

1 **BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK**
2 **DE BLOUW LLP**

3 Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687)

4 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)

5 Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066)

6 2255 Calle Clara

7 La Jolla, CA 92037

8 Telephone: (858)551-1223

9 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

10 Website: www.bamlawca.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

14
15 DANIELA ARBELAEZ ACEVEDO and
16 MYRELLA THOMAS, as individuals, and on
17 behalf of themselves and on behalf of all
18 persons similarly situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 ACES 2020 I, LLC, a Limited Liability
22 Company; and DOES 2 through 50, inclusive,

23 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 24CV061880

**[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL ORDER**

Hearing Date: March 25, 2025 _____
Hearing Time: 2:30 p.m.

Judge: Hon. Somnath Raj Chatterjee
Dept: 21

Date Filed: January 30, 2024
Trial Date: Not set

24
25 This matter came before the Honorable Somnath Raj Chatterjee of the Superior Court of
26 the State of California, in and for the County Alameda, on March 25, 2025, for hearing on the
27 unopposed motion by Plaintiffs Daniela Arbelaez Acevedo and Myrella Thomas (“Plaintiffs”) for
28

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

1 preliminary approval of the Settlement with Defendant ACES 2020, LLC, doing business in
2 California as ACES 2020 I, LLC (“Defendant”). The Court, having considered the briefs,
3 argument of counsel and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing, hereby
4 GRANTS Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

5
6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

7 1. The Court preliminarily approves the First Amended Class Action and PAGA
8 Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) attached as Exhibit ____ to the Declaration of Kyle
9 Nordrehaug in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.
10 This is based on the Court’s determination that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is within
11 the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California
12 Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

13 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all
14 terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.

15 3. The Gross Settlement Amount that Defendant shall pay is One Million Six
16 Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,650,000). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that
17 the settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class
18 Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant
19 risks relating to certification, liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and
20 research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate
21 their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement will avoid substantial
22 additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the
23 further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the
24 result of serious and non-collusive, arm’s-length negotiations.

25 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of
26 reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The
27 Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and
28

1 preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement made available to the Class is fair, adequate, and
2 reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant
3 risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

4 5. The Agreement specifies for an attorneys' fees award not to exceed one-third of the
5 Gross Settlement Amount, an award of litigation expenses incurred, not to exceed \$40,000, and
6 proposed Class Representative Service Payments to the Plaintiffs in an amount not to exceed
7 \$10,000 each. The Court will not approve the amount of attorneys' fees and costs, nor the amount
8 of any service award, until the Final Approval Hearing. Plaintiffs will be required to present
9 evidence supporting these requests, including lodestar, prior to final approval.

10 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulate and agree to
11 representative treatment and certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation
12 will not be deemed admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become
13 final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the Class which consists of
14 "all individuals who were employed by Defendant and classified as a non-exempt employee in the
15 State of California at any time during the Class Period, except (1) individuals who began
16 employment with Defendant on or after November 1, 2023 and who signed an arbitration
17 agreement during onboarding and (2) any named plaintiff in the matter *Allen v. ACES 2020 I, LLC*
18 *et. al.*, Superior Court for the County of Contra Costa, Case No. C23-00916." The "Class Period"
19 is January 1, 2022 through November 5, 2024.

20 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the
21 requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:
22 (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is
23 impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined
24 community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the
25 litigation; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d)
26 the Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a
27 class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy;

1 and (f) counsel for the Class is qualified to act as counsel for the Class and the Plaintiffs are
2 adequate representatives of the Class.

3 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiffs as the representatives of the Class. The
4 Court provisionally appoints Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP, Zakay Law
5 Group, APLC, JCL Law Firm, APC, and Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers, Inc. as Class
6 Counsel for the Class.

7 9. The Agreement provides for a PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement
8 Amount of \$33,000, which shall be allocated \$24,750 to the Labor & Workforce Development
9 Agency (“LWDA”) as the LWDA’s 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this
10 Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$8,250 to the Aggrieved Employees. “Aggrieved
11 Employees” are all individuals who were employed by Defendant and classified as a non-exempt
12 employee in the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period (February 15, 2022
13 through November 5, 2024). Pursuant to Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (1)(2), the LWDA
14 will be provided notice of the Agreement and these settlement terms. The Court finds the PAGA
15 Penalties to be reasonable.

16 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached to the
17 Agreement as Exhibit A. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately
18 inform the Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members’ right
19 to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written opt-out request, and of each member’s right
20 and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the
21 Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets
22 the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall
23 constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of
24 the Class Notice by first class mail pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement. If a Class
25 Notice Packet is returned because of an incorrect address, the Administrator will promptly search
26 for a more current address for the Class Member and re-mail the Class Notice Packet to any new
27
28

1 address for the Class Member no later than seven (7) days after the receipt of the undelivered
2 Class Notice.

3 11. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group as the Administrator. No later than fifteen
4 (15) days after this Order, Defendant will provide the Class Data to the Administrator. The
5 Administrator will perform address updates and verifications as necessary prior to the first
6 mailing. Using best efforts to mail it as soon as possible, and in no event later than fourteen (14)
7 days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will mail the Class Notice Packet to all
8 Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail to their last known address.

9 12. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion
10 from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded
11 from the Class as provided in the Class Notice by following the instructions for requesting
12 exclusion from the Class that are set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion must be
13 postmarked or received no later than sixty (60) calendar days after the date of the mailing of the
14 Class Notice (“Response Deadline”). If a Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline
15 for requests for exclusion will be extended an additional fourteen (14) days. A Request for
16 Exclusion may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice.
17 Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to
18 any recovery under the Class Settlement and will not be bound by the Class Settlement or have
19 any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion
20 shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement and the Judgment. A request for
21 exclusion may only opt out that particular individual, and any attempt to effect an opt-out of a
22 group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.

23 13. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing
24 and may object or express the Member’s views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence
25 and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and
26 determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice. Class Members will have until the
27 Response Deadline to submit their written objections to the Administrator. Written objections
28

1 may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice. If a Class
2 Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline for written objections will be extended an
3 additional fourteen (14) days. Alternatively, Class Members may appear at the Final Approval
4 Hearing to make an oral objection.

5 14. A final approval hearing shall be held before this Court on _____
6 _____ at _____ in Department 21 of the Alameda County Superior Court to hear
7 the motion for final approval and for attorneys' fees and costs, and to determine all necessary
8 matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on
9 the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and
10 should be finally approved by the Court; whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should
11 be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved
12 as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve attorneys' fees and
13 costs, service award, and the fees and expenses of the Administrator. All papers in support of the
14 motion for final approval shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than
15 sixteen (16) court days before the hearing and both motions shall be heard at this final approval
16 hearing.

17 15. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered
18 thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way that the
19 claims asserted have any merit or that this Action was properly brought as a class or representative
20 action, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or
21 indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or
22 omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person.
23 Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit,
24 document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts
25 thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or
26 deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to,

1 evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability,
2 fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

3 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms
4 of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to
5 become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated,
6 and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement,
7 and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action,
8 including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the
9 Action could not be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action . In such
10 an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Order, shall not be used or
11 referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of
12 the Agreement with respect to the effect of the Agreement if it is not approved.

13 17. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval
14 hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and
15 retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the
16 proposed Settlement.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: _____

20 _____
21 HON. SOMNATH RAJ CHATTERJEE
22 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
23
24
25
26
27
28