneys' Costs of not more than Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($25,000.00) for all expenses incurred as documented in Class Counsel's billing records, both subject to Court approval. Class Counsel have been prosecuting the Action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class on a contingency fee basis (that is, without being paid any money to date) and have been paying all litigation costs and expenses.

- Class Representative Service Award. Class Representative Service Award of up to Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) to Plaintiff Janene Caracaus, or such lesser amount as may be approved by

the Court, to compensate her for services on behalf of the Class in initiating and prosecuting the Action, and for the risks she undertook.

- PAGA Payment. A payment of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents ($10,000.00) relating to Plaintiff and Aggrieved Employees' claim under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), of which Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($7,500.00) will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and the remaining Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents ($2,500.00) will be distributed to PAGA Employees as part of the Net PAGA Amount.

- Calculation of Payments to Participating Class Members. After all the above payments of the court-approved Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, the Class Representative Service Award, the LWDA Payment, and the Settlement Administration Expenses are deducted from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining portion, called the "Net Settlement Amount," shall be distributed to class members who do **not** request exclusion ("Participating Class Members"). The Settlement Share for each Participating Class Member will be split between the Class. Participating Class Members will be paid based on the number of workweeks worked during the Class Period. A "workweek" is defined as any seven (7) consecutive days beginning on Sunday and ending on Saturday, in which a Class member is employed by Defendant during the Class Period in California.

- Calculation of Aggrieved Employees Payments to Aggrieved Employees. The PAGA Payment shall be distributed to Aggrieved Employees irrespective of whether they exclude themselves or opt-out. The PAGA Payment will be divided by the total number of pay periods worked by all Aggrieved Employees during the PAGA Period, and then taking that number and multiplying it by the number of pay periods worked by each respective Aggrieved Employee during the PAGA Period. "Aggrieved Employee" means all non-exempt employees who are or previously were employed by Danny's Home Health Care, Inc. and performed work in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period means the period between March 25, 2021, to April 5, 2024.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will automatically be mailed a check for your Individual Settlement Payment to the same address as this Class Notice. You do not have to do anything to receive a payment. If your address has changed, you must contact the Settlement Administrator to inform them of your correct address to ensure you receive your payment.

Tax Matters. Twenty five percent (25%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to wages. Taxes are withheld from this amount, and each Settlement Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 for such payment. Seventy five percent (75%) of each Individual Settlement Payment is allocated to interest, penalties, and other non-wage payments., and no taxes will be withheld, and each Participating Class Member will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. In addition, no taxes will be withheld from the PAGA Payment paid to Aggrieved Employees, and each Aggrieved Employee will be issued an Internal Revenue Service Form 1099 for such payment. Neither Class Counsel nor Defendant's counsel intend anything contained in this Settlement to constitute advice regarding taxes or taxability. You may wish to consult a tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of the payments received under the Settlement.

No Credit Toward Benefit Plans. The Individual Settlement Payments and Aggrieved Employee Payments made to Settlement Class Members and/or Aggrieved Employees under this Settlement Agreement, as well as any other payments made pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which any Class Members may be eligible, including, but not limited to profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans, vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties' intention that this Settlement Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans.

Conditions of Settlement. This Settlement is conditioned upon the Court entering an order granting final approval of the Settlement and entering judgment.

4. What Do I Release Under the Settlement?

Released Class Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding in full of the Gross Settlement Amount by Defendant, Plaintiff and the Participating Class Members shall release all Class Claims alleged or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts alleged, in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL action which occurred during the Class Period and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and class claims outside of the Class Period.

Released PAGA Claims. Upon entry of final judgment and funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, Defendant shall be entitled to a release from the Aggrieved Employees of all PAGA claims alleged in the operative complaint in the *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.,* 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL matter and Plaintiff's PAGA notice to the LWDA which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding all other claims, including claims for vested benefits, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, wrongful termination, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.

This means that, if you do not timely and formally exclude yourself from the settlement, you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant about the legal issues resolved by this Settlement. It also means that all of the Court's orders in this Action will apply to you and legally bind you.

5. How much will my payment be?

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<____>> Workweeks worked during the Class Period (May 31, 2018, through April 5, 2024.

Based on this information, your estimated Settlement Share is <<_____>>.

Defendant's records reflect that you have <<_____>> pay periods worked during the PAGA Period (March 25, 2021, to April 5, 2024).

Based on this information, your estimated PAGA Payment Share is <<_____>>.

If you wish to challenge the information set forth above, then you must submit a written, signed dispute challenging the information along with supporting documents, to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided in this Notice no later than _____ [forty-five (45) days after the Notice or fifteen (15) days after the re-mailed Notice].

6. How can I get a payment?

To get money from the settlement, you do not have to do anything. A check for your settlement payment will be mailed automatically to the same address as this Notice. If your address is incorrect or has changed, you must notify the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is: Apex Class Action LLC.

The Court will hold a hearing on _____ to decide whether to finally approve the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement and there are no objections or appeals, payments will be mailed within a few

months after this hearing. If there are objections or appeals, resolving them can take time, perhaps more than a year. Please be patient. After entry of the Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will provide notice of the final judgment to the Class Members by posting a copy of the Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

7. What if I don't want to be a part of the Settlement?

If you do not wish to participate in the Settlement, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out." **If you opt out, you will receive NO money from the Settlement, and you will not be bound by its terms, except as provided as follows.** Irrespective of whether you exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out," you will be bound by the PAGA Release, you will be deemed to have released the Released PAGA Claims, and you will receive a share of the PAGA Payment.

To opt out, you must submit to the Settlement Administrator, by First Class Mail, a written, signed and dated request for exclusion postmarked no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is Apex Class Action LLC, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel. (800) 355-0700. The request for exclusion must state in substance that the Class Member has read the Class Notice and that he or she wishes to be excluded from the settlement of the class action lawsuit entitled *Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc.*, currently pending in Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.. The request for exclusion must contain your name, address, signature and the last four digits of your Social Security Number for verification purposes. The request for exclusion must be signed by you. No other person may opt out for a member of the Class.

Written requests for exclusion that are postmarked after _____, or are incomplete or unsigned will be rejected, and those Class Members will remain bound by the Settlement and the release described above.

8. How do I tell the Court that I would like to challenge the Settlement?

Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason, may object to the proposed Settlement. Objections may be in writing and state the Class Member's name, current address, telephone number, and describe why you believe the Settlement is unfair and whether you intend to appear at the final approval hearing. All written objections or other correspondence must also state the name and number of the case, which is ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL.*** You may also object without submitting a written objection by appearing at the final approval hearing scheduled as described in Section 9 below.

To object to the Settlement, you cannot opt out. If the Court approves the Settlement, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way as Class Members who do not object. Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided in this Class Notice shall have waived any objection to the Settlement, whether by appeal or otherwise.

Written objections must be delivered or mailed to the Settlement Administrator no later than _____. The address for the Settlement Administrator is 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618; Tel: (800) 355-0700.

The addresses for the Parties' counsel are as follows:

Class Counsel:
Shani O. Zakay, Esq.
Zakay Law Group, APLC

Counsel for Defendants:
Mishell Parreno Taylor, Esq.
Mojan Anari, Esq.

5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: shani@zakaylaw.com

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
JCL Law Firm, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291
Email: jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com

Akerman LLP
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 6400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: 213-688-9500
Fax: 213-627-6342
Email:
mishell.taylor@akerman.com
mojan.anari@akerman.com

9. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at 00:00 AM/PM on _____, at the San Diego County Superior Court, Department XX, located at XXXXXX before Judge XXXX. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. The purpose of this hearing is for the Court to determine whether to grant final approval to the Settlement. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. The Court will listen to people who have made a timely written request to speak at the hearing or who appear at the hearing to object. This hearing may be rescheduled by the Court without further notice to you. **You are not required to attend** the Final Approval Hearing, although any Class Member is welcome to attend the hearing.

10. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

You may call the Settlement Administrator at 1-800-355-0700 or write to ***Caracaus v. Danny's Home Health Care, Inc., Superior Court of San Diego, Case No. 37-2022-00020531-CU-OE-CTL***, Settlement Administrator, 18 Technology Drive, Suite 164, Irvine, CA 92618 c/o Apex Class Action LLC.

This notice summarizes the proposed settlement. More details are in the Settlement Agreement. You may receive a copy of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Judgment or other Settlement documents by writing to JCL Law firm, APC, 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600, San Diego, CA 92121 or by visiting the Settlement Administrator's website at www.apexclassaction.com.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

IMPORTANT:

- You must inform the Settlement Administrator of any change of address to ensure receipt of your settlement payment.
- Settlement checks will be null and void 180 days after issuance if not deposited or cashed. In such event, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all funds from such uncashed checks to the State Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund. If your check is lost or misplaced, you should contact the Settlement Administrator immediately to request a replacement.

EXHIBIT 3

Outlook

[Draft] **Fw: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission**

From

From: DIR PAGA Unit <no-reply@formassembly.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2024 6:51 PM
To: Tesla Stone <tstone@jcl-lawfirm.com>
Subject: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission

[You don't often get email from no-reply@formassembly.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

10/09/2024 06:51:13 PM

Thank you for your submission to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

Item submitted: Proposed Settlement
If you have questions or concerns regarding this submission or your case, please send an email to pagainfo@dir.ca.gov.

DIR PAGA Unit on behalf of
Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Website: https://nam02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Flabor.ca.gov%2FPrivate_Attorneys_General_Act.htm&data=05%7C02%7Ctstone%40jcl-lawfirm.com%7C29f9dc5065c44cc8e51b08dce8ce18bf%7C1f72d94e1dff4b9e9f45bdb37d49a25e%7C0%7C0%7C638641219120384186%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8ey

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 5

JCL Law Firm

Transaction Report

All Dates

DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	NUM	NAME	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
Caracaus v. Danny's Family Home (002-308)						
03/31/2022	Journal Entry	Mar 2022 Postage		Copies for Mar 2022	5.00	5.00
03/31/2022	Journal Entry	Mar 2022 Postage		Postage for Mar 2022	45.41	50.41
04/30/2022	Journal Entry	April 2022 Postage		Copies for April 2022	2.80	53.21
04/30/2022	Journal Entry	April 2022 Postage		Postage for April 2022	29.32	82.53
08/17/2022	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 18750627 - Filing Fee Re: Proof of Service of 30-day Summons & Complaint	12.87	95.40
08/31/2022	Journal Entry	August 2022 Postage		Copies for August 2022	0.10	95.50
09/02/2022	Check	2947	Knox Attorney Services, Inc.	Invoice No. 4377124 - Service On: Danny's Home Health Care	142.35	237.85
09/30/2022	Journal Entry	Sept. 2022Postage		Copies for September 2022	0.10	237.95
01/05/2023	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order Number: 19578422 - Filing Fee Re: Case Management Statement, Proof of Service	12.87	250.82
01/31/2023	Journal Entry	Jan 2023 Postage		Postage for January 2023	0.57	251.39
01/31/2023	Journal Entry	Jan 2023 Postage		Copies for January 2023	1.10	252.49
03/31/2023	Journal Entry	Mar 2023 Postage		Postage for March 2023	0.60	253.09
03/31/2023	Journal Entry	Mar 2023 Postage		Copies for March 2023	1.00	254.09
04/30/2023	Journal Entry	April 2023 Postage		Postage for April 2023	0.60	254.69
04/30/2023	Journal Entry	April 2023 Postage		Copies for April 2023	1.00	255.69
06/05/2023	Check	3287	Judicate West	Invoice Number :583917	2,662.50	2,918.19

DATE	TRANSACTION TYPE	NUM	NAME	MEMO/DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
06/30/2023	Journal Entry	June 2023 Postage		Copies for June 2023	0.30	2,918.49
06/30/2023	Journal Entry	June 2023 Postage		Postage for June 2023	1.20	2,919.69
07/17/2023	Check	3345	Berger Consulting Group	Inv. 8603	1,925.00	4,844.69
07/31/2023	Journal Entry	July 2023 Postage		Copies for July 2023	0.20	4,844.89
08/15/2023	Check	3381	Creal & Creal	Inv. 181122	34.00	4,878.89
08/31/2023	Journal Entry	August 2023 Postage		Postage for August 2023	0.63	4,879.52
08/31/2023	Journal Entry	August 2023 Postage		Copies for August 2023	0.70	4,880.22
09/12/2023	Check	3415	Creal & Creal	Inv. 181274	371.87	5,252.09
09/30/2023	Journal Entry	Sept. 2023 Postage		Copies for September 2023	0.60	5,252.69
10/31/2023	Check	3502	Creal & Creal	Inv. 181622	439.88	5,692.57
10/31/2023	Journal Entry	Oct. 2023 Postage		Copies for October 2023	1.75	5,694.32
02/01/2024	Expense		SD Superior Court		1.00	5,695.32
02/03/2024	Expense		Alameda Court		1.00	5,696.32
02/29/2024	Journal Entry	Feb 2024 Postage		Copies for February 2024	0.64	5,696.96
03/20/2024	Expense		SD Superior Court		1.00	5,697.96
03/28/2024	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order No. 22553190 - Filing Fee Re: Case Management Statement, Proof of Service	15.39	5,713.35
03/31/2024	Journal Entry	Mar 2024 Postage		Copies for March 2024	0.20	5,713.55
06/06/2024	Expense		SD Superior Court		1.00	5,714.55
06/30/2024	Journal Entry	June 2024 Copies		June 2024 Copies	0.10	5,714.65
03/07/2025	Expense		One Legal LLC	One Legal Order No. 24525601 - Filing Fee Re: Proposed Order, Stipulation - Fee Due, Proof of Service	43.06	5,757.71
Total for Caracaus v. Danny's Family Home (002-308)					**$5,757.71**	
TOTAL					**$5,757.71**	

EXHIBIT 6

JCL LAW FIRM, APC
5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
San Diego, CA 92121
Tel: (619) 599-8292
Fax: (619) 599-8291

FIRM RESUME

Areas of Practice: Employee Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Civil Litigation, and Unlawful Housing Practices.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq.
California Bar Number 248676
Founding Partner

Biography:

Mr. Lapuyade is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing aggrieved California employees and the victims of unlawful housing practices throughout the State of California. Mr. Lapuyade's litigation practice focuses on representing California employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, and misclassification, wrongful termination cases and tenants in unlawful housing class actions. Mr. Lapuyade prides himself on providing his clients with aggressive, attentive and result driven representation through the litigation process, trial and arbitration. Since 2007, Mr. Lapuyade successfully recovered in excess of $200 million in monetary awards for his clients.

Mr. Lapuyade served as co-editor of the Insurance Column for Consumer Attorneys of San Diego from 2011 to 2014. As editor, Mr. Lapuyade was responsible for publishing monthly articles in the Trial Bar News periodical analyzing complex insurance coverage issues. Mr. Lapuyade is a four-time recipient of the Super Lawyers Rising Star by Thomson Reuters. To be eligible for inclusion in Rising Stars, a candidate must be either 40 years old or younger or in practice for 10 years or less. While up to 5 percent of the lawyers in a state are named to Super Lawyers, no more than 2.5 percent are named to Rising Stars.

Bar Admissions:

- California
- U.S. District Court Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court Central District of California
- U.S. District Court Eastern District of California
- U.S. District Court Northern District of California

Professional Associations:

- California Employment Lawyers Association, 2007 to Present
- Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Section of Litigation, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- American Bar Association, Tort, Trial & Insurance Section, Member, 2007 to 2020
- California Bar Association, Labor & Employment Section, Member, 2007 to Present
- California Bar Association, Litigation Section, Member, 2007 to Present

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
  - J.D. - 2006
  - Honors: Deans Merit Scholarship, California Western School of Law 2005 - 2006
- **University of Arizona**
  - B.A. - 2001
  - Major: History

Honors:

- Super Lawyers Rising Star, Thomson Reuters, 2015 - 2018
- Distinguished Advocate Award for Outstanding Oral Advocacy, 2005 – 2006

Perssia Razma, **Esq**.
California Bar Number 351398
Associate Attorney

Biography:

Ms. Razma is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing California employees throughout the state of California. Ms. Razma's litigation practice focuses on representing current and former employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, and wrongful termination.

Perssia was born and raised in Los Angeles, California. She earned a Bachelor of Arts in 2020 at San Diego State University in Psychology. In 2023, Perssia earned her Juris Doctor from the University of San Diego School of Law.

Education:

- **University of San Diego School of Law**
  - J.D. - 2023
- **San Diego State University**
  - B.A. – 2020
  - Major: Psychology

Sydney Castillo-Johnson, **Esq**.
California Bar Number 343881
Associate Attorney

Biography:

Ms. Castillo-Johnson is a San Diego based labor and employment attorney representing California employees throughout the state of California. Ms. Castillo-Johnson's litigation practice focuses on representing current and former employees in wage and hour class actions for unpaid wages, missed meal and rest breaks, misclassification, and wrongful termination.

Ms. Castillo-Johnson volunteered her time at California Wester School of Law first as a student intern and later as a student manager with the Community Law Project which offers legal services to the low-income community of San Diego.

Education:

- **California Western School of Law**
  - J.D.- 2022
  - Honors:
    - Dean's Honor List: Spring 2021, Fall 2021
    - Public Service Honor Society Fall 2021
    - 3L Academic Merit Scholarship
    - Dean's Diversity, Service, and Leadership Scholarship
  - Activities: Community Law Project Student Manager
- **Azusa Pacific University**
  - B.A. – 2018
  - Major: Criminal Justice

JCL Law Firm, APC - REPORTED CASES

- *Blanchette et al., v. Superior Court (GHA Homes)*, 8 Cal.App.5th 521 (2017).
- *Duran v. Employbridge Holding Co.,* 92 Cal.App.5th 59 (2023).

EXAMPLES OF THE JCL LAW FIRM'S
REPRESENTATIVE CLASS ACTION & REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- *Ware v. Shake Shack Enterprises, LLC,* Alameda Superior Court Case No. 21CV002063 (October 19, 2023) Hon. Brad Seligman approved a $1,330,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for violations of California wage and hour laws. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Trinh v. Precision Metal Products, Inc., et al.,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2022-00000965-CU-OE-CTL (October 16, 2023) Hon. Matthew C. Braner approved a $450,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off the clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Anderson v. Barton Myers Associates, Inc., et al.,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV43314 (October 12, 2023) Hon. Elihu M. Berl approved a $900,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *DeSanctis v. Douglas Products and Packaging Company, LLC,* Contra Costa Superior Court Case No. C21-01874 (October 11, 2023) Hon. Charles S. Treat approved a $372,500.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* failure to pay for all hours worked. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Jackson v. White Fir, LLC, et al.,* Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2021-00301656 (October 6, 2023) Hon. Lauri A. Damrell approved a $750,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for violations of California wage and hour laws. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Kneisly v. SR Machining, Inc., et al.,* Riverside Superior Court Case No. CVRI2201491 (October 5, 2023) Hon. Harold W. Hopp approved a $220,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Mendez v. Bondz, Inc., et al.,* San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-0007486 (September 14, 2023) Hon. George J. Abdallah, Jr. approved a $180,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* failure to comply with piece-rate laws. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Baray v. Curation Foods, Inc., et al.,* Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 21CV02834 (August 29, 2023) Hon. James F. Rigali approved a $495,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Rodriguez, et al. v. Ferma Greenbox, Inc., et al.,* Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG21101104 (August 23, 2023) Hon. Evelio Grillo approved a $200,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *O'Quinn v. Laugh Factory, Inc.,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV28155 (August 1, 2023) Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl approved a $144,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* unlawful tip pooling. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Toler v. Total Testing Solutions, LLC,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV38452 (July 27, 2023) Hon Elihu M. Berle approved a $160,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Rodriguez v. EAH, Inc.,* Santa Cruz Superior Court Case No. 21CV00884 (July 25, 2023) Hon. Marjorie Carter approved a $1,450,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* failure to compensate overtime and redeemed sick pay at the regular rate of pay. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Neutall v. Urban Alchemy,* San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-20-588622 (July 13, 2023) Hon. Richard B. Ulmer approved a $980,000.00 class action settlement for violations of California wage and hour laws. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel.
- *Rodriguez v. RM Parks Place, Inc.,* San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2021-6050 (June 7, 2023) Hon. Erin Guy Castillo approved a $175,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia,* wage statement violations. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.

- *Chrestensen v. Northeastern Rural Health Clinics* Lassen, Superior Court Case No. 63703 (April 13, 2023) Hon. Leonard J. La Casse approved a $270,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid overtime wages. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Hernandez, et al. v. Parenting Network, Inc.,* Tulare Superior Court Case No. VCU287027 (March 14, 2023) Hon. Bret Hilman approved a $225,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Sarmiento v. Caduceus Healthcare Inc.,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2021-00002597 (March 10, 2023) Hon. Richard S. Whitney approved a $315,000.00 class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Garces, et al. v. DriverDo, LLC,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV32773 (January 24, 2023) Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl approved a $700,000 class action and PAGA settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *De Jesus v. Guardian Angel Home Care, Inc.,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2020-00021049-CU-OE-CTL (July 29, 2022) Hon. Ronald F. Frazier approved a $300,000 class action and PAGA settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Kaur v. Aces 2020 I, LLC, et al.,* Contra Costa Superior Court Case No. MSC20-02482 (December 22, 2022) Hon. Edward G. Weil approved a $585,000 class action and PAGA settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Molina v. Hawaiian Airlines, Inc.,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV28079 (November 23, 2022) Hon. Maren Nelson approved a $250,000 class action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the Plaintiff.
- *Lang Jr. v. Pathways Community Services LLC,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00049969-CU-OE-CTL (September 9, 2022) Hon. James A. Mangione approved a $160,000 class action and PAGA settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Martinello v. Century Wilshire, Inc.,* Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32613 (March 1, 2022) Hon. Carolyn Kuhl approved a $550 billing rate and appointed Jean Claude Lapuyade, Esq. of the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.
- *Wilson v. Spreen Inc. (dba Spreen Honda),* San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVSB2119857 (April 6, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a $300,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC, represented the plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Ledesma v. TVJ Sons I, Inc.; Porter and Howard, Inc.* Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 20CV03573 (November 10, 2021) Hon. Jed Beebe approved a $350,000 PAGA action settlement for meal and rest period violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC represented the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Jacobs v. Rush Media Company, LLC; XLT Management Services, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 20STCV32350 (May 2, 2022) Hon. Daniel J. Buckley appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and approved a $330,000 class action settlement for, *inter alia*, independent contractor misclassification.
- *Chavez v. HTL Conrad Domestic, LLC; HTL Conrad Domestic Employer, LLC,* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2020-00016193-CU-OE-CTL (June 9, 2022) Hon. John S. Meyer approved a $850,000 PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to compensate overtime at the regular rate of pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Renteria v. Love's Country Stores of California*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS20164481 (June 14, 2022) Hon. David Cohn approved a $2.2 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid overtime and sick pay. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Lopez v. Adidas America, Inc.,* Ventura Superior Court Case No. 56-2021-005498444-CU-OE-VTA (April 4, 2022) Hon. Mark Borrell approved a $1.5 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate overtime calculations, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel.
- *Felix v. TVI, Inc., d.b.a. Savers*, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 21CV376407 (February 18, 2022) Hon. Sunil R. Kulkarni approved a $1.8 million dollar class action and PAGA action settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off-the-clock work. The Court appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and as representative PAGA counsel for the State of California.
- *Munoz v. AMTCR,* San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIV-DS2001914 (August 24, 2021) Hon. David Cohn approved a $1.8 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, inaccurate itemized wage statements. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)

- *Nunes v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2020-0011011 (October 20, 2021 – Hon. Roger Ross approved a $1.5 million dollar class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, miscalculated meal period premiums and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq, as Class Counsel.)
- *Pillsbury v. T&T Restaurants CA, Inc.*, Del Norte Superior Court Case No. CVUJ-20-1214 (December 14, 2021 – Hon. Darren McElfresh approved $550 billing rate and appointed Jean-Claude Lapuyade, Esq, as Class Counsel in a wage and hour class action settlement.)
- *Latin v. OneMain General Service Corporation*, Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. CV-20-002498 (October 22, 2021) Hon. Stacy Speiller approved a PAGA action settlement for $700,000 wage statement violations. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.
- *Elias Kaser v. Aviation Consultants, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01191204-CU-OE-CXC (September 22, 2021 – Hon. Peter J. Wilson approved $550 billing rate in a representative California Labor Code Private Attorney General Act settlement).
- *Rodriguez* v. *RSI Home Products, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2023354 (July 30, 2021 – Hon. David Cohn approved a $1.45 million class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, failure to comply with piece-rate laws, and appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel)
- *Jer Vang v. Bridge Property Management*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No RG19047434 (January 8, 2021 – Hon. Winifred Smith approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, unpaid off the clock work. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as class counsel for the settlement class and as representative PAGA counsel the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Johnson v. Volt Management Corp., Inc. et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV16466 (December 11, 2020 – Hon. Amy Hogue approved a PAGA Settlement negotiated on behalf of the State of California and the aggrieved employees by the JCL Law Firm, APC.)
- *Salazar v. Frontier Auto Sales Inc., dba Frontier Toyota*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV20382 (November 24, 2020 – Hon. John P. Doyle appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and approved a class and PAGA settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods.)
- *Villalobos v. Alterra Group, LLC et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV19448 (November 11, 2020 – Hon. Richard Fruin approved a PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, unreimbursed business expenses. The JCL Law Firm, APC acted as counsel for the plaintiff, the State of California and the Aggrieved Employees.)
- *Stevens et al., v. Bank of England*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00018662-CU-OE-CTL (October 16, 2020 – Hon. John Meyer appointed JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for, *inter alia*, off-the-clock work.)
- *Frazier v. ASA Carlton, Inc.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00036147 (October 9, 2020 Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed the JCL Law Firm as Class Counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for off-the-clock work.)
- *Krake et al., v. Central Valley Diner, Inc.*, Placer County Superior Court Case No. SCV0041645 (September 4, 2020 – Hon. Michael Jones appointed JCL Law Firm as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for meal period violations.)
- *Conner v. Ascendant Marketing, LLC et al.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00026864-CU-OE-CTL (July 24, 2020 Hon. Joel Wohlfeil granted approval of PAGA Settlement for, among other things, off-the-clock work. JCL Law Firm, APC acted as lead counsel.)
- *Guerrero v. Estancia Operations, LLC.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2019-00028963 (August 25, 2020 – Hon. Katherine Bacal approved PAGA Settlement for, *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods. JCL Law Firm, APC, acted as lead counsel.)
- *Moreno v. Dash Lube, et al.*, United States District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 18cv1922 DMS (AHG) (December 13, 2019 – Granted class certification of Labor Code Section 226 claim and appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.)
- *Perkins et al., v. Tahiti Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2016-00863095 (October 22, 2019 – Hon. Peter Wilson appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for an unlawful housing class action settlement arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1.)
- *Hill v. Stemak Holdings, Ltd.*, San Diego Superior Court Case No., 37-2018-00000747 (January 28, 2020 – Hon. Kenneth Medel appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as class counsel and granted final approval of wage and hour class action for unpaid overtime.)
- *Shachno et al., v. KT Hotels, LLC, et al.* San Diego Superior Court Case No Case No. 37-2018-00043601 (May 31, 2019 – Hon, Gregory Pollack appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted preliminary approval for the wage and hour class action settlement involving nearly 900 current and former employees. Final approval scheduled for October 4, 2019)
- *Almanza v. The Express Group, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1722542 (April 19, 2019 – Hon. David Cohn appointed the JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for *inter alia*, missed meal and rest periods and independent contractor misclassification.)

- *Moschetto v. Pillow Global, Inc. San Diego Superior Court,* Case No. 37-2017-00024031-CU-OE-CTL (April 5, 2019 – Hon. John S. Meyer appointed JCL LAW Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour wage class action settlement involving misclassified house cleaners.)
- *Burke v. Friendship Hotel, LLC,* San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2017-00022517-CU-OE-CTL (March 10, 2019 – final approval granted on for an unlawful housing class action arising under Civil Code Section 1940.2)
- *Terrado et al., v. Accredited Debt Relief, LLC*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00014181-CU-OE-CTL (February 8, 2019 – Hon. Gregory W. Pollock appointed JCL Law Firm, APC as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement for meal and rest period violations);
- *Macaspac v. San Antonio Regional Hospital*, San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1512625, (July 27, 2018 – Hon. Thomas S. Garza appointed JCL Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel and granted final approval for a wage and hour class action settlement involving claims for on duty meal and rest periods.);
- *Summerlin et al. v. Maplebear, Inc. dba Instacart*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC603030 (2018 - certified and settled wage and hour class action.)
- *Ortega v. Prime Healthcare*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00011240-CU-OE-CTL (2018 – Approved PAGA only settlement for on duty meal and rest periods)
- *Engstrom v. Bender-Rosenthal*, Inc. San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2016-00012373-CU-OE-CTL (2017 - Certified and settled class action for employee misclassification);
- *Crawford v. Outlook Amusements,* Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC617160 (2017 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action.);
- *Hernandez v. Sunglass Hut Trading, LLC,* San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1505181 (2016 - Certified and settled wage and hour class action);
- *Burns v. Shalom, LLC*, San Diego Superior Court, Case No., 37-2013-00058356-CU-BT-CTL (2015 - certified and settled class action for unlawful housing practices arising out of Civil Code Section 1940.1);