

JAMES HAWKINS APLC
James R. Hawkins (SBN 192925)
Christina M. Lucio (SBN 253677)
Mitchell J. Murray (SBN 285691)
9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
Irvine, CA 92618
Tel.: (949) 387-7200
Fax: (949) 387-6676
Email: James@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Email: Christina@jameshawkinsaplc.com
Email: Mitchell@jameshawkinsaplc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Esmeralda Castanon and Natasha King,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

ESMERALDA CASTANON, and JOHN
DURON, on behalf of themselves and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho
Corporation, doing business as WINCO
FOODS; and DOES 1-10, inclusive

Defendants.

Case No. 34-2020-00282233

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AND
JUDGMENT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court in the above-entitled action located at, 500 G Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, entered an Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Request for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs. The Court sets a Compliance Hearing for April 30, 2027 at 10:30 a.m. in Department 8A. A true and correct copy of the, May 29, 2026 Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Request for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

Dated: June 01, 2026

Respectfully Submitted
JAMES HAWKINS APLC



JAMES R. HAWKINS, ESQ.
CHRISTINA M. LUCIO, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

JAMES HAWKINS APLC
 James R. Hawkins (SBN 192925)
 Christina M. Lucio (SBN 253677)
 Mitchell J. Murray (SBN 285691)
 9880 Research Drive, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92618
 Telephone: (949) 387-7200
 Facsimile: (949) 387-6676
james@jameshawkinsaplc.com
christina@jameshawkinsaplc.com
mitchell@jameshawkinsaplc.com

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Sacramento
05/29/2026
 J. Servantez, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff Esmeralda Castanon and Natasha King,
 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

ESMERALDA CASTANON, and JOHN
 DURON, on behalf of themselves and all others
 similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WINCO HOLDINGS, INC., an Idaho
 Corporation, doing business as WINCO FOODS;
 and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 34-2020-00282233

Assigned for all purposes to:
 Hon. Jill H. Talley

**PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION
 FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
 ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AND
 REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
 COSTS**

Date: May 22, 2026
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Dept.: 8A

Complaint Filed: May 19, 2020
 Trial Date: None Set

1 The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class
2 Action and PAGA Settlement and Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and having heard argument on
3 the Motion, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

4 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter and over all parties to the action, including the
5 members of the Class.

6 2. The Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release of Claims
7 ("Settlement Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration of James R. Hawkins
8 in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed on or about
9 December 1, 2025, is the product of arms-length negotiations between the parties and the terms of the
10 Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class. The
11 Settlement Agreement therefore is finally approved, and its terms incorporated herein. The Court orders
12 the parties to the Settlement Agreement to perform forthwith their respective duties and obligations
13 thereunder.

14 3. The Class, which was provisionally certified by the Court in its January 6, 2026 Order
15 Granting Preliminary Approval, hereby is certified under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382
16 for purposes of settlement only. The Class includes all current and former non-exempt, hourly
17 employees working for WinCo in California at its retail stores and distribution centers at any time
18 between May 19, 2016 to August 28, 2024.

19 4. The Court adjudges all Plaintiffs and all Class Members who did not opt out to release the
20 Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) from for any and all claims that were raised or
21 could have been raised based on the factual allegations made in the operative First Amended
22 Consolidated Complaint including but are not limited to: (1) unpaid minimum wages; (2) unpaid
23 overtime wages; (3) failure to provide lawful meal periods; (4) failure to authorize and permit rest
24 periods; (5) failure to timely pay wages owed upon separation from employment; (6) wages not timely
25 paid during employment; (7) failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; (8) failure to keep
26 requisite payroll records; (9) unreimbursed business expenses; (10) unpaid sick pay; (11) unpaid vacation
27 pay; (12) violation of the Unfair Competition Law; (13) claims for civil penalties pursuant to the Labor
28 Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 due to any Labor Code violations by WinCo arising out of

or related to events alleged in the First Amended Consolidated Complaint including, but not limited to, Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 221, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 245-249, 510, 512, 516, 551, 552, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1198.5, 1199, 2800-2802, 2804, 2810.5, and failure to perform or tender any rate of pay adjustment to any kind of wage, benefit, or other benefit whatsoever, and the applicable IWC wage orders; (14) any and all claims arising out of alleged violations of the California Labor Code, including sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 221, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 227.3, 245-249, 510, 512, 516, 551, 552, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1198.5, 1199, 2800-2802, 2804, 2810.5 and the applicable IWC wage orders; (13) penalties of any nature; (14) interest; (15) attorneys' fees and costs; and (16) any other claims arising out of or related to the First Amended Consolidated Complaint filed in the Action (collectively, the "Released Claims").

6. Under the Settlement Agreement, Defendant promises to pay the Gross Settlement Amount of \$8,250,000.00, and the Settlement Administrator is ordered to distribute to the Settlement Class Members and to the Aggrieved Employees their respective settlement payments as provided in the Settlement Agreement. Funds attributable to uncashed checks that remain after the check void date shall be forwarded to the California State Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund. No funds shall revert to Defendant.

7. The Court further orders that the Class Members be provided with notice of this Judgment under California Rule of Court 3.771(b), and the Settlement Administrator shall post a copy of this Order and Judgment on its website for a minimum of sixty (60) days.

8. The Court approves an award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of \$2,750,000.00 (representing 33.33% of the Gross Settlement Amount) as follows: \$2,227,500.00 to James Hawkins APLC, \$247,500.00 to JCL Law Firm, APC and Zakay Law Group, APLC, and \$275,000.00 to Falakassa Law, P.C. and Bokhour Law Group, P.C. Such amount shall be paid as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court approves an award of litigation costs and expenses to Class Counsel in the amount of \$71,747.17 as follows: as follows: \$64,914.49 to James Hawkins APLC, \$2,100.77 to JCL Law Firm, APC, \$2,736.01 to Zakay Law Group, APLC, and \$1,995.90 to Bokhour Law Group, P.C. Such amount

1 shall be paid as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

2 10. The Court approves Class Representative Incentive Payments to Class Representatives as
3 follows: \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Esmeralda Castanon, and \$5,000.00 each to Plaintiffs Natasha King,
4 Geneva Putnam, Darlene Kanenbley, Andrew Caro, and Everardo Garza Jr., for a total of \$25,000.00,
5 and the Settlement Administrator is ordered to make such payments consistent with the terms of the
6 Settlement Agreement.

7 11. The Settlement Agreement provides the Settlement Administrator, ILYM Group, Inc., shall
8 be paid from the Gross Settlement Amount for its services in administering the Settlement. As set forth
9 in the Declaration of Nathalie Beltran, the Settlement Administrator is owed \$99,950.00 for services
10 rendered and to be rendered in administering the settlement. The Court therefore orders that ILYM
11 Group, Inc. be paid that amount from the Gross Settlement Amount consistent with the terms of the
12 Settlement Agreement.

13 12. The Court approves the PAGA penalties in the amount of \$412,500.00, to be paid from the
14 Gross Settlement Amount, and finds that amount is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and furthers the
15 purposes underlying PAGA. \$309,375.00 of this amount (75%) will be paid to the LWDA as the state's
16 share of the civil penalties, and the remainder of \$103,125.00 (25%) will be distributed to the Aggrieved
17 Employees consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

18 13. The Court hereby schedules a non-appearance compliance review hearing for ^{April 30}~~February 29~~,
19 2027, at 10:30 a.m., in Department 8A of the Sacramento County Superior Court. The Parties are
20 ordered to file a declaration regarding the status of distribution of the settlement funds at least fifteen (15)
21 days prior to the settlement compliance hearing. If the Court is satisfied that the settlement funds have
22 been fully distributed, no appearance will be required at the compliance hearing.

23 14. Under California Rule of Court 3.769(h), without affecting the finality of this Order and
24 Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over: (1) implementation and enforcement of the
25 Settlement Agreement pursuant to further orders of this Court until the final judgment contemplated
26 becomes effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties has been performed
27 under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (2) any other action necessary to conclude this settlement
28 and to implement the Settlement Agreement; and (3) the enforcement, construction, and interpretation of

1 the Settlement Agreement.

2 15. Neither this Order and Judgment nor the Settlement Agreement upon which it is based are an
3 admission or concession by any party of any fault, omission, liability or wrongdoing. This Order is not a
4 finding of the validity or invalidity of any claims in this action or a determination of any wrongdoing by
5 any party. The final approval of the parties' settlement will not constitute any opinion, position or
6 determination of this Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses of any party.

7 16. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rules of Court, rule
8 3.769, and Labor Code section 2699 et seq., judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiffs and all
9 Settlement Class Members and against Defendant consistent with the terms of this Order and the
10 Settlement Agreement.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.**

12
13 Dated: 05/29/2026

14 
HON. JILL H. TALLY
Judge of the Superior Court



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

On June 1, 2026, I served on the interested parties in this action the following document(s) entitled:

[XX] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court Order or an agreement by the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from the email address geraldine@jameshawkinsaplc.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

Please See Attached Page

Executed on June 1, 2026, at Irvine, California

Geraldine
Geraldine Viera

SERVICE LIST

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Michael W. Kopp, Esq.

Phillip J. Ebsworth, Esq.

400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350

Sacramento, California 95814-4428

Telephone: (916) 448-0159

Facsimile: (916) 558-4839

pebsworth@seyfarth.com

mkopp@seyfarth.com

Attorneys for Defendant
WINCO HOLDINGS, INC.

FALAKASSA LAW, P.C.

Joshua S. Falakassa, CA Bar No. 295045

josh@falakassalaw.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450

Los Angeles, California 90067

Tel: (818) 456-6168; Fax: (888) 505-0868

BOKHOUR LAW GROUP, P.C.

Mehrdad Bokhour, Esq., CA Bar No. 285256

mehrdad@bokhourlaw.com

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 450

Los Angeles, California 90067

Tel: (310) 975-1493; Fax: (310) 675-0861

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class

ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC

Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924)

3110 Camino Del Rio S Suite 308

San Diego, CA 92108

Telephone: (619) 255-9047

Facsimile: (858) 404-9203

shani@zakaylaw.com

jenny@zakaylaw.com

nicole@zakaylaw.com

eden@zakaylaw.com

liana@zakaylaw.com

tesla@zakaylaw.com

esbeidy@zakaylaw.com

1
2
3 JCL LAW FIRM, APC
4 Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676)
5 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600
6 San Diego, CA 92121
7 Telephone: (619) 599-8292
8 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291
9 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com
10 tstone@jcl-lawfirm.com
11 jheming@jcl-lawfirm.com
12 scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com
13 prazma@jcl-lawfirm.com
14 jnitti@jcl-lawfirm.com
15 cfaccin@jcl-lawfirm.com
16 acastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class

18
19 Labor and Workforce Development Agency
20 Attn: PAGA Administrator
21 1515 Clay Street, Suite 801
22 Oakland, CA 94612
23 <http://www.dir.ca.gov/Private-Attorneys-General-Act>
24
25
26
27
28